

**SRI Foundation Communications with Indian Tribes  
Regarding the Proposed Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte Projects  
November 4, 2011 to August 21, 2012**

About this table: This table summarizes communications between the SRI Foundation (SRIF) and Indian tribes for the proposed Crow Butte Projects and Dewey-Burdock between November 4, 2011 and August 21, 2012. The applicants for these proposed projects are Cameco Resources (Cameco) and Powertech (USA) Inc. (Powertech), respectively. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) requested that the applicants gather information about places of religious and cultural significance that may be affected by their proposed projects. NRC will use the information to complete its government to government consultations with the Indian tribes. The letters of November 4, 2011, and December 9, 2011, were sent to the Tribal Chairs or Presidents, and copied to the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO), or Cultural Resources Office, when present. SRI Foundation's follow up has been with the THPO or someone in a tribe's Cultural Resource Office. In cases where a tribe has not identified someone to contact or does not have such a person, SRI Foundation has communicated directly with the Tribal Chair or President. This table includes the tribal consultation meetings and teleconferences regarding the projects in which the applicants and SRI Foundation participated, and the on-site reconnaissance that the applicants hosted. It does not include direct communications between NRC and the tribes on the projects.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe		
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe		
Crow Tribe		
Eastern Shoshone Tribe (Shoshone Tribe of the Wind River Reservation)		
Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe		
Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Indian Reservation (Fort Peck)	Dewey-Burdock	On 11/4/11 Ms. Sebastian (SRIF) sent tribal chairs w/ cc to THPO/Cultural Resources Office a follow up to Mr. Hsueh's letter (10/28); Powertech to gather information for NRC; reviews the Section 106 undertaking & possible effects on historic properties; summarizes sites in Dewey-Burdock project area; asks about tribe's participation interest; and introduces Ms. Graham.
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe		
Lower Sioux Indian Community		
Arapahoe Tribe of the Wind River Reservation (Northern Arapaho Tribe)		
Northern Cheyenne Tribe		
Oglala Sioux Tribe		

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Official Hearing Exhibit	
	<b>In the Matter of:</b> CROW BUTTE RESOURCES, INC. (License Renewal for the In Situ Leach Facility, Crawford, Nebraska)
<b>ASLBP #:</b> 08-867-02-OLA-BD01 <b>Docket #:</b> 04008943 <b>Exhibit #:</b> INT-033-00-BD01 <b>Admitted:</b> 8/18/2015 <b>Rejected:</b> <b>Other:</b>	<b>Identified:</b> 8/18/2015 <b>Withdrawn:</b> <b>Stricken:</b>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Ponca Tribe of Nebraska		
Rosebud Sioux Tribe		
Santee Sioux Nation		
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate		
Spirit Lake Tribe		
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe		
Yankton Sioux Tribe		
Three Affiliated Tribes [Mandan, Hidatsa and Arikara Nation]	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/4/11 Sebastian sent Mr. Hall w/ cc to Mr. Crows Breast a follow up to Hsueh's letter (10/28); Powertech to gather information for NRC; reviews the Section 106 undertaking &amp; possible effects on historic properties; summarizes sites in Dewey-Burdock project area; asks about tribe's participation interest; and introduces Graham. References tribe's 9/20/11 letter re "No Adverse Affect/No Historic Properties Affected" for the Dewey-Burdock project.</p> <p>SRIF has no plans to follow up with the tribe re the Dewey-Burdock project at this time.</p>
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians (Turtle Mountain Chippewa)	Dewey-Burdock	<p>Per earlier correspondence between NRC and TMC, SRIF has no plans to contact the tribe at this time.</p>
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/15/11, Graham called as follow up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing; Mr. Vance on travel, back in office on 11/17. Graham will call again.</p> <p>On 11/22/11, Graham called and spoke to Vance as follow up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing. Tribe definitely wants to be involved. Archaeological surveys do not necessarily identify or interpret historic properties that are significant to tribes. Need a survey by tribal members; the one place they stopped at Dewey-Burdock in June -- features on hill and going to it had been overlooked. Probably snow now -- May would be good (little ground cover, reliable weather). Bryan In The Woods, council member and on the committee the THPO answers to. Copy him on information sent to THPO; In The Woods can speak re the cultural issues and on behalf of the council, which Vance could not.</p> <p>On 11/23/11, Graham e-mailed Vance w/ cc to Mr. In The Woods. She thanked Vance for taking the time to speak with her on the previous day. She said that SRIF is working with NRC and Powertech to schedule a meeting about Dewey-Burdock, and possibly Crow Butte. She will let him know when there is more information. Graham also attached the 11/4 letter to CRST and Attachment B, so In The Woods had this information.</p>
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/15/11, Graham called as follow up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing and was told to call back tomorrow -- offices closed.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
		<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and spoke with Skyler, who said Ms. Wells was on travel. Skyler had SRIF's letter in front of her, and would give it to Wells when she returned.</p> <p>On 11/22/11, Graham called and spoke to Wells who said the tribe was very interested in the Dewey-Burdock project. She had not been able to make the June meeting, and had asked to be kept informed. She had the material in front of her but had not had the opportunity to look at it. Graham asked if more convenient to talk now or after she had reviewed it. Wells said later would be better, and that she would contact Graham.</p>
Crow Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/15/11, Graham called as follow up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing; left a voice-mail (voice mail) for Mr. Old Horn and asked for a call-back.</p> <p>On 11/23/11, Graham explained she was following up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing, and had also called last week; left voice mail message asking for a call-back.</p>
Eastern Shoshone Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and left a voice mail message for Mr. Ferris - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail</p> <p>On 12/2/11, Graham called and left a voice mail message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail</p>
Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and left voice mail message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail from Mr. Weston</p> <p>On 11/29/11, Graham called and left voice mail message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail</p> <p>On 11/29/11, Graham called and was forwarded to Weston's voice mail -- did not leave a message.</p>
Fort Peck	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called as follow up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing and spoke to Mr. Youpee. Youpee said that the tribe would have an interest in the project. He said it needs a TCP study, and to do a site visit to get an idea of the area. Youpee is doing similar studies in other areas. Consultation/gathering should include consortium of tribes (not one-by-one). Recommended a site visit on 12/1.</p> <p>On 11/30/11, Graham called to follow up with Youpee that a 12/1 site visit would not take place. Youpee characterized the June 2011 meeting as preliminary, with no agreements made. He said that the tribes need to hammer out agreement w/ NRC. Youpee and Graham discussed what may constitute g-2-g consultation and relationship (fact finding builds up to that, when decision-makers are not in the room). Youpee thought NRC should be working on having agreements in place and understanding what involved in TCP study during these winter months -- in clear weather, the tribes need to be out in the field. Youpee asked Graham to e-mail a summary of this conversation, and he will use it in calling for a meeting w/ NRC.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
		<p>On 12/1/11, Per Youpee's request, Graham sent Youpee an e-mail summarizing their conversation and providing Yilma's contact information. Graham attached a copy of SRIF's 11/4 letter as well.</p>
<p>Lower Brule Sioux Tribe</p>	<p>Dewey-Burdock</p>	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called to follow up on 11/4 letter; Ms. Green out of the office                      On 11/29/11, Graham spoke to Green to follow up on 11/4 letter. Green said she is not in favor of that mine because there are a number of environmental and cultural resource issues associated with that area/Black Hills, which she did not have time to go into. The LBST is a small tribe w/o many resources. Green would like to stay advised of the project. If possible, she would participate in the NEPA process as well as Section 106. Green is not sure whether their office could participate in a meeting or send elders, but would, if possible; Pierre most convenient meeting venue. LBST would support other tribes closer to the area. She said that if a meeting was held in Pierre, then they would be able to participate. Graham said she would keep Green updated.</p>
<p>Lower Sioux Indian Community</p>	<p>Dewey-Burdock</p>	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and explained that she was following up on the 11/4 letter. Mr. Morse said that the tribe had no comments now; it is concentrating on projects in Minnesota and the local tribes could handle this project. He would like updates on what is going on, but would not expect to give comments.</p>
<p>Northern Arapaho Tribe</p>	<p>Dewey-Burdock</p>	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and left a voice mail message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail from Ms. Conrad                      On 11/16/11, Graham called and left a voice mail message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail</p>
<p>Northern Cheyenne Tribe</p>	<p>Dewey-Burdock</p>	<p>On 12/7/11, Conrad left a message at SRIF for Graham to call her back                      On 11/16/11, Graham called and left a voice mail message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail from Mr. Fisher                      On 11/17/11, Fisher returned Graham's telephone call of the previous day. Graham explained the purpose of her call and the results of conversations with other THPOs. Fisher requested e-mail copies of the 11/4 mailing, which Graham sent. He said he would be interested in attending a site visit, or in a round table discussion. He provided information about conducting TCP surveys, confidentiality, and working with tribes and federal agencies.</p>
		<p>On 11/18/11, Fisher e-mailed Graham to say that he had reviewed her e-mail and attached letter, and the NCT is interested in participating in any tribal consultation that may occur. NCT is concerned with cultural resources in the permit area that may be adversely affected by the undertaking.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and explained to Mr. Laysbad that she was following up on the 11/4 letter. Laysbad said that he seemed to recall it, and that he had sent a compliance letter, concurring with what the project is doing. Graham's impression was that Laysbad had a different project in mind. In response to Graham saying that she assumed he would want to be kept informed, Mr. Laysbad said ok.</p>
Ponca Tribe of Nebraska	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and explained that she was following up on the 11/4 letter. She spoke to both Mr. Robinette and his assistant, Ms. Hamilton, who handles most of these issues. They agreed that the Dewey-Burdock project, near the Black Hills, would be of most concern to the Sioux tribes. The Ponca Tribe of Nebraska would like to be kept in the loop (e.g., informed of what other tribes are responding, updates, inform re any face-to-face meetings), but will let the other tribes take the lead. Ponca Tribe would not act without the Sioux nation, and probably would defer to them. Would not expect any Ponca sites of traditional religious &amp; cultural significance here, anyway.</p>
Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and spoke to Ms. Arcoren, explaining that she was following up on the 11/4 letter. Mr. Eagle Bear was down at the Council. Graham requested that Mr. Eagle Bear return her call.</p> <p>On 11/23/11, Graham called for Eagle Bear. He is a council representative, and therefore only part time in this office. Graham then spoke with his administrative assistant, Arcoren. Arcoren had given Eagle Bear Graham's message of the previous week but he has not gotten back to her. Graham provided a brief update -- that a number of tribes had suggested a face-to-face meeting. Arcoren said that was a good idea. Graham said she would keep them updated. She confirmed telephone was the best form of contact, and also e-mail.</p>
Santee Sioux Nation	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham explained that she was following up on the 11/4 letter. Mr. Thomas did not remember seeing it and asked Graham to e-mail it to him. Graham e-mailed the materials to Thomas, and said that the tribal office would also have it.</p> <p>On 12/1/11, Graham called to follow up w/ Thomas. He has not had a chance to read the letter, and was not familiar with the project (initially thought it was another one in Wyoming). Graham asked Thomas to call back with comments or questions after he has had a chance to review it.</p> <p>In a letter addressed to Yilma [copied to Sebastian], Thomas responded to Sebastian's 11/4/11 letter. Thomas wrote that the Santee Sioux Nation is 1 of 8 signatory bands to the Ft. Laramie Treaty (1868); a major concern is to ensure g2g relationship. Thomas requested consultation and would like to participate in field visits and interviews as part of the information gathering for the proposed project. Thomas enclosed Attachment C of Sebastian's 11/4/11 letter, indicating that the tribe "would like to be informed ..." and "would like to participate ..."</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called Ms. Desrosiers to follow up on 11/4 letter; Desrosiers was out. Graham explained the purpose of her call to Mr. Whitted, who said he usually responded to these sorts of inquiries. Whitted spoke of the need for NRC to respond to the tribes' request for a TCP survey and consultation. He said that it was important for NRC to first sit down with the tribes to discuss how to proceed, and then the tribes would need to conduct a TCP survey. He said that the tribe did not intend to halt progress, but it needed to protect cultural resources and the law required consultation. A round table discussion would need to be with a group of tribes, not one-by-one. He thought that the Sioux tribes, in particular, would be interested in this project.</p>
Spirit Lake Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called the main office asking for Ms. Shaw to follow up on 11/4 letter. Graham was referred to a couple of other numbers to try to reach a Mr. Smith, who works in Cultural Resources.</p> <p>On 11/29/11, Graham called the main office asking for Shaw to follow up on 11/4 letter, and was given the phone number for the "Central Repository Project," where Graham left a voice mail identifying herself and explaining the purpose of her call. Graham left contact information and requested a call back.</p>
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and left a voice mail message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail from Ms. Young</p> <p>On 11/22/11, Graham called Young, who said Mr. Clothier was handling this matter, and transferred the call. Clothier initially expressed his frustration at NRC's response to June meeting and SRI Foundation's involvement. Graham explained that she had little information, although she had reviewed the June transcript; our letter and this phone call was to get more information. Clothier explained why the tribes need to conduct a survey, why they cannot just "tell you where the sites are" and why it will not work to just go previously identified archaeological sites. First step is a face-to-face meeting, at which NRC MUST be present. No problem with SRIF being there -- in fact, should. Clothier suggested SRIF give tribes several dates and see what happens. Cameco project might be addressed at the same time.</p>
Yankton Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/23/11, Graham called and left a voice mail message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail from Ms. Gravatt</p> <p>On 11/29/11, Graham called and was told that Gravatt is out of the office until 11/30.</p> <p>On 12/1/11, Graham called and left a voice mail message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Apache Tribe of Oklahoma		
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes		
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe		
Comanche Nation		
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe		
Crow Tribe		
Eastern Shoshone Tribe		
Fort Peck		
Kiowa Indian Tribe		
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe		
Three Affiliated Tribes [Mandan, Hidatsa and Arikara Nation]	Crow Butte	On 12/9/11, LS sent tribal chairs w/ cc to THPO/Cultural Resources Office a follow up to Hsueh's letter (10/28); Cameco to gather information for NRC; asks about tribe's interest and possible participation; provides maps and other information about the areas and the ISR process.
Northern Arapaho Tribe		
Northern Cheyenne Tribe		
Oglala Sioux Tribe		
Pawnee Nation		
Ponca Tribe of Nebraska		
Rosebud Sioux Tribe		
Santee Sioux Nation		
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe		
Turtle Mountain Chippewa Tribe		
Yankton Sioux Tribe		
Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Crow Butte	On 12/16/11, Graham called to follow up on the 12/9 SRIF mailing re Crow Butte, but only got a recorded message and could not leave a message. (NB: Letter sent to Alonzo Chalepah, but tribal chair is Louis Maynahonah)
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes	Crow Butte	On 12/16/11, Graham spoke first with Ms. Gray, who no longer handles these matters. Gray forward Graham to Ms. Anquoe. Graham explained that she is following up on the Crow Butte mailing. Anquoe has not seen the mailing. Graham identified herself as a 3rd party consultant working for Cameco to help gather information that NRC can use in its g2g consultations with the tribe. Anquoe asked Graham to e-mail her contact information. Graham said she would also send a copy of the letter. If the packet with the CD does not come, then Graham can send another, if Anquoe lets her know. Graham e-mailed Anquoe a copy of the letter.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/12/11, Graham called to update Vance on the Dewey-Burdock, and said that there was the possibility of meeting 1/10-13 or 17-20, but dates were preliminary. She also said that the tribe would soon be receiving a similar letter to our November Powertech letter about Cameco. Vance and Graham talked about g2g consult -- agreed that people "in the middle" had a role to play to prepare information for decision-makers, and such interactions were important, worthwhile, and could involve 3rd party consultants' participation and information gathering. If a gov agency is not responsive, then the tribe might insist on hi levels meeting with its tribal council. Vance had looked at the 11/4 letter -- the "response" letter did not have an appropriate box: "participate in on-the-ground identification and evaluation of TCPs." Re Crow Butte -- tribes had agreed to support Oglala; Mesteth was supposed to write up a response. Vance referred Graham to the 8/30 letter from Clothier (STST) to Hsueh re tribes' concerns</p>
Comanche Nation – Oklahoma	Crow Butte	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham left a voice mail message identifying herself and explaining that she is following up on Sebastian's letter to Mr. Coffey (copied to Mr. Arterberry). Graham said she wanted to know if the tribe had questions or concerns, and whether the tribe wanted to participate in information gathering. Graham gave her contact number and asked Arterberry to get back to her.</p>
Comanche Nation – Oklahoma	Crow Butte	<p>On 12/19/11, Arterberry left a voice mail message asking Graham to call him back. Graham called back and they discussed the SRIF mailing re Crow Butte. Arterberry says that the Crow Butte project is on the edge of the Comanche territory, so the tribe might be interested, but needs to know more about the bigger picture -- needs copies of the archaeological reports, and is also interested in knowing what the SHPO has had to say, and whether the SHPO has any concerns. He noted that, from the materials SRIF sent, that there is a lot of cultivation in the area, but again said he needs more information. Arterberry said he thought this area probably used more by migratory peoples, and expected them to have more interest and concerns. Graham mentioned the possibility of a meeting re Crow Butte (back-to-back with a Dewey-Burdock meeting). Arterberry says tribe consults only within own area, unless they go to consult in Washington DC.</p>
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham provided Wells with an update on Dewey-Burdock: tribes see next step as a meeting w/ NRC. Wells agreed. Graham gave proposed dates in Jan; Wells is fine with either, or early February. Graham confirmed that Wells had received the Crow Butte mailing. Wells would be ok w/ back-to-back meetings for Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte. Graham will keep her posted.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Crow Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham left a voice mail message explaining that she was calling about both the Dewey-Burdock project, for which she had called before, and the Crow Butte project, about which SRIF had recently sent a letter. Graham said that, based on information from the tribes, she is inquiring about interest in participating in a meeting that NRC would have w/ the tribes about Dewey-Burdock proposed dates are in Jan. Graham asked Old Horn to let her know about these dates, or if Feb dates might be more feasible. Graham said it was possible that there would be a separate meeting about Crow Butte following the Dewey-Burdock meeting. Graham left her contact information &amp; requested a call back.</p>
Eastern Shoshone Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham left a voice mail that she was following up on the 12/9 SRIF mailing re Crow Butte. Graham also gave an update on Dewey-Burdock project -- tribes want a meeting w/ NRC to decide next steps. Proposed meeting dates in Jan, or possibly in Feb. Graham requested a call back.</p> <p>On 12/20/11, Ferris returned Graham's telephone call. He has a conflict for the second Jan dates -- Jan 10-13 would work. Asked what other tribes were interested -- Graham reviewed them.</p>
Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/19/11, Graham called and left a voice mail message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail</p>
Fort Peck	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/20/11, Youpee called Graham and said that he could not meet on Jan 18-19, and thought meeting on Jan 10-13 would be best. Youpee did not want to delay the meeting to February. He recommended meeting in Hot Springs or Rapid City. Youpee agreed that, as long as Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte projects were kept separate, and the relevant information was brought to both, back-to-back meetings made sense. He provided suggestions about length and content of meetings. He said to make sure that there were competent people from NRC there -- the tribes have spent time training them, and have a lot more experience with Section 106 than any agency. NRC needs at least one decision-maker in the group. Graham asked about SRIF being in the room for all or part of the meeting -- Youpee said tribes know how to work with 3rd party consultants &amp; archaeologists -- just do not want the 3rd party consultants talking on behalf of NRC. This is not g2g yet -- THPOs need to take info back to Tribal Chairs &amp; Council who will sign. Graham asked why Youpee said they would need an NRC decision maker at the meeting. Youpee explained that it is because it is *their* program -- not the program of their delegated authority. If the tables were turned, then tribal Chairs would be asking to meet with NRC. For these meetings, tribes are in the information-gathering mode. When they feel they have enough information -- hammer out a PA.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Kiowa Indian Tribe	Crow Butte	On 12/16/11, Graham called to speak with Mr. Tofpi, but he is no longer Chairman. The current chair, Ronald Two Hatchet and his secretary were both out. Graham said she would call back.
