

EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

9/9/2015

Chief:	Steve Garchow	Facility:	AN2	Date of Written Exam:	8/24/2015
UI:	Mike Bloodgood	Start of Op Test:	8/24/2015	End of Op Test:	8/28/2015
Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility			Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
2/20/2015	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	1/27/15	MB		
3/20/2015	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	1/27/15	MB		
3/20/2015	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	1/27/15	MB		
3/20/2015	Corporate Notification Letter sent	1/29/15	MS	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
4/17/2015	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	N/A		ES-201 Att-3	
5/22/2015	Integrated exam outlines due	5/21/15	MS		
5/27/2015	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	5/27/15	MS	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
5/27/2015	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	5/27/15	MB		
6/22/2015	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	6/19/15	MB		
6/26/2015	Peer review of written exam complete	6/23/15	MB	Document review on ES-401-9	
7/4/2015	Written Exam and operating test review completed	6/26/15	MB	Document review on ES-401-10	
7/17/2015	Preliminary license applications due	7/21/15	MB	NRC Forms 398/396	
7/24/2015	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	7/22/15	MS		
7/27/2015	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	7/31/15	MB		
7/24/2015	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	6/29/15	MB		
7/24/2015	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	6/30/15	MB		
8/7/2015	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	8/13/15	MS	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
8/7/2015	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	8/14/15	MB	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
8/14/2015	Facility Licensee management queried regarding the licensee's views on the examination	8/19/15	MB		
8/14/2015	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent Final Exam due (if significant changes made from draft)	8/11/15	MB		
8/14/2015	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	9/8/15	MB	WRITTEN Exam moved to 9/9/15	
8/14/2015	Exam material to exam team	8/14/15	MB		
8/24/2015	Administer Operating Test	8/24/15	MB		
9/4/2015	Facility post-exam documentation due	9/11/15	MB		
9/4/2015	NRC written exam grading completed	9/11/15	MB	ES-403-1 to BC	
9/4/2015	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	9/4/15	MB		
9/23/2015	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	9/11/15	MB	Signed ES 303's to BC	
9/23/2015	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	9/15/15	MB		
9/25/2015	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	9/15/15	MB		
9/25/2015	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	9/15/15	MB		
9/25/2015	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	9/15/15	MB	print Report-21	
10/1/2015	Examination Report Issued	9/25/15	MS	produced by CE	
10/26/2015	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	9/30/15	MB	SUNSI checklist to LA	
10/23/2015	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	N/A			

Facility: ARKANSAS UNIT 2

Date of Examination: 8-21-15

Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	ch
1. WRITTEN	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N.	ajl	Rkm	MS
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	ajl	Rkm	MS
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	ajl	Rkm	MS
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	ajl	Rkm	MS
2. SIMULATOR	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	CE	Rkm	MS
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	CE	Rkm	MS
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	CE	Rkm	MS
3. WALK THROUGH	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	CE	Rkm	MS
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	CE	Rkm	MS
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	CE	Rkm	MS
4. GENERAL	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	ajl	Rkm	MS
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	ajl	Rkm	MS
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	ajl	Rkm	MS
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	ajl	Rkm	MS
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	ajl	Rkm	MS
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	ajl	Rkm	MS

a. Author: Zac Lacy / Clay Simabow Date: 5-19-15
 b. Facility Reviewer (*): Randal Nelson Date: 5-19-15
 c. NRC Chief Examiner (#): Michael P. [Signature] Date: 5/27/15
 d. NRC Supervisor: Vincent Gaudy / Vincent Gaudy Date: 5/27/15

Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.
 * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.

① NRC Region II supplied the written outline.

Facility: ANO Unit 2		Date of Exam: 8-21-2015		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initial				
	a	b*	c*#		
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	ajl	Rkm	ajls		
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	ajl	Rkm	ajls		
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	N/A	N/A	ajls		
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR/NRO OL program office).	ajl ①	Rkm ①	ajls		
5. Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate ___ The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or ___ the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)	ajl	Rkm	ajls		
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right	Bank	Modified	New		
	25 / 75	8 / 75	42 / 75	ajl	Rkm
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A			
	31 / 75	44 / 75	ajl	Rkm	ajls
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	ajl	Rkm	ajls		
9. Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	ajl	Rkm	ajls		
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	ajl	Rkm	ajls		
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	ajl	Rkm	ajls		
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a. Author	CLAY SIMPSON / <i>Clay Simpson</i>	8-7-15			
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	RANDAL MARTIN / <i>Rkm</i>	8-7-15			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Michael Bloodgood Jr. / <i>Steve Garchow</i>	8/17/15			
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Vincent Gaddy / <i>Vincent Gaddy</i>	4/17/15			
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials or signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initials items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.				

