

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2015 10:33 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0411.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 10/7/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 415

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1212

Mail Envelope Properties (4ddbca7f70234c9cb2275d08d2b9b010)

Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Sent Date: 10/19/2015 10:32:52 AM
Received Date: 10/19/2015 10:32:53 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	297	10/19/2015 10:32:53 AM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0411.pdf		68692

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 10/9/15 2:39 PM
Received: October 07, 2015
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jz-8ljt-6vwe
Comments Due: November 19, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0411

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

Submitter Information

Name: Brannon H

General Comment

You're playing a dangerous game with people's trust and health. You know damn good and well that nuclear radiation is harmful to biological beings. You also know damn well that "normal operations" of nuclear power facilities allow for "normal releases" all the time, and we also know of many leaks that are often unreported or reported way too late for the general public to have ANY CONFIDENCE WHATSOEVER in the governing judgment of those in the industry.

As a college graduate, I find your attempt at manipulating dose rate standards, ignoring "internal dose" while always referring to external doses in the event such as with FUKUSHIMA. You and your governing bodies, including the nuclear industry itself, continually ignore the science and misuse the word "safety" as another manipulation attempt of the public trust and well being.

SO, in conclusion, I am now a staunch ANTI-NUCLEAR proponent because I saw with my own eyes the deception from both industry and government, (as well as the academic, scientific, and medical communities) and the silence shown in the face of obvious signs of trouble. MAYBE YOU SHOULD ASK PACIFIC SEA LIFE IF HORMESIS IS A GOOD IDEA OR NOT.