| | | | MARCH 0 9 1981 | | | Ň |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|------------|---|
| | 5- 6 | <u> </u> | 2 | 67 | - 67- | |
| DIST Docker NRC I L PDI TERA- Dockets | PDR ORE R DE i | 8#4 Rdg isenhut urple 70 | RTedesco GLainas TNovak RReid/JStolz PWagner DGarner RIngram OELD AEOD | | 1º110 | |
| Vice Duke P. 0. 422 S | Villiam O. Parker, President - Steam Power Company . Box 2178 South Church Street lotte, North Caroli | Production | IE-3 ACRS=16 Gray-File HOrnstein EBlackwood | 9 MA S- U.S. M S | R 1 1 1981 | · |
| Dear | Mr. Parker: | a tradage s | e , da se se su state a serie | J.S. | TINT | |

Our letter of June 11, 1980 addressed the possibility of degraded decay heat removal capability at the Oconee Nuclear Station due to inadequate administrative controls during shutdown modes of operation. We requested that you implement suggested Technical Specification (TS) changes to provide redundancy in decay heat removal capability for the Oconee Station.

By letter dated September 24, 1980 you stated that the existing Oconee TS provide for sufficient heat removal capability during all modes of operation. You provided a comparison of our suggested TS and the existing TS. We have reviewed your response and the Oconee Nuclear Station TS and have found that the existing TS adequately resolve our concern for all operating conditions except for the condition between 250°F and the refueling mode. We have, however, also reviewed your June 6, 1980, letter to Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director, Region II, in response to IE Bulletin 80-12, which contains (in response to Item 6) a commitment to maintain procedures to assure that redundant or diverse decay heat removal methods are available during all modes of plant operation. The staff, therefore, agrees that the existing TS and administrative controls provide the necessary assurance that adequate decay heat removal capability will be available in all modes of operation of the Oconee Nuclear Station.

If you have any questions on this matter, please contact your NRC Project Manager.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

John F. Stolz, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #4 Division of Licensing

8103160993

CC:

Divis

| | See next | page | ₹. * * * * | the and the Association as | | • | |
|----------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-----|---|---|
| OFFICE | ORB#4:DL | ORBANDL | C-ORB#4:DL | | | | |
| | PWagner cb | DGamer | JStol | | | • | ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• |
| | 3/ 6 /81 | 3/ u 781 | 3/9/81 | | | | |
| NRC FORM | 318 (10/80) NRCM | 0240 | OFFICIAL | RECORD C | OPY | | ☆ USGPO: 1980329-82 |



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

March 9, 1981

Dockets Nos. 50-269, 50-270 and 50-287

> Mr. William O. Parker, Jr. Vice President - Steam Production Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Parker:

cc:

See next page

Our letter of June 11, 1980 addressed the possibility of degraded decay heat removal capability at the Oconee Nuclear Station due to inadequate administrative controls during shutdown modes of operation. We requested that you implement suggested Technical Specification (TS) changes to provide redundancy in decay heat removal capability for the Oconee Station.

By letter dated September 24, 1980 you stated that the existing Oconee TS provide for sufficient heat removal capability during all modes of operation. You provided a comparison of our suggested TS and the existing TS. We have reviewed your response and the Oconee Nuclear Station TS and have found that the existing TS adequately resolve our concern for all operating conditions except for the condition between 250°F and the refueling mode. We have, however, also reviewed your June 6, 1980, letter to Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director, Region II, in response to IE Bulletin 80-12, which contains (in response to Item 6) a commitment to maintain procedures to assure that redundant or diverse decay heat removal methods are available during all modes of plant operation. The staff, therefore, agrees that the existing TS and administrative controls provide the necessary assurance that adequate decay heat removal capability will be available in all modes of operation of the Oconee Nuclear Station.

If you have any questions on this matter, please contact your NRC Project Manager.

Sincerely,

John/F. Stolz, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #4 Division of Licensing

Duke Power Company

cc w/enclosure(s):

Mr. William L. Porter Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Oconee Public Library 201 South Spring Street Walhalla, South Carolina 29691

Honorable James M. Phinney County Supervisor of Oconee County Walhalla, South Carolina 29621

Director, Technical Assessment Division Office of Radiation Programs (AW-459) U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Crystal Mall #2 Arlington, Virginia 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IV Office ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR 345 Courtland Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30308

Mr. Francis Jape U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 7 Seneca, South Carolina 29678

Mr. Robert B. Borsum Babcock & Wilcox Nuclear Power Generation Division Suite 420, 7735 Old Georgetown Road Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Manager, LIS NUS Corporation 2536 Countryside Boulevard Clearwater, Florida 33515

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq. DeBevoise & Liberman 1200 17th Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036 Office of Intergovernmental Relations 116 West Jones Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27603