REV 1

① NRC PROVIDED INITIAL OUTLINE

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 2		Date of Examination: 8-24-15		Operating Test Number: 2015-1		
1. General Criteria				Initials		
				a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).			ZE	RM	#
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.			ZE	RM	#
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)			ZE	RM	#
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.			ZE	RM	#
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.			ZE	RM	#
2. Walk-Through Criteria				-	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 			ZE	RM	#
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.			ZE	RM	#
3. Simulator Criteria				-	--	--
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.				ZE	RM	#
	Printed Name / Signature			Date		
a.	Author	Zac Lacy / <i>Zac Lacy</i>		6-12-15		
b.	Facility Reviewer(**)	Randal Martin / <i>Randal Martin</i>		6-14-15		
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	M. Boulognon / <i>Steve Gardner</i>		8/17/15		
d.	NRC Supervisor	Vincent buddy / <i>Vincent buddy</i>		8/17/15		
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 2 Date of Exam: 8-24-15 Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 Operating Test No.: 2015-1					
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	CE	Rkm	8/25	
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	CE	Rkm	8/25	
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	CE	Rkm	8/25	
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	CE	Rkm	8/25	
5.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	CE	Rkm	8/25	
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	CE	Rkm	8/25	
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	CE	Rkm	8/25	
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	CE	Rkm	8/25	
9.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	CE	Rkm	8/25	
10.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	CE	Rkm	8/25	
11.	The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)	CE	Rkm	8/25	
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	CE	Rkm	8/25	
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	CE	Rkm	8/25	
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes			
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1 / 2 / 2	CE	Rkm	8/25
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4 / 4 / 3	CE	Rkm	8/25
3.	Major transients (1-2)	2 / 2 / 2	CE	Rkm	8/25
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1	CE	Rkm	8/25
5.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 / 0 / 0	CE	Rkm	8/25
6.	EOP based Critical tasks (2-3)	3 / 3 / 3	CE	Rkm	8/25
NOTE:	* The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.				

Facility: <u>ANO-2</u>		Date of Exam: <u>9-9-15</u>		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<i>CS</i>	<i>RKM</i>	<i>JMS</i>		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<i>CS</i> <i>N/A</i>	<i>RKM</i>	<i>JMS</i>		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<i>CS</i>	<i>RKM</i>	<i>JMS</i>		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<i>CS</i>	<i>RKM</i>	<i>JMS</i>		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u><i>Craig Simpson / Craig Simpson</i></u>			<u><i>9-10-15</i></u>	
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u><i>Randal Martin / RKM</i></u>			<u><i>9-10-15</i></u>	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u><i>Stephen Garchow / Jim Dan</i></u>			<u><i>9/11/15</i></u>	
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u><i>Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy</i></u>			<u><i>9/15/15</i></u>	
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Facility: AND-2 Date of Exam: 9-9-15 Exam Level: RO SRO

Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<i>CMS</i>	<i>RKM</i>	<i>JMS</i>
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<i>CMS</i> <i>N/A</i>	<i>RKM</i>	<i>JMS</i>
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<i>CMS</i>	<i>RKM</i>	<i>JMS</i>
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<i>CMS</i>	<i>RKM</i>	<i>JMS</i>

	Printed Name/Signature	Date
a. Grader	<u><i>Clay Simpson / CMS</i></u>	<u><i>9-10-15</i></u>
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u><i>Randal Martin / RKM</i></u>	<u><i>9-10-15</i></u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u><i>Stephen Garchow / JMS</i></u>	<u><i>9/10/15</i></u>
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u><i>Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy</i></u>	<u><i>9/15/15</i></u>

(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 08/24/2015 To 09/04/2015

08:32:46

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
02/01/2014	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2015301 TAC #: X02545		Prep	NFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R. CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. KIRKLAND, JOHN C.
08/24/2015	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2015301 TAC #: X02545	RO - 7 SROU - 5	Admin	NFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R. CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. KIRKLAND, JOHN C.
08/31/2015	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2015301 TAC #: X02545		Doc	NFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R. CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. KIRKLAND, JOHN C.

Page 2 of 4
 09/21/2015 08:32:46
 Report 21

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 08/24/2015 To 09/04/2015

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Date

02/2014	ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 0
02/2014					
08/2015	ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 02/2014: 0
		SROI - 2	SROU - 5	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 14

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 08/24/2015 To 09/04/2015

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Site

ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One
RO - 7 SROI - 2

SROU - 5 LSRO - 0

Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 14

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule
From 08/24/2015 To 09/04/2015

Page 4 of 4
09/21/2015 08:32:46
Report 21

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Region

Region 4	RO - 7	SROI - 2	SROU - 5	LSRO - 0	Total for Region 4: 14
----------	--------	----------	----------	----------	------------------------

