PUBLIC SUBMISSION

PRM-20-28, 20-29, and 20-30

As of: 7/2/15 3:49 PM Received: June 30, 2015 Status: Pending Post Tracking No. 1jz-8jpv-25vu

Comments Due: September 08, 2015

17

Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2015-0057

80FR35870

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation

Comment On: NRC-2015-0057-0010

Linear No-Threshold Model and Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Notice of Docketing

and Request for Comment

Document: NRC-2015-0057-DRAFT-0024

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-15441

Submitter Information

Name: Aaron Miaullis

Address:

118 Plumb Point Loop

Aberdeen Proving Grounds, 21005

Email: miaullis@vahoo.com

General Comment

Good Afternoon,

Due to experience with cosmic radiation dose rates, I strongly support removing the LNT and replacing it with hormesis or some threshold.

There is significant data now to support hormesis or at least take LNT off the regulations and books. LNT will cost lives, money and time if we have a serious radiological crisis. Exhibit A was the paniced Californians after Fukushima. They were never at risk, but still had a significant psychological burden because IAW LNT, any dose (however small) is bad.

It seems pretty clear that human beings can tolerate dose rates of 5 uSv/hour for hours at a time (air travel). The residents of Ramscar, Iran have lived generationally at 0.2 Sv/year. We could shift the regulations from LNT to Linear with Threshold (LWT) or even hormesis to save lives, time and money.

With thanks,

Aaron Miaullis, CHP