

# CATEGORY 1

## REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 9603040315      DOC. DATE: 96/02/27      NOTARIZED: NO      DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-269 Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Duke Power Co.      05000269  
 50-270 Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Duke Power Co.      05000270  
 50-287 Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 3, Duke Power Co.      05000287

AUTH. NAME      AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 HAMPTON, J.W.      Duke Power Co.  
 RECIP. NAME      RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
                          Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk)

SUBJECT: Forwards request for addl info re third ten yr ISI interval request for Relief 95-04.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A047D      COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 4  
 TITLE: OR Submittal: Inservice/Testing/Relief From ASME Code - GL-89-04

### NOTES:

	RECIPIENT		COPIES			RECIPIENT		COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME		LTR	ENCL		ID CODE/NAME		LTR	ENCL
	PD2-2 LA		1	1		PD2-2 PD		1	1
	MILANO, P		1	1					
INTERNAL:	ACRS		6	0		AEOD/SPD/RAB		1	1
	<del>EPLE CENTER</del> 02		1	1		NRR/DE/ECGB		1	1
	NRR/DE/EMCB		1	1		NRR/DE/EMEB		1	1
	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT		1	1		OGC/HDS2		1	0
	RES/DET/EMMEB		1	1		RES/DSIR/EIB		1	1
EXTERNAL:	LITCO ANDERSON		1	1		NOAC		1	1
	NRC PDR		1	1					

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:  
 PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK,  
 ROOM OWFN 5D-5(EXT. 415-2083) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM  
 DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 21 ENCL 14

*may*

C  
A  
T  
E  
G  
O  
R  
Y  
  
1  
  
D  
O  
C  
U  
M  
E  
N  
T

Duke Power Company  
Oconee Nuclear Site  
P.O. Box 1439  
Seneca, SC 29679

J. W. HAMPTON  
Vice President  
(864)885-3499 Office  
(864)885-3564 Fax



**DUKE POWER**

February 27, 1996

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attention: Document Control Desk  
Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Duke Power Company  
Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3  
Docket Nos. 50-269, -270, and -287  
Third Ten Year Inservice Inspection Interval  
Request for Relief No. 95-04  
Supplemental Information

Per a telephone conference on February 12, 1996, the NRC requested additional information to clarify information provided in Request for Relief 95-04 dated October 5, 1995. Please find attached the additional information in support of the request for relief.

If there are any questions or further information is needed you may contact D. A. Nix at (803) 885-3634.

Very truly yours,

J. W. Hampton  
Site Vice President

Attachment

9603040315 960227  
PDR ADOCK 05000269  
PDR

A047  
1/1

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
February 27, 1996  
Page 2

xc (w/attch): Mr. L. A. Wiens  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

Attn: Mike Anderson  
Lockheed of Idaho  
2351 North Boulevard  
Idaho Falls, ID, 83415-2209

xc(w/o attch): Mr. S. D. Ebnetter  
Regional Administrator, Region II  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Mr. P. E. Harmon  
Senior NRC Resident Inspector  
Oconee Nuclear Station

Mr. Max Batavia  
Bureau of Radiological Health  
SC Dept. of Health & Environmental Control  
2600 Bull St.  
Columbia, SC 29201

## Attachment

### Questions and Answers

1. Please verify for the welds identified in Part I of the request for relief, that the physical configuration, including interferences, is identical for Units 1, 2, and 3. This request for verification is because technical information is only provided for Unit 3 in the request for relief.

A: For the welds identified in Part I of the request for relief, the physical configuration, including interferences, is identical for Units 1, 2, and 3. This conclusion is based on a combination of drawing reviews and field experience.

2. In Section V of the request for relief, you identify the alternate examinations that you will perform on Unit 3 Reactor Pressure Vessel (RPV) welds. However, since the request for relief is also for the same welds on Units 1 and 2, please confirm that the alternate examinations you have specified in Section V for Unit 3 will also be performed for Units 1 and 2.

A: Duke Power Company will also continue to perform ultrasonic examination of Item Numbers B01.021.001 (RPV Head Weld) and B01.040.001 (RPV Head-to-Flange Weld) for Units 1 and 2, to the maximum extent practical in accordance with the requirements of ASME Section V, Article 4, 1989 Edition, and Regulatory Guide 1.150, Revision 1, Appendix A.

3. In Section V of the request for relief, you identify the alternate examinations that you will perform on Units 1 and 3 Steam Generator A welds, but no mention is made regarding alternate examinations on the similar Unit 2 welds. Do you intend to perform the same alternate examinations on the Unit 2 welds identified in Section I, parts c and d?

A: Due to an administrative oversight, the Unit 2 Steam Generator A welds identified in Section I, parts c and d, were not included in Section V of the request for relief. Therefore, the following statement should be added to Section V of the existing request for relief:

Duke Power Company will also continue to perform an ultrasonic examination of Item Numbers B03.130.003, B03.130.004, B03.140.003, and B03.140.004 (Steam Generator A Primary Outlet Nozzle-to-Lower Head Weld and Inside Radius), for Unit 2, to the maximum extent

practical in accordance with the requirements of ASME Section V, Article 4, 1989 Edition.

4. In Section IV of the request for relief, you specify the percent coverage for the Unit 3 welds only. No examinations have yet been performed this interval on the corresponding similar Unit 1 and 2 welds which are also identified in this request for relief. Since our evaluation which supports approval of the request for relief is dependent in part on the percent coverage achieved for the welds, it would appear that case by case relief would still be necessary should corresponding welds on Units 1 and 2 receive less coverage than those described for Unit 3.
- A: Duke concurs that coverage on corresponding identical Unit 1 and 2 welds should be greater than or equal to the coverages approved for Unit 3 in the request for relief. Accordingly, if the coverages for corresponding identical welds on Unit 1 or 2 are less than those approved for Unit 3, then additional request for relief will be filed on an individual basis for these welds.