

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:22 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0487.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 11/12/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 484

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1281

Mail Envelope Properties (0191d2d02a704b80a2abf17fdfeefa01)

Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30
Sent Date: 11/16/2015 3:22:25 PM
Received Date: 11/16/2015 3:22:28 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	298	11/16/2015 3:22:28 PM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0487.pdf		67717

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

As of: 11/13/15 4:42 PM
Received: November 11, 2015
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jz-8m72-u1rp
Comments Due: November 19, 2015
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0487

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

Submitter Information

Name: William Anonymous

General Comment

I support the following quote from the EPA's comment which is included below at the link::

"Of all the agents demonstrated to be carcinogenic, the evidence for LNT is particularly strong for ionizing radiation....a single track of ionization radiation passing through a cell produces complex damage sites in DNA, unique to radiation, the repair of which is error-prone. Thus, no threshold for radiation-induced mutations is expected, and, indeed, none has been observed..."

"The EPA cannot endorse basing radiation protection on poorly supported and highly speculative proposals for dose thresholds or doubtful notions concerning protective effects from low-level ionization radiation. Accordingly, we would urge the NRC to deny the petition."

<http://static1.1.sqspcdn.com/static/f/356082/26660436/1447081989557/epa+comment+on+hormesis+rulemaking1.pdf?token=sQoAe3MoSDGkgyTjFfh8cXzoJSA%3D>