

PETITIONS CLOSED DURING THIS PERIOD

	PETITIONER/EDO No.	Page
Palisades Nuclear Plant	Billie Garde OEDO-14-00565	3
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station, Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station and James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant	Alliance for a Green Economy et al. G20130211	4
Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit 2	Paul Blanch OEDO-14-0737	5
Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Station	Thomas Saporito, Saprodani Associates LTR-14-0412	6
All NRC Licensees	Thomas Saporito, Saprodani Associates LTR-15-0184-1	7

CURRENT STATUS OF OPEN PETITIONS

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3	Friends of the Earth G20120891	8*
North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2	Beyond Nuclear G20110757	9*
General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Mark I and Mark II Units	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists G20110563	10
St. Lucie Plant, Unit 2	SACE OEDO-15-00026	11
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station	Michael Mulligan OEDO-15-00251	12
James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant	Beyond Nuclear et al. G20120172	13

CURRENT STATUS OF OPEN PETITIONS UNDER CONSIDERATION		
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	Mary Lampert LTR-14-0535	14*
Columbia Generating Station	Charles Johnson OEDO-15-00369	15
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	Mary Lampert LTR-15-0319	16
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists OEDO-15-00479	17
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant	Friends of the Earth CLI-15-14	18
All nuclear power plants	Thomas Saporito, Saprohani Associates OEDO-15-00654	19

* Indicates the petition was open at the end of this quarterly reporting period but has been subsequently closed. See the applicable individual status pages for more information.

For each petition listed above, the individual status page summarizes the issues raised by the petitioner, the current status, and the next steps. When a petition is received it is reviewed against criteria to determine if it should be accepted for evaluation or rejected; a petition undergoing this review is referred to as a petition under consideration. Rejection of a petition is communicated in a closure letter. If a petition has been accepted for further evaluation it is considered an open petition until the staff formally grants or denies the requested action in a Director's Decision (DD).

Timeliness goals are established for each open petition as follows:

- Issue an acknowledgment letter within 35 days of the date of the incoming petition.
- Issue a proposed DD within 120 days of the acknowledgment letter.
- Issue a final DD within 45 days of the end of the comment period.

CLOSED PETITION

EDO # OEDO-14-00565 (Petition Age: 13 months)

Facility:	Palisades Nuclear Plant
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Billie Garde
Date of Petition:	August 11, 2014
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	Not Applicable
Final DD Issuance:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	July 29, 2015
Petition Manager:	Jennivine Rankin
Case Attorney:	David Cylkowski

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) take enforcement action regarding improving the work environment and safety culture in the licensee's security department, including outsourcing the security department and immediately changing the management.

Background:

- On August 11, 2014, the petitioner filed a petition for enforcement action.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through September 2014, see the July–September 2014 Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 2.206 status report (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML14274A475).
- On October 10, 2014, the petitioner cancelled the teleconference which had subsequently been requested in lieu of a public meeting.
- On November 21, 2014, the petitioner provided a written supplement to the original petition.
- On February 4, 2015, the Office of the Executive Director for Operations (OEDO) approved an extension until May 29, 2015, to provide sufficient time to complete process activities and issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On May 18, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the PRB's initial recommendation. The petitioner declined the offer to address the PRB a second time, but asked for an extension until June 9, 2015, to supplement the petition.
- On June 2, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until August 31, 2015, to provide sufficient time to complete process activities, and issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On June 12, 2015, the petitioner provided a written supplement to the petition.
- On June 16, 2015, the PRB met to make its final recommendation on the petition, considering the supplement.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On July 29, 2015, the NRC issued a closure letter to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15181A418) because the requests either met the criteria for rejection or did not meet the criteria for review under the 10 CFR 2.206 process. All actions on this petition are closed.

CLOSED PETITION

EDO # G20130211 (Petition Age: 30 months)

Facility:	Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station, Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station and James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Alliance for a Green Economy et al.
Date of Petition:	March 18, 2013
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	May 1, 2015
Final DD Issuance:	August 27, 2015
Last Contact with Petitioner:	August 27, 2015
Petition Manager:	Richard Guzman
Case Attorney:	Patricia Jehle

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC immediately suspend the operating licenses of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station and James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, stating that Entergy, the licensee, no longer meets the financial qualification requirements to possess the licenses and operate the plants. The petitioner also requested that the NRC begin an investigation to determine whether the operating license for Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station must also be suspended.

