

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 03, 2015 10:06 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30  
**Attachments:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0449.pdf

### **DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

**FRN#:** 80FR35870

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0057

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 10/26/2015

**TITLE:** Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**COMMENT#:** 431

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1226

**Mail Envelope Properties** (3c3fdd84330d48fa8e48624b85b928e9)

**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30  
**Sent Date:** 11/3/2015 10:06:15 AM  
**Received Date:** 11/3/2015 10:06:16 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	300	11/3/2015 10:06:16 AM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0449.pdf		66159

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 10/30/15 3:46 PM
<b>Received:</b> October 24, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8lv4-eznx
<b>Comments Due:</b> November 19, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0449

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** John Church

---

## General Comment

I feel that regardless of the expense to end the ongoing nuclear disasters at failed reactors around the world, that is the correct way to approach the pollution dilemma, NOT raise the acceptable limits of the poisons in the biosphere. No deaths is the preferred option. How many people are dying from it compared to how many many more people will die from it, forever by the way, is an-unacceptable argument of quantity versus a corporate bankruptcy and civil responsibility of the Japanese government cleaning up their country that is a major festering wound on the world environment.