Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Crow Butte Resources, Inc.

In-Situ Leach Facility, Crawford, Nebraska

Docket Number: 40-8943-OLA

ASLBP Number: 08-867-02-OLA-BD01

Location: (teleconference)

Date: Thursday, October 8, 2015

Work Order No.: NRC-1943 Pages 2376-2403

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	2376
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL
5	+ + + +
6	PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE
7	x
8	In the Matter of: : Docket No.
9	CROW BUTTE RESOURCES, : 40-8943-OLA
10	INC. : ASLBP No.
11	(License Renewal for : 08-867-02-OLA-BD01
12	the In-Situ Leach :
13	Facility, Crawford, :
14	Nebraska) :
15	x
16	Thursday, October 8, 2015
17	
18	Via teleconference
19	
20	BEFORE:
21	MICHAEL M. GIBSON, Chair
22	DR. RICHARD E. WARDWELL, Administrative Judge
23	BRIAN K. HAJEK, Administrative Judge
24	
25	

		2377
1	APPEA	RANCES:
2		
3		On Behalf of the Applicant, Crow Butte
4	Resou	rces, Inc.
5		Tyson Smith, Esq.
6	of:	Winston & Strawn, LLP
7		101 California Street
8		San Francisco, California 94111
9		(415) 591-6974
10		trsmith@winston.com
11		
12		On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
13		Marcia J. Simon, Esq.
14		David Cylkowski, Esq.
15		Emily L. Monteith, Esq.
16	of:	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
17		Office of the General Counsel
18		Mail Stop O-15D21
19		Washington, DC 20555-0001
20		(301) 415-1261
21		marcia.simon@nrc.gov
22		
23		
24		
25		

			2378
1		On Behalf of the Consolidated Intervenors	
2		David Cory Frankel, Esq.	
3		P.O. Box 3014	
4		Pine Ridge, South Dakota 57770	
5		(605) 515-0956	
6		arm.legal@gmail.com	
7			
8		Thomas Ballanco, Esq.	
9		945 Taraval Avenue, #186	
10		San Francisco, California 94116	
11		(650) 296-9782	
12		harmonicengineering@gmail.com	
13			
14		On Behalf of the Oglala Sioux Tribe	
15		Andrew Reid, Esq.	
16	of:	Ved Nanda Center for International	and
17		Comparative Law	
18		1075 Waite Drive	
19		Boulder, Colorado 80303	
20		(303) 437-0280	
21		lawyerreid@gmail.com	
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-1-N-G-S
2	1:00 p.m.
3	CHAIR GIBSON: We are here today on a
4	pre-trial conference for the final phase of the
5	evidentiary hearing concerning Docket No. 408943 for
6	the NRC's renewal of a source materials license for
7	Crow Butte Resources, Inc. The first phase of our
8	evidentiary hearing was held in Crawford on August 24
9	and 28.
10	The final phase will be held on October 23
11	in Rockville, with a video conference location set up
12	in Chadron, Nebraska, and with some of the parties'
13	witnesses calling in. With me on the phone today are
14	the other members of the board conducting the
15	evidentiary hearing, Judge Richard Wardwell and Judge
16	Brian Hajek. I would like to have announcements of
17	counsel, beginning first with Crow Butte.
18	MR. SMITH: Good morning, this is Tyson
19	Smith, counsel for Crow Butte Resources.
20	CHAIR GIBSON: Counsel for the NRC staff.
21	MS. SIMON: Hello, this is Marcia Simon
22	for the staff. With me are David Cylkowski and Emily
23	Monteith.
24	CHAIR GIBSON: Counsel for the Tribe?
25	MR. REID: Good morning, Andrew Reid from

1	the Ved Nanda Center for the Oglala Sioux Tribe.
2	CHAIR GIBSON: For Consolidated
3	Intervenors?
4	MR. BALLANCO: Good morning, Your Honor.
5	This is Tom Ballanco for Consolidated Intervenors. I
6	know Mr. Frankel is joining us. He may not be through
7	the call line yet.
8	MR. FRANKEL: David Frankel for
9	Consolidated Intervenors. I'm here.
10	CHAIR GIBSON: Very well. Did I miss
11	anyone? Also on the phone with us today are our two
12	law clerks, Nick Sciretta and Sachin Desai, as well as
13	two members of the Board's IT staff, Joe Deucher, who
14	assisted the Board in Crawford during our August
15	hearing, and Andy Welkie, who has helped out
16	significantly, as well, on our evidence issues.
17	We'll begin with the admission of
18	evidence. First, we consider Applicant's exhibits CBR
19	065 to CBR 081. Crow Butte also filed revised
20	exhibits CBR 63R and CBR 64R. Is there any objection
21	to the admission of these exhibits? (No audible
22	response.) Hearing none, Applicant's Exhibits CBR 065
23	to 081, and CBR 063R and CBR 064R are admitted.
24	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
25	documents were admitted into evidence.)

