### **EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER**

Chief:	K. Clayton/C. Cowdrey (UI) Facility:	CGS	Date of Written Exam: 4/24/201
	Start of Op Test	4/27/2015	End of Op Test: 5/1/201
Written Exa	m Developed By: NRC / Facility	Operating Tes	t Developed By: NRC / Facility
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials Notes
10/24/2014	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	10/14/14	CAC
12/19/2014	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	12/2/14	CBC
12/19/2014	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	12/2/14	GIC .
12/19/2014	Corporate Notification Letter sent	12/7/14	C/C ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE
1/23/2015	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	1/20/15	СВС ES-201 Att-3
2/6/2015	Integrated exam outlines due	1 (28/15	CBC
2/13/2015	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	2/9/15	C/C ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC
2/13/2015	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	2/9/15	de
3/6/2015	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	3/6/15	are
3/20/2015	Peer review of written exam complete	3/20/15	CSC Document review on ES-401-9
3/20/2015	Preliminary license applications due	3/20/15	CAL NRC Forms 398/396
3/27/2015	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	3/20/15	che
3/27/2015	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	3(23/15	CRC
3/27/2015	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	3/23/15	ac
3/30/2015	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	3/30/15	CBC
4/10/2015	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	4/10/15	CAC ES-201-4 prepared by LA
4/10/2015	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent Final Exam due (if significant changes made from draft)	4/10/15	ac.
4/10/2015	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	4/20/15	CCC LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)
4/17/2015	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	4/21/15	CJC
4/17/2015	Exam material to exam team	4/21/15	CBC
4/27/2015	Administer Operating Test	4/27/15	CBL
5/15/2015	Facility post-exam documentation due	5/13/15	CBE TWO WEEK EXAM
5/20/2015	NRC written exam grading completed	5/15/15	OSC. ES-403-1 to BC
5/22/2015	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	5/26/15	arc
5/22/2015	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	6/2/15	Kige Signed ES 303's to BC
5/29/2015	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	6/2/15	KAR
5/29/2015	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	612 15	KDR
6/5/2015	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	615/15	we
6/5/2015	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	4/14/15	COO print Report-21
6/12/2015	Examination Report Issued	6/30/15	KDE produced by CE
6/19/2015	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	7/2/15	KDC SUNSI checklist to LA
6/26/2015	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	71215	KDR
	Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, Supp 1	, Forms ES-201-1 ar	

ES-201

а**\*** 

### **Examination Outline Quality Checklist**

### Form ES-201-2

Facility:	Columbia Generating Station Date of Examination: April 2015	
ltem	Task Description	Initials
1.	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	a b c#
W R	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with	Dak
$\frac{1}{1}$	Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	
E	c Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	ALA SARC
Ň	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	AN CPC
2 5	<ul> <li>Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.</li> </ul>	ing PCAC
M U L A T	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	MARY Cibe
O R	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	MAN GOC
3 W / T	<ul> <li>a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2:</li> <li>(1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form</li> <li>(2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form</li> <li>(3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)</li> <li>(4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form</li> <li>(5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.</li> </ul>	MA
	<ul> <li>b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1:</li> <li>(1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form</li> <li>(2) at least one task is new or significantly modified</li> <li>(3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations</li> </ul>	ILAN CASC
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	MAR CSC
4.	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	MA CAC
GE	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55 41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	Nell CAC
N E	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	Auto are
RA	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	Autor CBC
L	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	Math CN
	f. Assess whether the exem fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	Multo SICH
	Reviewer (*)	Date 1/20/15 1/2/15 12/10/15 2/10/19
Note:	<ul> <li>Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence requ</li> <li>Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines</li> </ul>	lired.

### **Operating Test Quality Checklist**

Form ES-301-3

Facility: Columbia Generating Station Date of Examination: April 2015 Operating Test Nun	nber: 1	
1. General Criteria	I	b*c#
The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational Importance, safety function distribution).	14.11	Ko
There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	NK	201/10
. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	Ver/1	SUN
. Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	Kill	- 0-0
<ul> <li>It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.</li> </ul>	Auto	200
2. Walk-Through Criteria		
<ul> <li>Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:         <ul> <li>Initial conditions</li> <li>Initiating cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>operationally important specific performance criteria that include:</li></ul></li></ul>	AuQ (	S of AD
Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES- 301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	Rul	- 0%
3. Simulator Criteria		
ne associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 ad a copy is attached.	Ruch	
Author Ronnayden Muthounden 4-9-	-15	
Facility Reviewer(*) D. Stephans C, COWDRCY NRC Chief Examiner (#) K. Clayton (1/60)	15	
NRC Chief Examiner (#) K. Clowton 1/1606 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94	15	
DTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.		

