ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM			
REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)			
FACIL:50 AUTH.NA	M.S. Duke Power Co. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATIO	Jnit 1, Duke Power Co.	DOCKET # 05000269 R
SUBJECT: Forwards closeout rept for IE Bulletins 79-02 & 79-14 re I   pipe support mods. I   DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE01D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR [ ENCL [ SIZE: 6 D   TITLE: General (50 Dkt)-Insp Rept/Notice of Violation Response S			
NOTES:			о З
	RECIPIENTCOPIESID CODE/NAMELTTR ENCLPD2-3 PD111	RECIPIENT COPIES ID CODE/NAME LTTR ENC WIENS,L 1 1	
	AEOD/DEIIB 1 1	AEOD11AEOD/TPAB11NRR MORISSEAU, D11NRR/DLPQ/LPEB1011NRR/DREP/PEPB9D11NRR/DST/DIR 8E211NUDOCS-ABSTRACT11OGC/HDS211RGN2FILE011	D D S
	EG&G/BRYCE,J.H. 1 1 NSIC 1 1	NRC PDR 1 1	

## NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 24 ENCL 24

R

İ

D

S


Α

D

D

S

Duke Power Company Nuclear Production Dept. P.O. Box 1007 Charlotte, N.C. 28201-1007





**DUKE POWER** 

March 7, 1991

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 1 Docket No. 50-269 NRC Inspection Report 50-269/88-23 IE Bulletins 79-02 and 79-14

Gentlemen:

Attached is the Oconee Nuclear Station Unit 1 closeout report for IE Bulletins 79-02 and 79-14. This report addresses the Unit 1 portion of the following Inspector Followup Items:

IFI 50-269/88-23-01 Pipe Support Modifications Remaining for IEB 79-02 and 79-14;

IFI 50-269/88-23-02 Final Summary Report for IEB 79-02; and

IFI 50-269/88-23-03 Final Summary Report for IEB 79-14.


Closeout reports for Units 2 and 3 were submitted by letters dated October 5, 1989 and August 9, 1989 respectively. The attached report completes all outstanding items for IEB 79-02 and 79-14.

Very Truly Yours,

M.S. Tucknisen

M. S. Tuckman

PJN/107



Document Control Desk March 7, 1991 Page 2

xc: S. D. Ebneter, Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II 101 Marietta Street, NW Suite 2900 Atlanta, GA 30323

> L. A. Wiens, Project Manager Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North, Mail Stop 9H3 Washington, D. C. 20555

P. H. Skinner, Resident Inspector Oconee Nuclear Station

## DUKE POWER COMPANY OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION UNIT 1

### Closeout of USNRC I.E. Bulletin 79-02 and 79-14

### February 26, 1991

Inspection Report Nos. 50-269, 270, 287/88-23 dated September 8, 1988 closed the above referenced I.E. Bulletins and identified three new open items. This response is intended to satisfy the requirements of these open items for Unit 1 as follows:

# 1. IFI 50-269/88-23-01, Pipe Support Modifications Remaining for IEB 79-02 and 79-14.

All modifications required on piping and supports have been completed in Unit 1 at Oconee Nuclear Station.

2. IFI 50-269/88-23-02, Final Summary Report for IEB 79-02.

Revision 5 of Duke Power Company's response to I.E. Bulletin 79-02, submitted by letter dated October 8, 1982, stated that all expansion anchor and/or pipe support modifications necessary to satisfy solely the requirements of I.E. Bulletin 79-02 had been completed at that time. Currently, all expansion anchor and/or pipe support modifications which were deferred to I.E. Bulletin 79-14 from I.E. Bulletin 79-02 have been completed.

### 3. IFI 50-269/88-23-03, Final Summary Report for IEB 79-14.

This report completes this outstanding item.

The following is the final summary, by item, of the extent and manner in which Duke Power Company satisfied the requirements of I.E. Bulletin 79-14 Revision 1, Supplements 1 and 2, and the requirements of I.E. Bulletin 79-02 Revision 2.

Item 1:

Identify inspection elements to be used in verifying that the seismic analysis input information conforms to the actual configuration of safety-related systems. For each safety-related system, submit a list of design documents, including title, identification number, revision and date, which were sources of input information for the seismic analyses. Also submit a description of the seismic analysis input information which is contained in each document. Identify systems or portions of systems which are planned to be inspected during each sequential inspection identified in Items 2 and 3. Submit all of this information within 30 days of the date of this bulletin.

Response 1: Duke power Company submitted a response to Item 1 on August 1, 1979<sup>1</sup>.

