

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 03, 2015 9:58 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30  
**Attachments:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0445.pdf

### **DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

**FRN#:** 80FR35870

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0057

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 10/23/15

**TITLE:** Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**COMMENT#:** 427

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1222

**Mail Envelope Properties** (b7ad8abec8f44f9792077fa2f179e359)

**Subject:** Comment on PRM-20-28, 20-29 & 20-30  
**Sent Date:** 11/3/2015 9:57:31 AM  
**Received Date:** 11/3/2015 9:57:36 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	298	11/3/2015 9:57:36 AM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0445.pdf		67680

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 10/30/15 3:41 PM
<b>Received:</b> October 23, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8luj-yfyv
<b>Comments Due:</b> November 19, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0445

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Dan Fullerton

**Address:**

70 Spring Pond Drive

Ossining, NY, 10562

**Email:** dan.fmpe@mail.com

---

## General Comment

I begin my comment with a question: What prompts the NRC to propose this change to the rule? The purpose surely is not to improve safety around nuclear materials, either in the workplace or in the region of a nuclear incident.