8/8/96

- NOTICE -

THE ATTACHED FILES ARE OFFICIAL RECORDS OF THE INFORMATION & RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH. THEY HAVE BEEN CHARGED TO YOU FOR A LIMITED TIME PERIOD AND MUST BE RETURNED TO THE RECORDS & ARCHIVES SERVICES SECTION, T5 C3. PLEASE DO NOT SEND DOCUMENTS CHARGED OUT THROUGH THE MAIL. REMOVAL OF ANY PAGE(S) FROM DOCUMENT FOR REPRODUCTION MUST BE REFERRED TO FILE PERSONNEL.

- NOTICE -

9608190066

1995 Annual Report
NCMPA1

North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report What is NCMPA1?

When the Arab oil embargo of the mid-1970s put pressure on electricity production and price, North Carolina's municipal power systems felt the heat. No longer sure of adequate amounts of wholesale power at any price from their investor-owned suppliers, a number of piedmont North Carolina towns and cities united to form North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1. Once formed, the agency was able to purchase a 75 percent ownership interest in Duke Power Co.'s Catawba Nuclear Station Unit 2. The ownership interest was paid for with electric revenue bonds.

Today, that investment in Catawba Unit 2 and operating agreements with Duke assures the participant

cities a safe, reliable and affordable source of electric power.

In the following pages, you will hear from the leadership of the agency and ElectriCities, the service organization that provides technical, marketing and legal assistance to the participant cities. Their letters outline the philosophy of the agency and the accomplishments of the past year. Also included is a thorough review of the agency's finances.

Your comments about this annual report are welcomed. Information on how to contact us is located on the back cover of the annual report. Thank you.

To help you better understand the terminology, words in bold face are defined in a glossary on page 28. •

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Table of Contents

A Letter from Chairman George Clay, Jr. • PAGE 2

A Letter from ElectriCities CEO Jesse Tilton • PAGE 3

1995 Operational Highlights • PAGE 4

Financial Information • PAGE 5

Board of Commissioners & Management Staff • PAGE 6

NCMPA1 Member Cities' Electric Systems • PAGE 8

Report of Independent Auditors • Page 9

Audited Financial Statements & Other Information • PAGE 10

NCMPA1 Facts & Glossary • PAGE 28

Statistical Highlights • PAGE 29

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report A Letter from Chairman George Clay, Jr.

've been thinking a lot about dogs lately. As a matter of fact, some people might say that I've gone to the dogs. One thing's for sure — my retirement in December 1995 came after a dog's age of public service.

Since then, I've had more time to dedicate to preparing and showing my beagles than I've ever had in the past, and

I've also had time to reflect on a few things. In fact, it occurs to me that there are a few parallels between my dealings with NCMPAI and the preparation of my beagles. Both have the potential to be champions, provided they continue to improve in three basic qualities: determination, proper equipment, and value.

Determination was a key word in 1995.

There was a lot of hard work put into the grassroots movement behind House Bill 556, the
enabling legislation which led to the creation of
a new board of directors. NCMPA1 participant
city officials struggled to overcome opposition from other
power suppliers in order to push this important state
legislation through. In July our determination paid off when
the bill was enacted into law.

Following that, NCMPA1 commissioners had to come to accord during the annual meeting in August, and decide whether to agree on the formation of a smaller board. In the end, we did agree. NCMPA1 commissioners acknowledged that our governing bodies must change in the face of an evolving industry when we decided to delegate most of our responsibilities to a new board. We and our sister agency, NCEMPA, needed to respond to the rapid deregulation of an entire industry with a little deregulation of our own. The consolidation of the two agencies' boards into a smaller, faster-acting board of directors has proven that, with a little patience, you can teach an old dog new tricks.

Of course it helps to have the proper equipment. The

purchase of a Doppler radar system in June has allowed our load management staff to more closely monitor the weather patterns which drive **coincident peak demand** and costs, particularly during the dog days of summer. The use of this equipment paid off in 1995, as we correctly predicted all 12 monthly peaks and reduced demand costs by \$11.2 million.

Plans were completed and approved for the installation of some other money-saving equipment this year. We have known for some time that in 1996 our sell-back arrangement with Duke would change drastically. This year, we are projected to produce more energy than our agency participants will need. Our staff proposed a plan to prevent us from losing revenue on the expected surplus. With the installation of a new telemetry system, membership in the Automated Interchange Matching System (AIMS), and a lot of dogged

determination on the part of our staff, we should be able to enhance our revenues through the sale of surplus energy to other power providers.

Although our costs might still be higher than some other providers in the state, we offer value second to none. Our participants' localized service, commitment to quality, and dedication to growth and improvement give their customers that value. The loyalty of public power employees to their hometown communities makes them their customers' best friends.

Determination, equipment, and value. Three qualities that prove that, in the new dog-eat-dog world of electric utilities, NCMPA1 is raising a pedigreed champion. •

Chairman Clay retired from public service in December, 1995. Lincolnton Mayor Jerry Campbell is now NCMPA1 Chairman.

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report A Letter from ElectriCities CEO Jesse C. Tilton, III

n a vastly changing electric industry, power providers must show their customers that they have the capability to shape the future.

North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1 played its own role in shaping the future of public power with the election of six participant city representatives to the new board of directors, which was created to lead public power into the 21st century.

Working with the board, NCMPA1 and its board of commissioners, led by Lincolnton Mayor Jerry Campbell, will do their part in leading the way for public power in the western part of the state.

In 1995, the agency began what we believe will be many positive steps toward that goal.

In looking at how that could be accomplished, NCMPA1 and ElectriCities staff found a catalyst for future growth in Catawba Nuclear Station Unit 2.

NCMPA1's 75 percent ownership in Catawba Unit 2 is an open opportunity for the participant cities to poise themselves for a competitive future.

Staff worked fervently to come to an agreement with Duke Power that allows for the sale of **surplus energy** from Catawba to companies other than Duke. That agreement also increased the amount of capacity Duke buys from the agency.

The first sale from that agreement was valued at more than \$60,000 to LG&E Power Marketing, Inc.

To make surplus power sales easier, a real-time telemetry system is being installed at Catawba and our member cities. That system tells NCMPA1 staff how much power is available to sell on a minute-by-minute basis.

Also, membership in the Automated Interchange Matching System (AIMS), which matches buyers and sellers, will help increase our surplus sales.

The sale of surplus energy will enable NCMPA1 to increase revenues, which in the long run could help keep rates down for our customers – one of the ultimate goals we have here at ElectriCities and NCMPA1.

To further provide good customer service and keep costs down, some ElectriCities members are merging services. Huntersville and Cornelius, two NCMPA1 participants near Charlotte, became the first ElectriCities members to join this recent trend in the electric industry.

The two towns' decision to merge operations and

allow ElectriCities, the organization that provides services to public power cities, to operate their systems, is the first in what we hope will be a positive future for this trend called "regionalization."

Our positive response to the U.S. Department of Energy's request to use a nuclear fuel mix at Catawba Nuclear Unit 2 could bear fruit for us in the future.

And just as we began to prepare ourselves for the future, bond rating agencies reacted cautiously to changes in the electric industry.

As a result, **investor-owned** and **public utilities**, including NCMPA1, experienced downward pressure on bond credit ratings during 1995.

Fitch Investors Service reconfirmed its 'A' rating, but lowered the credit trend from stable to declining. Standard & Poor's lowered its rating to 'A-' from 'A' while maintaining a negative outlook on the credit trend. Moody's reconfirmed an 'A' rating.

Strong performance in 1995 allowed the agency to withdraw about \$19 million less than what was budgeted from its **rate stabilization fund**. In addition, the agency took advantage of declining interest rates by refunding bonds, the effect of which was to lower its debt service costs. Those efforts are expected to achieve over \$9 million in present value savings in debt service costs.

Also, in November, the agency issued refunding bonds, Series 1995 A, which resulted in \$11.2 million in present value savings for the agency.

As 1996 continues, NCMPA1 will move forward in preparing itself for a competitive marketplace. Our goal is to provide superior service and show our customers that we can meet their needs now and in the future. •

1995 NCIAPA1 Annual Report Operational Highlights

Catawba Nuclear Station

	Part 1 4 At August William Conference of the State of the	
	Capacity Factor (%)	Availability Factor (%)
• Catawba 1	88.2	88.1
• Catawba 2	80.4	80.8
7.68878.6	7.72	and the second s

Catawba Unit 1 began a refueling outage on February 11 that ended on March 24, 1995. The 42-day outage was the shortest refueling outage ever at the station. The outage ended a record run of 393 days of continuous operation for Unit 1. This is a record for a Duke Power Westinghouse unit and was the third longest run for any unit of this design in the world.

