Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource

Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:06 AM

To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource

Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30

Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0464.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057 SECY DOCKET DATE: 10/30/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 462

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public

Email Number: 1259

Mail Envelope Properties (3b2ae20b4c9549c89dc65eb219f5c481)

Subject: Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30

Sent Date: 11/16/2015 10:06:20 AM **Received Date:** 11/16/2015 10:06:21 AM

From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Rulemaking1CEm Resource" <Rulemaking1CEm.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 299 11/16/2015 10:06:21 AM

NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0464.pdf 73016

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 11/13/15 3:27 PM Received: October 30, 2015 Status: Pending_Post

Tracking No. 1jz-8lz9-37b3

Comments Due: November 19, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0464

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

Submitter Information

Name: Todd Millions

Address: bx712

Eastend, Canada, s0n0t0 **Email:** tmillions@hotmail.com

General Comment

Sirs-

Pray consider;

The exposure standards changes in and of them selves resulting to this-'adaptive' model; which doesn't match reality even with a 'Mal' prefix. Can only be used too hide how quickly you are already killing us all. How long after this moving target-'aspirative' standard is used too cover up how bad, how quickly and when radiation levels got over the Haz Mat threshold?

Can it matter with the Pantex(of Texas) plutonium shipped to Japan -spewing out of the malware infested wreck of an Israeli

'secured' laser isotope separator complex that apparently 'went critical' nearly 15 minutes BEFORE the wave hit?

Yes it does.

While all evidence suggests that this and the Dimona meltdowns are fatal levels for us all and everything with a spinal column, these-'estimates' may be off notwithstanding the huge quantities of-'depleted' 'uranium' some who should have being aborted 'types' have being blasting about too cover-up how bad the 'background' levels had already become-over 20 years ago!

Yet-these estimates may be slightly off,and a way we- can't see around or through our collective demise found.

I know-"The Horse may sing". But we have NO other option.

Which hypothetical option I can say for sure-Will require accurate records and datum points. UN altered

records on the same reading scales.

Schroedinger's Cat - Meets Blaise Pascal's bet.

My markers will NOT be placed on Jeusessss separating out the Tritium from either the water or the wine.

I expect he would be busy enough with removing the plutonium from the loaves & fishes.

So we had better count only on our own collective help.

The time for being effective may not quite be past.

No possible future is even possible if we keep lying to ourselves in this and many other matters.

Even if the no threshold model is wrong or even only partially correct-

Questions of changing it now and for the reasons behind the 'Public Relations Science' do nothing of use for us and any possible future.

It rare that you can owe both the unborn and the dead at the same time.

Rejecting this revisionist model tarted up as facts after the fact presents you

with one of those moments.

Keep therefore the present No Threshold Model and figures obtained thereby.

Todd Millions

bx712EastendSKsonotoCanada