1	The Board strikes CBR 63 and CBR 64.
2	Next, we consider the NRC Staff's Exhibits NRC 95 to
3	NRC 111. The Staff also filed revised Exhibit NRC
4	96-R. Is there any objection to the admission of
5	these exhibits? (No audible response.) Hearing none,
6	NRC Staff's Exhibits NRC 95 to NRC 111, including NRC
7	096-R, but NRC 096, as originally filed, are admitted.
8	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
9	documents were admitted into evidence.)
10	Finally, we consider Intervenor's Exhibits
11	INT 79 to INT 83. Is there any objection to the
12	admission of these exhibits?
13	MS. SIMON: Your Honor, this is Marcia
14	Simon for the Staff. With regard to INT 082, with
15	regard to the Board's order that all testimony must be
16	confined to that the Board would not consider any
17	new document if it is merely listed as a reference but
18	must be submitted as an exhibit, there is testimony in
19	INT 082, on Pages 1 and 2, that refer to a website
20	regarding climate information. So we would move to
21	strike the testimony that relies on that information
22	from that website because that climate information was
23	not proffered as an exhibit.
24	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay. Let me just ask the
25	Intervenors, do you have any response to that?

1	MR. BALLANCO: This is Tom Ballanco for
2	Consolidated Intervenors, Your Honor. I do see what
3	Counsel's referencing here. We'd be happy to provide
4	that as an exhibit and proffer that if Counsel would
5	It's the recorded precipitation for Crawford,
6	Nebraska, during certain times in Dr. Kreamer's
7	testimony.
8	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay. Ms. Simon, is that
9	acceptable?
10	MS. SIMON: The Board was specific in the
11	September 4th order that those documents should be
12	provided within the deadlines established in the
13	order, so providing it now, there was no opportunity
14	to I'll leave that to the Board to decide.
15	CHAIR GIBSON: This is what we'll do. I
16	would like you to go ahead and how soon can you get
17	that to them, Mr. Ballanco?
18	MR. BALLANCO: I'm sure we can file them
19	no later than tomorrow, Your Honor.
20	CHAIR GIBSON: Why don't you do that? Ms.
21	Simon, then you can if you want to file a separate
22	objection your timeliness one has already been
23	noted. You can also file a separate objection to that
24	when that gets to you, but at least you'll have the
25	benefit of the document, and you can note any

1 prejudice you suffer if you wish to at that time. Fair enough? 2 3 MS. SIMON: Yes, that's fine. Thank you, 4 Your Honor. 5 CHAIR GIBSON: We will admit, at this 6 time, INT 079 to 083. Whether we need to excise part 7 of 082 we can address subsequently, once you have had 8 an opportunity to review that Ms. Simon, after you've 9 been provided with it. 10 (Whereupon, the above-referred to documents were admitted into evidence.) 11 We will issue an order memorializing these 12 13 exhibits admitted, and to the extent that you guys can 14 get this evidentiary matter resolved, or at least put 15 together in some kind of formal objection for us, we'd 16 appreciate it, so we can get this resolved quickly. 17 With the evidentiary issues out of the way, with that one exception, our next order of 18 19 business is to discuss a few of the technical challenges that we're going to face as we prepare to 20 21 conduct this final day of hearing via To let you know, we have a direct video conference. 22 feed arranged between our courtroom here in Rockville, 23 24 Maryland, and a courtroom that will be set up in a

conference facility at Chadron State College

western Nebraska. In addition, those counsel and witnesses who cannot make it to either of these locations can call in. We have also been able to create a new option through Citrix GoToMeeting that will allow for a video link for those witnesses and counsel who cannot attend the hearing in either place.

