

1 UNITED STATES

2 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

3 BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

4 -----X

5 In re: Docket Nos. 50-247-LR; 50-286-LR

6 License Renewal Application Submitted by ASLBP No. 07-858-03-LR-BD01

7 Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2, LLC, DPR-26, DPR-64

8 Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 3, LLC, and

9 Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. September 9, 2015

10 -----X

11 PRE-FILED SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY WRITTEN TESTIMONY OF

12 DR. RICHARD T. LAHEY, JR.

13 REGARDING CONTENTION NYS-38

14 On behalf of the State of New York ("NYS" or "the State"),  
15 the Office of the Attorney General hereby submits the following  
16 testimony by RICHARD T. LAHEY, JR., PhD. regarding Contention  
17 NYS-38/RK-TC-5.

18 Q. Please state your full name.

19 A. Richard T. Lahey, Jr.

20 Q. By whom are you employed and what is your position?

21 A. I am retired and am currently the Edward E. Hood  
22 Professor Emeritus of Engineering at Rensselaer Polytechnic  
23 Institute (RPI), which is located in Troy, New York.

*Pre-filed Supplemental Reply Written  
Testimony of Richard T. Lahey, Jr.  
Contention NYS-38*

1 Q. Have you previously summarized your educational and  
2 professional qualifications?

3 A. Yes, my education and professional qualifications and  
4 experience are described in my Curricula Vitae and previously  
5 filed testimony in this proceeding.

6 Q. I show you what has been marked as Exhibit ENT000699.  
7 Do you recognize that document?

8 A. Yes. It is a copy of the pre-filed testimony of the  
9 witnesses for Entergy on Contention NYS-38/RK-TC-5 that were  
10 submitted in August 2015.

11 Q. I show you what has been marked as Exhibit NRCR000161,  
12 NRC000197 and NRC000168. Do you recognize those documents?

13 A. Yes. They are copies of the pre-filed testimony of  
14 the USNRC Staff witness that were submitted in August 2015. They  
15 concern Contention NYS-38/RK-TC-5. I note that NRC000168 and  
16 NRC000197 primarily discuss Contentions NYS-25 and NYS-26B/RK-  
17 TC-1B.

18 Q. Have you had an opportunity to review ENT000699,  
19 NRCR000161, NRC000168, and NRC000197?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Has Entergy's and the USNRC Staff's August pre-filed  
22 testimony caused you to change the testimony and opinions that

*Pre-filed Supplemental Reply Written  
Testimony of Richard T. Lahey, Jr.  
Contention NYS-38*

1 you have previously submitted in this proceeding in connection  
2 with Contention NYS-38?

3       A.     In general, no.   Entergy and the USNRC Staff have  
4 failed to resolve the age-related safety concerns that I have  
5 raised throughout this proceeding.   They continue to approach  
6 various aging mechanisms in "silos", without addressing the  
7 potential synergistic interactions between multiple degradation  
8 mechanisms.   While Entergy has proposed a reactor vessel  
9 internals (RVIs) aging management program based on MRP-227-A,  
10 that program is fundamentally flawed due to its failure to  
11 consider the potential synergism between irradiation  
12 embrittlement and other aging degradation mechanisms and the  
13 impact of various significant shock loads on highly-embrittled  
14 and fatigue-weakened components.   Entergy's RVI Program also  
15 lacks sufficient detail on issues relating to inspection  
16 techniques and acceptance criteria.   Entergy and Westinghouse  
17 have presented to the USNRC final, revised cumulative usage  
18 factors ( $CUF_{en}$ ) for various components; however, those values  
19 were obtained using a flawed metal fatigue evaluation process in  
20 which the level of conservatism, if any, is unclear (i.e., see  
21 my most recent testimony on contention NYS-26B (NYS000569)).  
22 Entergy has confirmed that, despite its prior commitment to  
23 address potential primary water stress corrosion cracking in

*Pre-filed Supplemental Reply Written  
Testimony of Richard T. Lahey, Jr.  
Contention NYS-38*

1 steam generator components, it is now considering reliance on  
2 industry-funded analysis of the problem rather than the  
3 performance of actual inspections. I have discussed these  
4 deficiencies in Entergy's analyses in my prior testimony of June  
5 9, 2015 (NYS000562) and in my most recent testimony relating to  
6 Contentions NYS-25 and NYS-26B/RK-TC-1B (NYS000567, NYS000569),  
7 both of which I incorporate by reference and am supplementing  
8 today.

9 Q. With respect to steam generators, Entergy states that  
10 it has analyzed in detail the impact of thermal and pressure  
11 shock loads on a degraded divider plate. (A196) Do you agree?

12 A. No. In support of that claim, Entergy cites to the

[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

2  
3 Q. Finally, Entergy asserts that cracks will not initiate  
4 or propagate from the tubesheet cladding to tube-to-tubesheet  
5 welds due to compressive forces in that area. Entergy Testimony  
6 at A199 (ENT000699). Do you agree?

A. No, I do not agree. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

20 [REDACTED] It

21 therefore continues to be my opinion that Entergy must perform  
22 inspections of the steam generator channel head assembly,  
23 including the divider plate and tube-to-tubesheet welds, prior

*Pre-filed Supplemental Reply Written  
Testimony of Richard T. Lahey, Jr.  
Contention NYS-38*

1 to entering the period of extended operation (or as soon  
2 thereafter, for IP2), as a condition of license renewal.

3 Q. Does this complete your testimony?

4 A. Yes, it does. I do, however, reserve the right to  
5 supplement my testimony if new information is disclosed or  
6 introduced.

7

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

-----X  
In re: Docket Nos. 50-247-LR; 50-286-LR  
License Renewal Application Submitted by ASLBP No. 07-858-03-LR-BD01  
Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2, LLC, DPR-26, DPR-64  
Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 3, LLC, and  
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. September 9, 2015  
-----X

**DECLARATION OF RICHARD T. LAHEY, JR.**

I, Richard T. Lahey, Jr., do hereby declare under penalty of perjury that my statements in the foregoing testimony and my statement of professional qualifications are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed in Accord with 10 C.F.R. § 2.304(d)



Dr. Richard T. Lahey, Jr.

The Edward E. Hood Professor Emeritus of Engineering  
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY 12180  
(518) 495-3884, laheyr@rpi.edu

*Pre-filed Supplemental Reply Written  
Testimony of Richard T. Lahey, Jr.  
Contention NYS-38*