	REGUL	TRY INFORMAT	ION DISTRIBUTIO	EM (RIDS)
FACIL: AUTH. PARKER RECIP DENTON	50-269 Oconee   50-270 Oconee   50-287 Oconee   NAME AU ,W.O. Duk ,W.O. Duk ,NAME REG ,H.R. Off	Nuclear Statio Nuclear Statio Nuclear Statio THOR AFFILIATI POWER CO. CIPIENT AFFILI ice of Nuclear		Co. 05000269 Co. 05000270 Co. 05000287
	T: Denies NRC ( 801020 ltr requirement imposition.	301126 request re addl report was administr	for license fees as ing requirement.Inclu ative action & does n	sion of ot warrant fee
	BUTION CODE: M License Fees	DOBS COPIES R	ECEIVED:LTR <u> </u> ENCL <u>(</u>	<b>)</b> SIZE:
	AEOD,Ornstain: M Cunningham:a AEOD,Ornstain:	lcc. Ll amends to F lcc. Ll amends to F	SAR & changes to Tech SAR & changes to Tech SAR & changes to Tech	Specs. 05000270
ACTION:	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME REID,R.	COPIES E LTTR ENCL 04 1	RECIPIENT L ID CODE/NAME FAIRTILE, M.	COPIES LTTR ENCL 1
INTERNAL	: MILLER, LFMB PPAS	07 1 1 08 1 1	NRC PDB 02 REG FILE 01	
EXTERNAL	: LPDR	03 1	•	
	DEC 1 7 1980			1980

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 7 ENCL

L.J.

## DUKE POWER COMPANY

Power Building 422 South Church Street, Charlotte, N. C. 28242

December 10, 1980

Telephone: Area 704 373-4083

/0

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Mr. W. O. Miller, Chief License Fee Management Branch

Re: Oconee Nuclear Station Docket Nos. 50-269, -270, -287

## Dear Sir:

VEPRESIDENT

STEAD PRODUCTION

This letter is in response to your letter dated November 26, 1980 which requests license fees be provided as a supplement to my letter dated October 20, 1980, concerning an additional reporting requirement.

The October 20, 1980 submittal was made at the Staff's request. The Staff has not identified any specific deficiency in the reporting of generic problems by Duke. Rather, the Staff desires the Oconee Technical Specification Reporting Requirements to be identical to Regulatory Guide 1.16, Revision 4. It is considered that inclusion of this reporting item is a purely administrative action and that it is being made for the convenience of the NRC. Furthermore, Regulatory Guides are not substitutes for regulations and compliance with them is not required. Methods and solutions different from those set out in the guide are acceptable, if they provide a basis for the findings requisite to the continuance of a license by the Commission. It is our position that the existing Technical Specifications are acceptable and that the inclusion of this reporting requirement in the Technical Specification will not significantly alter the operation of Oconee with respect to reporting.

It continues to be our position that no license fees are required to be provided by 10CFR 170.22.

Very truly yours, a arka William O. Parker, Jr.

RLG:\$cs

## 8012160325