For those counsel, or for a witness participating through this Citrix GoToMeeting option, it will still be necessary to call in on the bridge line, but you may participate visually in the hearing through Citrix GoToMeeting. By using Citrix GoToMeeting, they will be able to see what the Board sees, and you will be able to be seen through their computer's webcam when you're speaking, so if you're at home, you don't want to just be sitting around in your pajamas.

With respect to anyone who is neither counsel nor a witness, he or she may view the proceeding either at the NRC courtroom in Rockville or at the courtroom we will set up at Chadron State College, or if neither of those is possible, then we will also have a separate bridge line set up that they may access via telephone. Needless to say, with all of these moving parts, it is imperative that we work out in advance as many kinks as possible, especially

with the Citrix GoToMeeting option. Mr. Deucher and Mr. Welkie have run some initial tests on the systems, and they have already alerted the Board to several of the problems that we will face on October 23rd. If you are participating via video link, you will notice that there will be a slight delay in the video, so that the lips are not synced with the audio.

I apologize for that, but simply, there is a delay. We're just stuck with it. The second thing is whenever anyone speaks, it will be critical to speak directly into the microphone or the telephone, and I would ask you to be very cognizant of that when you're speaking. If you get too far away from the microphone, we simply can't hear you. If you must use a cell phone to participate, you will have to take it off the speaker mode whenever you are speaking because it will cut in and out. It was a terrible problem for us when we experimented with that earlier.

Finally, as we did in Crawford, if you speak, you need to say your name first, no matter how short the response, and you need to make sure that you do not interrupt anyone else who is speaking, or our transcript will be a total mess. We have asked Mr. Deucher and Mr. Welkie to be present at this pre-trial conference for three reasons. First of all, so that

they can give you a brief description of the IT tools available in Chadron and via Citrix GoToMeeting, secondly, to clarify anything I might have just missed, and third, to answer any questions you may have about the technical aspects of this hearing. I should add if you will not be present in either of the two courtrooms, we want you to arrange for a video and audio test of your computer and telephone system.

I promise you it's very painful. It'll just take a couple of minutes. But we need to make sure that your system is totally compatible, and if it's not, we need to work out whatever bugs there are before we get this started. One other item to prepare for the possibility that protected information might be brought up on October 23rd -- I doubt it will, but in the event it is, we might need to conduct a portion of the hearing in a closed session.

What we have done in this regard is arranged for a second telephone bridge line on the 23rd. The parties' attorneys should have already received this number in an email from the clerks earlier this week. The second bridge line is only going to be used in the unlikely event that a closed session is necessary, but we would ask that you please keep it handy, so that you can quickly access it if

needed. Any such closed session will have to be done solely over the telephone and will not use any of our video conference software. Previously, we had indicated that we would be considering web streaming from Rockville, this proceeding, if there was limited interest in using the Chadron option, but we now understand there are a number of people interested in using the Chadron site, so we will not be web streaming this proceeding.

Before we give the speaker over to Mr. Deucher and Mr. Welkie, we would like to get an initial overview from you of the location from which each witness and attorney for each party will be participating. Obviously, the sooner we know these details, the better our IT team can plan for as smooth a hearing as possible. We know that we gave you until Monday, October 12, for you to tell us this.

Actually, it's going to be Tuesday, October 13, because Monday, October 12 is a federal holiday. But still, we would like for you to let us know today because it will help them plan how we're going to proceed. Every day they have will help them. Let me start with Crow Butte. Do you know the location from which you expect to be attending this hearing and any of your witnesses, Mr. Smith?

1	MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor, we will
2	Crow Butte, its witnesses, and its counsel will all be
3	attending in Chadron.
4	CHAIR GIBSON: Very well. Staff?
5	MS. SIMON: Your Honor, Marcia Simon. The
6	staff and all its witnesses and attorneys will be
7	attending in Rockville.
8	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay, very well. Mr. Reid?
9	MR. REID: I will be in Oakland,
10	California, attending a lawyer's convention at a
11	Marriott Hotel, and I'll be appearing to the meeting
12	on my Apple laptop.
13	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay, very well. Are all
14	of the witnesses through you or through Mr. Ballanco
15	or Mr. Frankel?
16	MR. REID: They're through Consolidated
17	Intervenors.
18	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay, we'll get to that in
19	a minute then. Mr. Ballanco?
20	MR. BALLANCO: Yes, Your Honor. I'll be
21	participating through a call in and through the
22	GoToMeeting application, as will all of our witnesses.
23	CHAIR GIBSON: None of them are going to
24	be in Chadron?
25	MR. FRANKEL: David Frankel for