Item 2:

For portions of systems which are normally accessible, inspect one system in each set of redundant systems and all nonredundant systems for conformance to the seismic analysis input information set forth in design documents. Include in the inspection: pipe run geometry; support and restraint design, locations, function and clearance (including floor and wall penetration); embedments (excluding those covered in IE Bulletin 79-02); pipe attachments; and valve and valve-operator locations and weights (excluding those covered in I.E. Bulletin 79-04). Within 60 days of the date of this bulletin, submit a description of the results of this inspection. Where non-conformances are found which affect operability of any system, the licensee will expedite completion of the inspection described in Item 3.

- Response 2: Duke Power Company submitted an updated response to Item 2 on June 11, 1980°. This summary completed our response to Item 2.
  - Item 3: In accordance with Item 2, inspect all other normally accessible safety-related systems and all normally inaccessible safety-related systems. Within 120 days of the date of this bulletin, submit a description of the results of this inspection.
- Response 3: Duke Power Company submitted an updated response to Item 3 on June 11, 1980°. This summary completed our response to Item 3.

Item 4: If nonconformances are identified:

- A. Evaluate the effect of the nonconformance upon system operability under specified earthquake loadings and comply with applicable action statements in your technical specifications including prompt reporting.
- B. Submit an evaluation of identified nonconformances on the validity of piping and support analyses as described in the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) or other NRC approved documents. Where you determine that reanalysis is necessary, submit your schedule for (i) completing the reanalysis, (ii) comparisons of the results to FSAR or other NRC approved acceptance criteria and (iii) submitting descriptions of the results of reanalysis.
- C. In lieu of B, submit a schedule for correcting nonconforming systems so that they conform to the design documents. Also submit a description of the work required to establish conformance.



D.

Revise documents to reflect the as-built conditions in plant, and describe measures which are in effect which provide assurance that future modifications of piping systems, including their supports, will be reflected in a timely manner in design documents and the seismic analysis.

Response 4.

Duke Power Company submitted an updated response to Item 4 on October 19, 1982<sup>11</sup>. This response stated that the evaluation of the effect of nonconformance upon system operability as required by Item 4A of the bulletin had been submitted with the updated response to Item 2 and 3 on June 11, 1980<sup>6</sup>. In addition this response stated that all analysis was complete and the ongoing modification work is intended to satisfy the requirements of Items 4B, 4C and 4D of the subject bulletin.

As stated earlier in this report, the modification work to satisfy the requirements of items 4B and 4C is complete. The document updating to reflect the as-built condition as required by Item 4D of the subject bulletin is complete. The completed documents reflect the existing conditions found by the I.E. Bulletin 79-14 surveillance, including modifications which were made to the pipe supports since the time of the original surveillance.

All piping and/or pipe support modifications necessary to satisfy the requirements of I.E. Bulletin 79-14 have been completed and the final document updates have been completed.



### Attachment I

### DUKE POWER COMPANY OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION Unit 1

#### References

- 1. Letter dated August 1, 1979 from Mr. W. O. Parker, Jr., (Duke Power Company) to Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, USNRC, Region II.
- 2. Letter dated October 29, 1979 from Mr. W. O. Parker, Jr., (Duke Power Company) to Mr. J. P. Reilly, USNRC, Region II.
- 3. Letter dated November 6, 1979 from Mr. W. O. Parker, Jr., (Duke Power Company) to Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, USNRC, Region II.
- 4. Letter dated January 24, 1980 from Mr. W. O. Parker, Jr., (Duke Power Company) to Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, USNRC, Region II.
- 5. Letter dated January 24, 1980 from Mr. W. O. Parker, Jr., (Duke Power Company) to Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, USNRC Region II.
- 6. Letter dated June 11, 1980 from Mr. W. O. Parker, Jr., (Duke Power Company) to Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, USNRC, Region II.
- 7. Letter dated October 16, 1981 from Mr. A. C. Thies, (Duke Power Company) to Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, USNRC, Region II.
- 8. Letter dated February 2, 1980 from Mr. W. O. Parker, Jr., (Duke Power Company) to Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, USNRC, Region II.
- 9. Letter dated October 8, 1982 from Mr. H. B. Tucker (Duke Power Company) to Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, USNRC, Region II.
- 10. Letter dated October 19, 1982 from Mr. H. B. Tucker (Duke Power Company) to Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, USNRC, Region II.
- 11. Letter dated June 21, 1984 from Mr. H. B. Tucker (Duke Power Company) to Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, USNRC, Region II.
- 12. Letter dated November 21, 1984 from Mr. H. B. Tucker (Duke Power Company) to Dr. J. Nelson Grace, USNRC, Region II.
- 13. Letter dated February 4, 1988 from Mr. H. B. Tucker (Duke Power Company) to USNRC Document Control Desk, Washington, DC.
- 14. Letter dated September 8, 1988 from Mr. Alan R. Herdt, USNRC, Region II to Mr. H. B. Tucker (Duke Power Company).