

| Facility: Dresden | | Date of Examination: | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|----|------------------|
| Item | Task Description | Initials | | |
| | | a | b* | c# |
| 1. W R I T T E N | a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401. | CK | EB | dm |
| | b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled. | CK | EB | dm |
| | c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics. | CK | EB | dm |
| | d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate. | CK | EB | dm |
| 2. S I M U L A T O R | a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients. | CK | EB | dm |
| | b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days. | CK | EB | dm |
| | c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D. | CK | EB | dm |
| 3. W / T | a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form | CK | EB | dm |
| | b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations | CK | EB | dm |
| | c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days. | CK | EB | dm |
| 4. G E N E R A L | a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section. | CK | EB | dm |
| | b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate. | CK | EB | dm |
| | c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5. | CK | EB | dm |
| | d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections. | CK | EB | dm |
| | e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage. | CK | EB | dm |
| | f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO). | CK | EB | dm |
| a. Author | <u>Chuck Kalaska</u> <i>CK Kalaska</i> | Printed Name / Signature | | Date |
| b. Facility Reviewer (*) | <u>POWELL BURNS</u> <i>Ed B Burns</i> | | | <u>11-18-14</u> |
| c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) | <u>RANDOL BAKER</u> <i>Randol Baker (SIC)</i> | | | <u>11-25-14</u> |
| d. NRC Supervisor | <u>Hironori Peterson</u> <i>Hironori Peterson</i> | | | <u>12-2-2014</u> |
| NOTE: # Independent NRC Reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines. | | | | |