

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 29, 2015 2:46 PM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30  
**Attachments:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0350.pdf

### **DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

**FRN#:** 80FR35870

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0057

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 9/11/15

**TITLE:** Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**COMMENT#:** 357

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1146

**Mail Envelope Properties** (38ac8621481442d08617aebb308623eb)

**Subject:** Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30  
**Sent Date:** 9/29/2015 2:45:42 PM  
**Received Date:** 9/29/2015 2:45:43 PM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS03.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	292	9/29/2015 2:45:43 PM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0350.pdf		67658

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 9/25/15 11:10 AM
<b>Received:</b> September 11, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8l2h-hk04
<b>Comments Due:</b> November 19, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0350

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Fred Brewer

**Address:**

416 Eldora Dr

Butte, MT, 59701

**Email:** fbrewer@brewerassoc.com

---

## General Comment

It is unbelievable that the NRC would even consider such a drastic revision of the standards that have served to protect millions of people. Like the tobacco companies trying to claim that cigarette smoke is beneficial, now a group of ideological extremists are presenting pseudoscientific nonsense to benefit utility profitability at the expense of people who will suffer injurious consequences.

Such a course of action directly contravenes the fundamental mission of the NRC, and must not happen.