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte	On 12/16/11, Graham reached Green who asked her to call back in 20 minutes so Green could finish something. Graham did not manage to call Green back that day.
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/19/11, Graham left a voice mail message for Green, explaining it had 2 purposes - follow up on the 12/9 Crow Butte mailing, and let Green know about the meeting that SRIF is helping to set up for tribes and NRC. Listed dates. Graham requested a call back.
Three Affiliated Tribes [Mandan, Hidatsa and Arikara Nation]	Crow Butte	On 12/16/11, Graham left a voice mail explaining that she had called to follow up on the 12/9 SRIF mailing re Crow Butte. Graham said she understood that, per other communications between the tribe and NRC, that there were no adverse effects re Dewey-Burdock project, but that tribe may want an update. Referenced possible meeting in Jan/Feb for Dewey-Burdock project, and possibility of back-to-back meeting re Crow Butte project.
Northern Arapaho Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 12/12/11, Conrad called and left a message for Graham to return her call. Conrad said that they had found the 11/4 letter re Dewey-Burdock, and she had not had a chance to go through it yet. The tribal chair is now Jim Shakespeare. The tribe would be interested in the project, though its priority is Wyoming. Conrad supported having a meeting with the tribes and agency(ies) present. Graham said SRIF would likely be there, too. Conrad said that was ok; she indicated that it was good for cultural resource consultants to be there w/ information; Graham clarified that we would also be liaison for TCP information gathering. Graham told her about the 12/9 letter re the Crow Butte project, and proposed meeting in January. Conrad said February would be better; it is difficult to travel in winter, and grant reports due at the end of January. Graham will keep Conrad informed re the meeting, etc. Conrad said e-mail is a good way to get in touch, as well as cell phone, and gave Graham both.
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 12/12/11, Graham called and asked to speak w/ Laysbad, & was told he no longer worked there. Graham then asked for the THPO, & was told that it was Mr. Mesteth, and that Mesteth was not there. Graham left a message for him, requesting a call-back re Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte. She then explained that she had thought the THPO was Mesteth, then had recent info it was Laysbad, but wanted to confirm. The receptionist said checked, and said she was not sure -- maybe Richard Iron Cloud. She said she would pass on Graham's message.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/16/11, Graham tried to reach Ms. Whiting, but neither Whiting nor the reception was available. Graham left a voice mail message requesting a call back re the Crow Butte and Dewey-Burdock projects. Her msg included the update re a meeting that NRC wants to hold; Graham wanted to find out whether the tribe was interested and available at the dates we have.
Pawnee Nation	Crow Butte	On 12/16/11, Graham called to follow up re the 12/9/ mailing about the Crow Butte project, but Mr. Adams was on another line On 12/19/11, Graham called Adams and explained that she was following up on the SRIF's letter about the Crow Butte project. Adams said that he had seen it, but had not looked at it yet. He said he would look at the material and call Graham back. Subsequently, Adams called and left a message for Graham saying that the tribe is interested, and he will get back to Graham.
Ponca Tribe of Nebraska	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/16/11, Graham spoke with Robinette and explained that she was following up on the 12/9 mailing about the Crow Butte project. Robinette asked Graham to send his assistant, Gloria, an e-mail about this matter. Per Robinette's request to e-mail Hamilton, Graham sent a copy of the 12/9 letter about the Crow Butte project to Hamilton. She invited comments or questions. Graham also summarized the telephone conversation that Graham, Robinette, and Hamilton had had regarding the Dewey-Burdock project on 11/16. Graham added the update that tribes have requested a meeting w/ NRC, and SRIF is working with NRC on that. On 12/19/11, Hamilton wrote that the Ponca Tribe of Nebraska would like to be kept in the loop for all information about the project. The tribe is not interested in an on-site visit or monitoring at this time, but wants to receive all pertinent information. Graham emailed her thanks for Hamilton's reply. She said that we would continue to keep the tribe updated on the project.
Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/16/11, Graham spoke with Arcoren, who had shown Eagle Bear the information. Eagle Bear apparently said something about wanting to participate, but did not elaborate. Arcoren said that a meeting for the tribes and NRC would be good. February would be better for the tribe, since they had a number of meetings in January, and February was open now. Graham said that we were also considering having a (distinct) meeting about Crow Butte at the same time, to save the tribes' time. Arcoren seemed supportive of this idea. She suggested holding the meeting in Pierre (preferred) or Rapid City so that the eastern tribes would not have to travel so far. She and Graham agreed that, in Jan/Feb, there would be little to see during a site visit to Dewey-Burdock.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Santee Sioux Nation	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/16/11, Graham called to discuss the Crow Butte and Dewey-Burdock projects, but there was no answer or answering machine, so Graham could not leave a message.
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham asked for Clothier, but he is on leave until January. She was forwarded to Young's voice mail. Graham left a message that she wanted to make sure the 12/9 Crow Butte mailing had been received, and would be happy to discuss/answer questions. Graham also gave an update on the Dewey-Burdock project -- tribes had requested a meeting with NRC, so NRC has proposed meeting dates in Jan or Feb. Graham asked if Young could call or e-mail to let Graham know if the tribe was interested in participating, and what dates would work for them.</p> <p>On 12/19/11, Young e-mailed that the best dates for the tribe would be Feb 13-16, so Clothier could participate. However, they would be available for Jan 17-20 if that is other tribes' preference.</p> <p>Graham replied to Young's e-mail, and said that she would let Young know about the final meeting dates.</p>
Yankton Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham called Gravatt to follow up on Crow Butte and Dewey-Burdock mailings, &amp; give an update on conversations with other tribal representatives. Gravatt said that she had both mailings. Gravatt said tribes need on-the-ground survey - most places have been inaccessible since the treaties were signed. The tribes object to 3rd party consultants -- do not want to divulge information to them. Recently had a situation where a consultant tried to use the information to get work. Gravatt agreed with the idea of a meeting between NRC and the tribes, and suggested Graham e-mail the dates to everyone. Gravatt said the meeting should include the scope of work, confidentiality, the need for an on-the-ground survey. Gravatt suggested Pierre or Rapid City -- no reason to go the Edgemont or Hot Springs (they do not want another site visit; they need to do survey instead). Graham clarified SRIF's role as 3rd party consultant, and said we may facilitate gathering information but we do not confuse that with g2g consultation. Gravatt thanked Graham and said the difficulty is that tribes cannot give information to SRIF instead of the government, so not sure what we would do - something to discuss in the meeting.</p>
<p>Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes</p> <p>Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe</p> <p>Crow Creek Sioux Tribe</p> <p>Crow Tribe</p> <p>Eastern Shoshone Tribe</p> <p>Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe</p> <p>Fort Peck</p>	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/20/11, Graham sent an e-mail to the tribes providing an update on SRIF's information gathering re the Dewey-Burdock project and proposing dates in Jan or Feb for a meeting at which NRC and the tribes could discuss the approaches for obtaining needed information; confidentiality and the g2g relationship between tribes and NRC, and how it relates to Powertech and SRIF's roles in information gathering. Graham also said that we were considering a similar meeting re the Crow Butte project and Cameco. Graham requested that tribes let her know whether the tribe might be interested in</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Lower Sioux Indian Community		attending, and which dates it preferred.
Northern Arapaho Tribe		
Northern Cheyenne Tribe		
Oglala Sioux Tribe		
Ponca Tribe of Nebraska		
Rosebud Sioux Tribe		
Santee Sioux Nation		
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate		
Spirit Lake Tribe		
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe		
Turtle Mountain Chippewa Tribe		
Yankton Sioux Tribe		
Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/21/11, Graham called and left voice mail message - following up on 11/4 letter. Graham also said that other tribes requested a meeting w/ NRC, and gave possible Jan and Feb dates. Graham requested call back or e-mail.
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	Crow Butte	On 12/21/11, Fisher faxed the tribe's "Native American Consultation Response Form" for the Three Crow Expansion area, which said that "tribal participation is requested in addition to a field visit."