Catawba Unit 2 began a refueling outage on October 6 that ended on November 30, 1995. The 54-day outage was the second shortest refueling outage ever at the station.

Catawba Nuclear Station celebrated a decade of operation in 1995. Catawba Unit 1 began operation in January 1985 and Catawba Unit 2 in May 1986.

Catawba Unit 1 will replace its four steam generators during a 100-day outage scheduled to begin June 13, 1996. The four replacement steam generators arrived on site during January 1996. The replacement generators are receiving detailed inspections and final preparations prior to the outage. The power agency's share of the cost of the steam generator replacement is estimated to be approximately \$64 million. Catawba Unit 2's steam generators are a different design and have given no indications that they will need to be replaced at this time.

In December 1995 the Catawba Nuclear Station received the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP) scores for the period October 3, 1993 through October 7, 1995.

Catawba, continued

Functional Area	Rating This Period	Rating Last Period
Plant Operations	2 .	2
 Maintenance 	- 12 12	2
 Engineering 	2	2
Plant Support	2	1

McGuire Nuclear Station

	Capacity Factor (%)	Availability Factor (%)
• McGuire 1	89.6	91.5
• McGuire 2	91.9	93.0

McGuire Unit 1 began a refueling outage on December 14, 1995 that ended on January 25, 1996. The 43-day outage was the shortest refueling outage ever at the McGuire Station.

Both units at the McGuire Station are scheduled to replace their steam generators in 1997.

In October 1995 the McGuire Nuclear Station received the NRC Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance scores for the period February 6, 1994 through August 12, 1995.

	- 100 per		
McGuire's SALP Scor	es:		
Functional Area	Rating This Per	iod Rating L	ast Period
• Plant Operations	2		2
 Maintenance 	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3
 Engineering 	1		2
 Plant Support 	~ 1		2
	- 5 20	198	

Rating Categories: 1 (Superior), 2 (Good), 3 (Acceptable)

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Financial Information

Investment Portfolio Statistics

2.		Income	Rate of Return
• 1995	- A	\$62,428,000	7.16%
• 1994		\$51,597,000	6.84%
Market	Value	as of 12/31*	
	, L.	Value	Average Maturity
• 1995		\$958,537,000	4.1 years
• 1994		\$889,441,000	4.1 years
with	A 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
Transac	ctions	-	
194	- 1	Number	Amount
• 1995		664	\$6,868,935,000
• 1994	¥6	685	\$6,898,459,000
* F . F		Liebar Arreita	nounts include income fro

Debt Statistics

Debt Outstanding 12/31/95		. Video	**	- 9
Balance (Thousands) • Fixed Rate Bonds	Weighted	Average	Interest C	'ost
\$2,313,582 • Tax-Exempt Commercial P	aper	6.260)%	
200,600		4.375	3%	

Debt Statistics, continued

		* 1.15 % 1		11-01-1			-
De	bt Outstand	ing 12/3	1/94	. 29¢			औ
	Balance (Thousands	s) We	ighted A	verage In	terest Cos	st
• F	ixed Rate Bo	7,000	19 1	1		5. (1)	
	\$2,365,	707	17.89	- 12	6.38%	. 4.	
. • I	ax-Exempt (Commer	cial Pape	er 🗼	. 4 ju	· • 🔆 • •	- 4
	200,0	500	**	35	4.50%		Qui o samo
	iska i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			L	S. C.		

Bond Reconciliation

• Bonds Outstanding 12/31/94	\$2,365,707,000	-G
• Issued Series 1995A	+ 79,440,000	
	2,445,147,000	
• Matured 1/1/95	- 38,575,000	
• Refunded	92,990,000	
• Bonds Outstanding 12/31/95	\$2,313,582,000	

Bonds Outstanding 12/31/95

1								
٠.	Series	1995A	Allena.	Leiller.	\$	79,440	,000	٠,٠
	Series	1993	-5	7.		591,930),000	
	Series	1992	ARIES.		1,2	216,390	,000	
•	Series	1990				115,980	,000	
	Series	1988		466	2500	155,542	2,000	
	Series	1986		# T		7,825	,000	
	Series	1985B	- na Williams	will.	iĝi.	138,020	,000	
•	Series	1985A		200		1,785	,000	
	Series	1985	DAR.	and the same		5,315	,000	
•	Series	1984				1,355	,000	9
		- commence Militar comme	- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1-	And San agreement	e se e estático e esca		en a salahan	nous common a

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Board of Commissioners and Management Staff

Commissioners1

- Raymond I. Allen City Manager Albemarle
- Keith Dobbins Council Member **Bostic**
- · Janice L. Hovis City Manager Cherryville
- James L. Dorton Concord
- · Winton R. Poole Commissioner Cornelius
- · Morris Baker Town Manager Drexel
- Franz F. Holscher Council Member Gastonia
- · A. W. Huffman, Jr. Mayor
- Granite Falls Rebecca R. Smothers
- Mayor High Point
- · Myra Skinner Huntersville
- · Bobby O. Wood Town Clerk Landis
- · L. Klynt Ripple Utilities Commission Member Lexington
- · Stephen H. Peeler Director of Public Works and Utilities Lincolnton
- Kevin C. Sanders Administrative Assistant Maiden
- Jerry E. Cox City Manager Monroe
- · Michael C. Cronk City Manager Morganton
- Radford L. Thomas City Manager Newton

- · David Parks Utility Director Pineville
- George W. Clay, Jr. Shelby
- · Arthur E. Peterson Council Member Statesville

Alternate Commissioners1,2

- Tidus Stanback Albemarle
- Jack F. Neel Council Member Albemarle
- · David J. Penson, Sr. Council Member Bostic
- · S. Kyle Beam Cherryville
- Robert L. Race Town Manager Cornelius
- · Thurmond Ross, Jr. Commissioner Cornelius
- · Benny J. Orders Alderman Drexel
- Dale Becker Electric Director Gastonia
- Danny Crew City Manager Gastonia
- · Linda K. Story Town Manager Granite Falls
- Barry Hayes Council Member Granite Falls
- · H. Lewis Price City Manager High Point
- Lloyd D. Shank, Jr. Director of Electric Utilities High Point
- Kimberley L. Phillips Commissioner Huntersville

- **Ed Humphries** Town Manager Huntersville
- A. B. Patterson, Jr. Public Works Director Landis
- C. Phillip Head, Sr. Council Member Lexington
- R. Duke Whisenant City Manager Lexington
- Jerry L. Campbell Mayor Lincolnton
- Tracv Heffner Council Member Maiden
- Donald D. Mitchell Director of Electric Utilities Monroe
- · Lewis R. Fisher Mayor Monroe
- John N. Parker Director of Electric Services Morganton
- Barry B. Edwards City Engineer Newton
- Mary Ann Creech Town Administrator Pineville
- Smith D. Lingerfelt Electric Superintendent Shelby
- · George F. Martin Council Member Shelby
- Herbert Lawton Council Member Statesville
- Larry M. Cranford Electric Utility Director Statesville

1995 Officers

Chairman

George W. Clay, Jr. Shelby

Vice Chairman A. W. Huffman, Jr.

- Mayor, Granite Falls
- Secretary-Treasurer R. Duke Whisenant
- City Manager, Lexington

1996 Incoming Chairman Jerry L. Campbell

Lincolnton

At-Large Executive Committee Members

- Morris Baker Town Manager Drexel
- Janice L. Hovis City Manager Cherryville
- Arthur E. Peterson Council Member Statesville
- Lloyd D. Shank, Jr. Director of Electric Utilities High Point

ElectriCities Board of Directors

- Carey B. Washburn Chairman Kinston
- Franz F. Holscher Vice Chairman Gastonia
- William H. Batchelor Secretary Rocky Mount
- Steven K. Blanchard PWC General Manager Fayetteville
- Cyrus L. Brooks City Councilman

High Point

- Smith D. Lingerfelt Electric Superintendent Shelby
- Jack F. Neel City Councilman Albemarle

- Samuel W. Noble, Jr. Town Manager Tarboro
- Winton R. Poole Town Commissioner Cornelius
- Stephen H. Slough Director of Electrical Systems Concord
- · William M. Sutton Town Manager Apex
- John T. Walser, Jr. City Councilman Lexington
- Claude R. Wilson Robersonville
- Edward A. Wyatt City Manager Wilson

Management Staff

- Jesse C. Tilton, III Chief Executive Officer
- Arthur L. Hubert, Jr. Transition Manager
- Steve R. Shelton Director NCMPA1 Operations
- Kenneth M. Raber Director NCEMPA Operations
- William F. Watson Director Planning
- · Jimmy M. Autry Director Marketing and Communications
- · William H. Batt Director Finance
- Alice D. Garland Director Corporate & Government Services

¹ As of December 31, 1995.

² The Alternate Commissioners' seat in Concord was vacant as of December 31, 1995.

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Board of Commissioners



George W. Clay, Jr. Chairman



A. W. Huffman, Jr. Vice Chairman .



R. Duke Whisenant Secretary–Treasurer



Jerry L. Campbell 1996 Chairman



Raymond I. Allen



Morris Baker



Dale Becker



Mary Ann Creech



Larry M. Cranford



Michael C. Cronk



Janice L. Hovis



David Parks



Stephen H. Peeler



Arthur E. Peterson



Winton R. Poole



L. Klynt Ripple



Thurmond Ross, Jr.



Kevin C. Sanders



Lloyd D. Shank, Jr.



Radford L. Thomas

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report NCMPA1 Participants Electric Systems

W-W-2						
City.	Established	Customers*	45	Revenues	Percent	Ownership
<u> </u>		A Company of the Comp	:4	- 54 	Charles V	
			1005	419 (21 024		7.6043
Albemarle	1910	10,903	1995	\$18,621,934 \$18,131,991		7.0043
		170	1994 1995	\$18,131,991		0.0869
• Bostic	1920	178	1995	\$153,471		0.0009
		2,375	1994	\$4,586,450		1.5788
• Cherryville	1906	2,373	1993	4,519,146		1.5700
	1916	1,298	1994	\$2,011,853		0.3621
Cornelius	1910	1,490	1993	\$1,694,076		0.5021
	1926	1,212	1995	\$1,179,503		0.5070
• Drexel	1920	1,212	1994	\$1,232,219		1
The state of the s	1919	24,061	1995	\$47,687,382		17.1205
Gastonia	1919	24,001	1994	\$46,987,205	1	A
C Trib	1923	1,939	1995	\$3,503,350	de la composición de La composición de la	0.9125
• Granite Falls	1923	1,939	1994	\$3,393,127		
• High Point	1893	30,856	1995	\$63,446,463		18.9600
• High Point	1095	30,030	1994	\$62,001,063	in a second	
• Huntersville	1916	1,245	1995	\$2,040,173	Jaka Tarah	0.6228
• Flumersvine	1710		1994	\$2,098,854		adam refa
• Landis	1919	2,417	1995	\$2,715,610		1.1298
Landis	1919	2,417	1994	\$2,864,800	The state of the s	
• Lexington	1904	18,265	1995	\$35,540,282		12.9345
* Lexington		world at the	1994	27.000000000000000000000000000000000000		May 17 (49)
• Lincolnton	1900	2,629	1995	\$4,559,120		1.6078
Emcomion	1,000		1994	\$4,457,845		
• Maiden	1920	978	1995	\$4,165,897		1.2891
Muiden		126601CA 126601	1994	\$4,073,135		86. L. (8)
Monroe	1900	7,891	1995	\$27,407,477		10.0377
Nom of			1994	\$26,256,803		
• Morganton	1916	7,477	1995	\$19,594,528		6.7352
o.gamen.	382		1994	\$18,237,382		
• Newton	1896	3,725	1995	\$6,285,173		2.1147
110111011			1994	\$5,992,350		
Pineville	1939	2,119	1995	\$5,748,126		0.5359
i inc viiic			1994	\$5,692,970		
• Shelby	1912	7,676	1995	\$12,552,418		5.9965
Silcity			1994	\$12,952,892		
• Statesville	1889	11,971	1995			9.8639
- Statesville	1007	: i'	1994		1 3.5	3
				476	V #300	
iena jakan	War and the same		n vojek (jako)	erong processor		J. M. Germanik (II)
	A	* As of June 3	0, 1995		付付:	75 A

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Report of Independent Auditors

Board of Commissioners North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1

We have audited the balance sheets of North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1 as of December 31, 1995, and 1994 and the related statements of revenues and expenses and changes in retained earnings, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Agency's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Number 1 at December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The Schedules of Revenues and Expenses per Bond Resolution and Other Agreements and Changes in Assets of

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to

above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial

position of North Carolina Municipal Power Agency

an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The Schedules of Revenues and Expenses per Bond Resolution and Other Agreements and Changes in Assets of Funds Invested are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements of North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audits of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Raleigh, North Carolina March 26, 1996 Ernst + Young LLP

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Balance Sheets (\$000s)

	•	December 31,
	1995	1994
Assets	.,,,,	
Electric Utility Plant (Note B):		
Electric plant in service, net of accumulated		
depreciation of \$372,622 and \$311,412	\$1,032,818	\$1,070,212
Construction work in progress	34,602	18,948
Nuclear fuel, net of accumulated amortization of \$53,256 and \$73,276	48,311	49,655
amortization of \$55,250 and \$75,270	1,115,731	1,138,815
	1,112,701	-,,-
Non-Utility Property and Equipment, net (Note B)	1,912	1,952
Special Funds Invested (Notes B, C, and E):	207.210	290,587
Bond fund	287,310 18,900	19,820
Reserve and contingency fund Special reserve fund	1,019	1,048
Special reserve fund	307,229	311,455
Trust for Decommissioning Costs (Note B)	51,276	43,144
Operating Assets:		
Funds invested (Notes B, C, and E):		
Revenue fund	429,958	423,536
Operating fund	126,973	124,758
Supplemental fund	26,271	28,067
	583,202	576,361
Participant accounts receivable	18,742	16,589
Operating accounts receivable	10,7 .2	13,342
Prepaid expenses	36,342	40,376
Treputa expenses	638,286	646,668
Deferred Costs (Note B):	33,032	34,540
Unamortized debt issuance costs	348,818	350,554
Excess costs on advance refundings of debt		- 330,531
	\$2,496,284	\$2,527,128
		

See notes to financial statements.

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Balance Sheets (\$000s)

Liabilities and Retained Earnings	1995	December 31, 1994
Long-Term Debt: Bonds, net of unamortized discount (Notes B and E)	\$2,112,217	\$2,147,596
Special Funds Liabilities: Current maturities of bonds (Note E) Accrued interest on bonds Tax-exempt commercial paper (Note F) Accrued interest on commercial paper	40,500 62,593 200,600 380 304,073	38,575 66,287 200,600 —————————————————————————————————
Liability for Decommissioning Costs (Note B)	51,276	43,144
Operating Liabilities: Accounts payable Accrued taxes	6,161 13,680 19,841	337 13,480 13,817
Deferred Revenues, net (Note D)	1,477	8,740
Commitments and Contingencies (Note G)		
Retained Earnings	7,400	7,400
	\$2,496,284	\$2,527,128

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Statements of Revenues and Expenses and Changes in Retained Earnings (\$000s)

	December 1995	31, 1994
Operating Revenues:		
Sales of electricity to participants	\$228,919	\$212,537
Sales of electricity to utilities	183,554	237,153
Other revenues (Note H)		91,005
	413,852	540,695
Operating Expenses:	0.4.77.40	70.520
Operation and maintenance	84,749	70,532
Nuclear fuel	33,739	36,893
Interconnection services:	05.070	117 000
Purchased power	85,078	117,822
Transmission and distribution	10,708	13,422
Other	158	195 131,439
	95,944	131,439
	30,153	25,054
Administrative and general	9,687	9,587
Gross receipts and excise taxes (Note B)	12,269	9,697
Property tax (Note B)	46,221	44,663
Depreciation	312,762	327,865
	312,702	_321,003
Net Operating Income	101,090	212,830
Interest Charges (Credits):		
Interest expense	139,084	137,362
Amortization of debt refunding costs	21,124	21,164
Amortization of debt discount and issuance costs	6,610	6,440
Investment income	(58,465)	_(54,838)
myosiment meome	108,353	110,128
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings	7.262	(102.702)
to Participants (Deferred Revenues) (Note D)	<u>7,263</u>	(102,702)
•	0	0
Excess of Revenues Over Expenses	0	U
- 1 1 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7,400	7,400
Retained Earnings, beginning of year		
Detained Formings and of year	\$ 7,400	\$ 7,400
Retained Earnings, end of year	<u>·</u>	

See notes to financial statements.

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Statements of Cash Flows (\$000s)

	Year Ended December 3 1995			
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:	1995	1994		
Receipts from sales of electricity	\$ 415,720	\$ 450,197		
Receipts from other revenues	1,379	91,005		
Payments of operating expenses	(200,114)	(258,965)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	216,985	282,237		
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities:				
Bonds issued	79,440			
Bonds refunded	(92,990)			
Interest paid	(140,640)	(138,035)		
Refunding Trust Fund requirement	(3,181)	, , ,		
Additions to electric utility plant and				
non-utility property and equipment	(67,339)	(24,893)		
Bonds retired	(38,575)	(27,875)		
Debt discount and issuance costs paid	(5,365)	(104)		
Net cash used for capital and related				
financing activities	(268,650)	(190,907)		
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:				
Sales and maturities of investment securities	6,786,258	6,695,263		
Purchases of investment securities	(6,785,145)	(6,836,562)		
Investment earnings receipts from non-construction funds	50,580	49,926		
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	51,693	(91,373)		
Net Increase (Decrease) in Operating Cash	28	(43)		
Operating Cash, beginning of year	16	59		
Operating Cash, end of year (Note C)	\$ 44	<u>\$ 16</u>		

See notes to financial statements.

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Statements of Cash Flows (\$000s)

	Year Ended L	December 31,
	1995	1994
Reconciliation of Net Operating Income		
To Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Net operating income	\$101,090	\$212,830
Adjustments:		
Depreciation	46,221	44,663
Amortization of nuclear fuel	33,739	36,893
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in participant accounts receivable	(2,153)	1
Decrease (increase) in operating accounts receivable	13,342	(1,899)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses	4,034	(3,634)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	20,512	(6,066)
Increase (decrease) in accrued taxes	200	(551)
Total adjustments	115,895	69,407
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$216,985	\$282,237

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Notes to Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 1995 and 1994

A. General Matters

North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1 (agency) is a joint agency organized and existing pursuant to Chapter 159B of the General Statutes of North Carolina to enable municipalities owning electric distribution systems, through the organization of the agency, to finance, construct, own, operate, and maintain electric generation and transmission facilities. The agency has twenty members, nineteen (participants) which receive power from the agency and one which receives power from Duke Power Company (Duke).

The agency has entered into several agreements with Duke which govern the purchase, ownership, construction, operation, and maintenance of the project:

The Purchase, Construction, and Ownership Agreement provides, among other things, for the agency to purchase a 75% undivided ownership interest in Unit 2 of the Catawba Nuclear Station (station) and a 37.5% undivided ownership interest in certain support facilities of the station. However, by virtue of various provisions in the Interconnection Agreement and the Operation and Fuel Agreement, the agency (1) bears the costs of acquisition, construction, operation, and maintenance of 37.5% of Unit 1 and 37.5% of Unit 2, and (2) has the same proportionate right to the output of and bears the risks associated with the lack of operation of such units.

The Interconnection Agreement provides for the interconnection between Duke's electric power system and the agency's project and for the exchange of power between Unit 1 and Unit 2 of the station and between the Catawba units and Duke's McGuire Nuclear Station. The agreement also provides for the purchase and sale of capacity and energy, and the transmission of energy to the agency's participants.

As part of the Interconnection Agreement, the agency agrees to sell back to Duke, on a take-or-pay basis, capacity from each Catawba unit in decreasing amounts. In calendar year 1995 and 1994, the agency retained approximately 57 percent and 28 percent, respectively, of the agency's share of the station's aggregate available capacity, and will retain increasing amounts hereafter through December 31, 2000. Thereafter, the agency retains 100 percent of its share and the sell-back arrangement terminates. The agency and Duke have reached agreement on a challenge issue which would increase the sell-back capacity for the years 1996 to 1999, pending approval by all regulatory authorities.

The Operation and Fuel Agreement provides for Duke to operate, maintain, and fuel the station; to make renewals, replacements, and capital additions as approved by the agency; and for the ultimate decommissioning of the station at the end of its useful life.

The agency's acquisition of its ownership interest is being financed by the issuance of electric revenue bonds pursuant to Resolution No. R-16-78, as amended, (resolution) of the Board of Commissioners of the agency. The resolution established special funds to hold proceeds from debt issuance, such proceeds to be used for costs of acquisition and construction of the project, and to establish certain reserves. The resolution also established special funds in which project revenues are deposited and from which project operating costs, debt service, and other specified payments relating to the project are made.

The agency has entered into a Project Power Sales Agreement and a Supplemental Power Sales Agreement with each participant. These agreements provide for each participant to purchase from the agency its all-requirements

CONTINUED

bulk power supply, in excess of power allotments from the Southeastern Power Administration (SEPA), which includes its total share of project output (as defined by the Project Power Sales Agreement). The agency is obligated to provide all electric power required by each participant at the respective delivery points. Each participant is obligated to pay its share of the operating and debt service costs of the project.

The agency's participants receive their total electric power, exclusive of power allotments from SEPA, from the agency. Such power is provided by project output together with supplemental purchases of power from Duke. Pursuant to two "Reliability Exchanges" contained in the Interconnection Agreement, project output is provided in essentially equal amounts from Catawba Unit 2 and three other nuclear units (Catawba Unit 1, McGuire Unit 1, and McGuire Unit 2) in operation on the Duke system, all of similar size and capacity. The reliability exchanges are intended to make more reliable the supply of capacity and energy to the agency in the amount to which the agency is entitled pursuant to its ownership interest in Catawba Unit 2, and to mitigate potential adverse economic effects on the agency and the participants from unscheduled outages of Catawba Unit 2. Correspondingly, the agency bears risks resulting from unscheduled outages of any Catawba or McGuire Unit.

ElectriCities of North Carolina, Inc. (ElectriCities), organized as a joint municipal assistance agency under the General Statutes of North Carolina, is a public body and body corporate and politic created for the purpose of providing aid and assistance to municipalities in connection with their electric systems and to joint agencies, such as the agency. The agency has entered into a management agreement with ElectriCities. Under the current management agreement, ElectriCities is required to provide all personnel and personnel services necessary for the agency to conduct its business in an economic and efficient manner.

B. Significant Accounting Policies

· Basis of Accounting

The accounts of the agency are maintained on the accrual basis, in accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and are in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

• Electric Plant in Service

All expenditures associated with the development and construction of the agency's ownership interest in the Catawba station, including interest expense net of investment income on funds not yet expended, have been recorded at original cost and are being depreciated on a straight-line basis over the average composite life of each unit's assets.

Construction Work in Progress

All expenditures related to modifications identified prior to commercial operation and to capital additions, including interest expense net of investment income on funds not yet expended, are capitalized as construction work in progress until such time as they are completed and transferred to Electric Plant in Service. Depreciation expense is recognized on these items after they are transferred.

Nuclear Fuel

All expenditures related to the purchase and construction of nuclear fuel cores, including interest expense net of investment income on funds not yet expended, are capitalized until such time as the cores are placed in the reactor. At that time, they are amortized and charged to fuel expense on the units of production method. Amounts are removed from the books upon disposal of the spent nuclear fuel. Amortization of nuclear fuel costs includes estimated disposal costs of \$6,606,000 and \$6,206,000 for the years ended December 31, 1995 and 1994, respectively.

• Non-Utility Property and Equipment

All expenditures related to purchasing and installing an in-house computer, jointly owned with North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency (NCEMPA), have been capitalized and are fully depreciated. Also included are the land and administrative office building jointly owned with NCEMPA and used by both agencies and ElectriCities. The administrative office building is being depreciated over 37 1/2 years on a straight-line basis.

Investments

Investments are carried at amortized cost. Discounts and premiums, if any, are amortized over the terms of the related investments in a manner which yields a constant rate of return. In those instances where market values are below amortized cost, no provision for loss has been provided since it is the agency's intention to hold the securities to maturity.

• Decommissioning Costs

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulations require that each licensee of a commercial nuclear power reactor furnish to the NRC certification of its financial capability to meet the costs of nuclear decommissioning at the end of the useful life of the licensee's facility. As a co-licensee of Catawba Unit 2, the agency is subject to these requirements and therefore has furnished certification of its financial capability to fund its share of the costs of decommissioning the Catawba Station.

To satisfy the NRC's financial capability regulations, the agency established an external trust fund (the "Decommissioning Trust") pursuant to a trust agreement with a bank. The agency's certification of financial capability requires that the agency make annual deposits to the Decommissioning Trust which, together with the investment earnings and amounts previously on deposit in the trust, are anticipated to result in sufficient funds being held in the Decommissioning Trust at the expiration of the current operating licenses for the Catawba Units to meet the

agency's share of the decommissioning cost figure of \$105 million per unit (1986 dollars) set forth in the NRC regulations. The Decommissioning Trust is irrevocable and funds may be withdrawn from the trust solely for the purpose of paying the agency's share of the costs of nuclear decommissioning.

Under the NRC regulations, the Decommissioning Trust is required to be segregated from agency assets and outside the agency's administrative control. The agency is deemed to have incurred and paid decommissioning costs as annual withdrawals are made from the Decommissioning Fund and deposited to the Decommissioning Trust.

Deferred Costs

Unamortized debt issuance costs, shown net of accumulated amortization, are being amortized on the interest method over the term of the related debt. Excess costs on advance refundings of debt are deferred and amortized over the term of the debt issued on refunding. Deferred revenues/net costs to be recovered from future billings to participants are not amortized but will be recovered through future rates (See Note D).

Discount on Bonds

Discount on bonds is amortized over the terms of the related bonds in a manner which yields a constant rate of interest.

Taxes

Income of the agency is excludable from federal income tax under Section 115 of the Internal Revenue Code. Chapter 159B of the General Statutes of North Carolina exempts the agency from property and franchise or other privilege taxes. In lieu of North Carolina property taxes, the agency pays an amount which would otherwise be assessed on the non-utility property and equipment of the agency. In lieu of a franchise or privilege tax, the agency pays to North Carolina an amount equal to 3.22% of

CONTINUED

the gross receipts from sales of electricity to participants. Electric utility property is located in South Carolina and subject to South Carolina property tax. An electric power excise tax equal to .05% (5/10 mill) for each kilowatt-hour of electric power sold for resale within South Carolina is also paid.

· Statements of Cash Flows

The agency has adopted cash flow reporting as required by Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 9. For purposes of the statements of cash flows, operating cash consists of unrestricted cash included in the line item on the balance sheets "operating assets: funds invested".

C. Investments

The resolution authorizes the agency to invest in 1) direct obligations of, or obligations of which the principal and interest are unconditionally guaranteed by, the United States (U.S.), 2) obligations of any agency of the U.S. or corporation wholly owned by the U.S., 3) direct and general obligations of the State of North Carolina or any political subdivision thereof whose securities are rated "A" or better, 4) repurchase agreements with the Bond Fund Trustee, Construction Fund Trustee, or any government bond dealer reporting to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York which mature within nine months from the date they were entered into and are collateralized by previously described obligations, and 5) bank time deposits evidenced by certificates of deposit and bankers' acceptances.

Bank time deposits may only be in banks with capital stock, surplus, and undivided profits of \$20,000,000 or \$50,000,000 for North Carolina banks and out-of-state banks, respectively, and the agency's investments deposited in such banks cannot exceed 50% and 25%, respectively, of such banks' capital stock, surplus, and undivided profits.

The resolution permits the agency to establish official depositories with any bank or trust company qualified under the laws of North Carolina to receive deposits of

public moneys and having capital stock, surplus, and undivided profits in excess of \$20,000,000.

All depositories must collateralize public deposits in excess of federal depository insurance coverage. The agency's depositories use Option 2, a single financial institution collateral pool. Under Option 2, a depository establishes a single escrow account on behalf of all governmental agencies. Collateral is maintained with an eligible escrow agent in the name of the State Treasurer of North Carolina based on an approved averaging method for demand deposits and the actual current balance for time deposits less the applicable federal depository insurance for each depositor. Responsibility for sufficient collateralization of these excess deposits rests with the financial institutions that have chosen Option 2. Because of the inability to measure the exact amount of collateral pledged for the agency under Option 2, the potential exists for undercollateralization. However, the State Treasurer enforces strict standards for each Option 2 depository, which minimizes any risk of under-collateralization. At December 31, 1995 and 1994, the agency had \$212,000 and \$89,000, respectively, covered by federal depository insurance.

The agency's investments are categorized in Chart A on page 19 to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the agency at year-end. All agency investments are category 1 which includes investments that are insured or registered or for which the securities are held by the agency or its agent in the agency's name.

In accordance with the provisions of the resolution, the collateral under the repurchase agreements is segregated and held by the trustee for the agency.

D. Deferred Revenues/Net Costs To Be Recovered From Future Billings To Participants

Rates for power billings to participants are designed to cover the agency's "costs" as defined by (1) the resolution, (2) the Project Power Sales Agreements, and (3) the Supplemental Power Sales Agreements. The agency's rates

CONTINUED

A. Investments (\$000s)			r oa		
74. HV 23. WEIVI 3 (\$0003)	19	95	December 31, 1994		
	Carrying Amount	Market Value	Carrying Amount	Market Value	
Repurchase agreements U.S. government securities	\$209,363	\$209,363	\$ 90,850	\$ 90,850	
U.S. government agencies	88,388 361,459	89,391 374,152	154,676 423,286	151,884 421,794	
Municipal bonds	20,992	21,298	21,249	20,025	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	<u>200,129</u>	<u>203,195</u>	<u> 187,925</u>	<u>177,799</u>	
	880,331	<u>\$897,399</u>	877,986	<u>\$862,352</u>	
Operating cash Restricted cash Accrued interest	44 72		16 12		
Total funds invested	9,984 \$890,431		9,802 \$887,816		
Consisting of: Special funds invested	\$307,229		\$311,455		
Operating assets	583,202		_576,361		
	\$890,431		\$887,816		
B. Deferred Revenues (\$000s)		7.00 P			
	(840°)				
Net Costs to be Recovered from	Year L	\$25. VIN		tion to	
	Decemb	per 31,	Decem	ber 31,	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants:	Decemb 1995	\$25. VIN			
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants. Interest expense not capitalizable	Decemb 1995 \$136,759	er 31; 1994 \$ 139,015	Decem 1995 \$1,698,691	ber 31, 1994 \$1,561,932	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants:	Decemb 1995	er 31, 1994	Decem 1995 \$1,698,691 513,969	ber 31, 1994 \$1,561,932 450,809	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants: Interest expense not capitalizable Depreciation	Decemb 1995 \$136,759	er 31; 1994 \$ 139,015	Decem 1995 \$1,698,691	ber 31, 1994 \$1,561,932	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants: Interest expense not capitalizable Depreciation Training costs	1995 \$136,759 63,160 199,919	\$ 139,015 63,103	Decem 1995 \$1,698,691 513,969 6,696	s1,561,932 450,809 6,696	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants: Interest expense not capitalizable Depreciation Training costs Bond Resolution Requirements Included in Billings to	1995 \$136,759 63,160 199,919 Participants:	\$ 139,015 63,103 202,118	Decem 1995 \$1,698,691 513,969 6,696 2,219,356	\$1,561,932 450,809 6,696 2,019,437	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants: Interest expense not capitalizable Depreciation Training costs Bond Resolution Requirements Included in Billings to Special funds deposits Debt service	1995 \$136,759 63,160 199,919	\$ 139,015 63,103 202,118	Decem 1995 \$1,698.691 513.969 6,696 2,219,356	\$1,561,932 450,809 6,696 2,019,437	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants: Interest expense not capitalizable Depreciation Training costs Bond Resolution Requirements Included in Billings to Special funds deposits Debt service Investment income not available for	December 1995 \$136,759 63,160 \$199,919 Participants: 5,983 170,371	\$ 139,015 63,103 202,118	Decem 1995 \$1,698,691 513,969 6,696 2,219,356 428,856 1,699,643	\$1,561,932 450,809 6,696 2,019,437 422,873 1,529,272	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants: Interest expense not capitalizable Depreciation Training costs Bond Resolution Requirements Included in Billings to Special funds deposits Debt service Investment income not available for operating purposes	\$136,759 63,160 \$199,919 Participants: 5,983 170,371 29,668	\$ 139,015 63,103 202,118 123,901 171,503 22,552	Decem 1995 \$1,698,691 513,969 6,696 2,219,356 428,856 1,699,643 218,292	\$1,561,932 450,809 6,696 2,019,437 422,873 1,529,272 188,624	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants: Interest expense not capitalizable Depreciation Training costs Bond Resolution Requirements Included in Billings to Special funds deposits Debt service Investment income not available for operating purposes Special funds excess valuations	December 1995 \$136,759 63,160 \$199,919 Participants: 5,983 170,371	\$ 139,015 63,103 202,118	Decem 1995 \$1,698,691 513,969 6,696 2,219,356 428,856 1,699,643	\$1,561,932 450,809 6,696 2,019,437 422,873 1,529,272 188,624 (112,592)	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants: Interest expense not capitalizable Depreciation Training costs Bond Resolution Requirements Included in Billings to Special funds deposits Debt service Investment income not available for operating purposes Special funds excess valuations Net costs to be recovered from future billings	\$136,759 63,160 \$199,919 Participants: 5,983 170,371 29,668 (13,366) 192,656	\$ 139,015 63,103 202,118 123,901 171,503 22,552 (13,136) 304,820	Decem 1995 \$1,698,691 513,969 6,696 2,219,356 428,856 1,699,643 218,292 (125,958) 2,220,833	\$1,561,932 450,809 6,696 2,019,437 422,873 1,529,272 188,624 (112,592) 2,028,177	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants: Interest expense not capitalizable Depreciation Training costs Bond Resolution Requirements Included in Billings to Special funds deposits Debt service Investment income not available for operating purposes Special funds excess valuations	\$136,759 63,160 \$199,919 \$Participants: 5,983 170,371 \$29,668 (13,366)	\$ 139,015 63,103 202,118 123,901 171,503 22,552 (13,136)	Decem 1995 \$1,698,691 513,969 6,696 2,219,356 428,856 1,699,643 218,292 (125,958)	\$1,561,932 450,809 6,696 2,019,437 422,873 1,529,272 188,624 (112,592)	
Net Costs to be Recovered from Future Billings to Participants GAAP Items Not Included in Billings to Participants: Interest expense not capitalizable Depreciation Training costs Bond Resolution Requirements Included in Billings to Special funds deposits Debt service Investment income not available for operating purposes Special funds excess valuations Net costs to be recovered from future billings	\$136,759 63,160 \$199,919 Participants: 5,983 170,371 29,668 (13,366) 192,656	\$ 139,015 63,103 202,118 123,901 171,503 22,552 (13,136) 304,820	Decem 1995 \$1,698,691 513,969 6,696 2,219,356 428,856 1,699,643 218,292 (125,958) 2,220,833	\$1,561,932 450,809 6,696 2,019,437 422,873 1,529,272 188,624 (112,592) 2,028,177	

