

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 29, 2015 12:19 PM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Subject:** Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30  
**Attachments:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0329.pdf

### **DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**SECY-067**

**PR#:** PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

**FRN#:** 80FR35870

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2015-0057

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 9/10/15

**TITLE:** Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**COMMENT#:** 336

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1124

**Mail Envelope Properties** (02bfb51e76814394acdb01cc031e6ebb)

**Subject:** Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30  
**Sent Date:** 9/29/2015 12:18:36 PM  
**Received Date:** 9/29/2015 12:18:37 PM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS03.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	297	9/29/2015 12:18:37 PM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0329.pdf		64047

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 9/25/15 10:14 AM
<b>Received:</b> September 10, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-811t-mcrn
<b>Comments Due:</b> November 19, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0329

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Michael Joyner

---

## General Comment

The scientific evidence is overwhelming and persuasive that any amount of ionizing radiation is harmful and that its effects are cumulative. Those who propose changing the existing standard are on the fringe of the scientific community and appear to be influenced by ideology rather than the immense body of evidence that ionizing radiation is harmful.