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/23/11, Graham called Whiting's extension, but neither Whiting nor the reception were available. Graham left a voice mail message requesting a call back re the Crow Butte and Dewey-Burdock projects, including the proposed meeting in Jan or Feb.
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-	On 12/27/11, Graham called the Natural/Cultural Resources and was informed that neither Whiting nor Laysbad currently work there. When Graham asked to speak to the

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
	Burdock	<p>THPO, she was transferred to Mr. Iron Cloud. Iron Cloud said he started 2 weeks ago. He had seen Sebastian's 12/9 letter about Crow Butte, but has not gone through everything yet. Graham explained the purpose of her call was to follow up and to let him know about proposed meeting dates. He would be available 1/17-20 or 2/10-13. Iron Cloud and Graham discussed whether it might be appropriate for THPOs to meet w/ NRC as the next step for the Crow Butte and Dewey-Burdock projects, and report to tribal leaders. Alternatively, should the next step be a meeting btwn NRC and the leaders? Iron Cloud said this was a good question, and that he would need to make some calls. Graham and Iron Cloud exchanged e-mail contact information, and Iron Cloud will get back to Graham.</p> <p>Graham e-mailed Iron Cloud a copy of the 12/20 e-mail to THPOs regarding the proposed meeting.</p> <p>Graham e-mailed a copy of the 12/20 e-mail to THPOs regarding the proposed meeting. In a series of e-mails between Iron Cloud, Mr. Catches Enemy, and Graham, they considered the question of whether the meeting should be between NRC and THPOs or should tribal leaders be brought in; and how the June 2011 meeting fit re information gathering and g2g consultation. Iron Cloud suggested a conference call the following week when Catches Enemy was back in the office.</p>
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/29/2011, Graham left a voice mail message for Vance re proposed meeting and possible dates; requested a call-back.</p> <p>Petersen forwarded Graham's 12/20/11 e-mail to "Wayne," but addressed the e-mail to Graham.</p>
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/29/2011, Graham spoke to someone in Wells's office re proposed meeting and possible dates. Graham was told that CCST had not received Graham's e-mail and to re-send it.</p>
Crow Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/29/2011, Graham left a voice mail message for Old Horn re proposed meeting and possible dates; requested a call-back.</p>
Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/29/2011, Graham left a voice mail message for Weston re proposed meeting and possible dates; requested a call-back.</p>
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/29/2011, Graham left a voice mail message for Green re proposed meeting and possible dates, and said it would be in Rapid City; requested a call-back.</p>
Northern Arapaho	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/29/2011, Graham left a voice mail message for Conrad re proposed meeting and possible dates; requested a call-back. Graham also re-sent the 12/20 e-mail.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Northern Cheyenne	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/29/2011, Graham left a voice mail message for Fisher re proposed meeting and possible dates; requested a call-back.
Pawnee Nation	Crow Butte	On 12/30/11, Adams called Graham back to say that, after some investigation, he thought it unlikely that the Pawnee would have sites in this area. He was therefore declining the invitation to participate in the information gathering. He will send a letter stating this, also.
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 1/3/12, Wells e-mailed to say that holding the meeting Jan 10-13 in Rapid City would work best for her. Graham e-mail back to say that she would get back to everyone as soon as the final dates were set.
Fort Peck	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 1/3/12, Youpee called to find out whether a date had been set for the Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte meeting. He expressed dissatisfaction at the time the process has taken, and said that tribes needed to schedule this and other meetings. Graham agreed and noted that working around peoples' holiday schedules had complicated things. She expected the dates would be set by the end of the day.</p> <p>On 1/4/12, Graham called and told Youpee that the meeting dates were set at 2/14-15, with travel on 2/13 and 16. Youpee understood from his conversation with NRC (Yilma) the previous day that the meeting would be to share information and set up guidelines on gathering information. He recommended an agenda: Morning – Prayer, Intro, Project Presentation, Tribal Caucus (minimum 2 hours); Afternoon – Discuss plans/strategies to move forward re TCP Study, Confidentiality clause, PA. Youpee saw this as the first of a series of meetings; the purpose of other meetings was “fine tuning” and details would need to be determined later. Youpee stressed that the tribes needed time to come to consensus, as well as possibility bring new tribal reps up to speed. He emphasized the need for transparency by NRC, and that it was important for a decision-maker to be present. Graham and Youpee spent some time on the internet trying to find the NRC organizational chart; and Youpee said NRC should bring one to the meeting. Youpee and Graham talked about reimbursement (Youpee pointed out tribes only get reimbursement and not compensation) – they agreed that Graham needed to get additional direction about this matter from the applicants.</p>
Northern Arapaho		On 1/3/12, Conrad e-mailed Graham that she received the information about the ISR projects, and would like to attend the proposed Feb mtg.
Pawnee – Oklahoma	Crow Butte	On 1/5/12, Adams wrote Graham regarding their telephone conversation of 12/30/11. No know Pawnee archaeological, historic, or sacred sites within the APE, although sites of "Pawnee progenitor" near the project area. The Project has no potential for adverse effects to any known Pawnee sites. Request immediate contact if human remains encountered.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 1/6/12, Wells e-mailed Graham regarding the proposed meeting in early 2012, and said that 1/17-20 would not work for her, and dates in February would be better.
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 1/9/12, Graham called Iron Cloud to tell him the dates of the meeting would be Feb 14-15. Iron Cloud suggested that Graham send this information to all of the tribes in an e-mail. Graham thanked him and said that she would send an e-mail the information soon.
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 1/10/12, Graham e-mailed the tribes to follow up on previous conversations and her 12/20/11 e-mail. She said that the meeting to discuss approaches for obtaining the information needed for NRC and the tribes' Section 106 consultation for the proposed Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte projects would be on February 14-15 in Rapid City, SD. She said that we were working with NRC on the details of the formal invitation, the meeting place, and agenda, and that NRC would also contact them. The e-mail provided information about agenda topics, meeting structure, and applicants' reimbursements to the tribes. Graham requested that tribes let her know whether they could attend, and also regarding agenda items and meeting structure.
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe		
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe		
Crow Tribe of Montana		
Eastern Shoshone Tribe		
Flandreau-Santee Sioux Tribe		
Fort Peck		
Lower Sioux Indian Community		
Northern Arapaho Tribe		
Northern Cheyenne Tribe		
Oglala Sioux Tribe		
Ponca Tribe of NE		
Rosebud Sioux Tribe		
Santee Sioux Tribe of NE		
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate		
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe		
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Tribe		
Yankton Sioux Tribe		
Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Crow Butte	<p>On 1/10/12, Graham called the Tribal offices and was told that the Business Committee was in a meeting; she was forwarded to the tribal administrator's (Lyman Guy) voice-mail. Graham left the message that she was following up on the 12/9 letter re Crow Butte, and requested a call back.</p> <p>On 1/18/12, Graham left a voice-mail for Guy, explaining that she was calling re the C-B project, and that she also had left a message for Guy on 1/10. Graham said she wanted the tribe to be aware of NRC's meeting on Feb 14-15 to talk about Crow Butte and TCPs, and was not sure whether Guy was the person to talk with. Graham left her contact information, and asked that Guy get back to her.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes	Crow Butte	<p>On 1/17/12, Graham called the number she had for cultural resources for the tribe, which was Gray's, and left a voice-mail asking that the message be forwarded to Anquoe. Graham explained that she was following up on her 1/10/12 e-mail to Anquoe about the February 14-15 meeting; she wondered whether Anquoe had received the e-mail, if she had questions, and if she planned to come. Graham asked for a call back or e-mail.</p> <p>On 1/18/12, Anquoe e-mailed Graham saying that she would not be able to attend the 2/14-15/12 meeting, but NAGPRA Reps for the tribe—Mr. Dale Hamilton (Arapaho delegate) and Ms. Karen Little Coyote (Cheyenne delegate)—were interested and would be able to act as spokespersons. Anquoe also gave Graham their e-mail addresses. Graham responded via e-mail, acknowledged Anquoe's e-mail and saying that NRC would be sending out a formal invitation within a day or two.</p>
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 1/17/12, Graham called and explained to Vance that she was following up on her 1/10 e-mail. Vance found the e-mail and said that he would be attending, and that In the Woods also would probably attend. Graham and Vance talked briefly about the June meeting – In the Woods had not participated in the Dewey-Burdock site visit; in the transcripts, Vance had been identified as being from OST, and as saying things others had said. Also, Vance had raised the issue of faults and not received a clear answer. Vance said that he had driven his own vehicle behind the bus and been covered with dust. He noted that [employees?] at the project sites had badges to monitor radiation, but the consultation delegation did not receive these. He noted Rapid City would be "more comfortable" and some tribes would not have to travel as far (as to see C-B or D-B). Graham said that NRC would be sending out the formal invitation and agenda.</p>
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 1/13/12, Skyla Wells (?) called on behalf of Wanda Wells and asked Graham to fax more information to the THPO regarding the February 2012 meeting. Graham explained that she had no additional information at this time, other than that reimbursement funds would be available at Rapid City at the time of the meeting. Graham explained that NRC was developing a formal invitation and agenda, and as soon as we knew more, then Graham would send it to the THPO.</p>
Eastern Shoshone Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 1/17/12, Graham called Ferris, but the phone rolled over to the main switchboard and no operator; Graham could not leave a msg.</p>
Flandreau-Santee Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 1/17/12, Graham left a voice-mail message for Weston, stating that she was following up on her e-mail of 1/10 re the February 14-15 mtg. She wanted to make sure Weston had received the e-mail, find out whether he had any questions, and if he was planning on attending.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Fort Peck	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 1/10/12, Youpee responded to Graham's e-mail of the same date requesting that NRC sent a follow up invitation letter and formal agenda so that travel could be approved. Graham replied and copied Yilma and Goodman so that they were aware of Youpee's request.
