



**Entergy Nuclear Northeast  
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.**

James A. FitzPatrick NPP  
P.O. Box 110  
Lycoming, NY 13093  
Tel 315-342-3840

---

**Brian R. Sullivan**  
Site Vice President – JAF

JAFP-15-0105  
August 28, 2015

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN: Document Control Desk  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

**Subject:** Fifth Six-Month Status Report in Response to March 12, 2012 Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation (Order Number EA-12-051)

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant  
Docket No. 50-333  
License No. DPR-059

- Reference:**
1. NRC Order Number, EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation, ML12056A044, dated March 12, 2012
  2. NRC Interim Staff Guidance, Compliance with Order EA-12-051, Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation, JLD-ISG-2012-03, dated August 29, 2012
  3. Industry Guidance for Compliance with NRC Order EA-12-051, To Modify Licenses with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation, NEI 12-02, Revision 1, dated August 24, 2012
  4. Entergy to NRC, Initial Status Report in Response to March 12, 2012 Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation (Order Number EA-12-051), JAFP-12-0125, dated October 29, 2012
  5. Entergy to NRC, James A. FitzPatrick Overall Integrated Plan in Response to March 12, 2012 Commission Order Modifying License with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation (Order Number EA-12-051), JAFP-13-0023, dated February 28, 2013

Dear Sir or Madam:

On March 12, 2012, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (“NRC” or “Commission”) issued an order [Reference 1] to James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAF). Reference 1 was immediately effective and directed JAF to install reliable spent fuel pool level instrumentation. Specific requirements are outlined in Attachment 2 of Reference 1.

Reference 1 required submission of an initial status report 60 days following issuance of the final interim staff guidance (Reference 2) and an overall integrated plan pursuant to Section IV, Condition C.2. Reference 2 endorsed industry guidance document NEI 12-02 Revision 1 [Reference 3] with clarifications and exceptions identified in Reference 2. Reference 4 provided the JAF initial status report regarding spent fuel pool instrumentation. Reference 5 provided the JAF overall integrated plan.

Reference 1 requires submission of a status report at six-month intervals following submittal of the overall integrated plan. Reference 3 provides direction regarding the content of the status reports. The purpose of this letter is to provide the fourth six-month status report pursuant to Section IV, Condition C.2, of Reference 1 that delineates progress made in implementing the requirements of Reference 1. The attached report provides an update of milestone accomplishments since the last status report, including any changes to the compliance method, schedule, or need for relief/relaxation and the basis, if any.

This letter contains no new regulatory commitments. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact Chris M. Adner, Regulatory Assurance Manager, at 315-349-6766.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 28<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2015.

Sincerely,



Brian R. Sullivan  
Site Vice President

BRS/CMA/mh

Attachment: James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation

cc: Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
NRC Regional Administrator  
NRC Resident Inspector  
Ms. Jessica A. Kratchman, NRR/JLD/PMB, NRC  
Mr. Douglas Pickett, Senior Project Manager  
Ms. Bridget Frymire, NYSPSC  
Mr. John B. Rhodes., President NYSERDA

**JAFP-15-0105**

**Attachment**

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the  
Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to  
Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

**(18 Pages)**

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

**1. Introduction**

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAF) developed an Overall Integrated Plan (Reference 1 in Section 8), documenting the requirements to install reliable spent fuel pool level instrumentation (SFPI), in response to Reference 2. This attachment provides an update of milestone accomplishments since the last status report, including any changes to the compliance method, schedule, or need for relief/relaxation and the basis, if any.

**2. Milestone Accomplishments**

The following milestone(s) have been completed since January 31, 2015, and are current as of July 31, 2015:

- Modifications Evaluation – The Modifications Evaluation milestone target completion date has been changed to September 2015. This new milestone target completion date does not impact the Order Implementation date.
- Design Engineering – The Design Engineering milestone target completion date has been changed to September 2015. This new milestone target completion date does not impact the Order Implementation date.
- Respond to ISE RAIs received December 12, 2013 – Complete per this submittal

**3. Milestone Schedule Status**

The following provides an update to the milestone schedule to support the Overall Integrated Plan. This section provides the activity status of each item, and the expected completion date noting any change. The dates are planning dates subject to change as design and implementation details are developed.