1	Consolidated Intervenors, Your Honor. I would like to
2	explain that a little more detail. Dr. LaGarry will
3	be participating in Chadron. Dr. Kreamer and Mr.
4	Wireman will be calling in from home, and assuming
5	that we can get them connected to the GoToMeeting
6	without any undue cost or expense or complications,
7	they'll be doing that, as well. I had a follow-up
8	question for the IT folks when you're done asking us
9	our questions. Then I will likewise be calling in.
10	If I can connect to the GoToMeeting, I will do so,
11	just as Mr. Ballanco said he would.
12	CHAIR GIBSON: If I understand correctly,
13	the people participating from Chadron will be Dr.
14	LaGarry and all of the Crow Butte witnesses and Mr.
15	Smith. From Citrix GoToMeeting, we're going to have
16	Mr. Reid, Mr. Ballanco, Mr. Frankel, Mr. Kreamer, and
17	Mr. Wireman, is that correct?
18	MR. BALLANCO: Yes, he's technically Dr.
19	Kreamer. I'm sure he worked hard for that title.
20	CHAIR GIBSON: Yes, okay.
21	MR. BALLANCO: (Simultaneous speaking)
22	that's correct.
23	CHAIR GIBSON: Fair enough. Please extend
24	my apologies for not recognizing that.
25	MR. BALLANCO: He probably would not be

1	offended (Simultaneous speaking).
2	CHAIR GIBSON: I didn't think he would.
3	He didn't strike me as the kind of guy that would, but
4	okay. That's where we are. You said you had a
5	question, Mr. Frankel.
6	MR. FRANKEL: Yes, sir, Your Honor, David
7	Frankel, Consolidated Intervenors. I went to the
8	GoToMeeting website, and I note that some of the
9	website talks about a free trial and a paid
10	subscription. There's one part on the website that
11	talks about being able to join someone else's meeting
12	using their meeting ID without subscribing or joining
13	GoToMeeting. Is that what we're doing here?
14	CHAIR GIBSON: It's not going to cost you
14 15	CHAIR GIBSON: It's not going to cost you anything, Mr. Frankel. I hope you haven't spent any
15	anything, Mr. Frankel. I hope you haven't spent any
15 16	anything, Mr. Frankel. I hope you haven't spent any money on it yet.
15 16 17	anything, Mr. Frankel. I hope you haven't spent any money on it yet. MR. FRANKEL: No, we haven't, just
15 16 17 18	anything, Mr. Frankel. I hope you haven't spent any money on it yet. MR. FRANKEL: No, we haven't, just exploring.
15 16 17 18	anything, Mr. Frankel. I hope you haven't spent any money on it yet. MR. FRANKEL: No, we haven't, just exploring. CHAIR GIBSON: That's fine. Is Mr.
15 16 17 18 19	anything, Mr. Frankel. I hope you haven't spent any money on it yet. MR. FRANKEL: No, we haven't, just exploring. CHAIR GIBSON: That's fine. Is Mr. Deucher on the phone?
15 16 17 18 19 20	anything, Mr. Frankel. I hope you haven't spent any money on it yet. MR. FRANKEL: No, we haven't, just exploring. CHAIR GIBSON: That's fine. Is Mr. Deucher on the phone? MR. DEUCHER: Yes, I am, sir.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	anything, Mr. Frankel. I hope you haven't spent any money on it yet. MR. FRANKEL: No, we haven't, just exploring. CHAIR GIBSON: That's fine. Is Mr. Deucher on the phone? MR. DEUCHER: Yes, I am, sir. CHAIR GIBSON: I'm going to let Mr.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	anything, Mr. Frankel. I hope you haven't spent any money on it yet. MR. FRANKEL: No, we haven't, just exploring. CHAIR GIBSON: That's fine. Is Mr. Deucher on the phone? MR. DEUCHER: Yes, I am, sir. CHAIR GIBSON: I'm going to let Mr. Deucher explain to you what's involved in getting

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DEUCHER: Thank you Judge Gibson. Good

morning, everyone, or I should say good afternoon.

Basically, what we're going to be doing with Citrix

GoToMeeting, it is a cloud-based product that's

available by Citrix. It's available over the web.

It's a service that the NRC has purchased. In terms

of any subscriptions or any costs on the part of any

of the participants or the witnesses, there will be no

cost.

The only thing will need to be done,

1 because it is a cloud-based service, a piece of

software, either a web plugin, if you're using a

Microsoft Windows-based PC or an Apple computer, you

would need to download a -- and you can download it

from the website. The website's www.gotomeeting.com.

We'll certainly provide instructions and assistance

with you as a part of this test.

On the website, there is a web plugin that

you would download if you're going to use either a

notebook or desktop computer. Certain tablets and

certain other handheld devices, whether they are an

Apple iOS device or a Google Android device, or also

supported. However, what we will want to do is

whatever device that you chose to use as a part of the

test, we would walk through it just to make sure that

it was compatible. What do you need in order to have an effective GoToMeeting is you need a webcam whether built into your laptop or maybe your handheld, or if it's a desktop computer or a laptop without one, you would need to have a USB or otherwise attached to that computer, a web camera. That's all that you'll need for this.

We're not going to use the audio portion that's available within GoToMeeting over the Internet because of potential quality issues. That's why we have the bridge line set up. So your audio will be coming over the telephone bridge, but the video that you'll be seeing will be over the Citrix GoToMeeting. At this point, that's pretty much what it entails. We'll have a meeting ID that we will submit via the law clerks to you for the hearing.

Then prior to the hearing starting, you'll want to go ahead and enter that in either at the GoToMeeting website, or if you're using either the Apple or the Google app that Citrix has created, you would enter in the meeting ID that we will give you and it will bring you into the meeting. Then you'll be able to see what the judges see. You'll be able to see the other participants, as well. At this point, I'll ask if there's any questions?

(No audible response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR GIBSON: Ιf there aren't questions, let me suggest that the clerks and the IT staff will be in contact with you guys. They'll be sending you out an email. You guys can arrange for us to test your system and make sure what you need, so that you can plug into this and participate. We don't want to try to get that done today. That would be a I guess there's five of you that will little crazy. be on this, so they'll be in contact with the five of you to make arrangements for this test, to make sure that you've got everything you need to participate, and it works well and is compatible with our system.

MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, David Frankel for Consolidated Intervenors with a question.

CHAIR GIBSON: Yes, sir.

MR. FRANKEL: Logistically, if it would be possible to do the test run with myself and Mr. Ballanco, or one of us, at least, prior to attempting a test run with our experts, I think that would help us coach our experts on the side, having gone through it. I'm sure it'll be easy. I've participated in video interviews and conferences over Skype. I'm sure the software will be not all that different. I'm happy to be a guinea pig. The only other logistical

1	point is that Mr. Wireman is out of the country until
2	October 19th, so we need to schedule a test for him no
3	earlier than October 20th.
4	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay, very well. I'm sure
5	they can accommodate all those requests, Mr. Frankel.
6	MR. FRANKEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	MR. SMITH: This is Tyson Smith for Crow
8	Butte. If we're going to GoToMeeting questions, I did
9	have some questions about the video feed to Chadron
10	and what that will look like.
11	CHAIR GIBSON: Very well.
12	MR. SMITH: If this is the appropriate
13	time.
14	CHAIR GIBSON: I think Mr. Deucher can
15	answer those questions, as well.
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	MR. SMITH: Okay, thank you.
18	MR. DEUCHER: Just to let you know what
19	our intention is the conference center there will have
20	a large screen, and we will connect via video
21	conference. It's technically a bridge video
22	conference between the college and the NRC via a
23	third-party bridging provider because they are two

networks, but we will have a third-party bridging

software that we've already tested and have fully operational. Similar to the GoToMeeting, you will see what is being projected from the Rockville hearing room, in terms of both documents and in terms of who will be speaking, as well as you will see -- as individuals on the GoToMeeting site are speaking, they'll be projected, as well.

In terms of any physical activity from the room, that's all going to be driven from the Rockville hearing room. So any documents that will be displayed will be displayed from Rockville. There won't be any local display or local monitors, like what we saw in our most recent evidentiary hearing. Everything will be driven from the Rockville hearing room. But you will have microphones in front of you, and you'll have basically a table in front of the video screen, with a camera on you that I will control.

MR. SMITH: There'll be, I guess, at least two tables, one for our witnesses, and one for Dr. LaGarry?

MR. DEUCHER: Correct. We were looking at having a similar setup, if you will, as what we had before, where the witnesses would be up front with counsel behind. Again, in your case, that would be a counsel table behind your witness table.

1	MR. SMITH: When people in Rockville
2	witnesses, let's say, for the NRC Staff are speaking
3	in Rockville, we will be able to see them speaking
4	from the video feed via Chadron, and when a judge is
5	speaking, we'll be able to see the judges speaking in
6	Rockville, and if I'm speaking in Chadron, everyone in
7	Rockville will be able to see me speaking, and same
8	for our witnesses?
9	MR. DEUCHER: Correct, and also our web
10	conference, as well, see you, and then hear you over
11	the phone.
12	MR. SMITH: So the Intervenors' experts,
13	we'll only be able to see them if they are able to get
14	the video piece set up for GoToMeeting?
15	MR. DEUCHER: That is correct. Otherwise,
16	you will hear them coming from Rockville.
17	CHAIR GIBSON: When you say coming from
18	Rockville, you mean coming to Chadron from where they
19	are via Rockville, correct?
20	MR. DEUCHER: That is correct. The
21	telephone conference is being established in
22	Rockville. We'll use the audio/video link that we
23	establish between Chadron and the Rockville hearing
24	room to deliver anything that would come over the
25	phone bridge line.

1 MR. SMITH: Okay, thank you. CHAIR GIBSON: 2 Does that address your 3 concerns, Mr. Smith? 4 MR. SMITH: It does. I quess I would 5 appreciate understanding or knowing whether we're 6 going to be able to observe the witnesses who are 7 participating by GoToMeeting via video. I quess my 8 concern is that we won't be able to see them or judge 9 their reaction or their participation or see whether 10 they're, for instance, relying on other materials that, perhaps, should be exhibits during the hearing. 11 I guess I would like to know that beforehand. 12 CHAIR GIBSON: It's everyone's intention 13 14 that we would be doing that. I will say when we had 15 originally envisioned this, we had talked about just doing this via telephone, in which we wouldn't have 16 17 been able to see anyone and would've had the same concerns you just raised, Mr. Smith. But we're doing 18 19 our best to try to eliminate all of those variables, so that we're just going to be able to see a direct 20 video feed from the person while they're speaking, 21 albeit there will be a little bit of a delay on the 22 Is there anything else? 23 audio. 24 MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, David Frankel. Go ahead, Ms. Simon, if you want. I just have one 25

1 unrelated to technical things I wanted to raise. MS. SIMON: Mine is unrelated 2 to 3 technical, also. If we're done with technical -- I 4 guess it's quasi-technical. I was just wondering, in 5 the Board's September 24th order, the Board mentioned that they were going to try to afford an opportunity 6 7 for parties to provide in-camera questions after the 8 main questioning is over, just as we did in the August 9 hearing. I was wondering if the Board has figured out how that would be done, if all the parties would email 10 those in or how that would work? 11 CHAIR GIBSON: I think it's going to have 12 We will provide you with an 13 to be done via email. email address to which those would go. I assume it's 14 15 probably going to be to one of the clerks' email 16 addresses, but we'll be providing you with that so 17 that you can provide those. Because those incredibly helpful to us, and I think they afford a 18 19 complete record for the proceeding. Yes, we'll be doing it that way. 20 Okay, thank you. 21 MS. SIMON: clarify, even though the Staff will be in Rockville, 22 will it be okay if the Staff just submits it by email, 23 24 as well?

CHAIR GIBSON: Yes.

