

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2015 9:29 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0292.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PR-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 9/8/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 299

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1087

Mail Envelope Properties (98929c42a67541848532269f3a867611)

Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Sent Date: 9/28/2015 9:29:28 AM
Received Date: 9/28/2015 9:29:29 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS03.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	295	9/28/2015 9:29:29 AM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0292.pdf		67893

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 9/24/15 3:57 PM
Received: September 08, 2015
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jz-810k-myb1
Comments Due: September 08, 2015
Submission Type: API

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0010

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Notice of Docketing and Request for Comment

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0292

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-15441

Submitter Information

Name: Timothy Peters

Address:

Kuttawa, KY, 42055

Email: Al

General Comment

This is the wrong thing to do. With the dying of the Pacific, and radiation numbers high along the western Pacific coast, why would you possibility want to raise the minimum?

I know why, those numbers are already probably higher than the minimums are now, so the nuclear industry wants to raise them higher to make it look like everything is fine to the people who are not paying attention to this catastrophe that is ongoing.

I have also notice you are not going to keep track of cancer numbers by nuclear plants anymore either?

We are now getting to the point that cancers from this Fukushima catastrophe are going to start cropping up, now we are getting years down the road from this.

To me you are doing the opposite of what you should be doing to help protect the people of America from this. To me this is a criminal act on your part and the nuclear industry.

So by raising these minimum numbers on radiation exposure by you and the nuclear industry is nothing but a criminal act on your part. You are not trying to protect us from this, you are trying to kill us by doing this. DON'T YOU DARE DO THIS....