

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 1:51 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0340.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 9/10/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 347

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1136

Mail Envelope Properties (ecd6eb013a414a7d927e8484f4506889)

Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Sent Date: 9/29/2015 1:51:27 PM
Received Date: 9/29/2015 1:51:28 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS03.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	297	9/29/2015 1:51:28 PM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0340.pdf		66815

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 9/25/15 10:41 AM
Received: September 10, 2015
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jz-8l21-pjos
Comments Due: November 19, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0340

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

Submitter Information

Name: Kurt Kristensen

Address:

22520 SW fairoaks Ct

Sherwood, 97140

Email: kurtk@poetspeak.com

General Comment

Hello,

The proposed changes in radiation levels that have held the US public reasonably safe for decades are not based on solid science or common sense.

They pose an unacceptable risk to current and future populations; there are no scientific or biological reasons to adopt these proposals.

Any size nuclear power generating, or radiation producing site or plant should have as its a priori design to protect the surrounding population. The economic basis is not ethical.