#### **IPRenewal NPEmails**

Louie, Richard [rlouie@entergy.com] From: Tuesday, September 01, 2015 11:40 AM Wentzel, Michael Sent:

To:

[External\_Sender] IPEC LRA RAI Response Set 2015-02 Subject:

Attachments: Scanned from a Xerox Multifunction Printer.pdf

Mike,

Attached is an advance copy of the subject RAI response letter that was signed today.

Richard Louie rlouie@entergy.com

Regulatory Assurance Indian Point Energy Center (914) 254-6618

Hearing Identifier: IndianPointUnits2and3NonPublic\_EX

Email Number: 5274

Mail Envelope Properties (CB159DC717B797428E92782CE3B5F40B0F19EF5A)

**Subject:** [External\_Sender] IPEC LRA RAI Response Set 2015-02

**Sent Date:** 9/1/2015 11:39:57 AM **Received Date:** 9/1/2015 11:40:02 AM

From: Louie, Richard

Created By: rlouie@entergy.com

Recipients:

"Wentzel, Michael" < Michael. Wentzel@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: JDCXMETSP003.etrsouth.corp.entergy.com

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 213 9/1/2015 11:40:02 AM

Scanned from a Xerox Multifunction Printer.pdf 165513

**Options** 

Priority:StandardReturn Notification:NoReply Requested:NoSensitivity:Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received:



Entergy Nuclear Northeast Indian Point Energy Center 450 Broadway, GSB P.O. Box 249 Buchanan, NY 10511-0249 Tel (914) 254-2055

Fred Dacimo
Vice President
Operations License Renewal

NL-15-109

September 1, 2015

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk

11545 Rockville Pike, TWFN-2 F1 Rockville, MD 20852-2738

SUBJECT: Reply to Request for Additional Information for the Review of the Indian

Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3, License Renewal Application, SET 2015-02

Docket Nos. 50-247 and 50-286

License Nos. DPR-26 and DPR-64

REFERENCES: NRC letter, "Request for Additional Information for the Review of the

Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3, License Renewal Application, SET 2015-02 (TAC Nos. MD5407 and MD5408)" dated July

7, 2015.

Dear Sir or Madam:

as a result of the responses are provided in Attachment 2. information requested in the referenced letter pertaining to NRC review of the License Renewal Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy) is providing, in Attachment 1, the additional Application (LRA) for Indian Point 2 and Indian Point 3. Changes to the LRA sections that are

require additional information, please contact Mr. Robert Walpole at 914-254-6710 There are no new commitments being made in this submittal. If you have any questions, or

declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 2015

FRD/rl

## Attachments:

- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ Reply to NRC Request for Additional Information Regarding the License Renewal Application
- N License Renewal Application Changes Due To Responses To Requests For Information

00:

Mr. Daniel H. Dorman, Regional Administrator, NRC Region I
Mr. Sherwin E. Turk, NRC Office of General Counsel, Special Counsel
Mr. Michael Wentzel, NRC Project Manager, Division of License Renewal
Mr. Douglas Pickett, NRR Senior Project Manager
Ms. Bridget Frymire, New York State Department of Public Service
Mr. John B. Rhodes, President and CEO NYSERDA

NRC Resident Inspector's Office

## **ATTACHMENT 1 TO NL-15-109**

## REPLY TO NRC REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

## REGARDING THE

LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

Docket Nos. 50-247 & 50-286 Page 1 of 4

## RELATED TO INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NOS. 2 AND 3 REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, SET 2015-02 LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

## RAI 3.0.3-16

## Background:

Coating Integrity Program. 43-IP3, "Pressurizer System," states that loss of coating integrity is managed for metal components with internal coating in a "treated borated water > 140°F" environment by the As amended by letter dated March 10, 2015, license renewal application (LRA) Table 3.3.2-19-