ANO UNIT 2
2015 EXAM

SHEET 1

Examination Security Agreement

ES-201

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 8-24-15 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 8-24-15. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. CLAY SIMPSON	EXAM DEVELOPER	<i>Clay Simpson</i>	1-28-15	<i>Clay Simpson</i>	9-9-15	
2. PHILIP FOSTER	EXAM DEVELOPER	<i>Philip Foster</i>	1-28-15	<i>Philip Foster</i>	9-9-15	
3. CAS LACY	Exam Developer	<i>Cas Lacy</i>	2-4-15	<i>Cas Lacy</i>	9-9-15	
4. DENISE WALKER	Simulator Support	<i>Denise Walker</i>	2-16-15	<i>Denise Walker</i>	9-9-15	
5. LUCY DAVIS	Ops REP	<i>Lucy Davis</i>	3-17-15	<i>Lucy Davis</i>	11-0-15	
6. DAVID RUSSELL	Simulator Support	<i>David Russell</i>	4-7-15	<i>David Russell</i>	9-1-15	
7. TAMIE ULICH	REP	<i>Tamie Ulich</i>	4-10-15	<i>Tamie Ulich</i>	9-9-15	
8. RONALD MARK	Facility Reviewer	<i>Ronald Mark</i>	4-10-15	<i>Ronald Mark</i>	9-9-15	
9. JEFFREY D. COLE	Exam Reviewer	<i>Jeffrey D. Cole</i>	4-10-15	<i>Jeffrey D. Cole</i>	9-11-15	
10. JEFFREY D. HUNTER	CRS / Exam Validation	<i>Jeffrey D. Hunter</i>	4-10-15	<i>Jeffrey D. Hunter</i>	9-9-15	
11. SHARON HENRICH	VR RP / Exam Validator	<i>Sharon Henrich</i>	4-10-15	<i>Sharon Henrich</i>	9-9-15	
12. JEFFREY L. BOWLES	VR RP / Exam Validator	<i>Jeffrey L. Bowles</i>	4-10-15	<i>Jeffrey L. Bowles</i>	9-9-15	
13. MONTE SCHWARTZ	SM / Exam Validator	<i>Monte Schwartz</i>	4-10-15	<i>Monte Schwartz</i>	9-11-15	
14. RICHARD BURRAY	VR RP / Exam Validator	<i>Richard Burray</i>	5-26-15	<i>Richard Burray</i>	9-11-15	
15. RICHARD SESTON	Exam Validation	<i>Richard Seston</i>	6-2-15	<i>Richard Seston</i>	9-11-15	

NOTES:

ANO UNIT 2
2015 EXAM

SHEET 2

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 8-24-15 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 8-24-15. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Douglas P. Keegan	Exam Validation	<i>Douglas P. Keegan</i>	6-2-15	<i>Douglas P. Keegan</i>	9-11-15	
2. Dusty Dingfield	Exam Validation	<i>Dusty Dingfield</i>	6-2-15	<i>Dusty Dingfield</i>	9-10-15	
3. Nathan Litterst	Exam Validation	<i>Nathan Litterst</i>	6-2-15	<i>Nathan Litterst</i>	9-11-15	
4. Don Tifton, EN	OPS REVIEW	<i>Don Tifton</i>	6-15-15	<i>Don Tifton</i>	9-10-15	
5. JESSIE KANADY	EXAM Validation	<i>Jessie Kanady</i>	9-28-15	<i>Jessie Kanady</i>	9-14-15	
6. Reed Browner	Exam Validation	<i>Reed Browner</i>	7-18-15	<i>Reed Browner</i>	9-11-15	
7. Patrick Foran	Exam Validation	<i>Patrick Foran</i>	7-18-15	<i>Patrick Foran</i>	9-10-15	
8. Dustin Hill	Exam Validation	<i>Dustin Hill</i>	7-18-15	<i>Dustin Hill</i>	9-14-15	
9. David Carothers	Computer/Simulator Support	<i>David Carothers</i>	8-28-15	<i>David Carothers</i>	9-14-15	
10. LEWIS SMITH	SECURSTOR	<i>Lewis Smith</i>	8-20-15	<i>Lewis Smith</i>	9-11-15	
11. Gregory Walker	Securster	<i>Gregory Walker</i>	8-20-15	<i>Gregory Walker</i>	9-11-15	
12. CHRISTOPHER	Securster	<i>Christopher</i>	8-20-15	<i>Christopher</i>	9-11-15	
13. Daniel Reed	Securster	<i>Daniel Reed</i>	8-20-15	<i>Daniel Reed</i>	9-11-15	
14. BRIAN HURLEY	SR slot inst / simulator Booth op.	<i>Brian Hurley</i>	8-20-15	<i>Brian Hurley</i>	9-11-15	
15. FRANCIS X. MCGEE	SECURSTOR	<i>Francis X. McGee</i>	8-20-15	<i>Francis X. McGee</i>	9-11-15	

NOTES:

ANU UNIT 2
2015 EXAM

SHEET 3

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 8-24-15 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 8-24-15. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Nody Sigle	Ops Mgmt REP/SIM	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/24/2015	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/9/15	
2. Jore Foyd	SEANESTER	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/24/2015	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/9/15	
3. Brad Mouton	SEANESTER	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/24/2015	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/9/15	
4. Billy Daniel	SEANESTER	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/24/2015	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/9/15	
5. Cheryl Oleson	SEANESTER	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/24/2015	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/9/15	
6. <i>[Signature]</i>						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: