

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 11:57 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0324.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PRM-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 9/10/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 331

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1120

Mail Envelope Properties (45af99e0583a4ccfad312a84ab887ec0)

Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Sent Date: 9/29/2015 11:57:06 AM
Received Date: 9/29/2015 11:57:06 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS03.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	297	9/29/2015 11:57:06 AM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0324.pdf		65160

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 9/25/15 10:10 AM
Received: September 10, 2015
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jz-811r-koco
Comments Due: November 19, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0086

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0324

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-20722

Submitter Information

Name: Jamie Anonymous

General Comment

The proposal for this change is based on evidence that is flimsy at best. We should maintain the linear no-threshold model. Regulation should protect the health and safety of the public and not a nuclear company's bottom line. This sounds like regulation written by lobbyists. Regulation based on the radiation hormesis model should NOT be adopted. Thank you.