<b>Milestone</b>	<b>Target Completion Date</b>	<b>Activity Status</b>	<b>Revised Target Completion Date</b>
Submit 60 Day Status Report	October 2012	Complete	
Submit Overall Integrated Plan	February 2013	Complete	
<b>Submit 6 Month Updates:</b>			
Update 1	August 2013	Complete	
Update 2	February 2014	Complete	
Update 3	August 2014	Complete	
Update 4	February 2015	Complete	
Update 5	August 2015	Complete	
Update 6	February 2016	Not Started	
Update 7	August 2016	Not Started	

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

<b>Milestone</b>	<b>Target Completion Date</b>	<b>Activity Status</b>	<b>Revised Target Completion Date</b>
<b>Modifications:</b>			
Modifications Evaluation	April 2015	In Progress	September 2015
Design Engineering	April 2015	In Progress	September 2015
Implementation Outage	Fall of 2016	Not Started	
<b>Procedures:</b>			
Create Procedures	Fall of 2016	In Progress	
<b>Training:</b>			
Develop Training Plan	Fall of 2016	Not Started	
Training Complete	Fall of 2016	Not Started	
SFP LI Implementation	Fall of 2016	Not Started	
Full Site SFPI Implementation	Fall of 2016	Not Started	
Submit Completion Report	December 2016	Not Started	
Respond to ISE RAIs received December 12, 2013 (Reference 3)	March 31, 2016	Complete	
Respond to RAIs received August 29, 2013	October 3, 2013	Complete	

\*Target Completion Date is the last submitted date from either the overall integrated plan or previous six-month update

**4. Changes to Compliance Method**

There are no additional changes to the compliance method.

**5. Need for Relief/Relaxation and Basis for the Relief/Relaxation**

JAF expects to comply with the order implementation date and no relief/relaxation is required at this time.

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

**6. Open Items from Overall Integrated Plan and Interim Staff Evaluation**

FitzPatrick has received an Interim Staff Evaluation that includes 18 RAIs. Responses to the RAIs are due by March 31, 2016. The following table provides a status of the RAIs.

<b>RAI #</b>	<b>Response Status</b>
1	Submitted in Reference 7
2	See Section 9
3	See Section 9
4	See Section 9
5	Submitted in Reference 7
6	Submitted in Reference 7
7	Submitted in Reference 7
8	Submitted in Reference 7
9	Submitted in Reference 7
10	See Section 9
11	Submitted in Reference 7
12	Submitted in Reference 7
13	Submitted in Reference 7
14	Submitted in Reference 7
15	Submitted in Reference 7
16	Submitted in Reference 7
17	Submitted in Reference 7
18	Submitted in Reference 7

**7. Potential Interim Staff Evaluation Impacts**

There are no impacts to the ISE identified at this time except for those identified in Section 6.

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

**8. References**

The following references support the updates to the Overall Integrated Plan described in this attachment.

1. James A. FitzPatrick Overall Integrated Plan in Response to March 12, 2012 Commission Order Modifying License with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation (Order Number EA-12-051), JAFP-13-0023, dated February 28, 2013 (ML13063A267).
2. Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation, EA-12-051, dated March 12, 2012 (ML12056A044).
3. James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant – Interim Staff Evaluation and Request for Additional Information, Regarding the Overall Integrated Plan for Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation (TAC No. MF1076), dated December 12, 2013 (ML13338A645).
4. Summary of the November 26, 2013, Public Meeting to Discuss Industry Responses to Staff Interim Evaluations for Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation, dated December 26, 2013 (ML13347B030).
5. James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant - Request for Additional Information Regarding Overall Integrated Plan for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation (Order EA-12-051) (TAC No. MF1076), dated August 29, 2013 (ML13226A534).
6. Response to Request for Additional Information for the Overall Integrated Plan for the Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation, JAFP-13-0132, dated October 3, 2013.
7. Fourth Six-Month Status Report in Response to March 12, 2012, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation (Order Number EA-12-051), JAFP-15-0027, dated February 27, 2015 (ML15058A607).
8. Industry Guidance for Compliance with NRC Order EA-12-051, To Modify Licenses with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation, NEI 12-02, Revision 1, dated August 24, 2012 (ML12240A307)

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

**9. Responses to the Interim Staff Evaluation Requests for Additional Information**

**RAI #2**

**Please provide the results of the analyses used to verify the design criteria and methodology for seismic testing of the SFP instrumentation and the electronics units, including design basis maximum seismic loads and the hydrodynamic loads that could result from pool sloshing or other effects that could accompany such seismic forces.**

See bridging document Topic #8, 9, 12, & 13 (Section 10).

