

November 25, 1991

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	Ellis W.	Merschoff,	Acting Director
		Division	of Reactor	Projects

FROM: Gus C. Lainas, Assistant Director for Region II Reactors Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE - OCONEE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS DURING REFUELING AND COLD SHUTDOWN (TIA 91-31)

Your memorandum dated September 27, 1991, requested NRR to review the Oconee Technical Specifications (TS) and determine if any changes were needed. In response to the recent shutdown events at Oconee, NRR had requested that Duke Power Company (DPC) perform a self-review of the Oconee TS and then meet with the NRC to present their findings and discuss any proposed corrective actions. A meeting was held with DPC on October 9, 1991, where DPC identified a number of differences between the Oconee TS and standard TS. DPC in most cases did not propose TS revisions to address these differences. Instead, interpretations of existing TS, which would be documented in the control room copies of TS for reference by the operators, would be made when existing TS were determined to be correct but prone to misinterpretation. If no TS existed where requirements were determined to be appropriate, DPC proposed to issue such requirements as a change to the Selected Licensee Commitment Manual.

Because of the continuing nature of the NRC initiative in the area of shutdown TS, the NRC staff concurred in the approach proposed by DPC. In a letter dated October 21, 1991, DPC has committed to make the changes proposed in the meeting. If the need for further changes is identified as a result of the NRC initiative in this area, NRR will address the issue with new plant-specific tasks. Therefore, this concludes our efforts on TAC Nos. 81753, 81754, and 81755.

If you have any questions, you or your staff may contact Len Wiens of my staff on FTS 492-1495.

		/s/							
		Gus C. Lainas, Assistant Director for Region II Reactors Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation							
	DISTRIBUTION: Docket File NRC & Local PDRs PD II-3 R/F Oconee R/F	SVarga GLainas LBerry LWiens DMatthew	vs	OGC 15B18 EJordan MNB ACRS (10) P LReyes RII	-315		Rome GOPY WW		
OFC	: PDII-3/LA	: PDII-3/PM :	PDII-3/D	: ADRP1/II	; ;_				
NAME	: LBerry:1b	LWiens	DMatthews.7	- : Gkainas			1		
DATE	11/20/91	: 11/20/91	11/22/91		91 :				
105	91120	COPY 90274 911125 ADUCK 05000269 PDR	Document	Name: MEMO	FOR ELL	IS MERSCHOF	VENO		



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

November 25, 1991

MEMORANDUM FOR: Ellis W. Merschoff, Acting Director Division of Reactor Projects

FROM:

Gus C. Lainas, Assistant Director for Region II Reactors Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT:

REQUEST FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE - OCONEE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS DURING REFUELING AND COLD SHUTDOWN (TIA 91-31)

Your memorandum dated September 27, 1991, requested NRR to review the Oconee Technical Specifications (TS) and determine if any changes were needed. In response to the recent shutdown events at Oconee, NRR had requested that Duke Power Company (DPC) perform a self-review of the Oconee TS and then meet with the NRC to present their findings and discuss any proposed corrective actions. A meeting was held with DPC on October 9, 1991, where DPC identified a number of differences between the Oconee TS and standard TS. DPC in most cases did not propose TS revisions to address these differences. Instead, interpretations of existing TS, which would be documented in the control room copies of TS for reference by the operators, would be made when existing TS were determined to be correct but prone to misinterpretation. If no TS existed where requirements were determined to be appropriate, DPC proposed to issue such requirements as a change to the Selected Licensee Commitment Manual.

Because of the continuing nature of the NRC initiative in the area of shutdown TS, the NRC staff concurred in the approach proposed by DPC. In a letter dated October 21, 1991, DPC has committed to make the changes proposed in the meeting. If the need for further changes is identified as a result of the NRC initiative in this area, NRR will address the issue with new plant-specific tasks. Therefore, this concludes our efforts on TAC Nos. 81753, 81754, and 81755.

If you have any questions, you or your staff may contact Len Wiens of my staff on FTS 492-1495.

Q. O. Jamie

Gus C. Lainas, Assistant Director for Region II Reactors Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation