

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 1:46 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0339.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 9/10/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 346

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1135

Mail Envelope Properties (4b22fbe270284213bff2d5907ee93ff3)

Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Sent Date: 9/29/2015 1:46:07 PM
Received Date: 9/29/2015 1:46:08 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS03.nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 297 | 9/29/2015 1:46:08 PM |
| NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0339.pdf | | 72210 |

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

| |
|--|
| As of: 9/25/15 10:40 AM Received: September 10, 2015 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1jz-8121-k95a Comments Due: November 19, 2015 Submission Type: Web |
|--|

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0339

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

Submitter Information

Name: Nancy Currah

General Comment

There are people in this country that HAVE been educated! To entertain a proposal on a "no threshold model and standard for protection against radiation" is complete nonsense NOT backed by honest science and a corporate get out of jail card in overdrive, not to mention suicidal. NO LEVEL OF RADIATION IS GOOD FOR US, PERIOD!!!!!!

We are aware of Japan meltdown and have absolutely no sympathy for those that cut corners, ignored regulations and took bribes. The people and children of Japan will never forget. I can see where those residing here presently in the same situation would love a no threshold model on standards for protection against radiation. Regarding costs, how much does safeguarding radiation standards cost compared to Fukushima? Is it totally unthinkable that instead of adjusting safe standards, we instead adjust or rethink new projects and apply said future funds into shoring up existing projects?? What good does history do if we learn nothing and instead double down to compound problems? I believe the supposed experts shilling for this model are as ethical as those supporting genetically modified food, plants and animals. The driving force being cash, OUR CASH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Who are these projects for anyway? US? The military's new mandate to renovate our nuclear arsenal? Nuclear self promotors? These same actors and more have left supersite after supersite STILL waiting for cleanup around the country! Surely, if radiation were truly "good for us", why does the Insurance Industry refuse to insure said projects or pay for said clean up? Could these "hormesis" true believes be wrong? Deregulating costly unsafe levels of radiation and consequently the need to clean up now deemed "safe" sites would certainly be worth the "science"? Either way, reality trumps junk science and poison is poison is poison regardless of new theory, real people will die. The Commission has a duty to those that are effected by new policy as much if not more than those that promote industry. The Commission must act on the side of caution.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission's reputation and authenticity is in serious jeopardy here and will suffer devastating harm if it bows only to industry against safe science. It must reject this outrageous idea of safe radiation!

This country, regardless of the present business model, consists of PEOPLE who live and breathe, eat and sleep while PAYING AND PAYING AND PAYING our government in belief it actually protects us from such corruption! Just look to the private nuclear waste dump in Texas over the aquifer as the most recent example of such BACKDOOR deals being made in the dark. The country will pay dear for that malfeasance. Private Industry should never ever supersede public safety!

THIS WILL END UP IN COURT if the new theory is put into practice and there are scientist's that can not be purchased!

This proposal needs to be opened to the light of day and the good people of this country need to become aware of this little reported on proposal. Those that can read will demand this commission protect us from the seriously flawed deregulation regarding "safe radiation levels".

This country is presently falling all over itself demonizing IRAN and it's supposed nuclear technology and weapon making abroad while here at home our institutions entertain the idea that radiation levels are a mere formality to be tossed aside for profit and cost control. It's criminal, insane and morally repugnant. STOP IT! The lobbyists have NO RIGHT TO PLAY WITH OUR LIVES.

NO NO NO on this deregulation.