Kiowa Indian Tribe	Crow Butte	On 1/10/12, Graham called the Kiowa Tribe of Oklahoma's main line, was switched to "compliance" (?), and forwarded to x370, where she left a voice-mail message identifying herself, explaining that she was following up on Sebastian's letter or 12/9/2011 re the Crow Butte project. Graham asked that someone get back to her re whether the tribe had interests or concerns in the project. She also said that there will be a meeting on 2/14-15 in Rapid City re the project, and she wanted to know if the tribe would be interested in attending. Graham requested a call back or e-mail. On 1/18/12, Graham called the tribal offices called several times and ended up in switchboard loops. She was never able to reach a person or leave a voice-mail message.
Northern Arapaho Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 1/18/12, Graham left a voice-mail message for Conrad, explaining that she was following up on her e-mail of 1/10 regarding the 2/14-15/12 meeting in Rapid City for the C-B and D-B propose uranium mining facility projects. Graham said that NRC would send out a formal invitation & agenda. Graham was calling to see whether Conrad had received her e-mail; if she had questions or comments; and whether the tribe would be attending. Graham left her contact information.
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 1/18/12, Graham left a voice-mail message for Fisher, explaining that she was following up on her e-mail of 1/10 regarding the 2/14-15/12 meeting in Rapid City for the C-B and D-B propose uranium mining facility projects. Graham said that NRC would send out a formal invitation & agenda. Graham was calling to see whether Fisher had received her e-mail; if she had questions or comments; and whether the tribe would be attending. Graham left her contact information.
Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 1/18/12, Graham spoke with Arcoren regarding the 2/14-15/12 meeting for the C-B and D-B proposed projects. Graham clarified that Feb 13 and 16 would be travel days, and the actual meetings will be on Feb 14 and 15. Arcoren said that she thought both Eagle Bear and Rhodd, their consultant, would attend. Graham said that NRC would be sending the formal invitation and agenda.
Santee Sioux Tribe of NE	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 1/18/12, Graham tried to reach Thomas, but the line was busy and she could not leave a message.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 1/18/12, Graham explained that she was following up on her 1/10 e-mail, which she had sent to Desrosiers. Whitted had not seen it, but assumed that Desrosiers had forwarded it to him, and it was in his e-mail somewhere. Whitted asked generally about the meeting, and Graham gave an overview of the agenda. She said NRC would be sending out a formal invitation and agenda. Whitted said that they planned to be there, if it is an open discussion. Graham said it would be a listening session for NRC (and 3rd party consultants). Whitted didn't have any other questions, and said he would see Graham at the mtg.</p>
		<p>On 1/24/12, Graham followed up on an e-mail that Desrosiers sent to Cameco re the upcoming 2/14-15/12 meeting. They discussed the meeting and clarified the dates and location. Graham recommended that Desrosiers send an e-mail to NG confirming attendance, which DD subsequently did, identifying Whitted and Desrosiers as the tribal representatives.</p>
Spirit Lake Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 1/18/12, Graham left a voice mail message at the "Central Repository Project" for Shaw, explaining that she was calling about the upcoming meeting 2/14-15/12 for the C-B and D-B proposed projects. Graham explained that NRC is putting out the formal invitation and agenda, and that she also wanted to let the tribe know about the meeting, address any questions, and find out whether any tribal representatives would be attending. Graham left her contact information and requested Shaw return her call.</p>
		<p>On 1/24/12, Shaw e-mailed Graham to say that she was not the THPO for the Spirit Lake Tribe. Shaw provided contact information for Darrell Smith, and explained that he is serving the tribe in this capacity. She copied Joanne Smith and B. Jackson on the e-mail.</p>
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 1/17/12, Graham spoke to Clouthier. She asked whether Clouthier received her e-mail of 1/10 -- he had not; and Graham realized that she had sent the e-mail to Young, but did not have Clouthier's e-mail address. Graham explained briefly about the 2/14-15 meeting in Rapid City, and that NRC would provide a formal invitation and agenda. Clouthier said that he would likely be coming, and he could not speak for Young. Graham said she would send Clouthier the e-mail, and he could let her know if he had questions or comments.</p>
		<p>On 1/18/12, Clouthier e-mailed and thanked Graham for the information. He said that he would be attending, and would likely be the only representative for the tribe. Graham confirmed that Clouthier would need to make his own reservations for the meeting and supplied some information re the hotel and reimbursements. Graham said that NRC will provide additional information in the formal invitation it is providing within the next few days.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
		<p>On 1/30/12, Clouthier e-mailed Graham to ask, as part of information needed for travel arrangements, whether checks would be made out to individuals or organizations. Graham clarified that this information could be supplied by the tribes, and copied Schmuck (Cameco) on the e-mail, explaining that he would be handling the reimbursements. Schmuck added to the e-mail string between Clouthier and Graham, and confirmed that reimbursements could be made out to named individuals.</p>
Yankton Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 1/18/12, Graham replied to Gravatt's e-mail. Graham said that NRC would send a formal invitation and agenda. Graham gave LG information about the Ramkota Best Western, and they discussed reimbursement: reimbursements would be available on 2/13, and either checks or cash. Gravatt said that she would bring the tribe's g2g policy: consultation should be face to face and in a good way; the THPO is delegated (among other things) to conduct Section 106; there is a Preservation Officer "who is also council" who signs any MOA, PA, etc. Gravatt asked whether agencies or applicants would be bringing their lawyers. If so, this should be made known to the tribes, so that tribes can decide whether they should bring their lawyers to make a level playing field. Graham said that the meeting was to be a listening session for NRC (and applicants), so even if lawyers, hopefully they would not be talking. Gravatt said it sounded like a good meeting</p>
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe		
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe		
Crow Tribe of Montana		
Eastern Shoshone Tribe		
Fort Peck		
Northern Arapaho Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 2/9/12, Graham sent out an e-mail to THPOs and others that were expected to participate in the 2/14-15/12 meeting to clarify that tribal representatives would need to make their own hotel arrangements, and providing details about the Best Western Ramkota.</p>
Northern Cheyenne Tribe		
Oglala Sioux Tribe		
Rosebud Sioux Tribe		
Santee Sioux Tribe of NE		
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate		
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe		
Yankton Sioux Tribe		
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 2/7/12, Graham e-mailed Vance and copied In the Wood requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg. Vance replied with the information.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 2/7/12, Graham e-mailed Wells requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg. She also phoned, since the fax she tried to send did not go through. Well confirmed that she would be coming, and that she would send additional details at a later time.</p> <p>On 2/9/12, Wells e-mailed Graham regarding her reimbursement preference. Graham e-mailed her reply on 2/10.</p>
Crow Tribe of Montana	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 2/1/12, the Crow THPO responded to Schmuck regarding the 1/19/2012 NRC letter inviting a g2g consultation on 2/14-15/2012, and provided information re reimbursements for the tribal representatives: Hubert Two Leggings (THPO) and Richard White Clay (assistant).</p> <p>On 2/7/12, Graham called Old Horn, explaining that this was the number she had for the Crow THPO, and requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg.</p>
Eastern Shoshone Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 2/7/12, Graham e-mailed Ferris requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg.</p> <p>On 2/7/12, Graham contacted Ferris regarding information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12. Ferris will not attend, but Jim and or John Pingree would represent the Eastern Shoshone Tribe. Graham followed up with an e-mail to Ferris saying that she was sorry Ferris could not attend the 2/14-15/12 meeting, but looked forward to John and/or Jim Pingree representing the EST. She reviewed reimbursement and travel details. Ferris responded by e-mail on 2/9/2012 that perhaps he could attend the next meeting, and would provide the Pingrees with the necessary travel/reimbursement information.</p>
Fort Peck	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 2/10/12, Youpee called Graham to discuss details and logistics for the 2/14-15/12 meeting including lodging and meal considerations. Subsequently, Youpee e-mailed THPOs about alternative lodging, and Graham e-mailed her thanks to Youpee.</p>
Northern Arapaho Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 2/7/12, Graham e-mailed Conrad requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg.</p>
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 2/1/12, the Northern Cheyenne THPO responded to Schmuck regarding the 1/19/2012 NRC letter inviting a g2g consultation on 2/14-15/2012, and provided information re reimbursements for the tribal representatives: Conrad Fischer (THPO), Gilbert White dirt (Cultural Commission), Hubert Black wolf (Tribal Cultural Monitor), and possibly Steve Brady (Chairman of Cultural Commission).</p> <p>On 2/7/12, Graham e-mailed Fisher requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 2/1/12, the Oglala THPO responded to Schmuck regarding the 1/19/2012 NRC letter inviting a g2g consultation on 2/14-15/2012, and provided information re reimbursements for the tribal representatives: Richard Iron Cloud and possibly several others.
		On 2/7/12, Graham e-mailed Catches Enemy and Iron Cloud requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg.
