REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION TYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8605020070 DOC. DATE: 86/04/24 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL: 50-269 Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Duke Power Co. 05000269 50-270 Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Duke Power Co. 05000270 50-287 Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 3, Duke Power Co. 05000287

AUTH. NAME AUTHO

AUTHOR AFFILIATION

TUCKER, H. B.

Duke Power Co.

RECIP. NAME

RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

DENTON, H. R. STOLZ, J. F.

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director (post 851125 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director (post 851125

SUBJECT: Forwards addl info in response to 860421 meeting re results of ultrasonic testing (UT) of reactor vessel shell-to-flange weld completed during Cycle 9. Development of enhanced UT techniques & discriminatory menthods intended.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 56
TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES: AEOD/Ornstein: 1cy. See "86 Rots

AEOD/Ornstein: 1cy. AEOD/Ornstein: 1cy.

05000269 05000270 05000287

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT	COPIES LTTR ENCL	
					ID CODE/NAME		
	PWR-B ADTS		1	0	PWR-B EB	1	1
	PWR-B PEICSB		2	2	PWR-B FOB	1	1
	PWR-B PD6 PD	01	5	5	NICOLARAS, H	1	1
	PWR-B PEICSB		1	1	PWR-B RSB	1	1
INTERNAL:	ADM/LFMB		1	0	ELD/HDS4	1	0
	NRR/DHFT/TSC	В	1	1	NRR/ORAS	1	O .
	REG FILE	04	`1	1	RGN2	1	1
EXTERNAL:	24X		1	1	EG&G BRUSKE,S	1	1
	LPDR	03	1	1	NRC PDR 02	1	1
	NSIC	05	1	1	•		

NOTES:

DUKE POWER COMPANY P.O. BOX 33189 CHARLOTTE, N.G. 28242

HAL B. TUCKER
VICE PRESIDENT
NUCLEAR PRODUCTION

TELEPHONE (704) 373-4531

April 24, 1986

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

ATTENTION: J.F. Stolz, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch No. 4

Subject: Oconee Nuclear Station

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287

Dear Sir:

Ultrasonic testing (UT) of the Oconee Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Shell-to-flange weld was recently completed during the end-of-cycle 9 Refueling outage. The results of the UT exam found a total of twenty-two (22) indications, nine (9) were determined to be acceptable per IWB-3510-1 of Section XI of the ASME Code and the other thirteen (13) indications were found to be acceptable per IWB-3600.

On April 8, 1986 a meeting was held with the NRC in Atlanta to discuss these indications and Duke's evaluation of acceptability. As a result of this meeting, the NRC requested additional information. A second meeting was held on April 21, 1986 with the NRC in Atlanta to discuss the additional information. Attachment A to this letter provides a copy of the slides utilized by Duke during the April 21, 1986 meeting.

As a result of the April 21, 1986 discussions, the NRC requested further information. Attached, please find the additional requested information. Briefly the attachments provides the following subject areas:

Attachment B - Stress components and method of determination for LEFM Analysis

Attachment C - Justification for Unanalyzed Transients

Attachment D - Summary of indication dimensions

Attachment E - Postulated Service Induced Growth

As indicated during the meetings, Duke has concluded that the indications found during the Oconee Unit 1 1986 Inservice Inspection and Evaluation of the reactor vessel flange-to-shell weld does not impact the integrity of this weld, thus the reactor vessel is fit for continued service. Principally, this conclusion is based on the facts that; 1) the technique utilized in sizing the indications was performed in accordance with ASME Code

8605020070 860424 PDR ADDCK 05000269 Acol

Harold R. Denton April 24, 1986 Page 2

requirements and provides conservative results; and 2) based upon the results of the Fracture Mechanics Analysis, which was performed in accordance with ASME Code Requirements, indicated that there exists a considerable amount of margin prior to exceeding ASME Code Limitations for Acceptability. More detailed information and data in support of this conclusion are provided in the attachments (Attachments A through E).

In a parallel effort Duke has initiated a testing program at the Babcock & Wilcox Mt. Vernon facility. The objectives of this test program is outlined within Attachment A. Briefly, it is Duke's intent to develop enhanced UT techniques and discriminatory methods to be used during future inspections. These enhanced techniques and discriminatory methods will assist Duke in determining if these twenty-two (22) indications are Geometric Reflectors.

Very truly yours,

Hal B. Tucker

PFG/jgm

Attachments

xc: Mrs. Helen Pastis
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

J.C. Bryant NRC Resident Inspector Oconee Nuclear Station

Dr. J. Nelson Grace, Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission - Region II 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900 Washington, D.C. 20555