Background:

- On March 18, 2013, the petitioner filed a petition for enforcement action.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through September 2014, see the July–September 2014 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML14274A475).
- On October 15, 2014, the petitioner supplemented his petition.
- On March 4, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until May 1, 2015, to issue a proposed DD.
- On March 27, 2015, a proposed DD that documented the NRC's response to issues raised in the petition was issued (ADAMS Accession No. ML15040A161).

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On August 27, 2015, the NRC issued a final DD to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15162A763) that partially denied and partially granted the petition. All actions on this petition are closed.

CLOSED PETITION
EDO # OEDO-14-0737 (Petition Age: 11 months)

Facility: Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Unit 2
Licensee Type: Reactor
Petitioner(s): Paul Blanch
Date of Petition: October 15, 2014
DD To Be Issued by: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance: Not Applicable
Final DD Issuance: Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner: September 9, 2015
Petition Manager: Douglas Pickett
Case Attorney: David Cylkowski

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested enforcement action against Entergy regarding their 10 CFR 50.59 site hazards analysis associated with the proposed Spectra Energy 42-inch diameter natural gas pipeline that will traverse part of the owner controlled property at the Indian Point site. The petitioner requested violations against the licensee contending that: (1) the 10 CFR 50.59 analysis is inaccurate and incomplete, (2) the 10 CFR 50.59 analysis includes inaccurate information, and (3) the licensee's contractor was not qualified to perform the supporting analysis concerning Appendix B to Part 50. The petitioner requested that multiple demands for information be issued, and an independent analysis of the impact of the proposed natural gas pipeline be performed.

Background:

- During October 2014, the petitioner began submitting concerns regarding the pipeline to Region 1 under a separate NRC process.
- On October 15, 2014, the petitioner filed a 2.206 petition for enforcement action.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through March 2015, see the January–March 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML15097A477).
- On April 28, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the PRB's initial recommendation to reject the petition.
- On May 2, 2015, the petitioner requested a second opportunity to make a presentation before the PRB.
- On May 5, 2015, the petitioner submitted letters to Senators Schumer and Gillibrand, Congresswoman Lowey, and State Assemblywoman Galef requesting that a second PRB meeting be held near the Indian Point site.
- On June 25, 2015, the petitioner and the petition manager exchanged emails about the petitioner's Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On July 15, 2015, the petitioner addressed the PRB for the second time via teleconference.
- On September 2, 2015, the PRB met and determined the petition would be rejected.
- On September 9, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the PRB's decision (ADAMS Accession No. ML15251A023) to reject the petition for review because all the identified issues have been previously reviewed and resolved. All actions on this petition are closed. In addition, questions submitted by the petitioner will be addressed in general correspondence.

CLOSED PETITION
EDO # LTR-14-0412 (Petition Age: 15 months)

Facility:	Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Station
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Saporito, SaproDani Associates
Date of Petition:	July 18, 2014
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	July 27, 2015
Final DD Issuance:	September 23, 2015
Last Contact with Petitioner:	September 23, 2015
Petition Manager:	Audrey Klett
Case Attorney:	James Maltese

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC take enforcement action to require the licensee to complete an independent root cause assessment for the rise in ultimate heat sink temperature.

Background:

- On July 18, 2014, the petitioner filed a petition for enforcement action.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through December 2014, see the October-December 2014 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML15006A201).
- On January 30, 2015, an acknowledgement letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML14349A597) was issued, accepting the petition in part for review.
- On March 30, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still under review.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On July 27, 2015, the NRC issued a proposed DD to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15162B053).
- On September 23, 2015, the NRC issued a final DD to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15237A181) that denied the petition. All actions on this petition are closed.

CLOSED PETITION

EDO # LTR-15-0184-1 (Petition Age: 6 months)

Facility:	All NRC licensees
Licensee Type:	All
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Saporito
Date of Petition:	March 16, 2015
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	Not Applicable
Final DD Issuance:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	September 30, 2015
Petition Manager:	Perry Buckberg
Case Attorney:	Patricia Jehle

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC order licensees to assess their facilities regarding the risk of seismic events stemming from fracking.

Background:

- On March 16, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On May 7, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On May 26, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension to August 28, 2015, to issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On June 11, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that a teleconference was to be scheduled in July.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On July 30, 2015, the petitioner addressed the PRB by teleconference.
- On August 18, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until November 13, 2015.
- On August 31, 2015, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation and decided to reject the petition.
- On September 8, 2015, the project manager informed the petitioner of the PRB's initial decision to reject the petition, and offered him a second opportunity to address the PRB, which he rejected. The project manager also informed the petitioner that his concern about drones raised during the teleconference would be reviewed as a new petition.
- On September 30, 2015, the NRC issued a closure letter to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15253A863) that rejected the petition because the petitioner raised issues that have already been the subject of NRC evaluation. All actions on this petition are closed.

OPEN PETITION

EDO # G20120891 (Petition Age: 39 months)

Facility:	San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS), Units 2 and 3
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Friends of the Earth
Date of Petition:	June 18, 2012
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	February 27, 2015
Final DD Issuance:	July 28, 2015
Revised Final DD Issuance	October 2, 2015
Last Contact with Petitioner	October 2, 2015
Petition Manager:	Thomas Wengert
Case Attorney:	Christopher Hair/David Cylkowski

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC order Southern California Edison (SCE) to submit a license amendment application for the design and installation of the SONGS, Units 2 and 3, replacement steam generators. The petitioner also requested that the NRC suspend SCE's licenses until they are amended. In short, as the basis for the petition request, the petitioner stated that SCE violated 10 CFR 50.59 when it replaced its steam generators in 2010 and 2011 without first obtaining NRC approval of the design changes via a license amendment.

Background:

- On June 18, 2012, the petitioner submitted a request under 10 CFR 2.309, stating that the licensee was required to obtain a license amendment when it replaced the original steam generators at SONGS. In its order (CLI-12-20) signed on November 8, 2012, ruling on the petition, the Commission referred this claim to the staff to process.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through March 2015, see the January–March 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML15097A477).
- On April 14, 2015, the PRB met to review the petitioner's comments on the proposed DD.
- On May 7, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until July 30, 2015, to issue a final DD.
- On June 29, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the target date for a final DD is July 30, 2015.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On July 28, 2015, the NRC issued a final DD to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15183A164), which stated that the NRC would not be instituting the requested proceeding because the licensee had notified the NRC that it had permanently ceased power operation.
- Although out of the time frame of this quarterly status report, on October 2, 2015, the NRC issued a revised final DD to the petitioner that clarified portions of the final DD (ADAMS Accession No. ML15267A158).

OPEN PETITION

EDO # G20110757 (Petition Age: 47 months)

Facility:	North Anna Power Station , Units 1 and 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Beyond Nuclear
Date of Petition:	October 20, 2011
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	April 17, 2015
Final DD Issuance:	August 21, 2015
Revised Final DD Issuance	October 30, 2015
Last Contact with Petitioner:	October 30, 2015
Petition Manager:	Venkataiah Sreenivas
Case Attorney:	David Cylkowski

Issues/Actions Requested:

In the wake of the August 23, 2011, earthquake at the North Anna Power Station, which exceeded the design basis earthquake peak ground acceleration for the plant, and for reasons described in the petition, the petitioners requested suspension of the operating license and restart, contingent upon specific actions listed in the petition.

Background:

- On October 20, 2011, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through September 2014, see the July–September 2014 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML14274A475).
- On December 29, 2014, the OEDO granted an extension until April 1, 2015, to issue a proposed DD.
- On April 2, 2015, the OEDO granted an extension until August 3, 2015, to issue a final DD.
- On April 17, 2015, the NRC issued a partial proposed DD that covered requests not addressed in an earlier partial proposed DD (ADAMS Accession No. ML14311A624).

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On August 18, 2015, the OEDO granted an extension until August 24, 2015, to issue a final DD.
- On August 21, 2015, the NRC issued a final DD to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15175A465). The final DD determined that the petitioner's four remaining concerns had been adequately addressed based upon actions taken in response to the Fukushima event.
- Although out of the time frame of this quarterly status report, on October 30, 2015, the NRC issued a revised final DD to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15293A454) that clarified and updated certain portions of the final DD issued on August 21, 2015.

OPEN PETITION

EDO # G20110563 (Petition Age: 50 months)

Facility:	General Electric (GE) Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) Mark I and Mark II Units
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists
Date of Petition:	July 29, 2011
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	April 17, 2015
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	September 28, 2015
Petition Manager:	John Lamb
Case Attorney:	Christopher Hair

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC issue a demand for information to the licensees of GE BWRs with Mark I and Mark II containment designs on how the facility complies with General Design Criterion 44, "Cooling Water," of Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," to 10 CFR Part 50, and 10 CFR 50.49, "Environmental qualification of electric equipment important to safety for nuclear power plants," with respect to spent fuel pools.

Background:

- On July 29, 2011, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through December 2013, see the October–December 2013 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML14006A280).
- The NRC staff conducted an integrated review of Fukushima-related 2.206 petitions to identify opportunities for closure of identified issues, either wholly or in-part.
- On February 27, 2014, and May 7, 2014, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still under review.
- On September 4, 2014, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still under review.
- On November 18, 2014, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still under review.
- On April 16, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until October 30, 2015, to issue a final DD.
- On April 17, 2015, a proposed DD was issued that documented the NRC's response to issues raised in the petition (ADAMS Accession No. ML12215A314).

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On September 28, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was still under review.
- The next step is to develop and issue a final DD to the petitioner.

OPEN PETITION

EDO # OEDO-15-00026 (Petition Age: 10 months)

Facility:	St. Lucie Plant, Unit 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
Date of Petition:	December 19, 2014
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	September 29, 2015
Petition Manager:	Dennis Galvin
Case Attorney:	Emily Monteith

Issues/Actions Requested:

The Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE) originally requested a hearing (dated March 10, 2014) regarding St. Lucie's Unit 2 steam generators. The organization did not ask for any enforcement actions against the licensee. Rather, SACE argued that the staff's inspection and oversight of the replacement steam generators constituted a de facto license amendment.

Background:

- On December 19, 2014, the Commission denied SACE's request and referred it to the OEDO for dispositioning under the 10 CFR 2.206 process (Memorandum and Order CLI-14-11).
- On January 16, 2015, the PRB acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner a chance to address the PRB.
- On February 4, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until April 20, 2015, to provide sufficient time to complete process activities, and issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On February 24, 2015, the petitioner informed the petition manager that SACE would not be addressing the PRB.
- On March 25, 2015, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on the petition.
- On April 16, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until June 19, 2015, to issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On April 29, 2015, the PRB met again to make an initial recommendation on the petition.
- On June 3, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until September 30, 2015, to provide sufficient time to complete process activities, and issue a letter to the petitioner.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On August 4, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was still under review.
- On September 28, 2015, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter to the petitioner that partially accepted the petition for review (ADAMS Accession No. ML15205A313). Specifically, the PRB determined the concern regarding the licensee's application of the 10 CFR 50.59 process to the steam generator replacement, with respect to a change in a methodology as described in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report, met the criteria for accepting the petition.
- The next step is to develop and issue a proposed DD to the petitioner.

OPEN PETITION

EDO # OEDO-15-00251 (Petition Age: 6 months)

Facility:	Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Michael Mulligan
Date of Petition:	March 26, 2015
DD To Be Issued by:	Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	August 20, 2015
Petition Manager:	Stephen Koenick
Case Attorney:	Patricia Jehle

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested emergency inspections of reactor vessels of the Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant and Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station because of cracks that were found in the Belgium Doel 3 and Tihange 2 Nuclear Power Stations in Belgium. Furthermore, the petitioner requested that if serious flaws were discovered, that vessels in all U.S. plants be inspected within six months.