#### Issue

state the specific coating material used in systems with temperatures exceeding 140°F. Therefore, the staff lacks sufficient information to conclude that the guidance in AMP XI.M42 temperatures greater than 140°F. (e.g., inspection intervals, acceptance criteria) is sufficient for the materials exposed to Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks." The changes to the LRA do not Management of Loss of Coating or Lining Integrity for Internal Coatings/Linings on In-Scope of Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) aging management program (AMP) XI.M42, "Aging Environments with high temperatures are not evaluated to be bounding within the assumptions

### Request

concluding that loss of coating integrity is adequately managed in the subject environment. State the coating materials exposed to temperatures greater than 140°F. Provide the basis for

## Response to RAI 3.0.3-16

manages loss of coating integrity for tanks with internal coating (pressurizer relief tank) in a "treated borated water > 140°F" environment. As amended by letter dated March 10, 2015, license renewal application (LRA), Table 3.3.2-19-43-IP3, "Pressurizer System [10CFR54.4(a)(2)]," indicates that the Coating Integrity Program

After further review, Entergy determined that the assumption of temperature greater than 140 degrees Fahrenheit (140°F) was overly conservative. The nominal (normal operating temperature during plant operation) internal temperature for the pressurizer relief tank is less

actions in response to the alarm are to reduce the internal temperature to less than the alarm The pressurizer relief tank is equipped with a high-temperature alarm set at 130°F. Operator

application with both coats of EP series epoxy phenolic (8505 and 8525). The EP series The pressurizer relief tank coating is an Amercoat 55 system which is a two-part coating

Docket Nos. 50-247 & 50-286 Page 2 of 4

250°F (Atmospheric). chemical-resistant epoxy phenolic is designed for temperature limits of 180°F (Immersed) and

application is revised to indicate that the environment is not greater than 140°F Since the nominal internal temperature is less than 140°F, the Coating Integrity Program will adequately manage loss of coating integrity for the pressurizer relief tank. The license renewal

## RAI 3.0.3-17

### Background:

31, 2024. In addition, Commitment 8, as revised, states that LRA Sections A.2.1.13, A.3.1.13 and B.1.14, "Fire Water System," will be implemented by December 31, 2019. "Coating Integrity," state that the Coating Integrity Program will be implemented by December As amended by letter dated March 10, 2015, LRA Sections A.2.1.42, A.3.1.42, and B.1.43

#### Issue

shortly enter timely renewal. As such, it does not appear that the inspection section schedule outage prior to the period of extended operation. The staff recognizes that Indian Point Generating Unit No. 2 has entered timely renewal and Indian Point Generating Unit No. 3 may the first inspections of internal coatings should be completed no later than the last refueling integrity will be managed in the time frame prior to the programs being implemented recommended in Table 3.0-1 will be met. Further, it is not clear to the staff how loss of coating Standard Review Plan for License Renewal (SRP-LR) Table 3.0-1 for AMP XI.M42 states that The implementation schedule for LRA Section B.1.43 ranges up to nine years, from 2015 to 2024. In addition, implementation of Commitment 8, as revised, ranges from 2015 to 2019.

#### Request

managed in this time period implementation dates and the basis for concluding that coating integrity will be adequately State how loss of coating integrity will be managed between now and the proposed

## Response to RAI 3.0.3-17

date of the program. as the date corresponding to the PEO date for activities required to be "conducted in the 10-The timing of baseline inspections and program implementation identified in LR-ISG-2013-01 are tied to the date a particular unit will enter the period of extended operation (PEO). For both year period prior to the period of extended operation" by the ISG and for the full implementation Integrity Program activities (including baseline inspections), December 31, 2024, was identified changes from the ISG. Specifically, IP2 has already entered the PEO, and IP3 enters the PEO IPEC units, the date of entering the PEO was not a realistic date for implementing program be treated in the same manner as a date for entering the PEO. Similarly, for the Coating identified December 31, 2019, as a realistic implementation date for the Fire Water Program to in December 2015. Therefore, the response to RAI 3.0.3-2, letter dated March 10, 2015,

Docket Nos. 50-247 & 50-286 Page 3 of 4

adequately managed in this time period prior to full implementation of the Coating Integrity condition of the fire water tanks. In this way, coating integrity for the fire water tanks will be system performance testing, and inspections, including internal inspection of the surface Response to RAI 3.0.3-1). The existing Fire Water System Program includes periodic flushing incorporation of these Fire Water Program changes on the same schedule as the changes related to LR-ISG-2012-02 (as described in NL-14-147, letter dated December 16, 2014, incorporate the detailed acceptance criteria of LR-ISG-2013-01. This schedule allows the including staff limitations associated with a particular standard, and (2) revising procedures to are conducted in accordance with an ASTM International standard endorsed in RG 1.54 coating/lining inspections and evaluating degraded conditions for fire water storage tanks, which was deemed reasonable to allow for (1) training and qualification of individuals involved in For enhancements to the Fire Water Program, an implementation date of December 31, 2019,

December 31, 2024. up actions will be performed. In this way, coating integrity will be managed at the site prior to reviewed, and if deficiencies or degradations are identified, the program requirements for followyears. As the inspections are completed, the condition of inspected coatings at the site will be Program at IPEC, including the baseline inspections, will occur over the course of the next nine the ISG for baseline inspections, the activities necessary to implement the Coating Integrity perform the inspections makes this 10-year window a reasonable time frame. Congruent with inspections coupled with the need to have appropriately trained and qualified personnel to For the Coating Integrity Program, LR-ISG-2013-01 allows 10 years prior to the PEO to complete the baseline inspections required before entering the PEO. The requirements of the

within outage windows plus adequate training of required personnel to conduct coating time period for IPEC is reasonable to allow for adequate planning and scheduling around and outages, etc. Given that the ISG provided a ten-year window for the first inspections, the same many months in advance to allow for adequate planning and coordination with system and train inspections, some of which may necessitate an outage. This time period allows for outages for each unit in which to perform the specified baseline The scope of an outage is established

For both programs, these implementation dates allow for the following activities to occur

- Planning the activities specified in the ISG to be performed prior to the PEO
- 0 Revising procedures to include the enhancements for the fire water system coated components
- 0 Establishing procedures to implement the new Coating Integrity Program
- 0 Training and qualification of inspectors in accordance with the ISG
- Ensuring availability of the necessary test equipment
- PEO. Scheduling and completing the activities specified in the ISG to be performed prior to the

- 0 Working with two units, each with two-year operating cycles affecting opportunities for inspections
- Multiple systems and inspection techniques
- . PEO Assessing the results from the activities specified in the ISG to be performed prior to the
- Determining corrective actions as needed.
- Establishing scope and frequency for future activities.
- . PEO. Planning and scheduling the activities specified in the ISG to be performed during the
- Evaluating the frequency of subsequent inspections.
- Scheduling inspections for refueling outages.

prior to the period of extended operation") where the following is understood: programs in the IPEC LRA Appendix A and B (stated as, "Enhancements will be implemented The approach discussed herein is consistent with the discussion of enhancements to other

"Prior to the PEO" activities will be completed prior to the implementation date, and internally coated components within the scope of the program will be completed by frequency for future activities as specified. All baseline coating inspections of all results of the "prior to the PEO" activities will be assessed to establish scope and December 31, 2024.

"During the PEO activities" will be planned prior to the implementation date

## **ATTACHMENT 2 TO NL-15-109**

## LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

# CHANGES DUE TO RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

Revisions to LRA text and tables are provided below with additions underlined and deletions marked through.

Revise the following line to Table 3.3.2-19-43-IP3: Pressurizer System [10CFR54.4(a)(2)]

Table 3.3.2-19-43-IP3: Pressurizer System [10CFR54.4(a)(2)]

	Tank	Component Type
boundary	Pressure	Intended Function
coating	Metal with	Material
borated water > <del>140°E</del> (int)	Treated	Environment
coating integrity	Loss of	Aging Effec Requiring Managemen
ntegrity	Coating	Aging Management Program
		NUREG- 1801 Item
	'	Table 1
	I	Notes