

DEC 18 1975

Docket Nos. 50-269
50-270
and 50-287

Duke Power Company
ATTN: Mr. William O. Parker, Jr.
Vice President
Steam Production
Post Office Box 2178
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Gentlemen:

RE: Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3

The subject of guard responsibilities in protecting special nuclear material from theft and sabotage is being reviewed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The purpose of this letter is to clarify one aspect of these responsibilities so as to assure effective application of regulatory requirements in this important area.

Nuclear reactor facilities are required, under 10 CFR 73.40, to provide physical protection and to implement the security plan submitted to the Commission. A necessary element for approval of the plan of a nuclear power reactor is the provision of guards. We expect that the response requirements for these guards will be the same as called out in 10 CFR 73.50 (g)(2) which requires that guards responding to a possible threat shall determine if a threat exists, assess the extent of the threat, and initiate measures to neutralize the threat, either by acting on their own or by calling for assistance from local law enforcement authorities, or both. It appears that the option for guards taking action on their own or calling for outside assistance may conceivably be subject to misinterpretation. The current regulation contemplates that guards are to be instructed that their first priority is to assess the extent of the threat and convey to the proper law enforcement authorities the nature of the threat. They should then, or simultaneously if possible, take action on their own to counter the threat, deferring action pending the arrival of reinforcements only in those instances in which a guard's life would be placed in needless peril when faced with an overwhelming force. In such instances, guards could be expected to take prudent delaying action whenever possible while awaiting assistance.

Your early response to this letter would be very much appreciated, either affirming that your present practices for implementing the

MA

[Signature]

OFFICE ➤						
SURNAME ➤						
DATE ➤						

DEC 18 1975

Duke Power Company

- 2 -

requirements of 10 CFR 73.40 are consistent with this view for the implementation of § 73.50 (g)(2) or advising us of any deviation from this approach, and in the latter case, indicating the cause of such deviation.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By
Roger S. Boyd

Roger S. Boyd, Acting Director
Division of Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: Mr. William L. Porter
Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 2178
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Mr. Troy B. Conner
Conner & Knotta
1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Oconee County Library
201 South Spring Street
Walhalla, South Carolina 29691

DISTRIBUTION

Docket Files
NRC PDRs
Local PDR
ORB#1 Reading
RSBoyd
KRGoller
RAPurple
TJCarter
GGZech
SMSheppard
Attorney, OELD
OI&(E3)
ACRS (16)
DEisenhut
TBAbernathy
JRBuchanan

OFFICE →	RL:ORB#1	RL:ORB#1	OELD	RL:OR/AD	RL/D
SURNAME →	GGZech:dc	RAPurple		KRGoller	RSBoyd
DATE →	12/12/75	12/ /75	12/ /75	12/ /75	12/ /75