### Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From:
Sent:
То:
Subject:
Attachments:

RulemakingComments Resource Monday, November 16, 2015 3:22 PM Rulemaking1CEm Resource Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30 NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0487.pdf

#### DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067 PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30 FRN#: 80FR35870 NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057 SECY DOCKET DATE: 11/12/15 TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation COMMENT#: 484

Hearing Identifier: Email Number:	Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public 1281
Mail Envelope Propert	ies (0191d2d02a704b80a2abf17fdfeefa01)
Subject: Sent Date: Received Date: From:	Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30 11/16/2015 3:22:25 PM 11/16/2015 3:22:28 PM RulemakingComments Resource
Created By:	RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Rulemaking1CEm Resource" <Rulemaking1CEm.Resource@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	298	11/16/2015 3:22:28 PM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0487.p	odf	67717

Options	
Priority:	Standard
Return Notification:	No
Reply Requested:	No
Sensitivity:	Normal
Expiration Date:	
Recipients Received:	

# **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

As of: 11/13/15 4:42 PM Received: November 11, 2015 Status: Pending\_Post Tracking No. 1jz-8m72-u1rp Comments Due: November 19, 2015 Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057 Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0057-0086 Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0487 Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

## **Submitter Information**

#### Name: William Anonymous

### **General Comment**

I support the following quote from the EPA's comment which is included below at the link::

"Of all the agents demonstrated to be carcinogenic, the evidence for LNT is particularly strong for ionizing radiation....a single track of ionization radiation passing through a cell produces complex damage sites in DNA, unique to radiation, the repair of which is error- prone. Thus, no threshold for radiation-induced mutations is expected, and, indeed, non has been observed...

"The EPA cannot endorse basing radiation protection on poorly supported and highly speculative proposals for dose thresholds or doubtful notions concerning protective effects from low-level ionization radiation. Accordingly, we would urge the NRC to deny the petition."

http://static1.1.sqspcdn.com/static/f/356082/26660436/1447081989557/epa+comment+on+hormesis+rulemaking1.pdf? token=sQoAe3MoSDGkgyTjFfh8cXzoJSA%3D