NRC FORM 591M PART 1 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION										
(07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201	SAFETY INSPECTION	REPORT AN	ND COMPLIANCE IN	SPECTION						
1. LICENSEE/LOCAT	ION INSPECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE		` `					
Lakeview Diagi	anatia		Dagian III							
36211 Jefferson			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission							
Harrison Towns			2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Lisle, IL 60532-4352							
REPORT NUMBER	(S) 2015-001									
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBE	ER(S) 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION		PECTION					
030-38442		21-32817-01MD		OCTOBER	SOTH,	2015				
LICENSEE:										
Regulatory Commis	an examination of the activities conduct sion (NRC) rules and regulations and the	e conditions of you	r license. The inspection consist	ed of selective exa-	minations	of				
	resentative records, interviews with pers n the inspection findings, no violations v		itions by the inspector. The inspe	ection findings are	as follows	•				
non-repe	non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.									
777.575.575.575.575.575.575	Non-cited violation(s) were discuss	ed involving the fol	lowing requirement(s):							
During th	vis inspection, cortain of your activities.									
cited in a	nis inspection, certain of your activities, a accordance with NRC Enforcement Police	cy. This form is a NO	and/or attached, were in violation DTICE OF VIOLATION, which ma	n of NRC requireme ay be subject to po:	ents and a sting in ac	re being cordance				
with 10 C	with 10 CFR 19.11. (Violations and Corrective Actions)									
(Violation	is and confective Actions;									
•										
	<u> </u>	lament - 10	-4: A -4'-							
I hereby state that, w	State within 30 days, the actions described by i	tement of Corre		ione identificad - Thi		_4 _4				
COLLECTIVE ACTIONS 12	made in accordance with the requireme	nts of 10 CFR 2 20°	l (corrective stens already taken)	corrective steps w	طاللتان طمنط	a +akaa				
TITLE	iance will be achieved). I understand th	at no further written	response to NRC will be require	d, unless specifica	lly request	ted.				
LICENSEE'S	FRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE			DATE				
REPRESENTATIVE										
NRC INSPECTOR	Ryan Craffey / Luis Nieves		Pul Catray A	Ble	10	1/20/15				
BRANCH CHIEF	Aaron McCraw		1-11		11	15/10				
IRC FORM 591M PART	1 (07-2012)		7//			1-11-				

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (07-2012)				CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
10 CFR 2.201		Ocket File Info	ormation O COMPLIANCE INS	SPECTION				
		REPORT AND		SPECTION				
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTI	ED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE					
Lakeview Diagnostic			Region III					
36211 Jefferson Avenue			U. S. Nuclear Regula	atory Commission				
Harrison Township, M1 4	8045		2443 Warrenville Ro	•				
			Lisle, IL 60532-4352	2				
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2015-(001							
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION				
030-38442		21-32817-01MD		October 20, 2015				
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USE	ED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS		A				
87127		All .						
	SUPPLEM	ENTAL INSPECTI	ON INFORMATION					
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER				
02500	2	David W. Schmitt, RPh - RSO		(586) 466-6260				
✓ Main Office Inspec	tion	Next Inspection	ext Inspection Date: 10/20/2017					
Field Office Inspec	tion							
Tomporony Joh Sit	to Increation							
Temporary Job Sit	e inspection							
	:	PROGRAM SC	OPE					
This was an unannounced routine inspection of a radiopharmacy in Harrison Township, Michigan, which served approximately 15 clients in an area stretching from the Detroit Metropolitan area north to Flint and Port Huron. The pharmacy operated Monday through Friday from 2:00 am until 4:00 pm, with limited hours on weekends. The pharmacy distributed around 90 doses each weekday, most of which went out on its first run. This run typically began shortly after opening, with deliveries out around 4:30 am. The pharmacy compounded additional doses after the first run on an as-needed basis. The licensee almost exclusively compounded unit doses of technetium-99m, and redistributed I-123 capsules and xenon-133 gas vials without alteration to its clients. The licensee did not compound any I-131 capsules. The licensee relied primarily on one pharmacist, the owner and RSO, to prepare doses, with two other pharmacists available on contingency and three drivers on staff. The licensee also retained the services of a medical physics consultant to review the content and implementation of the radiation safety program annually.								
PERFORMANCE OBSERVATIONS: The inspectors toured the licensee's facility in Harrison Township to evaluate the licensee's measures for materials security, hazard communication and exposure control. The inspectors conducted independent surveys of restricted unrestricted areas, and found no residual contamination or exposures to members of the public in excess of regulatory limits. The inspectors observed a variety of activities following the licensee's first run, including dose preparation, outgoing package preparation, incoming package receipt, client package return and waste handling, and delivery vehicle loading. Through these observations and additional discussions, the inspectors found the licensee's staff to be knowledgeable of radiation protection principles and regulatory requirements. The inspectors also reviewed a selection of licensee records for instrument quality control, area surveys, sealed source inventories, hazmat training, annual radiation program audits, and personnel dosimetry, which indicated maximum exposures in 2014 of 146 millirem (mrem) whole-body / 14,324 mrem extremity, and 72 mrem whole-body / 22,592 mrem extremity in 2015 through August 31st. The inspectors noted the marked increase in extremity dose, which was due primarily to the licensee's increased reliance on a single pharmacist, and discussed with the licensee its measures to ensure that occupational limits would not be exceeded, as well as potential measures to improve ALARA practices.								
ensure mai occupationar i	mins would not be ex	rceeded, as well as	potential measures to n	ilprove ALAKA practices.				

No violations of NRC requirements were identified as a result of this inspection.