are structured to systematically provide for the debt requirements, operating funds, and reserves as specified by the resolution and power sales agreements. Recognition of "expenses" (defined according to GAAP) which are not included as "costs," is deferred to such period as it is intended that such "expenses" be covered by rates. Recognition of those "revenues," which under the resolution and the power sales agreements are collected to cover "costs" that are not "expenses," is deferred to such period as it is intended that such "revenues" cover "expenses."

All rates must be approved by the Board of Commissioners. Rates are designed on an annual basis and are reviewed quarterly. If they are determined to be inadequate, rates may be revised.

Deferred revenues/net costs to be recovered from future billings to participants are detailed in Chart B on the preceeding page.

E. Bonds

The agency has been authorized to issue Catawba Electric Revenue Bonds (bonds) in accordance with the terms, conditions, and limitations of the resolution. The total to be issued is to be sufficient to pay the costs of acquisition and construction of the project, as defined, and/or for other purposes set forth in the resolution. Future refundings may result in the issuance of additional bonds.

As of December 31, 1994, the agency had outstanding \$2,365,707,000 of bonds. On January 1, 1995, the agency made principal payments of \$38,575,000 for maturing bonds. In November 1995, an additional \$79,440,000 of bonds were issued (Series 1995A). Proceeds of this issue were used to establish a trust for advance refunding portions of Series 1985, 1985A, and 1986 Bonds totaling \$92,990,000, bringing the total outstanding bonds at December 31, 1995 to \$2,313,582,000 as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	December 31,		
	1995	1994	
• Series 1984 9.75% maturing in 1996	<u>\$_1,355</u>	\$ 5,695	
• Series 1985 8.5% maturing in 1996	5,315	10,240	
7% maturing in 2020 with annual sinking fund requirements beginning in 2019	5,315	<u>46,720</u> <u>56,960</u>	
 Series 1985A 8.6% to 8.8% maturing annually from 1996 to 1997 	1,785	3,585 26,105	
7% maturing in 2020	1,785	29,690	
• Series 1985B 8.3% to 8.4% maturing annually from 1996 to 1997	12,405	16,720	
6% maturing in 2020 with annual sinking fund requirements beginning in 2018	125,615 138,020	125,615 142,335	

	December 31		
	1995	1994	
• Series 1986			
7% to 7.2% maturing annually from 1996 to 1997	\$ 7,825	\$ 15,695	
7% maturing in 2018 with annual sinking fund			
requirements beginning in 2007		14,820	
6 1 4000	7,825	30,515	
• Series 1988			
Zero coupon priced to yield 7.3% to 7.6%			
maturing annually from 2000 to 2003	11,052	11,052	
7.75% maturing in 2010 with annual sinking fund			
requirements beginning in 2009	8,310	8,310	
7.625% maturing in 2014 with annual sinking fund			
requirements beginning 2011	16,180	16,180	
6% maturing in 2015 with annual sinking fund			
requirements beginning in 2014	35,000	35,000	
7% maturing in 2016 with annual sinking fund			
requirements beginning in 2015 7.5% maturing in 2017	60,000	60,000	
7.5% maturing in 2017	25,000	25,000	
• Series 1990	155,542	155,542	
Zero coupon priced to yield 6.75% maturing in 2004			
6.2% to 6.9% maturing annually from 1996 to 2003	3,670	3,670	
6.5% maturing in 2010 with annual sinking fund	10,485	11,280	
requirements beginning in 2007	01.600		
7% maturing in 2019 with annual sinking fund	91,600	91,600	
requirements beginning in 2014	10.225	40.44	
2014	10,225	10,225	
• Series 1992	115,980	116,775	
4.5% to 8% maturing annually from 1996 to 2011	517.025	522.005	
Zero coupon priced to yield 6.55% to 6.7%	517,035	522,095	
maturing annually from 2008 to 2012	100,000	100.000	
5.75% maturing in 2015 with annual sinking fund	100,000	100,000	
requirements beginning in 2013	191,030	101.020	
6.25% maturing in 2017 with annual sinking fund	191,030	191,030	
requirements beginning in 2016	135,495	125 405	
6.2% maturing in 2018	83,540	135,495	
5.75% maturing in 2020 with annual sinking fund	05,540	83,540	
requirements beginning in 2019	123,990	122 000	
6% Indexed Caps Bonds maturing in 2012	_ 65,300	123,990	
	1,216,390	$\frac{65,300}{1,221,450}$	
	1,210,370	1,441,430	
21		CONTINUED	

	December 31,		
	1995	1994	
• Series 1993 3.75% to 5.5% maturing annually from 1996 to 2010	\$ 272,060	\$ 286,875	
PARS/INFLOS maturing in 2012 with annual sinking fund requirements beginning in 2011 with a linked interest rate of 5.5%	54,800	54,800	
5% maturing in 2015 with annual sinking fund requirements beginning in 2013	103,390	103,390	
5% maturing in 2018 with annual sinking fund requirements beginning in 2016	91,680	91,680	
PARS/INFLOS maturing in 2020 with annual sinking fund requirements beginning in 2018 with a linked interest rate of 5.6%	70,000 591,930	70,000 606,745	
 Series 1995A 5.1% to 5.2% maturing annually from 2007 to 2008 5.375% maturing in 2020 with annual sinking fund 	15,185	·	
requirements beginning in 2019	64,255 79,440	2.265.707	
Less: Current maturities of bonds Unamortized discount	2,313,582 40,500 160,865	2,365,707 38,575 179,536	
Onamortized discount	\$2,112,217	\$2,147,596	

The fair market value of the agency's long-term debt was estimated using a yield curve derived from December 31, 1995 and 1994 market prices for similar securities. Using these yield curves, market prices were estimated to call date, to par call date, and to maturity. The lowest of the three prices was used as the estimated market price for each individual maturity and the individual maturities were summed to arrive at a fair market value of \$2,321,914,000 and \$2,129,092,000 at December 31, 1995 and 1994, respectively.

Certain proceeds of the Series 1984, 1985A, 1985B, 1988, 1990, 1992, 1993, and 1995A bonds and the TECP were used to establish trusts for advance refunding of \$3,543,890,000 of previously issued bonds. At December 31, 1995, \$2,021,610,000 of these bonds have been

redeemed. Under these Refunding Trust Agreements, obligations of, or guaranteed by, the United States have been placed in irrevocable Refunding Trust Funds maintained by the Bond Fund Trustee. The government obligations in the respective Refunding Trust Funds along with the interest earnings on such obligations, will be sufficient to pay all interest on the refunded bonds when due and to redeem all refunded bonds at various dates prior to their original maturities, in amounts ranging from par to a maximum redemption price of 103%. The monies on deposit in each Refunding Trust Fund, including the interest earnings thereon, are pledged solely for the benefit of the holders of the refunded bonds. Since the establishment of each Refunding Trust Fund, the refunded bonds are no longer considered outstanding obligations of the agency.

In March 1994 the agency realized a \$6,568,000 gain from restructuring securities in one of the refunding trust funds. This gain is reflected in investment income for financial reporting purposes.

Interest on the bonds is payable semi-annually. The following bonds are subject to redemption prior to maturity at the option of the agency, on or after the following dates at a maximum of 103% of the respective principal amounts:

				Maria de
 Series 198 	4		January 1	, 1994
• Series 198	5		January 1	, 1995
 Series 198. 	5A, 1985B, a	and 1986	January 1	, 1996
• Series 198	8	g garaga	January 1	, 1998
• Series 199	TO MENTAL STATE OF THE STATE OF		January 1	, 2000
• Series 199			January 1	, 2003
 Series 199. 	5A		January 1	, 2006

The bonds are special obligations of the agency, payable solely from and secured solely by (1) project revenues (as defined by the resolution) after payment of project operating expenses (as defined by the resolution) and (2) other monies and securities pledged for payment thereof by the resolution.

The resolution requires the agency to deposit into special funds all proceeds of bonds issued and all project revenues (as defined by the resolution) generated as a result of the Project Power Sales Agreements and Interconnection Agreement. The purpose of the individual funds is specifically defined in the resolution.

Maturities and redemptions of outstanding bonds through 2000 and thereafter are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

1350	996 997					\$	40,500	
1,000,00	998						39,305	
• 19	in the second						47,890	
Perfettion (Marie)00 hereaft	er er					52,063 2,090,584	V.
ig Rosinson	il Creati	.01	cinii Hansu Hansu	ale de la companya de			2,313,582	
	(50 /900/8 /00)				704 T	i digamenti S		

In February 1994, the agency entered into a forward swap agreement on portions of the Series 1985A and 1986 bonds totaling \$41,935,000. The swap was reversed in July 1994 for a \$2,000,000 gain which is netted against interest expense for financial reporting purposes. In June and August 1995, the agency entered into forward swap agreements related to the potential future refunding of portions of the Series 1988 Bonds totaling \$103,590,000. At the time of the refunding, the agency anticipates issuing variable rate debt. Based upon the swap agreements, beginning on January 1, 1998 the agency will make payments calculated at a fixed rate to the counter party to the swap. In return, the counter party makes payments to the agency based on variable rate indices. The agency will continue to pay interest to the new variable rate debt holders at the variable rates provided on the debt. However, during the term of the swap agreement, the agency effectively pays a fixed rate on the debt. The agency will be exposed to variable rates if the counter party to the swap defaults or if the swap is terminated. A termination of the swap agreement may also result in the agency's making or receiving a termination payment.

As a result of the Series 1995A refunding, the agency increased excess costs on advanced refundings of debt by \$19,389,000. However, the agency will benefit from reduced debt service costs of \$38,864,000 over the life of the Series 1995A Bonds.

F. Tax-Exempt Commercial Paper

The agency has issued \$200,600,000 of TECP to accomplish the refunding of \$217,055,000 in bonds. As of December 31, 1995 the agency had \$200,600,000 of TECP outstanding with an average maturity of 84 days and an average interest cost of 4.375%. The agency maintains a direct-pay letter of credit with two banks for \$205,546,000 that is drawn upon to provide funds to pay principal of and interest on the TECP when due, for which the agency paid a fee of approximately \$567,000 in 1995. Each draw upon the letter of credit is to be reimbursed from the proceeds of TECP issued on the same day the draw is made. In the event a draw is not reimbursed, it becomes a borrowing which matures four years after the termination date of the letter of credit agreement (currently December 7, 1997). There were no borrowings under the letter of credit agreement at December 31, 1995.

G. Commitments and Contingencies

The agency has a contractual agreement with ElectriCities whereby ElectriCities provides, at cost, general management services to the agency. This agreement continues through December 31, 1998, and is automatically renewed for successive three-year periods unless terminated by one year's notice by either party prior to the end of the contract term.

For the years ended December 31, 1995 and 1994, the agency paid ElectriCities \$4,007,000 and \$2,729,000, respectively.

The Price-Anderson Act limits the public liability for a nuclear incident at a nuclear generating unit to \$8,900,000,000, which amount is to be covered by private insurance and agreements of indemnity with the Nuclear

Regulatory Commission. Such private insurance and agreements of indemnity are carried by Duke on behalf of all co-owners of the station. The terms of this coverage require the owners of all licensed facilities to provide up to \$79,300,000 per year per unit owned (adjusted annually for inflation) in the event of any nuclear incident involving any licensed facility in the nation, with an annual maximum assessment of \$10,000,000 per unit owned. If any such payments are required, the agency would be liable for 37.5% of those payments applicable to the station.

Property damage insurance coverage presently available for the station has a maximum benefit limited to \$2,750,000,000. Such available coverage has been obtained.

The steam generators at the Catawba Station have experienced stress corrosion cracking in the steam generator tubes. Duke has purchased replacement steam generators for Catawba Unit 1. Catawba Unit 2's steam generators have not shown the degree of corrosion stress cracking which has occurred in Catawba Unit 1 and the Unit 2 steam generators have not been scheduled for replacement. The Catawba Unit 1 steam generator replacement is scheduled for 1996 and is expected to take approximately four months and cost approximately \$170 million, excluding the cost of replacement power. The agency's share of the anticipated costs of replacing the steam generators at Catawba Unit 1 (excluding the cost of replacement power) is approximately \$64 million.

H. Other Revenues

Other revenues of \$1,379,000 and \$91,005,000 were received from Duke in 1995 and 1994, respectively, in settlement of arbitration issues.

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Schedules of Revenues and Expenses Per Bond Resolution and Other Agreements (\$000s)

		d December 3 Supplemental			ed December 31 Supplemental	
REVENUES:	er of or	ering were in the		6-100867-3830 s		
Sales of electricity to participants	\$146,899	\$82,020	\$228,919	\$ 83,392	\$129,145.\$	2-049k
Sales of electricity to utilities Other revenues	183,554 1,367	12	183,554 1,379	237,153 91,005		237,153 91,005
Rate stabilization fund		12	1,379	××××91;000:		911,003
withdrawal (deposit)	11,093	- \$500 - 1955 - 115	11,093	(106,789)		106,789)
Fund valuations Investment revenue	13,366		13,366	13,136	ja vija rejar	13,136
available for operations	27,115	1,682	28,797	30,572	1,714	32,286
	383,394	83,714	467,108	348,469		479,328
EXPENSES: Operation and maintenance	84,749		94 740	70.520		
Nuclear fuel	33,739	ell jer till frie el	84,749 33,739	70,532 36,893	litario de la co	70,532 36,893
Interconnection services:	in de de	April 8	la de col		To the state	30,025
Purchased power	18,433	66,645	85,078	7,788	110,034	117,822
Transmission and distribution		10,708	10,708		13,422	13,422
Other	18,433	<u>158</u> 158 -77,511	158 95,944	7,788	195 123,651	195
Administrative and general-Duke		age destina		a vidilio a silika sil	123,031	131,439
Administrative and general-agency	24,062 2,598	2,700	24,062 5,298	20,102 1,926	1,717	20,102 3,643
Miscellaneous agency expense	er igilgi salak	793	793	r Pijster Alligerst	1,308	1,308
Gross receipts and excise taxes Property tax	7,076	2,611	9,687	5,496	4,091	9,587
Debt service	12,269 179,207	99	12,269 179,306	9,697 176,198	02	9,697 176,290
Special funds deposits:			1,7,500	17.0,120)L	170,290
Decommissioning fund	4,185		4,185	2,725		2,725
Reserve and contingency fund	<u>17,076</u>		17,076	17,112		17,112
	21,261	02.714	21,261	19,837		19,837
Everes of Programs One Section	383,394	83,714	467,108	348,469		179,328
Excess of Revenues Over Expenses	\$ 0	\$ 0	<u>\$ 0</u>	$\underline{\$_{\text{total}}}_{\text{total}}\underline{0}_{\text{total}}$	<u>\$</u>	<u> </u>
and the same and the same and the	- 16 A	4. 4. 4		4 4 3		

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Schedules of Changes in Assets of Funds Invested (\$000s)

America (Auto) Alemana		ili e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Funds	* *	4 4	Alfrica Salve	* *	. Sp Sh.			9 🏘 🥞	r- by
Anna Anna Carlotte			Invested	ak rake	Power	* *	100	Spendy		**************************************	v 🏇 🦂	ğ Ağ
Management			Jan. 1,	泰	Billing	1997	vestment	* *	· All · All s		e in i	\$ ×
annual delibration of the last			1994	* *	Receipts	4. 4 .	Income	Di:	sbursments	nij jir nije	Transfers	h A
-	Bond fund:	\$ \$ *	de de	i de	ý, d	* *	**	\$ A	de de	\$		k d
	Interest account		\$ 67,524				\$ 1,331	* *	(133,409)		\$131,559	age viria
	Reserve account	notion select all of	184,262	die die	- So - So -	\$ \$	13,736 871	Še Še	(27,875)	aki sik	(13,446 37,881	1 2
	Principal account		28,153 279,939	- .aa.		dia sila	15,938	\$ 66.	$\frac{(27,875)}{(161,284)}$	255 A	155,994	
			2110,000							al A	. 35 8	line d
	Reserve & contingenc	y fund	18,427	agree anger			1,831		(8,404)	24 24 24	7,966	È
			◆ ◆	學等		事 豪	20			1000	(67	2) Bure 140
	Special reserve fund		1,077	ti filozofia	* *	\$ 4°	38	* *		-(h -(h)	. 1. (0./	%. <u>.</u> ₹
	Revenue fund:	使一种一种				\$ \$'						
	Revenue account		3,567	本 家	70,562	os 🕸	392	**		4000	(282,491	
	Rate stabilization	account	318,286		70.562	+ +	22,552	- \$2 · - 4 <u>9</u>	(9,525) 197,511		93,157 (189,334	500
			321,853	* *	70,562	\$ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	22,544		177,511		102,55	\$
	Operating fund:	\$ \$ B	× 1	% · ∕ \$ ·	* *	* *	486	* *	4 48	* 4		
	Working capital	account	29,307	* *	*	* *	5,791	* *	(136,359)	A60 A	132,711 24,555	b :
	Fuel account	. 1862. – alban – då	98,060	alika dila		die de	5,791		(136,359)	-486 r 18	157,266	-
		Alle Win day	90,000	and and a			3 ,,,,,,		dis. Alis.	udba od	a de s	Ša. r
	Supplemental fund:		25,017		141,022	. distance addition	1,675		(7,822)		(131,825	<u>)</u>
			\$744,373		\$211,584		\$48,217		S(116,358)		\$ ° C) ³
			entifica entifica	de de	50000		***					
	1 th do the the	willie Aller So	die die	ones salfa	alawat	Alex San	The sales	other with	and the second	. as∑igt i weet	Brander A	Son:

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Schedules of Changes in Assets of Funds Invested (\$000s)

		and the second s	and the second s		and the second s	
Funds Funds	Bond/	Power				Funds Invested
Dec. 31,	Note	Billing	Investment			Dec. 31,
1994	Proceeds	Receipts	Income	Disbursements	Transfers	1995
i di di di di		. 22 an an			and the second s	
\$ 67,005 184,552	\$ (2,397) (1,644)	\$	\$ 1,790 13,381	\$(131,448)	\$ 127,935	\$ 62,885
39,030	(1,044)		15,381	(38,575)	(12,888) 39,418	183,401 41,024
290,587	(4,041)		16,322	(170,023)	154,465	287,310
19,820	(164)		2,438	(6,135)	2,941	18,900
1,048			56	rojaje v držive v rožive v dije.	(85)	1,010
			o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o		(82)	1,019
(934)	1,437	154,013	1.240	01.100		
424,470	(17,684)	194,013	1,349 _29,669	81,128 (14,785)	(218,225) (10,480)	18,768 411,190
423,536	(16,247)	154,013	31,018	66,343	(228,705)	429,958
31,450			7,008	(139,105)	129,386	28,739
93,308	re <u>rifer attice at the exter</u>		7,008	(139,105)	4,926	98,234
		(\$1. \$1. \$4.	***/, UU G	(139,103)	134,312	126,973
28,067	9(20,452)	72,623	1,626	(13,117)	(62,928)	26,271
\$887,816	\$(20,452)	\$226,636	\$58,468	\$(262,037)	\$	\$890,431
	r i de gran de la companya de la com	- Egy - Hillis - Egy Albert	and the second second	o postale se estados	and the second s	
gram on the first of the first		All for Allino religion de Allino.	- sidikir - Alija - seljakus	als open fis open	Maria Angertain	
		Aller She sales Sales			Allery British	
	As Alexander	<u>Ar Araba Ar</u>	Ale Ale Ale	de ville de la collection de la collecti	ilijae Alija Alija, alija	elle, mesendek di

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Fast Facts

- NCMPA1 is made up of 19 cities in the western part of the state which own 75 percent of Catawba Nuclear Station Unit 2
- NCMPA1's load management program reduced demand costs for participant cities by \$11.2 million in 1995
- NCMPA1 participant cities served 137,685 customers in 1995
- Megawatt hour sales for NCMPA1 in 1995 hit 3,811,827
- Participant cities' revenue from power sales reached \$287,018,212 in 1995, up from \$279,975,663 the previous year
- Peak demand as of June 30, 1995 reached 799,062 kilowatts

- NCMPA1 found a catalyst for future growth in Catawba Nuclear Station Unit 2 in an agreement with Duke Power that allows for the sale of surplus energy to other companies. The first sale was valued at \$60,000 from LG&E Power Marketing, Inc.
- Strong performance in 1995 allowed NCMPA1 to withdraw about \$19 million less than what was budgeted from its rate stabilization fund
- NCMPA1 gained \$62,428,000 from investment earnings in 1995, with a rate of return of 7.16 percent
- In November 1995, the agency issued refunding bonds, Series 1995A, which resulted in \$11.3 million in present value savings

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Glossary

- Capacity The amount of dependable power available from a plant at one hour in time if the plant is running at 100 percent.
- Coincident peak demand An individual customer's demand used during the system peak, or the hour of the month when a utility's entire customer base reaches its maximum demand for that month.
- **Demand** The rate at which electric power is consumed at any given time. Demand is usually measured in kilowatts (kW) and megawatts (MW).
- Energy Total demand usage over a period of time. Energy is usually measured in kilowatt-hours (kWh) and megawatt-hours (MWh). Ten 100-watt light bulbs left on for one hour use one kWh of energy.

- **Investor-owned utility** A utility owned by private investors.
- Public utility A utility owned by a municipality or other public entity.
- Rate stabilization fund Funds set aside to minimize future fluctuations in participants' power costs.
- Sell-back arrangement An arrangement under which the power agency sells back a portion of its ownership interest to Duke Power.
- **Surplus energy** The difference between the power agency's ownership entitlement and its actual energy requirements at any given hour.

1995 NCMPA1 Annual Report Statistical Highlights

	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991
• Megawatt-hour Sales (MWH)	4,125,029	3,950,370	3,976,104	3,757,172	3,722,099
• Peak Billing Demand (kW)	803,615	752,717	788,060	740,847	742,108
• Operating Revenues	\$413,852,000	\$540,695,000**	\$443,511,000	\$418,234,000	\$438,810,000
• Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$3,121,000	\$(5,799,000)	\$(12,544,000)
• Sales to Duke (Revenues)	\$183,554,000	\$237,153,000	\$238,954,000	\$234,625,000	\$262,456,000
• Average Monthly Power Purchases by Cities (MWh)	343,752	329,198	331,342	313,098	310,175
Average MonthlyBillings by Cities	\$19,077,000	\$17,711,000	\$17,046,000	\$15,301,000	\$14,696,000
	1990	1989	1988	1987	1986
• Megawatt-hour Sales (000)	3,585,461	3,572,021	3,473,529	3,358,447	3,190,842
• Peak Billing Demand (kW)	721,247	689,304	723,078	666,802	653,210
• Operating Revenues	\$432,647,000	\$429,098,000	\$425,772,000	\$430,546,000	\$331,907,000
• Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	\$(18,534,000)	\$19,167,000	\$(6,034,000)	\$8,197,000	\$6,075,000
• Sales to Duke (Revenues)	\$266,086,000	\$263,034,000	\$269,443,000	\$280,810,000	\$194,986,000*
• Average Monthly Power Purchases by Cities (MWh)	298,788	297,668	289,461	279,871	° 265,904
• Average Monthly Billings by Cities	\$13,880,000	\$13,839,000	\$13,027,000	\$12,478,000	\$11,410,000

^{*} Catawba Unit 2 began commercial operation in August 1986. ** Includes \$91,005,000 received in settlement of arbitration issues.



1427 Meadowwood Boulevard • Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

P.O. Box 29513 • Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0513

Phone (919) 715-6000 • Fax (919) 715-6050

e-mail 76135.3652@compuserve.com

World Wide Web Address http://www.electricities.com