

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8008110328 DOC.DATE: 80/08/07 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL:50-269 Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Duke Power Co. 05000269
 50-270 Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Duke Power Co. 05000270
 AUTH.NAME PARKER, W.O. AUTHOR AFFILIATION Duke Power Co.
 RECIP.NAME DENTON, H.R. RECIPIENT AFFILIATION Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director

SUBJECT: Forwards revised page to Tech Spec 5.4.1 to reflect fuel assemblies in new spent fuel racks. Attached page is to replace that of 800725 ltr. Fee already submitted w/800701 ltr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 1+1
 TITLE: General Distribution for after Issuance of Operating Lic

NOTES: M Cunningham: all amends to FSAR & changes to Tech Specs. 05000269
 M Cunningham: all amends to FSAR & changes to Tech Specs. 05000270

ACTION:	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES	
		LTR	ENCL		LTR	ENCL
	REID, R.	13	13			
INTERNAL:	D/DIR, HUM FAC S	1	1	DIR, HUM FAC SFY	1	1
	I&E	12	2	NRC PDR 02	1	1
	OELD	14	1	OR ASSESS BR 19	1	0
	<u>REG FILE</u>	01	1			
EXTERNAL:	ACRS	20	16	LPDR	03	1
	NSIC	04	1			1

AUG 12 1980

T

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 39 ENCL 37

DUKE POWER COMPANY

POWER BUILDING

422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28242

WILLIAM O. PARKER, JR.
VICE PRESIDENT
STEAM PRODUCTION

August 7, 1980

TELEPHONE: AREA 704
373-4083

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Mr. R. W. Reid, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 4

Subject: Oconee Nuclear Station
Docket Nos. 50-269, -270

Dear Sir:

My letters of July 1 and July 25, 1980 submitted a proposed revision to the Oconee Nuclear Station Technical Specifications to allow expansion of the storage capacity of the spent fuel pool shared by Oconee Units 1 and 2. Subsequent review of the proposed Technical Specification changes has indicated that Technical Specification 5.4.1.1 also requires revision. This change is necessary in order to reflect the spacing of the fuel assemblies in the new spent fuel racks. It also needs to reflect the fuel enrichment used in the criticality analysis reported in Attachment 2 of my letter of July 1, 1980 concerning the proposed spent fuel rerack.

Therefore, Technical Specification page 5.4-1 has been revised and is attached for your review. Please replace page 5.4-1 of my letter of July 25, 1980 with the attached page.

A check in the amount of \$12,700 has already been submitted with my letter of July 1, 1980 in accordance with 10CFR 170 to cover the associated licensing fees. This letter is a supplement to that letter, and therefore no additional fees are enclosed.

Very truly yours,


William O. Parker, Jr.

FTP:scs
Attachment

A001
S
1/1

8008110 1 328

P