

**Internal Events PRA F&O
Record of Review
Dispositions Supporting Requirements (SRs) Not Met or Met at Capability Category (CC) I**

FINDING/ SUGGESTION (F&O) ID OR SUPPORTING REQUIREMENT (SR)	ACCEPTABLE TO STAFF VIA		
	Review of Plant Disposition (A/B/C)	RAI Response	
		Not Discussed in SE	Discussed in SE
IE-C12	C		
IE-C15	C		
SC-A2		The licensee's disposition in Attachment U is that the definition of core damage used for the PRA is expected to be conservative. In response to PRA RAI 24.a (ADAMS Accession No. ML13353A417) the licensee confirmed that the Ginna power uprate (1811 MWth) was used to support the development of PRA success criteria. The NRC staff finds that the F&O is adequately addressed since the PRA model supporting analyses reflect the current plant power level and is expected to be conservative in the timing associated with the core damage definition.	
SC-A4	A		
SY-A10		The F&O was associated with the Feed and Bleed modeling in the internal events PRA. The F&O stated that "The logic does not include 75 gpm charging flow which is noted in the Success Criteria notebook as required to support single PORV success." In response to PRA RAI 24.b (ADAMS Accession No. ML13353A417) the licensee explained that the 75 gpm charging flow requirement was added to the internal events PRA model fault tree, and the Fire PRA used the revised internal events PRA fault trees; therefore, the NRC staff finds this F&O is adequately addressed.	

**Internal Events PRA F&O
Record of Review
Dispositions Supporting Requirements (SRs) Not Met or Met at Capability Category (CC) I**

FINDING/ SUGGESTION (F&O) ID OR SUPPORTING REQUIREMENT (SR)	ACCEPTABLE TO STAFF VIA		
	Review of Plant Disposition (A/B/C)	RAI Response	
		Not Discussed in SE	Discussed in SE
SY-A14		According to the response to PRA RAI 24.c (ADAMS Accession No. ML13353A417), the loss of city water as a water source for the standby auxiliary feedwater system was added to the internal events PRA model which formed the basis for the Fire PRA model. Based on this model update, the NRC staff finds this F&O is adequately addressed.	
SY-A19	A		
HR-G3	A		
HR-I1	C		
QU-B5	C		
QU-E4		In response to PRA RAI 24.d (ADAMS Accession No. ML13353A417), the licensee explained that the internal event PRA key sources of uncertainty and assumptions were carried through to the Fire PRA and were evaluated; therefore, the NRC staff finds this F&O is adequately addressed.	
LE-C2	A		
LE-C11	A		
LE-C13	A		
Section 1-5	C		
IFSO-A4	C		
IFSN-A6	C		
IFSN-A8	C		
IFSN-A16	C		
IFEV-A6	C		

**Internal Events PRA F&O
Record of Review
Dispositions Supporting Requirements (SRs) Not Met or Met at Capability Category (CC) I**

FINDING/ SUGGESTION (F&O) ID OR SUPPORTING REQUIREMENT (SR)	ACCEPTABLE TO STAFF VIA		
	Review of Plant Disposition (A/B/C)	RAI Response	
		Not Discussed in SE	Discussed in SE
IFEV-A7	C		
IFEV-A8	C		
IFQU-A5	C		
IFQU-B1	C		
IFQU-B3	C		

A: The NRC staff finds that the disposition of the F&O as described by the licensee in the LAR provides confidence that the issues raised by the F&O have been addressed and, if needed, the PRA has been modified, and therefore the resolution of the F&O is acceptable for this application.

B: The NRC staff finds that the disposition of the F&O as described by the licensee in the LAR and further clarified during the audit provides confidence that the issues raised by the F&O have been addressed and, if needed, the PRA has been modified, and therefore the resolution of the F&O is acceptable for this application.

C: The NRC staff finds that the resolution of the F&O, as described by the licensee in the LAR, would have a negligible effect on the evaluations relied upon to support fire risk evaluations and has no impact on the conclusions of the risk assessment and therefore the resolution of the F&O is acceptable for this application. Examples of such F&Os may be suggestions, as well as those F&Os that don't affect the fire PRA. Documentation issues may fall into this category as well.