

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2015 3:41 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Attachments: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0271.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECY-067

PR#: PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29, and PR-20-30

FRN#: 80FR35870

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2015-0057

SECY DOCKET DATE: 9/8/15

TITLE: Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

COMMENT#: 279

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 1067

Mail Envelope Properties (48b228c43d0c434fa3ff4a790ac2bd96)

Subject: Comment on NRC-2015-0057 - PRM-20-28, PRM-20-29 & PRM-20-30
Sent Date: 9/25/2015 3:41:09 PM
Received Date: 9/25/2015 3:41:09 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEm Resource" <Rulemaking1CEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS03.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	295	9/25/2015 3:41:09 PM
NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0271.pdf		67721

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 9/24/15 3:30 PM
Received: September 07, 2015
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jz-8kzp-20w4
Comments Due: September 08, 2015
Submission Type: API

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0010

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Notice of Docketing and Request for Comment

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0271

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-15441

Submitter Information

Name: DA Chapman

Address:

PO Box 1107

Cortez, FL, 34215

Email: Dickmohegan1@homail.com

General Comment

Respectfully raising the allowable doses of radiation will not make the problem of exposure go away nor will it render it harmless. It is like eliminating the warning lights on the dashboard of your car. It takes 100's of years if possible for an adaptive beneficial response from radiation. Hormesis is only a theory and hence an assumption. Our population may not adapt resulting in negative mutations or possible eradication of our species. We have enough information to be very aware of the damage caused by radiation.(beagles, even human studies) A better approach would be greater protective measures. These measures could include diet (radiation protective supplements, avoiding contaminated food) ,shielding from radiation sources, air and water filtration, restricting unnecessary medical procedures,and if necessary relocation of populations etc. Maybe the assumption of risk in a controlled study should be run exclusively on the proposals of these changes to the guidelines.