		On 2/8/12 and in reply to Graham's 2/7/12 e-mail, Catches Enemy provided information about representatives of the OST that would and would not need reimbursements, and reimbursement preference. MCE copied John Yellowbird Steele and Michael Her Many Horses, and others on the letter, since the invitation letter had referenced other Tribal representatives. He said that he had sent [NRC's 1/19/12] invitation letter to all Tribal Council and Executive Board members and some other Tribal Program personnel impacted by the proposed projects. He was not certain of the exact number of OST representatives that would be present. Graham e-mailed to Catches Enemy and thanked him for the information, and provided reimbursement information based on the e-mail from earlier in the day. Graham "replied all" thereby sending her response to the 13 individuals copied on Catches Enemy's e-mail.
		On 2/10/12, Iron Cloud informed Graham that Wilmer Mesteth would not be able to attend the 2/14-15/2012 mtg. Graham acknowledged the e-mail.
		On 2/10/12, Catches Enemy copied Graham on his e-mail to Iron Cloud, asking that Iron Cloud make hotel reservations for any of the OST delegation that would need them.
Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 2/7/12, Graham e-mailed Eagle Bear requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg. In her e-mailed reply, Arcoren confirmed that Eagle Bear and Rhodd would attend the meeting and provided information about travel and reimbursements.
		On 2/13 and 14/12, Arcoren and Graham exchanged e-mails about aspects of 2/14-15/12 meeting, travel, and reimbursement.
Santee Sioux Tribe of NE	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 2/7/12, Graham e-mailed Thomas requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg. On 2/10/12, Thomas replied to Graham's e-mail of 2/7/12 with information about delegation and travel. Thomas sent two versions of this information. Graham acknowledged the e-mail.
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 2/7/12, Graham e-mailed Desrosiers requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Spirit Lake Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 2/8/12 and in a series of e-mails, Graham and Desrosiers discussed details re travel and reimbursements for the 2/14-15 meeting in Rapid City.
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p data-bbox="884 350 1902 440">On 2/7/12, Graham left a voice-mail message for Smith about the 2/14-15/12 meeting. On 2/2/12, Clouthier e-mails Schmuck about his reimbursement preference, and copied Graham.</p> <p data-bbox="884 448 1902 561">On 2/7/12, Graham e-mailed Clouthier requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg. Clouthier replied in his e-mail to Graham, and clarified Tim Mentz Sr. and Jr.'s roles and names. He copied the Mentzes and Gravatt (YST) on the e-mail.</p>
Yankton Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p data-bbox="884 570 1902 748">On 1/10/12, Gravatt replied to Graham's e-mail of the same day, stating that the Yankton Sioux representatives attending the 2/14-15/12 meeting would be the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Lana M. Gravatt and Council member Gail A. Hubbeling, Gravatt requested that their reimbursements be sent before the meeting if possible. Gravatt asked that Graham let her know where the meeting will be so that she can send round trip mileage costs.</p> <p data-bbox="884 756 1902 870">On 2/7/12, Mentz Sr. confirmed attendance and spelling of his name, and provided information and travel arrangements and reimbursements for Mentz Sr. and Mentz Jr. Graham acknowledged this information in an e-mail reply on 2/8/2012. Mentz Sr. e-mailed additional clarification on 2/9/12.</p> <p data-bbox="884 878 1902 992">On 2/1/12, the Yankton Sioux THPO responded to Schmuck regarding the 1/19/2012 NRC letter inviting a g2g consultation on 2/14-15/2012, and provided information re reimbursements for the tribal representatives: Lana Gravatt (THPO), Gail Hubbeling (Council member), Tim Mentz Sr. (elder), and Tim Mentz Jr. (support to Sr.)</p> <p data-bbox="884 1000 1902 1057">On 2/4/12, Mentz Sr. followed up on Clouthier's e-mail to provide Graham with information about Mentz Sr. and Mentz Jr. re travel and reimbursements.</p> <p data-bbox="884 1065 1902 1122">On 2/7/12, Graham e-mailed Gravatt requesting information about the names of tribal representatives and details for reimbursement for the 2/14-15/12 mtg.</p> <p data-bbox="884 1130 1902 1271">On 2/8/12, Gravatt confirmed the attendance of Mentz Sr. &amp; Jr, and clarified they were coming at the request of the YST for their expertise in TCPs/surveys. Gravatt also provided information re the YST delegations travel and reimbursement arrangements. Gravatt and Graham continued this e-mail exchange on 2/9 with additional details about the 2/14-15/12 meeting and expenses.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
<p>Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe</p> <p>Crow Creek Sioux Tribe</p> <p>Crow Tribe of Montana</p> <p>Eastern Shoshone Tribe</p> <p>Fort Peck</p> <p>Northern Arapaho Tribe</p> <p>Northern Cheyenne Tribe</p> <p>Oglala Sioux Tribe</p> <p>Rosebud Sioux Tribe</p> <p>Santee Sioux Tribe of NE</p> <p>Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate</p> <p>Standing Rock Sioux Tribe</p> <p>Yankton Sioux Tribe</p>	<p>Crow Butte &amp; Dewey-Burdock</p>	<p>On 2/14-15/12, Representatives of these tribes, BLM, NRC, EPA, Cameco, Powertech, and SRI Foundation met as part of NRC’s ongoing tribal consultation on Section 106 for the Crow Butte Projects (Crow Butte License Renewal and North Trend License) and Dewey-Burdock Project. The purpose of this meeting was for the federal agencies to hear from the Tribes what would be required in order for the Tribes to identify potential properties of religious and cultural significance to them within the project areas. The tribes questioned the level of g2g consultation at the meeting. The tribes spent a significant amount of meeting time in caucus, and caucusing with the federal agencies. Tribes stressed the importance of knowledgeable tribal representatives conducting on-the-ground field investigations for TCPs and indicated the standard archaeological survey interval of 30 m was insufficient for TCPs. No additional information about specific identification procedures was forthcoming, but the tribes proposed to provide NRC Staff with scopes of work (SOWs) for the Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte/North Trend identification efforts. The tribes also indicated during the meeting that they would not work directly with either the applicants or their consultants.</p>
<p>Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes</p>	<p>Crow Butte</p>	<p>On 2/21/12, SRI Foundation received a form letter, dated 2/15/12, from Anquoe ([signature block, not signed] apparently responding to Sebastian’s 12/4/11 letter. The form letter states that, after reviewing the Consultation Request, the box selected was "No objections, however [if HR or cultural items per NAGPRA] uncovered, that the tribe should immediately stop and notify the tribe.</p>
<p>Standing Rock Sioux Tribe</p>	<p>Crow Butte &amp; Dewey-Burdock</p>	<p>On 2/21/12, Graham e-mailed Clouthier and asked whether he would send her a copy of the confidentiality agreement that he had referenced at the 2/14-15/12 mtg.</p>
<p>Northern Arapaho Tribe</p>	<p>Crow Butte &amp; Dewey-Burdock</p>	<p>On 3/26/2012, Conrad e-mailed Graham to request Schmuck’s e-mail information. Conrad wanted to contact Schmuck about invoicing and reimbursement for the 2/14-15/2012 tribal consultation mtg. Graham replied and provided the requested information, and copied Schmuck. Schmuck</p> <p>On 3/26/2012, Schmuck followed up on the e-mail message that Graham had copied him on. He told Conrad that he would send her a check now that he had her mailing address. He apologized for the delay.</p>
<p>Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe</p>	<p>Crow Butte &amp; Dewey-Burdock</p>	<p>On 4/10/2012, Graham left a voice-mail message for Vance, asking for a call back. Graham referenced the C-B and D-B projects, the SOWs that NRC had asked the applicants to produce, and the recent letter from NRC. Graham explained that she wanted to touch base, find out what’s going on, and see whether there might be a chance to get tribes on the ground this spring for TCP Studies.</p>
<p>Crow Creek Sioux Tribe</p>	<p>Crow Butte &amp; Dewey-Burdock</p>	<p>On 4/10/2012, Graham called and left a message for Wells requesting a call back re the D-B and C-B projects.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Crow Tribe of Montana	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 4/10/2012, Graham left a voice-mail message Two Leggings, asking for a call back. She referenced the C-B and D-B projects, the SOWs that NRC had asked the applicants to produce, and the recent letter from NRC. Graham explained that she wanted to touch base, find out what's going on, and see whether there might be a chance to get tribes on the ground this spring for TCP Studies. Graham clarified that SRIF is a third party consultant to applicants, and not working directly for NRC.
Eastern Shoshone Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 4/10/2012, Graham left a voice-mail message explaining that she was trying to reach Ferris/THPO. She requested that her message be forwarded to Ferris, and for him to give her a call back.
Fort Peck	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 4/10/2012, Graham telephoned Youpee and explained that she was calling about the C-B and D-B projects, applicants' SOWs, and NRC's recent letter. She said she wondered whether it was possible to get tribes out in the field for TCP studies this spring. Youpee said he thought it was possible, but NRC needs to be back at the table. NRC needs to be in the process, and teleconferences are not going to work. Youpee said that the tribes asked for the TCP study, and they need to discuss how they are going to do this. Graham agreed and pointed out that the tribes had made this request nearly a year ago. She thought good progress had been made in February, but then things seemed to stall. Youpee made some reference to trying to scare tribes off, and brush them off with a teleconference. He said that NRC has to go through the process and learn from its mistakes. He said he didn't have anything more to say about it. Graham said that she understood the tribes would be preparing a SOW, and NRC had asked the applicants to do the same. Youpee said this was the responsibility of NRC, and third parties could not take on the g2g role; Graham said she absolutely agreed, and suggested that contractual elements could be between the applicants and the tribes. Youpee said that some of this information deals with the g2g relationship and that NRC could not delegate it. He reiterated that this was all he had to say. Graham thanked him.
Flandreau-Santee Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 4/10/2012, Graham left a voice-mail message for Weston, asking for a call back. She referenced the C-B and D-B projects, the SOWs that NRC had asked the applicants to produce, and the recent letter from NRC. Graham explained that she wanted to touch base, find out what's going on, and see whether there might be a chance to get tribes on the ground this spring for TCP Studies.
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 4/10/2012, Graham left a voice-mail message for Fisher, asking for a call back. She referenced the C-B and D-B projects, the SOWs that NRC had asked the applicants to produce, and the recent letter from NRC. Graham explained that she wanted to touch base, find out what's going on, and see whether there might be a chance to get tribes on the ground this spring for TCP Studies.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Northern Arapaho Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 4/10/2012, Graham telephoned Conrad and explained that she was calling about the C-B and D-B projects, applicants' SOWs, and NRC's recent letter. Conrad first asked whether Graham had talked with the Sioux yet. Graham said that she planned to call those tribes later in the day. Conrad said she had not received the letter, and Graham waited while Conrad found the letter in her e-mail box and reviewed it. Conrad said that she would e-mail the tribes to see what is going on. Conrad suggested that Graham contact Dianne Desrosiers. Conrad said that the Northern Arapaho Tribe is saturated with field work right now. Graham replied that this was one reason for her call – Graham wanted to know whether there was something that could be done to move the process forward. Conrad said she would e-mail tribes and call Graham back.</p>
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 4/10/2012, Graham telephoned Iron Cloud and explained the purpose of her call: to follow up on NRC's recent letter about the C-B and D-B projects, applicants' SOW, and to see whether there might be a way to get the tribes out into the field this spring. Iron Cloud said he had seen the correspondence and downloaded it, but other things came up before his office and their advisory committee had a chance to look at it. He seemed surprised that NRC had received no tribal responses to their request. Graham read that part of the letter to Iron Cloud, to confirm that she was providing correct information to him. Iron Cloud said that he would get together with others and see what their advisory committee had to say. He confirmed the date and the time of the upcoming teleconference.</p>
Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 4/10/2012, Graham telephoned the THPO office, and was told that Eagle Bear was out of the office. Graham left a message that she was calling re the C-B and D-B projects and the recent letters from NRC. The person said that she was sure Eagle Bear had received the letter, but she would have to check. Graham explained about the SOWs and upcoming conference call. She indicated Rhod had been involved. Graham was told that Eagle Bear would provide Rhod with the necessary information, and that Eagle Bear would call Graham back.</p>
Santee Sioux Tribe of NE	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 4/10/2012, Graham attempted to telephone Thomas, but no one picked up and she could not leave a voice-mail message.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 4/10/2012, Graham telephoned Desrosiers and explained that she was following up on NRC's 4/5/12 letter regarding the D-B and C-B projects. Desrosiers asked what other tribes were saying and whether MG had spoken with Mentz or Rhod. Graham summarized her conversations w/ Conrad and Youpee. They reviewed SRIF's role as 3rd party to applicants in response to NRC's request for additional information. Desrosiers said she can only speak for her tribe. Desrosiers helps to coordinate information and facilitates teleconferences, etc., but that's it. Also, she does not develop SOWs; that is left to the guys with the expertise. Graham said she knew the tribes wanted to act as a consortium, but did not know whether anyone was coordinating, or lead for the group. Desrosiers encouraged Graham to contact other tribes directly. Desrosiers will also send out an e-mail reminding the tribes about the applicants' SOW and the teleconference on April 24. Desrosiers made some reference to the possibility of losing opportunity if the tribes don't act. MG referenced the June 2011 mtg where tribes said they needed to conduct the TCP work, noted the progress made up to the Feb 2012 mtg, and observed that things haven't moved seemed to move forward much since then. Graham said that the applicants had asked Graham to ask the question of how to get tribes out on the ground this spring. Graham and Desrosiers talked about the number of consultation requests the tribes get (1200-1500/year in correspondence alone). Graham said she understood tribes were not happy w/ a teleconference – Desrosiers agreed this isn't considered good faith effort. MG said perhaps there was a way to use both, given the amount of work THPOs have and the areas they need to cover. Graham asked Desrosiers to let her know if she has any other ideas. Desrosiers also said all the THPOs are in ND this week on a project - she thought maybe they could caucus about our projects while there.</p>
Cheyenne/Arapaho Tribes	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 4/24/12, Representatives of these tribes, the BLM, NRC, EPA, Cameco, Powertech, and SRI Foundation and the South Dakota State Historic Preservation Officer (SD SHPO) participated in a teleconference as part of NRC's ongoing tribal consultation on Section 106 for the Crow Butte Projects (Crow Butte License Renewal and North Trend License) and Dewey-Burdock Project. The Rosebud Sioux representative was Ben Rhod, the tribe's contract archaeologist. NRC sought feedback from the tribes on the applicants proposed Statements of Work (SOWs) for conducting TCP studies. Aspects of the SOWs discussed included the phased approach, amount of acreage covered, confidentiality, pay rates, and the tribes' desire to write their own SOWs. Tribal representatives agreed that Rhod and Clothier (SRST) would conduct reconnaissance surveys of the project areas, from which the tribes would develop draft SOWs for TCP field investigations.</p>
Northern Arapaho Tribe		
Northern Cheyenne Tribe		
Oglala Sioux Tribe		
Rosebud Sioux Tribe		
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate		
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe		
Yankton Sioux Tribe		

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 5/8/2012, Graham left a voice-mail message for Clouthier, explaining that in NRC's 5/24/12 conference call, it was decided that Rhod and Clouthier would conduct a reconnaissance of the D-B and C-B project areas in order to help the tribes draft their TCP Study SOWs for the projects. Graham was calling to help facilitate access to the project areas. Graham left contact information and requested a callback.
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 5/11/12, Graham called Clothier to follow up on the discussions during the 4/24 teleconference. Clothier was already aware of the discussions from a THPO conference call and his conversations with Rhod. Graham and Clothier discussed covering 4 areas for Cameco (Crow Butte, North Trend, Three Crow, and Marsland). They also addressed issues of per diem and lodging, and agreed Clothier and Rhod could finalize any details directly with the applicants. Clothier noted the possibility of visual impacts beyond the APE. Graham and Clothier reviewed that any new disturbance beyond the current license would mean a new 106 action would require additional work. Graham asked about the confidentiality agreement TC had spoken of at the 2/14-15/12 meeting, and Clothier said it was under review by the tribe. Clothier said that he would speak to the SD SHPO regarding minimal recording standards. He provided his direct contact information so the applicants could reach him.
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	Between 5/24 and 5/26/12, Clothier and Rhod conducted reconnaissance field visits of the project areas in order to obtain information about scope and extent of fieldwork necessary in the areas. NRC staff and applicant representatives participated in the field visits. Clothier and Rhod visited the Crow Butte, North Trend, Three Crow and Marsland (Cameco) on 5/24 and the Dewey-Burdock project area (Powertech) on 5/26.
Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	Between 5/24 and 5/26/12, Clothier and Rhod conducted reconnaissance field visits of the project areas in order to obtain information about scope and extent of fieldwork necessary in the areas. NRC staff and applicant representatives participated in the field visits. Clothier and Rhod visited the Crow Butte, North Trend, Three Crow and Marsland (Cameco) on 5/24 and the Dewey-Burdock project area (Powertech) on 5/26.