**RAI #3**

**For each of the mounting attachments required to fasten SFP Level equipment to plant structures, please describe the design inputs and the methodology that was used to qualify the structural integrity of the affected structures/equipment.**

See bridging document Topic #8, 9, 12 & 13 (Section 10).

**RAI #4**

**Please provide further information to describe how other material stored in the SFP will not create adverse interaction with the fixed instrument location(s).**

The Spent Fuel Pool is designed seismic Class I in order to withstand Design Basis Event (DBE) earthquake loads. As a part of the Engineering Change (EC) process for JAF, interferences (i.e. Refueling Group equipment, tools, control blades, etc.) in the pool shall be removed or relocated to make room for the new instruments. Additionally, this EC marks a 3.5ft exclusion zone surrounding the probes to ensure no adverse radiological, personnel, EMI/RFI (electromagnetic interference) or seismic II/I interactions with the probe instrument.

**RAI #10**

**Please provide the vendor analysis and seismic testing results and show the SFP level instrument performance reliability, following exposure to simulated seismic conditions representative of the environment anticipated for the SFP structures at JAF, has been adequately demonstrated.**

See bridging document Topics # 8, 9, 12, & 13 (Section 10).



**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

**10. JAF Bridging Document Between Vendor Technical Information and Licensee Use Based on NRC Staff Requests for Additional Information (RAIs) and NRC Vendor Audit. The following updates the Section 10 responses previously submitted in the 4<sup>th</sup> Six-Month Update Report. Note: The references noted in this section are listed at the end of the section.**

#	Topic	Parameter Summary	Vendor Reference Document #	Additional Comment	Test or Analysis Results	Licensee Evaluation
1	Design Specification	SFPI Requirements derived from References 1, 2, and 3	References 4-12, 25, 27, 28, 29, and 30			Evaluation of vendor information is within the scope of EC 52728 (Reference 33).
2	Test Strategy	Per Requirements in References 1, 2, and 3	References 4, 6-12, 25, 28, 29, 27, and 30			The equipment testing performed for the SFPI has been found to be acceptable based on the current design requirements.
3	Environmental Qualification for electronics enclosure with Display	75-112.5°F (References 13 & 36)	Reference 4		14-131°F	<p>The display/processors will be located in the Relay Room, within the Control Room Boundary. Calculation JAF-CALC-MISC-04509 (Reference 36) determines that the maximum temperature in the Relay Room, for a configuration in which no ventilation is provided and the equipment inside is powered, will be 110°F after 93 hours and 112.5°F after 144 hours.</p> <p>The normal operating temperature of the Relay Room is 75°F (Reference 13, Table 9.9-1). The SFPI vendor, MOHR, has successfully tested its system electronics to a nominal temperature range of 14°F to 131°F. The sensor electronics is capable of continuously performing its required function under the expected temperature conditions. Results of the vendor testing are available in proprietary MOHR Report 1-0410-1 Rev. 0 (Reference 4), MOHR EFP-IL SFPI System Temperature and Humidity Report.</p>

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

#	Topic	Parameter Summary	Vendor Reference Document #	Additional Comment	Test or Analysis Results	Licensee Evaluation
		5-95% RH	Reference 4		5-95% RH	<p>The SFPI vendor, MOHR, has successfully tested its system electronics to operate in a humidity range of 5% to 95% relative humidity. Results of the vendor testing are available in proprietary MOHR Report 1-0410-1 Rev. 0, MOHR EFP-IL SFPI System Temperature and Humidity Report (Reference 4).</p> <p>Humidity in the Relay Room is normally regulated by the Relay Room Ventilation and Cooling (RRHV) system at 40-50% (Reference 34). During an extended loss of AC power, the HVAC system is no longer available. Assuming the Relay Room is isolated from outside air, the temperature is expected to increase and the relative humidity is expected to decrease because the heat loads are dominated by the sensible heat of electrical equipment. Therefore, the maximum temperature of 112.5°F and humidity of 50% is still bounded by the 47 °C (116.6 °F) and 71 percent RH test case presented in MOHR Report 1-0410-1 (Reference 4) which was endorsed by the NRC Audit Report for MOHR (Reference 32).</p> <p>In the event outside air is introduced to the Relay room, due to open doors or HVAC system connections to other rooms, ASHRAE (Reference 35, Chapter 14 Appendix: Design Conditions for Selected Locations) defines the 0.4% dehumidification condition to be 80.5 °F db, 72.4 °F dew point, and ~67% RH for Syracuse, New York (Reference 35). Similarly, 84.8 °F db, 75.4 °F wb, and ~65% RH is defined for a 0.4% evaporation conditions. These conditions are bounded by the 32°C (89.6°F) and 96 percent RH test case presented in MOHR Report # 1-0410-1 (Reference 4).</p> <p>Hence, the operational humidity range of 5–95 percent RH encompasses all expected conditions for the Relay</p>

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

#	Topic	Parameter Summary	Vendor Reference Document #	Additional Comment	Test or Analysis Results	Licensee Evaluation
						Room and the sensor electronics are capable of continuously performing their required function under the expected humidity conditions.
		No radiation effects			N/A	Acceptable, the JAF Relay Room is within the Control Room boundary and considered a mild environment. No additional testing is required per NRC Audit Report for MOHR (Reference 32).
4	Environmental Testing for Level Sensor components in SFP area-Submerged Portion of Probe Body	40-212°F (References 1, 2, and 13)	Reference 5	RAD TID is the total 40 yr dose plus the 7 day worst case accident dose at the lowest spacer location on the Probe body	480°F long-term for PEEK Insulators	The NRC Audit Report for MOHR (Reference 32) concludes that the SFP-1 probe is suitable for operation in the SFP environment.
		Submerged Component (References 1 and 2)	Reference 5		PEEK Insulators capable of long term submergence	The SFP is expected to remain at or above the minimum ambient temperature of the Reactor Building (40°F) as called out in the UFSAR (Reference 13). Maximum accident condition of the spent fuel pool is taken to be 212°F boiling water/steam at atmospheric pressure. Based on the vendor analysis results, the sensitive materials in the probe body will not be challenged under the required conditions of References 1, 2, and 13, and are acceptable.
		2.04E+08 rad TID (References 1, 2, & 38)	Reference 5		10 Grad for PEEK Insulators	The NRC Audit Report for MOHR (Reference 32) concludes that the SFP-1 probe is suitable for operation in the SFP environment.  Calculation JAF-CALC-14-00025 (Reference 38) defines a worst case dose of 2.04E+08 rad to the probe via the applicable requirements of References 1 and 2. As such, the PEEK spacers are suitable for the application.

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

#	Topic	Parameter Summary	Vendor Reference Document #	Additional Comment	Test or Analysis Results	Licensee Evaluation
5	Environmental Testing for Level Sensor Electronics Housing-Probe Head located Above the SFP	40-212°F (References 1, 2, and 13)	Reference 5	Rad TID is the total 40 yr dose plus the 7 day worst case accident dose at the location	PEEK: 480°F EPDM: 194°F long-term, 12 day @ 311°F Sylgard 170: 392°F long-term	<p>The NRC Audit Report for MOHR (Reference 32) concludes that the SFP-1 probe is suitable for operation in the SFP environment.</p> <p>The SFP area is expected to remain at or above the minimum ambient temperature of the Reactor Building (40°F) as called out in the UFSAR (Reference 13). Maximum accident condition temperature and humidity directly above the spent fuel pool is taken to be a condensing steam environment which conservatively will be no greater than 212°F, the temperature of boiling water at atmospheric pressure. Based on the vendor analysis results the sensitive materials in the probe head will not be challenged under the required conditions of References 1, 2, and 13, and are acceptable.</p> <p>For coaxial transmission cable beyond the Probe Head, MOHR uses Class 1E Nuclear Safety Related RSCC Wire &amp; Cable RSS-6-110A/LE which meets the requirements of Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) 383-1974, "IEEE Standard for Type Test of Class 1 E Electric Cables, Field Splices, and Connections for Nuclear Power Generating Stations" and is acceptable (Reference 32).</p>
		0-100% RH Condensing (References 1 and 2)	Reference 5		0-100% RH for PEEK, EPDM and Sylgard 170	<p>The NRC Audit Report for MOHR (Reference 32) concludes that the SFP-1 probe is suitable for operation in the SFP environment.</p> <p>100% non-condensing RH is a conservative humidity range for normal operating conditions. Based on the vendor analysis results, the sensitive materials in the probe head will not be challenged under the required conditions of References 1 and 2, and are acceptable.</p>

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

#	Topic	Parameter Summary	Vendor Reference Document #	Additional Comment	Test or Analysis Results	Licensee Evaluation
		2.13E+07 rad TID (References 1, 2, and 38)	Reference 5		PEEK: 10 Grad EPDM: 2 Grad Sylgard 170: 200 Mrad	The NRC Audit Report for MOHR (Reference 32) concludes that the SFP-1 probe is suitable for operation in the SFP environment.  Calculation JAF-CALC-14-00025 (Reference 38) defines a worst case dose of approximately 2.13E+07 rad to the area above the SFP. Based on the vendor analysis results, the sensitive materials in the probe head will not be challenged under the required conditions of References 1, 2, and 38 and are acceptable.
<b>6</b>	Thermal & Radiation Aging-organic components in SFP area	See Topics #4 and 5 above	Reference 5		See above Topics #4 and 5	Acceptable, vendor test/analysis bound licensee parameters, see discussion above in Topics #4 and 5.
<b>7</b>	Basis for Dose Requirement	References 1 and 2	N/A			Entergy Calculation Procedure EN-DC-126 (Reference 14) was used to develop calculations JAF-CALC-14-00024 (Reference 37) and JAF-CALC-14-00025 (Reference 38) based on the requirements of NEI 12-02 (Reference 2) and EA-12-051 (Reference 1). The calculations determine conservative source terms and dose rates at key instrument locations for both a 7 day accident scenario and 40 year TID.
<b>8</b>	Seismic Qualification	Seismic Class I (References 1, 2, 3, 13)	References 8 and 11		Seismic Class 1	Acceptable, MOHR has prepared a series of generic seismic qualification reports for the SFP level instrument which bound JAF's seismic criteria. The qualification reports envelop all components of the new SFP level instrumentation required to be operational following a BDBEE and post-event. These documents are MOHR Reports 1-0410-6 (Reference 8) and 1-0410-9 (Reference 11).

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

#	Topic	Parameter Summary	Vendor Reference Document #	Additional Comment	Test or Analysis Results	Licensee Evaluation
						<p>Calculation JAF-CALC-15-00005 (Reference 39) accounts for seismic loads and shows that the SFPI Probe Mounting Bracket is structurally adequate and seismically qualified because all Interaction Ratios (IR) are less than one (1.0).</p> <p>Reference Topic #9 for discussion of induced sloshing.</p>
9	Sloshing	Water induced motion from seismic event does not cause equipment structural failure	References 8, 11, 28 and 29	See Topic #8		<p>Acceptable. The MOHR generic seismic qualification reports (References 8 and 11) in combination with NAI Reports NAI-1725-003 and NAI-1725-004 (References 28 and 29) adequately bound the hydrodynamic loads associated with sloshing for JAF.</p> <p>Calculation JAF-CALC-15-00005 (Reference 39) accounts for sloshing and shows that the SFPI Probe Mounting Bracket is structurally adequate and seismically qualified as all Interaction Ratios (IR) are less than one (1.0).</p>
10	Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation System Functionality	System must allow for routine, in situ functionality	References 20, 21, and 22			<p>The system features on board electrical diagnostics. SFPI channel/equipment maintenance/preventative maintenance and testing program requirements to ensure design and system readiness will be established in accordance with Entergy's processes and procedures and in consideration of vendor recommendations to ensure that appropriate regular testing, channel checks, functional tests, periodic calibration, and maintenance are performed (and available for inspection and audit). The instrument automatically monitors the integrity of its level measurement system using in-situ capability. Revision 0 of the manuals have been provided by the vendor (References 20, 21, and 22) for use, although it is possible these could be amended by the vendor in the future based on installation experience.</p>

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

#	Topic	Parameter Summary	Vendor Reference Document #	Additional Comment	Test or Analysis Results	Licensee Evaluation
11	Boron Build-Up	Buildup cannot produce error greater than 1' including all other error source terms (References 1 and 2)	Reference 10		Boron buildup can produce a maximum error of 2.5 inches	<p>Acceptable, MOHR Report 1-0410-8 (Reference 10) concludes that the presence of borated water and/or boric acid deposits will not significantly impair the ability of the MOHR EFP-IL SFPI system to accurately measure water level in the SFP environment. Regardless of these findings, JAF is a BWR and does not use borated water in their SFP.</p> <p>Previous Topic #10 already discusses maintenance / preventative maintenance requirements being established in consideration of vendor recommendations (which includes and bounds those associated with boron build-up). Similarly, Topic #20 below discusses overall calibration or channel functional testing methodology expected to be based on vendor stated accuracy along with comparison of SFPI channels to actual pool level (which would also bound boron build-up effects specified in Reference 32). Visual inspection and/or wash down of the probe assembly could be initiated by accuracy requirements or routine inspection. The probe head assembly includes a connection mechanism for flushing water to remove boron build-up as may be necessary. Alternatively, the SFP water level can be raised until it covers and dissolves the boric acid deposit (Reference 22).</p>
12	Pool-side Bracket Seismic Analysis (References 1, 2, and 13)	Seismic Class I (References 1, 2, and 13)	Reference 11	See Topic #8	Seismic Class I	<p>Calculation JAF-CALC-15-00005 (Reference 39) shows that the SFPI Probe Mounting Bracket is structurally adequate and seismically qualified as all Interaction Ratios (IR) are less than one (1.0).</p>

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051,  
Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

#	Topic	Parameter Summary	Vendor Reference Document #	Additional Comment	Test or Analysis Results	Licensee Evaluation
13	Additional Brackets (Sensor Electronics and Electronics Enclosure)	Seismic Class I (References 1, 2, 3, and 13)	Reference 8	See Topic #8	Seismic Class I	Equipment installed in the Relay Room at EL. 284'-8" shall be installed as Seismic Class I. EC52728 (Reference 33) accounts for seismic accelerations and shows that the additional brackets can withstand the maximum loads imposed onto them without requiring further calculations.
14	Shock & Vibration	(References 1, 2, 3) MIL-STD-167-1 for vibration and MIL-STD-901D (Reference 19) for shock	References 7, 11, and 30		IEC 60068-2-27 (2008-02) (Reference 15) IEC 60068-2-6 (2007-12) (Reference 16)	<p>The NRC Audit Report for MOHR (Reference 32) concludes that the shock and vibration test results were satisfactory. The report also acknowledges that the testing performed in MOHR Report 1-0410-16 (Reference 30) is sufficient to close the open item identified during the MOHR audit.</p> <p>Acceptable, the vendor testing provided adequately addresses the requirements for general robustness of the enclosures. The probe and repairable head are essentially a coax cable system that is considered inherently resistant to shock and vibration. The probes and repairable head are evaluated to be adequately designed for resilience against shock and vibration (Reference 30).</p> <p>The new probe mounting components and fasteners are seismically qualified and designed as rigid components inherently resistant to vibration effects. The probes will be affixed to the bracket using a machine screw connection designed with proper thread engagement and lock washers.</p> <p>The indicator and battery enclosures will be mounted in the Relay Room (Reference 33), which is within the Control Room Boundary. The equipment is not affixed or adjacent to any rotating machinery that would cause vibration effects in the area of installation. The new instrument mounting components and fasteners are</p>



**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

#	Topic	Parameter Summary	Vendor Reference Document #	Additional Comment	Test or Analysis Results	Licensee Evaluation
						seismically qualified and designed as rigid components inherently resistant to vibration effects. There are no expected impacts from adjacent objects during the BDBEE or design basis earthquake requirements imposed by NEI 12-02.
15	Requirements Traceability Matrix	Software Traceability Matrix Required for Software Evaluation of Equipment	Reference 23			The instrument software Verification and Validation was performed by MOHR per Revision 2 of MOHR Report 1-0410-11 (Reference 23).
16	Factory Acceptance Test	Must demonstrate functionality of full EFP-IL and SFP-1	MOHR FAT Procedure			Acceptable channel factory acceptance tests have been completed successfully.
17	Channel Accuracy	+/- 1 foot (Reference 2)	References 20 & 27		3.0 in max, not including boric acid deposition or boiling effects	Appendix A of Reference 20 states that the absolute accuracy is 76.2 mm or 3.0 in, not including boric acid deposition effects. This error complies with the limit of ±1 foot set by NEI 12-02 (Reference 2). See line 11 for boric acid deposition effects. Additionally, the probe is designed to produce accurate level indication in boiling and frothing (multiphase) environments (Reference 27).
18	Power Consumption	120 VAC, 60 Hz (References 13 and 26)	References 9, 12, and 26		85-264 VAC 47-63 HZ Maximum 18.83 W, average 11.48 W	The NRC Audit Report for MOHR (Reference 32) concludes that no deficits were identified with respect to function reliability, accuracy, or calibration as a result of power interruption. Acceptable, the power requirements for the instrument are met by the power supply that will provide normal

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

#	Topic	Parameter Summary	Vendor Reference Document #	Additional Comment	Test or Analysis Results	Licensee Evaluation
						<p>AC power to the units.</p> <p>MOHR Report 1-0410-10 (Reference 12) concludes that the accuracy is not affected by an interruption in power.</p>
		7 day battery life required	Reference 9		7 day battery life @ 15 samples per hour rate	<p>The NRC Audit Report for MOHR (Reference 32) concludes that battery life capability is satisfactory.</p> <p>Acceptable, the instrument testing demonstrates the battery capacity is sufficient for the maximum duration required by References 1 and 2.</p>
<b>19</b>	Technical Manual	N/A	References 21 and 22			<p>Revision 0 of the manuals have been provided by the vendor (References 21 and 22) for use, although it is possible these could be amended by the vendor in the future based on installation experience.</p>
<b>20</b>	Calibration	Must allow for in-situ calibration	References 20, 21, and 22	System is calibrated using CT-100 device and processing of scan files by vendor. Dry scan from original installation must be maintained		<p>Revision 0 of the manuals have been provided by the vendor (References 20, 21, and 22) for use, although it is possible these could be amended by the vendor in the future based on installation experience. Previous Topic #10 already discusses maintenance / preventative maintenance requirements being established in consideration of vendor recommendations.</p> <p>Overall calibration or channel functional testing methodology is expected to be based on vendor stated accuracy and to incorporate a comparison of SFPI channels to actual pool level as well as a SFPI cross channel comparison.</p>

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

#	Topic	Parameter Summary	Vendor Reference Document #	Additional Comment	Test or Analysis Results	Licensee Evaluation
<b>21</b>	Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA)	System provides reliable indication of fuel pool level, consistent with the requirements of References 1 & 2.	Reference 31		SFPI system will meet requirements of References 1 and 2 when installed as required	Acceptable, the FMEA provided adequately addresses failure modes and effects for the full instrument channel with credit taken for the use of two redundant channels provided the installation meets all requirements stipulated in References 1 & 2.
<b>22</b>	Emissions Testing	EPRI TR-102323, Rev. 3 (Reference 17)	References 6 and 25		EPRI TR-102323, Rev. 3 (Reference 17)	Acceptable, MOHR reports 1-0410-4 (Reference 6) and 1-0410-4-S1 (Reference 25) demonstrate the new SFPI satisfies the EMI/RFI compliance guidelines of Revision 3 of EPRI TR-102323 (Reference 17) in accordance with Entergy Engineering Standard EN-IC-S-004-MULTI (Reference 24). As demonstrated in the MOHR System EMC Test Report and Supplemental Information (References 6 and 25), the SFPI system passed the High Frequency Radiated and Conducted Emissions testing.  FLEX Support Guidelines (FSG) governing the use of the SFPI will include a cautionary statement to preclude radio usage within close proximity to the displays.

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051,  
Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation Order (EA-12-051)  
Bridging Document Between Vendor Technical Information and Licensee Use  
Based on NRC Staff Requests for Additional Information (RAIs) and NRC Vendor Audit

References for Section 10:

1. ML12054A679, NRC Order EA-12-051, "ORDER MODIFYING LICENSES WITH REGARD TO RELIABLE SPENT FUEL POOL INSTRUMENTATION", Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2012
2. ML12240A307, NEI 12-02 Rev. 1, "Industry Guidance for compliance with NRC Order EA-12-051, "To Modify Licenses with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation" August, 2012.
3. ML12221A339, Rev. 0, JLD-ISG-2012-03, "Compliance with Order EA-12-051, Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation", August 29, 2012, Nuclear Regulatory Commission Japan Lessons-Learned Project Directorate.
4. 1-0410-1 "MOHR EFP-IL SFPI System Temperature and Humidity Test Report"
5. 1-0410-2 "MOHR SFP-1 Level Probe Assembly Materials Qualification Report"
6. 1-0410-4 "MOHR EFP-IL SFPI System EMC Test Report"
7. 1-0410-5 "MOHR EFP-IL SFPI System Shock and Vibration Test Report"
8. 1-0410-6 "MOHR EFP-IL SFPI System Seismic Test Report"
9. 1-0410-7 "MOHR EFP-IL SFPI System Battery Life Report"
10. 1-0410-8 "MOHR EFP-IL SFPI System Boric Acid Deposition Report"
11. 1-0410-9 "MOHR SFP-1 Level Probe Assembly Seismic Analysis Report"
12. 1-0410-10 "MOHR EFP-IL SFPI System Power Interruption Report"
13. UFSAR , Rev. 4, "James A. FitzPatrick Updated Safety Analysis Report"
14. EN-DC-126, Rev 5, "Engineering Calculation Process"
15. IEC 60068-2-27 (2008-02) "Environmental Testing-Part 2-27: Tests-Test Ea and Guidance: Shock"
16. IEC 60068-2-6 (2007-12) "Environmental Testing-Part 2-6: Tests-Test Fc: Vibration (sinusoidal)"
17. EPRI TR-102323, Rev. 3, "Guidelines for Electromagnetic Interference of Power Plant Equipment"
18. MIL-STD-167-1 "Mechanical Vibrations of Shipboard Equipment (Type 1-Environmentally and Type II-Internally Excited)"
19. MIL-S-901D "Shock Tests H.I.(High Impact) shipboard Machinery, Equipment, and Systems, Requirements for"
20. 1-0410-12 "EFP-IL Signal Processor Operator's Manual"
21. 1-0410-13 "EFP-IL Signal Processor Technical Manual"
22. 1-0410-14 "SFP-1 Level Probe Assembly Technical Manual"
23. 1-0410-11 "MOHR EFP-IL SFPI System Software Verification and Validation"

**James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant's (JAF's) Fifth Six-Month Status Report for the Implementation of Order EA-12-051, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation**

24. EN-IC-S-004-MULTI, Rev. 001, "EMI/RFI Design Considerations"
25. 1-0410-4-S1 "MOHR EFP-IL SFPI Supplemental EMC Information"
26. MOHR Drawing 1-0430-20 "EFP-IL System Electrical Diagram"
27. 1-0410-15 "MOHR EFP-IL SFPI System Uncertainty Analysis"
28. NAI-1725-003, Rev. 0 "GOTHIC Verification and Sensitivity Studies for Predicting Hydrodynamic Response to Acceleration in Rectangular Shaped Pools"
29. NAI-1725-004, Rev. 3 "Seismic Induced Hydraulic Response in the CGS Spent Fuel Pool"
30. 1-0410-16, "MOHR SFP-1 Level Probe Assembly Shock and Vibration Test Report"
31. EVAL-194-4812-01 "MOHR EFP-IL Liquid Level Measurement System Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA)"
32. Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 - Report for the Onsite Audit of MOHR Regarding Implementation of Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation Related to Order EA-12-051 (TAC NOS. MF0761 and MF0762) dated August 27, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No ML14216A362)
33. EC52728, Rev 0, "FUKUSHIMA - SPENT FUEL POOL LEVEL INSTRUMENTATION"
34. DBD-070, Rev. 14, "Entergy Nuclear Northeast James. A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant Design Basis Document for the Control Room Relay Room Ventilation and Cooling Systems"
35. 2009 ASHRAE Handbook Fundamentals, I-P Edition
36. JAF-CALC-MISC-04509, Rev. 0, "Main Control Room Heat-Up During Extended Loss of Offsite Power"
37. JAF-CALC-14-00024, Rev. 0, "Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation Source Term Calculation"
38. JAF-CALC-14-00025, Rev. 0, "Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation Shielding Calculation"
39. JAF-CALC-15-00005, Rev. 0, "Spent Fuel Pool Level Probe Mounting Bracket"