1 MS. SIMON: Okay, thank you. CHAIR GIBSON: Okay, Mr. Frankel, you had 2 another question, sir? 3 4 MR. FRANKEL: Yes, it's actually a point 5 We were advised by Dr. Kreamer about, maybe -- the same day that the rebuttal statements were due, 6 7 we were advised -- after they were filed, we were advised that he discovered what I would describe as an 8 9 imitative mistake in his testimony. I want to describe the situation, and then 10 ask what you all would like to do about it, 11 You recall that the NRC Staff filed an anything. 12 Exhibit 096, and then they subsequently withdrew that 13 14 and filed Exhibit 096-R. The difference was that they 15 had omitted to color the green area, which I believe refers to Mine Unit 1 on the initial 096. 16 17 the coloring in because it referred to -- the green area was referred to in their testimony in 095. 18 19 I have to admit that in the slew of emails that went back and forth, myself and Mr. Ballanco 20 failed to forward the Exhibit 096-R to Dr. Kreamer. 21 22 when Dr. Kreamer was preparing his rebuttal testimony, he saw a reference to the green box. 23

looked through his materials and the only green box

that he saw in any of his materials related to the

24

North Trend area, and then he made some statements in his rebuttal about there being a distance of several miles between the green box area and the Brule water levels or something like that. In fact, he was referring to a different green box. He was not referring to the same green box that the NRC Staff was referring to.

If given an opportunity at the hearing, he's probably going to explain that and recant the small part of his testimony that relates to that green box and that distance of miles. We don't feel that it changes his basic opinions of what's going on and his basic testimony, but we do have this issue. It was based on the innocent mistake of our failure to forward him that 096-R in a prompt way, so I'll take responsibility for my part in that.

If the Board feels that we should file a corrected testimony, and if no one objects to that, we're happy to do that. If it seems simplest to handle this on the record at the hearing, we think that would be the easiest and simplest. But as of now, there is a little bit of a disconnect between his rebuttal testimony and what he meant and what the exhibits support.

CHAIR GIBSON: Can you hold on just a

1	second, Mr. Frankel?
2	MR. FRANKEL: Sure.
3	CHAIR GIBSON: Mr. Frankel, I think the
4	simplest thing to do would just be to let us address
5	this at the hearing. It sounds like it's much ado
6	about nothing, but that will give us a chance to look
7	at it, okay? (Simultaneous speaking) addressed at the
8	hearing.
9	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, this is Tyson
10	Smith for Crow Butte. I think I would strongly prefer
11	to see a revised exhibit with the testimony that Dr.
12	Kreamer no longer supports struck out. I think that
13	would make for a more clear record, and certainly
14	would help us understand what we need to prepare for
15	at the hearing, in terms of being able to respond to
16	Dr. Kreamer's testimony.
17	I think it would be helpful I'm looking
18	at the exhibit that Mr. Frankel is referring to, and
19	it looks like it may be just striking one complete
20	paragraph, and it's as simple as that. It seems to me
21	it would be preferable to do that in advance, so that
22	we don't have to prepare to respond to that.
23	CHAIR GIBSON: Mr. Frankel, you can do
24	that, can't you?
25	MR. FRANKEL: Of course. No problem on

1	our side, if there's no objection.
2	CHAIR GIBSON: Just go ahead and do that.
3	Ms. Simon, you're okay with that?
4	MS. SIMON: Yes, Your Honor, that's fine.
5	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay, fine. Let's just do
6	that, Mr. Frankel.
7	MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank
8	you, Mr. Frankel.
9	MR. FRANKEL: No problem.
10	CHAIR GIBSON: Is there anything else?
11	(No audible response.) Hearing nothing
12	MR. SMITH: Judge, I apologize. Just one
13	quick moment, and just for planning purposes. Because
14	I know you'll be located in Rockville, is it safe to
15	assume that the hearing will end before, let's say,
16	7:00 p.m. Rockville time, likely sooner, but just for
17	planning purposes, for our witnesses who have to
18	travel on a Friday afternoon? It would be helpful to
19	have some idea of when you anticipate finishing up.
20	CHAIR GIBSON: I think that it's fair to
21	say that we anticipate finishing up by 7:00.
22	MR. SMITH: Okay, wonderful, thank you
23	very much.
24	CHAIR GIBSON: But that's not we never
25	know

1	MR. SMITH: Understood.
2	CHAIR GIBSON: what's going to happen
3	when we start asking questions, okay, Mr. Smith?
4	MR. SMITH: Understood.
5	CHAIR GIBSON: Fair enough. Is there
6	anything else? We will look forward to seeing you all
7	on the 23rd. The clerks will be getting in contact
8	with you about doing a test with the IT staff. Thank
9	you so much. We stand adjourned.
10	(Whereupon, the above-entitled hearing was
11	concluded at 1:38 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	