

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 7/16/15 2:47 PM  
Received: July 10, 2015  
Status: Pending\_Post  
Tracking No. 1jz-8jwd-wmut  
Comments Due: July 10, 2015  
Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0121

Protective Action Recommendations for Members of the Public on Bodies of Water

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0121-0001

Protective Action Recommendations for Members of the Public on Bodies of Water; Draft  
Regulatory Issue Summary for Comment

**Document:** NRC-2015-0121-DRAFT-0003

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-12514

5/26/2015

8 FR 30095

3

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Edward DeWitt

**Organization:** association to Preserve Cape Cod

RECEIVED

2015 JUL 16 PM 2:52

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
10000

## General Comment

While it is a positive step for the NRC to acknowledge that clarification is needed for emergency preparedness and planning for members of the public who may find themselves on water bodies in the the event of a nuclear emergency, the RIS woefully understates and underestimates the complexities and challenges for a plant like the Pilgrim to effectively deal with the population at sea. As a retired Coast Guard officer I know the challenges with finding and notifying people at sea. The complexity of the population at sea was captured in Jones River Watershed Association's comments on this RIS. Not all vessels carry radios, not all mariners have smart phones and many people at sea have no direct link with the main land. Licensing should depend on emergency planning that will actually work. While the NRC has identified a gap in planning, the response is inadequate.

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= m. pamberstone (msh4)