

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 9, 1998

**MEMORANDUM TO:** 

Thomas H. Essig, Acting Chief Generic Issues and Environmental Projects Branch Division of Reactor Program Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM:

James H. Wilson, Senior Project Manager Generic Issues and Environmental Projects Branch Division of Reactor Program Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT:

9811160212 981

SUMMARY OF OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION SITE VISIT TO SUPPORT REVIEW OF OCONEE'S LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

izic file center copy

An environmental review team consisting of Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and contractors from Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), participated in a site visit at Oconee Nuclear Station (ONS) October 19-21, to support the review of the ONS license renewal application. The team consisted of ten PNNL staff and eight NRC staff. One goal of the site visit was to give the review team a clearer picture of the entire Keowee-Toxaway project including the lakes comprising the three-reservoir system and their inter-dependence. The primary goal of the site visit was to review documentation, gather information to ensure that environmental requirements of license renewal as codified in 10 CFR 51 are met, and to interface with officials in State and local government permitting and resource agencies.

The entire team participated in an introductory meeting with Duke Energy staff on Monday. October 19, prior to the environmental scoping meetings held later that day in Clemson, South Carolina. Duke Energy introductory presentations gave the review team a better understanding of the applicant's organizational structure and provided a brief overview of the environmental programs, management practices, and resources available to Oconee environmental staff. Following the introductory meeting, the entire review team participated in a guided site tour, focusing on areas relevant to the environmental review. These areas included the intake/discharge structures, skimmer wall, independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI), effluent discharge points, meteorological towers, wetlands, and chemical treatment ponds. On Tuesday, October 20, individual disciplines broke off into smaller groups with the Duke officials responsible for the corresponding area of expertise. These groups participated in various activities including boat tours of Lake Keowee, van tours of the transmission line corridors, and unaccompanied visits with state and local government officials. On Wednesday, October 21, most of the environmental review team reviewed pertinent sections of the environmental report. discussed new and significant information methodology and determinations for Category 1 issues, and other documentation provided by Duke. No new and significant information was identified. Two groups visited Columbia, South Carolina, the state capitol, and met with staff from the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), the state archeologist, and staff of the

## T. Essig

South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC). On Thursday, October 22, NRC and PNNL staff concluded meetings with local government officials regarding socioeconomic, environmental justice, and land-use issues.

No major problem areas were identified in consultations with state and local government officials. However, follow-up consultations with DHEC will need to be conducted regarding toxicity concerns at one of the chemical treatment ponds and with the SHPO regarding potential complications associated with the possibility of ONS being nominated for the National Historic Registry during the period of extended operation. Staff from the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources indicated that they had a good working relationship with Duke. South Carolina Department of Natural Resources did mention that they were concerned about Duke raising fees for the use of boat ramps on Lake Keowee and about privatization of land around the lake that was supposed to be maintained for wildlife preservation. The socioeconomics reviewer received only positive comments regarding Oconee license renewal from local government officials and realtors. One source claimed that the quality of Lake Keowee would most likely decline if ONS were decommissioned. One interesting fact that was collected is that ONS contributes 42.5% of the county revenue.

Some issues were raised by the environmental review team during the ONS site visit. The use of 1976 meteorological data and 1990 census data in probabilistic risk assessment reports was questioned. The presence of <u>Corbicula</u>, an Asiatic clam, in fire water and other raw water systems was discussed, since <u>Corbicula</u> can cause clogging of pipes. The population of <u>Corbicula</u> seems to have stabilized in Lake Keowee due to low calcium levels and is not expected to become a problem.

At the conclusion of the site visit, NRC staff participated in an exit interview with Duke officials. PNNL staff wanted more time to review some of the documentation made available by Duke during the site visit, and Duke agreed to forward that information to PNNL directly. Duke was notified that any additional information would be formally requested as a request for additional information (RAI), as the environmental review progressed. Duke Energy provided an organized, informative, and well-represented site visit for the environmental review team.

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, 50-287

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: see next page

	g: tocorroo torto			
OFFICE	PGEB	PM:PGEB	(A)SC:PGEB	(A)BC:PGEB
NAME	CSochor C\$5	JHWilson AW	RArchitzel	TEssig MAC
DATE	11/9/98	11/9 /98	11/9/98	11/9/98

DOCUMENT NAME: g:\oconee\sitevisi.sum

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

## T. Essig

South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC). On Thursday, October 22, NRC and PNNL staff concluded meetings with local government officials regarding socioeconomic, environmental justice, and land-use issues.

