NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

From: Martin, Robert

Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 8:15 AM crpierce@southernco.com

Cc: McElroy, G. Ken (GKMCELRO@southernco.com); Joyce, Ryan M.

(RMJOYCE@southernco.com); Pascarelli, Robert

Subject: Acceptance Review - Vogtle End States

By letter dated May 6, 2015, (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML15128A239) the Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc., submitted a request to to incorporate risk-informed requirements for selected Required Action end states. Specifically, the proposed change would permit a Required Action end state of Mode 4 rather than an end state of Mode 5.

The purpose of this correspondence is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to cntinue its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the request has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), an amendment to the license (including the TSs) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your request and concludes that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to continue its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed request in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified in "requests for additional information" despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA

Email Number: 2196

Mail Envelope Properties (Robert.Martin@nrc.gov20150630081400)

Subject: Acceptance Review - Vogtle End States

Sent Date: 6/30/2015 8:14:42 AM **Received Date:** 6/30/2015 8:14:00 AM

From: Martin, Robert

Created By: Robert.Martin@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"McElroy, G. Ken (GKMCELRO@southernco.com)" < GKMCELRO@southernco.com>

Tracking Status: None

"Joyce, Ryan M. (RMJOYCE@southernco.com)" < RMJOYCE@southernco.com>

Tracking Status: None

"Pascarelli, Robert" <Robert.Pascarelli@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"crpierce@southernco.com" <crpierce@southernco.com>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 2305 6/30/2015 8:14:00 AM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received: