

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 9/24/15 11:59 AM  
 Received: September 10, 2015  
 Status: Pending Post  
 Tracking No. 1jz-811x-hrq6  
 Comments Due: October 20, 2015  
 Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0051

Department of Energy; Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada; Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0051-0002

Department of Energy; Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada; Availability of Draft Supplement to Environmental Impact Statement

**Document:** NRC-2015-0051-DRAFT-0008

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20638

18

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Teresa McFarland

**Address:**

1159 Fell St.

San Francisco, CA, 94117

**Email:** terefar@berkeley.edu

8/31/2015  
 80 FR 50875

RECEIVED  
 2015 SEP 24 PM 12:01  
 RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
 BRANCH  
 US-EPIC

## General Comment

Dear sirs:

NRC's DSEIS has concluded that radioactive releases from the proposed Yucca Mountain high-level radioactive waste dump would be SMALL over the course of a million years into the future. This is absurd, given the likelihood of earth movements and increasing climate change over this long period.

Actually, if irradiated nuclear fuel is ever buried at Yucca, it would leak massively, into the groundwater, creating a "nuclear sacrifice zone" over a broad region downstream.

This would include hazardous, even deadly, radioactive contamination of the groundwater, currently used for drinking and irrigation water in Nevada's agricultural Amargosa Valley; the Ash Meadows National Wildlife Refuge, Death Valley National Park, as well as the Timbisha Shoshone Indian community inhabiting Death Valley, would also be in harm's way.

The potential for disproportionate impacts on minority or low-income populations is especially high, considering the current lifestyle of the Timbisha Shoshone Indian community, as well as the traditional lifestyle of the Western Shoshone Indian Nation.

**SUNSI Review Complete**

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= O. Pineda (C/51)

The potential for cumulative impacts associated with other past, present, or reasonably foreseeable future actions is very high. After all, the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Department of Energy, and military conducted atmospheric nuclear weapons tests in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain from 1951 to 1963. They continued to conduct underground full-scale nuclear weapons tests at the Nevada Test Site (NTS) from 1963 to 1992, many of which leaked radioactivity to the atmosphere and environment, as well as contaminated regional groundwater. Even after 1992, nuclear weapons testing has continued at the NTS, in the form of sub-critical experiments involving plutonium.

Another cumulative impact involves the large-scale transport to, and dumping of so-called "low" level radioactive wastes at, the NTS.

I join with the State of Nevada Agency for Nuclear Projects, several environmental groups, and others in urging NRC to extend the public comment deadline by at least an additional 60 days. I also urge that additional in-person public meetings be scheduled in California (where Yucca's radioactively contaminated groundwater would ultimately surface in springs), as well as elsewhere across the country (given that Yucca's opening would launch the unprecedented, large-scale shipment of risky high-level radioactive waste by truck, train, and barge, through most states. And I urge that all in-person public meetings also include the call-in option, already being provided at other locations, on other dates.

Sincerely,  
Teresa McFarland