No major problem areas were identified in consultations with state and local government officials. However, follow-up consultations with DHEC will need to be conducted regarding toxicity concerns at one of the chemical treatment ponds and with the SHPO regarding potential complications associated with the possibility of ONS being nominated for the National Historic Registry during the period of extended operation. Staff from the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources indicated that they had a good working relationship with Duke. South Carolina Department of Natural Resources did mention that they were concerned about Duke raising fees for the use of boat ramps on Lake Keowee and about privatization of land around the lake that was supposed to be maintained for wildlife preservation. The socioeconomics reviewer received only positive comments regarding Oconee license renewal from local government officials and realtors. One source claimed that the quality of Lake Keowee would most likely decline if ONS were decommissioned. One interesting fact that was collected is that ONS contributes 42.5% of the county revenue.

Some issues were raised by the environmental review team during the ONS site visit. The use of 1976 meteorological data and 1990 census data in probabilistic risk assessment reports was questioned. The presence of <u>Corbicula</u>, an Asiatic clam, in fire water and other raw water systems was discussed, since <u>Corbicula</u> can cause clogging of pipes. The population of <u>Corbicula</u> seems to have stabilized in Lake Keowee due to low calcium levels and is not expected to become a problem.

At the conclusion of the site visit, NRC staff participated in an exit interview with Duke officials. PNNL staff wanted more time to review some of the documentation made available by Duke during the site visit, and Duke agreed to forward that information to PNNL directly. Duke was notified that any additional information would be formally requested as a request for additional information (RAI), as the environmental review progressed. Duke Energy provided an organized, informative, and well-represented site visit for the environmental review team.

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, 50-287

Attachment: As stated

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: Oconee Nuclear Station site visit summary dated Nov. 9, 1998 Hard cooy PUBLIC Docket File PDLR RF M. El-Zeftawy, ACRS C. Grimes S. Hoffman J. Wilson C. Sochor E-mail: F. Miraglia J. Roe D. Matthews T. Essig G. Lainas J. Strosnider G. Bagchi H. Brammer T. Hiltz G. Holahan S. Newberry C. Gratton L. Spessard R. Correia R. Latta J. Moore R. Weisman M. Zobler E. Hackett A. Murphy T. Martin D. Martin, OIG W. McDowell S. Droggitis C. Craig R. Jolly PDLR Staff -----H. Berkow D. LaBarge L. Plisco C. Ogle R. Trojanowski M. Scott C. Julian R. Architzel R. Gill, Duke D. Walters, NEI

Oconee Nuclear Station (License Renewal) cc:

Paul R. Newton, Esquire Duke Energy Corporation 422 South Church Street Mail Stop PB-05E Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esquire Anne W. Cottingham, Esquire Winston and Strawn 1400 L Street, NW. Washington, DC 20005

Mr. Rick N. Edwards Framatome Technologies Suite 525 1700 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-1631

Manager, LIS NUS Corporation 2650 McCormick Drive, 3rd Floor Clearwater, Florida 34619-1035

Senior Resident Inspector U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 7812B Rochester Highway Seneca, South Carolina 29672

Regional Administrator, Region II U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Suite 23T85 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Virgil R. Autry, Director Division of Radioactive Waste Management Bureau of Land and Waste Management Department of Health and Environmental Control 2600 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201-1708

County Supervisor of Oconee County Walhalla, South Carolina 29621

W. R. McCollum, Jr., Vice President Oconee Site Duke Energy Corporation P. O. Box 1439 Seneca, SC 29679 Mr. J. E. Burchfield Compliance Manager Duke Energy Corporation Oconee Nuclear Site P. O. Box 1439 Seneca, South Carolina 29679

Ms. Karen E. Long Assistant Attorney General North Carolina Department of Justice P. O. Box 629 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

L. A. Keller Manager - Nuclear Regulatory Licensing Duke Energy Corporation 526 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Mr. Richard M. Fry, Director Division of Radiation Protection North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources 3825 Barrett Drive Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-7721

Gregory D. Robison Duke Energy Corporation Mail Stop EC-12R P. O. Box 1006 Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Robert L. Gill, Jr. Duke Energy Corporation Mail Stop EC-12R P. O. Box 1006 Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006 RLGILL@DUKE-ENERGY.COM

Douglas J. Walters Nuclear Energy Institute 1776 I Street, NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006-3708 DJW@NEI.ORG

Chattooga River Watershed Coalition P. O. Box 2006 Clayton, GA 30525