Background:

- On March 26, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On April 28, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On May 8, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until July 25, 2015, to issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On May 19, 2015, the PRB held a teleconference with the petitioner.
- On June 24, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was being accepted, and established that the petitioner did not wish to address the PRB a second time.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On August 20, 2015, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter to the petitioner that accepted his petition for review (ADAMS Accession No. ML15181A099).
- The next step is to develop and issue a proposed DD to the petitioner.

OPEN PETITION

EDO # G20120172 (Petition Age: 43 months)

Facility:	James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (FitzPatrick)
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Beyond Nuclear et al. (Joint Petitioners)
Date of Petition:	March 9, 2012
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 29, 2015
Petition Manager:	Alexander Chereskin
Case Attorney:	Patricia Jehle

Issues/Actions Requested:

The joint petitioners requested that the FitzPatrick operating license be immediately suspended because they believe the operator relies on non-conservative and wrong assumptions for the analysis of the capability of FitzPatrick's pre-existing ductwork containment vent system. The joint petitioners requested that the suspension of the operating license be in effect pending final resolution of a public challenge to the adequacy of the pre-existing vent line in light of the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear accident. The joint petitioners did not seek or request that FitzPatrick operators now install the Direct Torus Vent System since it was demonstrated to have experienced multiple failures to mitigate the severe nuclear accidents at Fukushima Dai-ichi.

Background:

- On March 9, 2012, the petitioners filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through September 2014, see the July–September 2014 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML14274A475).
- The NRC staff conducted an integrated review of Fukushima-related 2.206 petitions to identify opportunities for closure of identified issues, either wholly or in-part.
- On December 29, 2014, the petition manager informed the petitioners that the PRB was still waiting for FOIA 2013-0010 to be completed.
- On February 5, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioners that FOIA 2013-0010 was complete, and offered the petitioners a second public meeting or teleconference with the PRB.
- On April 2, 2015, the petition manager offered the petitioners a chance to address the PRB.
- On June 29, 2015, a second public meeting was held with the petitioners.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On July 15, 2015, the PRB met to make a final decision on the petition, which remained unchanged from the initial decision to partially accept the petition.
- The next step is to issue an acknowledgement letter to the petitioners.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION
EDO # LTR-14-0535 (Petition Age: 12 months)

Facility:	Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Mary Lampert
Date of Petition:	September 16, 2014
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	Not Applicable
Final DD Issuance:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	October 9, 2015
Petition Manager:	Richard Guzman
Case Attorney:	Emily Monteith

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC take enforcement action regarding the assurance of sufficient land-based security at Pilgrim.

Background:

- On September 16, 2014, the petitioner filed a petition for enforcement action.
- On November 12, 2014, the petition manager offered the petitioner a chance to address the PRB.
- On December 3, 2014, the petition manager discussed teleconference dates with the petitioner.
- On February 25, 2015, the PRB held a teleconference with the petitioner.
- On May 5, 2015, the PRB met to make an initial decision on the petition.
- On May 19, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the initial decision to reject the petition.
- On June 4, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until October 30, 2015, to provide sufficient time to complete process activities and issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On June 9, 2015, a second teleconference was held with the petitioner.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- Although out of the time frame of this quarterly status report, on October 9, 2015, the NRC issued a closure letter to the petitioner (ADAMS Accession No. ML15223A002) that rejected the petition for review. The petitioner failed to provide sufficient facts to support the idea that a safety concern existed, and the issues raised have been the subject of NRC staff review and evaluation for which resolution has been achieved.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION
EDO # OEDO-15-00369 (Petition Age: 5 months)

Facility:	Columbia Generating Station (CGS)
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Charles Johnson
Date of Petition:	May 13, 2015
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	September 8, 2015
Petition Manager:	Margaret Watford
Case Attorney:	Patricia Jehle

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC modify the CGS operating license and/or suspend the restart of the CGS until a known crack in a riser weld on the jet pumps was repaired.

