

## Rulemaking1CEm Resource

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 05, 2015 8:38 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** FW: Docket Nos. PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30, NRC-2015-0057

### DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

**FRN#:** 80FR35870

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0057

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 11/5/15

**TITLE:** Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**COMMENT#:** 449

---

**From:** Christine Ziebold [mailto:c\_ziebold@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 05, 2015 12:13 AM  
**To:** RulemakingComments Resource <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket Nos. PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30, NRC-2015-0057

I am very concerned about the three petitions regarding radiation protection standards. I see no logical reason to abandon the "Linear No-Threshold" radiation model for the murky "hormesis" model.

As a pediatrician I worry that it would affect women and children unfavorably. I urge NRC to reject the petitions.

Christine Ziebold MD PhD MPH  
1817 Hafor Drive Iowa City, IA 52246

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1244

**Mail Envelope Properties** (26a7afe70762495b955a850c123022d8)

**Subject:** FW: Docket Nos. PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30, NRC-2015-0057  
**Sent Date:** 11/5/2015 8:38:14 AM  
**Received Date:** 11/5/2015 8:38:15 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	977	11/5/2015 8:38:15 AM

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**