Cheyenne River Sioux	Dewey-Burdock	On 8/9/2012, NRC conducted a tribal consultation teleconference meeting involving NRC, THPOs, and other tribal representatives to finalize the SOW and establish a timeframe for conducting field work for the D-B project. In addition to NRC personnel, BLM, EPA, and SD SHPO representatives also participated. Applicants and SRI Foundation staff also participated in the teleconference. The discussion and SOW could be as a model for Cameco projects. The agenda included discussion of the tribes' draft SOW and applicant's revised draft SOW. NRC proposed to discuss the purpose, amount of land surveyed and coverage rate, period of performance, reports, and level of effort. Tribes expressed concern over not having sufficient time to review the revised SOW. They indicated surprise at seeing modifications to the tribes' SOW without discussion, and declined to review either SOW during the call. The tribes discussed the need for a PA to address some concerns, and NRC and the BLM agreed a PA could be developed. All parties agreed to reconvene on 8/21 in order to
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 8/9/2012, NRC conducted a tribal consultation teleconference meeting involving NRC, THPOs, and other tribal representatives to finalize the SOW and establish a timeframe for conducting field work for the D-B project. In addition to NRC personnel, BLM, EPA, and SD SHPO representatives also participated. Applicants and SRI Foundation staff also participated in the teleconference. The discussion and SOW could be as a model for Cameco projects. The agenda included discussion of the tribes' draft SOW and applicant's revised draft SOW. NRC proposed to discuss the purpose, amount of land surveyed and coverage rate, period of performance, reports, and level of effort. Tribes expressed concern over not having sufficient time to review the revised SOW. They indicated surprise at seeing modifications to the tribes' SOW without discussion, and declined to review either SOW during the call. The tribes discussed the need for a PA to address some concerns, and NRC and the BLM agreed a PA could be developed. All parties agreed to reconvene on 8/21 in order to
Northern Arapaho Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 8/9/2012, NRC conducted a tribal consultation teleconference meeting involving NRC, THPOs, and other tribal representatives to finalize the SOW and establish a timeframe for conducting field work for the D-B project. In addition to NRC personnel, BLM, EPA, and SD SHPO representatives also participated. Applicants and SRI Foundation staff also participated in the teleconference. The discussion and SOW could be as a model for Cameco projects. The agenda included discussion of the tribes' draft SOW and applicant's revised draft SOW. NRC proposed to discuss the purpose, amount of land surveyed and coverage rate, period of performance, reports, and level of effort. Tribes expressed concern over not having sufficient time to review the revised SOW. They indicated surprise at seeing modifications to the tribes' SOW without discussion, and declined to review either SOW during the call. The tribes discussed the need for a PA to address some concerns, and NRC and the BLM agreed a PA could be developed. All parties agreed to reconvene on 8/21 in order to
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 8/9/2012, NRC conducted a tribal consultation teleconference meeting involving NRC, THPOs, and other tribal representatives to finalize the SOW and establish a timeframe for conducting field work for the D-B project. In addition to NRC personnel, BLM, EPA, and SD SHPO representatives also participated. Applicants and SRI Foundation staff also participated in the teleconference. The discussion and SOW could be as a model for Cameco projects. The agenda included discussion of the tribes' draft SOW and applicant's revised draft SOW. NRC proposed to discuss the purpose, amount of land surveyed and coverage rate, period of performance, reports, and level of effort. Tribes expressed concern over not having sufficient time to review the revised SOW. They indicated surprise at seeing modifications to the tribes' SOW without discussion, and declined to review either SOW during the call. The tribes discussed the need for a PA to address some concerns, and NRC and the BLM agreed a PA could be developed. All parties agreed to reconvene on 8/21 in order to
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 8/9/2012, NRC conducted a tribal consultation teleconference meeting involving NRC, THPOs, and other tribal representatives to finalize the SOW and establish a timeframe for conducting field work for the D-B project. In addition to NRC personnel, BLM, EPA, and SD SHPO representatives also participated. Applicants and SRI Foundation staff also participated in the teleconference. The discussion and SOW could be as a model for Cameco projects. The agenda included discussion of the tribes' draft SOW and applicant's revised draft SOW. NRC proposed to discuss the purpose, amount of land surveyed and coverage rate, period of performance, reports, and level of effort. Tribes expressed concern over not having sufficient time to review the revised SOW. They indicated surprise at seeing modifications to the tribes' SOW without discussion, and declined to review either SOW during the call. The tribes discussed the need for a PA to address some concerns, and NRC and the BLM agreed a PA could be developed. All parties agreed to reconvene on 8/21 in order to
Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 8/9/2012, NRC conducted a tribal consultation teleconference meeting involving NRC, THPOs, and other tribal representatives to finalize the SOW and establish a timeframe for conducting field work for the D-B project. In addition to NRC personnel, BLM, EPA, and SD SHPO representatives also participated. Applicants and SRI Foundation staff also participated in the teleconference. The discussion and SOW could be as a model for Cameco projects. The agenda included discussion of the tribes' draft SOW and applicant's revised draft SOW. NRC proposed to discuss the purpose, amount of land surveyed and coverage rate, period of performance, reports, and level of effort. Tribes expressed concern over not having sufficient time to review the revised SOW. They indicated surprise at seeing modifications to the tribes' SOW without discussion, and declined to review either SOW during the call. The tribes discussed the need for a PA to address some concerns, and NRC and the BLM agreed a PA could be developed. All parties agreed to reconvene on 8/21 in order to
Santee Sioux Nation	Dewey-Burdock	On 8/9/2012, NRC conducted a tribal consultation teleconference meeting involving NRC, THPOs, and other tribal representatives to finalize the SOW and establish a timeframe for conducting field work for the D-B project. In addition to NRC personnel, BLM, EPA, and SD SHPO representatives also participated. Applicants and SRI Foundation staff also participated in the teleconference. The discussion and SOW could be as a model for Cameco projects. The agenda included discussion of the tribes' draft SOW and applicant's revised draft SOW. NRC proposed to discuss the purpose, amount of land surveyed and coverage rate, period of performance, reports, and level of effort. Tribes expressed concern over not having sufficient time to review the revised SOW. They indicated surprise at seeing modifications to the tribes' SOW without discussion, and declined to review either SOW during the call. The tribes discussed the need for a PA to address some concerns, and NRC and the BLM agreed a PA could be developed. All parties agreed to reconvene on 8/21 in order to
Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate	Dewey-Burdock	On 8/9/2012, NRC conducted a tribal consultation teleconference meeting involving NRC, THPOs, and other tribal representatives to finalize the SOW and establish a timeframe for conducting field work for the D-B project. In addition to NRC personnel, BLM, EPA, and SD SHPO representatives also participated. Applicants and SRI Foundation staff also participated in the teleconference. The discussion and SOW could be as a model for Cameco projects. The agenda included discussion of the tribes' draft SOW and applicant's revised draft SOW. NRC proposed to discuss the purpose, amount of land surveyed and coverage rate, period of performance, reports, and level of effort. Tribes expressed concern over not having sufficient time to review the revised SOW. They indicated surprise at seeing modifications to the tribes' SOW without discussion, and declined to review either SOW during the call. The tribes discussed the need for a PA to address some concerns, and NRC and the BLM agreed a PA could be developed. All parties agreed to reconvene on 8/21 in order to
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 8/9/2012, NRC conducted a tribal consultation teleconference meeting involving NRC, THPOs, and other tribal representatives to finalize the SOW and establish a timeframe for conducting field work for the D-B project. In addition to NRC personnel, BLM, EPA, and SD SHPO representatives also participated. Applicants and SRI Foundation staff also participated in the teleconference. The discussion and SOW could be as a model for Cameco projects. The agenda included discussion of the tribes' draft SOW and applicant's revised draft SOW. NRC proposed to discuss the purpose, amount of land surveyed and coverage rate, period of performance, reports, and level of effort. Tribes expressed concern over not having sufficient time to review the revised SOW. They indicated surprise at seeing modifications to the tribes' SOW without discussion, and declined to review either SOW during the call. The tribes discussed the need for a PA to address some concerns, and NRC and the BLM agreed a PA could be developed. All parties agreed to reconvene on 8/21 in order to

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Yankton Sioux Tribe		give the tribes the opportunity to review the applicants' revised SOW(s). At that next teleconference, development of the final SOW would proceed.
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 8/9/12, Sebastian e-mailed Clothier to respond to comments Clothier had made during the teleconference about assumptions that she had made in developing the applicants' SOWs. Sebastian explained the need to develop our own assumptions in the absence of information from the tribes. She expressed her wish that a group could share information and work together to meet everyone's needs, and regretted that such a cooperative endeavor seemed impossible.
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 8/10/12, Clothier replied to Sebastian's e-mail. He suggested that working together might be possible if schedules would allow it. Clothier expressed frustration about lack of time or notice to review the applicants' revised SOWs. He indicated that he thought tribal input on costs and acreage issues had been ignored. Clothier explained some of the important differences in how archaeological and tribal person address identification and recording: For archaeologists, evaluating sites takes the most time, whereas the tribal personnel need to spend more time on recording sites. Clothier said that determining the amount of acres covered in a day is determined by the density of sites, and their complexity. For the D-B project area, he expected this density to be high, based on a ridge Clothier and Rhod saw during their reconnaissance field visit. Clothier said that he wanted to pass his ideas along to a potential contractor [who would conduct the TCP field investigation] on how they might proceed before going into detail.
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 8/10/12, Sebastian replied to Clothier's e-mail, reviewing the history of developing the applicants initial and revised draft SOWs (at the request of NRC), and stating that she viewed the draft SOWs as part of on-going negotiations. Sebastian wrote that the applicants are open the changing assumptions and moving figures around, but that we have been unsuccessful in getting the tribes to provide information that we could apply to the matter. Sebastian said the more prepared the tribes are to provide specifics during the upcoming 8/21 conference call, the more opportunities to work toward a middle ground.
Cheyenne River Sioux		On 8/21/12, NRC conducted a tribal consultation teleconference meeting between NRC, THPOs, and other tribal representatives to continue the discussion of the 8/9/12 teleconference with the goal of completing the SOW for the D-B TCP study to the point where the tribes and applicant could work out contractual details. In addition to NRC personnel, BLM, EPA, and SD SHPO representatives also participated. Applicants and SRI Foundation staff also participated in the teleconference. The agenda included
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	
Northern Arapaho Tribe		

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Northern Cheyenne Tribe		discussion of the estimated coverage rate for field identification (acres/person day); start date and estimated duration of field identification; report content and confidentiality requirements; personnel level of effort (number and titles of field crews); and report deliverable schedule. After introductory remarks, the tribes went into a private caucus and other participants logged off the call. When the teleconference reconvened, the tribes asked about g2g consultation, and NRC explained their working policy. The tribes also articulated the importance and sacredness of the Black Hills, and their opposition to mining or other development; they acknowledged the difficulty in participating in the current consultation because they viewed it as enabling such development. They distinguished between approaches to identify archaeological sites and TCPs. Tribal representatives indicated that there were many aspects of the revised SOW that were not feasible, and did not speak directly to the discussion topics. In response to the tribes' indicating the need to revise the SOW (or that the SOW "needs to come from the tribes"), Withrow will meet with representatives attending another tribal meeting in Bismarck, ND on September 5 to discuss the SOW.
Oglala Sioux Tribe		
Rosebud Sioux Tribe		
Santee Sioux Nation		
Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate		
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe		
Yankton Sioux Tribe		