Background:

- On May 13, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an immediate enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On May 22, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On May 26, 2015, the PRB met to make a decision on the request to prevent restart of the facility. The PRB decided to reject the request to immediately suspend the restart of CGS.
- On June 1, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his request for immediate action was rejected.
- On June 12, 2015, the PRB held a teleconference with the petitioner.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On August 27, 2015, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on the petition and decided to reject the petition.
- On September 8, 2015, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the decision to reject the petition and offered a second opportunity to address the PRB, which the petitioner refused.
- The next step is issue a letter to the petitioner documenting the PRB's decision.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION
EDO # LTR-15-0319 (Petition Age: 4 months)

Facility:	Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Mary Lampert
Date of Petition:	June 11, 2015
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	July 9, 2015
Petition Manager:	Richard Guzman
Case Attorney:	Emily Monteith

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC evaluate the adequacy of Pilgrim's Radiological Emergency Plan and Procedures in consultation with the local emergency management directors, department heads, and the public.

Background:

- On June 11, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On June 11, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On July 9, 2015, a teleconference was held between the petitioner and the PRB.
- On September 10, 2015, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on the petition.
- The next step is a follow-up PRB meeting to complete internal discussions concerning the initial recommendation.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION
OEDO-15-00479 (Petition Age: 3 months)

Facility:	Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists
Date of Petition:	June 24, 2015
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	August 21, 2015
Petition Manager:	Booma Venkataraman
Case Attorney:	Robert Carpenter

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioners requested that NRC take enforcement action regarding the inclusion of heavy rainfall events within the licensing basis for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station. The petitioners cite a letter dated March 12, 2015 (ADAMS Accession No. ML15075A082), from Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee), to NRC, which contained a flood re-evaluation report in response to NRC's 50.54(f) letter, dated March 12, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12073A348), to satisfy one of NRC's post-Fukushima mandates. The petitioners note that doors exist at Pilgrim to protect equipment from submergence during heavy rainfall events, but such events are not included in the current licensing basis.

Background:

- On June 24, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On July 16, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On July 23, 2015, the OEDO approved an extension until January 1, 2016, to issue a letter to the petitioner.
- On August 5, 2015, a teleconference was held with the petitioner and the PRB.
- On August 21, 2015, the project manager informed the petitioner that his petition was under review.
- On September 8, 2015, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on the petition.
- The next step is to obtain management approval of the initial recommendation.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION
CLI-15-14 (Petition Age: 4 months)

Facility:	Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Friends of the Earth
Date of Petition:	May 21, 2015
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	September 30, 2015
Petition Manager:	Siva Lingam
Case Attorney:	Shelbie Lewman

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petition was referred to the 10 CFR 2.206 process by the Commission. The petitioner claimed that the NRC was allowing the licensee to operate Diablo Canyon outside of the plant's licensing basis with respect to the analysis of new seismic data following discovery of the Shoreline Fault in 2008.

Background:

- On May 21, 2015, the Commission referred by way of SECY-15-0028, "Pacific Gas and Electric Company (Diablo Canyon Power Plant Units 1 and 2), Petition to Intervene and Request for Hearing by Friends of the Earth," a request, in part, to the 10 CFR 2.206 process.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On July 27, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition.
- On August 10, 2015, the petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On September 16, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged the petitioner's request to address the PRB by written communication instead of addressing the PRB in person.
- On September 30, 2015, the petitioner submitted a supplement to the petition.
- The next step is for the PRB to review the supplement and meet to make a recommendation on the petition.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION
OEDO-15-00654 (Petition Age: 2 months)

Facility:	All Operating Reactor Licensees
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Saporito
Date of Petition:	July 30, 2015
DD To Be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Final DD Issuance:	To Be Determined
Last Contact with Petitioner:	September 28, 2015
Petition Manager:	Merrilee Banic
Case Attorney:	Robert Carpenter

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC take enforcement action to require licensees to determine how they could prevent drone attacks. The petition also applies to facilities that may not be currently operating, but continue to store nuclear fuel at the facilities.

Background:

- On July 30, 2015, during a transcribed teleconference concerning another petition, the petitioner requested enforcement action be taken regarding drones.

Current Status/Next Steps:

- On September 8, 2015, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition.
- On September 25, 2015, the petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On September 28, 2015, the petitioner accepted an opportunity to address the PRB