ES-301

#### **Simulator Scenario Quality Checklist**

Form ES-301-4

Facili	ty: Columbia Generating Station	Date of Exam: April 2015	Scenario Number	s: 1/2/3/4 Ope	arating Tes	st No.: 1					
,	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES										
					a	b*	c#				
1.	<ol> <li>The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.</li> </ol>										
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of rela	ated events.			flech		Char				
3.	<ul> <li>Each event description consists of</li> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>										
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic preceding incident such as a seism	: failure (e.g., pipe break) is inco ic event.	prporated into the scen	arlo without a credible	Mark		CH 10				
5.	The events are valid with regard to	physics and thermodynamics.			le 1	$\geq$	ill				
<b>6.</b> '	Sequencing and timing of events is complete evaluation results commen	reasonable, and allows the exar nsurate with the scenario object	nination team to obtain ves.	n	Ret		WWW				
7.	. If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.										
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered	JUN		UND							
9.	. The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.										
10.	Every operator will be evaluated us have been altered in accordance w	luft	K	Ch' CDC							
11.	All individual operator competencies with the simulator scenarios).	s can be evaluated, as verified t	using Form ES-301-6	(submit the form along	Mel		d'as				
12.	Each applicant will be significantly i on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form	nvolved in the minimum numbe with the simulator scenarios).	r of transients and eve	nts specified	stul	K	Citiz C				
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate t	to support licensing decisions fo	r each crew position.		KiA	R	CHERNE				
	Target Quantitative Attribute	es (Per Scenario; See Section	D.5.d)	Actual Attributes							
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	5		8/8/7/8	Ach	X	chi a				
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)			3/2/3/2	del	S-	al a				
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	×		2/3/4/2	Auf	$\mathbb{X}$	Of the				
4.	Major translents (1-2)			2/2/2/1	fell	2	West				
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive	actions (1-2)		2/1/2/2	full		Color				
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substar	ntive actions (0-2)		1/1/1/1	tuf		Col				
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)			2/4/3/2	1/2 Al	J	CAC DO				

### Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

Fi	acility: Columbia	Date of Exam: 4/24/15	Exam Lev	/el: RO	<u>× (</u>	SRO X
					Initials	3
		tem Description		а	b	с
1.	Clean answer sheet	s copied before grading		Shuff	E	CX ODS
2.	Answer key change and documented	s and question deletions justified		And	Ē	at me
3.		hecked for addition errors ck > 25% of examinations)		AuRI	Ł	CAL KAR
4.		erline cases (80 ±2% overall and on the SRO-only) reviewed in det		Mush	Ē	OF KR
5.	All other failing exan are justified	pul	E	are have		
6.	Performance on mis deficiencies and wor of questions missed	Jult	E	on boe		
		Printed Name/Signature	)		D	ate
a.	Grader	RonHayden / Au Rla	upler	$\sim$	5/1	2/15
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	Ken Elliott Fru Ce CITRISTIAN CONDREY	uot	3	5/	13/15
<b>C</b> .	NRC Chief Examiner (*)	Kelly Chrybry & Kolly COT	T	ſ	_5/	2115
d.	NRC Supervisor (*)	Vincent baddy Winch	+ 19a/	4	6/2	15
(*)		signature is not applicable for exa C reviews are required.	minations	graded b	y the N	IRC;

Form ES-401-6

Facility: Columbia Generating Station Date of Ex	xam: April 2015 Exam Le	vel: RO/SRO							
		Initial							
Item Description     Questions and answers are technically accurate and apolicable to	August Co								
Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to     a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions.		ADI DAV							
b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available	l	ANN CVR							
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of		1000							
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR C	NO or 2 SRO questions were DL program office).	Mult Con the							
the item that applies) and appears appropriate: the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed:	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or // the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or the examinations were developed independently; or // the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or								
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank,	Bank Modified New	1.01							
at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	13/3 410 58/22	AWAU S YOR							
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support								
distribution(s) at right.	distribution(s) at right.								
<ol> <li>References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in</li> <li>Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previous of the previous statements of the previous statements in the previous statements of the previous statements in the previous statem</li></ol>		Aut Corr							
<ol> <li>Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the pre- and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviation</li> </ol>	viously approved examination outline is are justified.	and a company							
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in E	S Appendix B.	AUN OUR							
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choil and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	ce items; the total is correct	Phill > 000 1							
Printed Nam	e / Signature	Date							
a. Author ConHayden	4 ftyden	4/9/15-							
b. Facility Reviewer (*) D. Stephens ( C. Cowdrey	1 alsola	4/4/15							
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) K. Clayton	100 coto	4/13/15							
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Vinue I conto	4[1915							
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column *c*; chief exar	C-developed examinations. niner concurrence required.								

Page 1 of 4 04/14/2015 09:07:30 Report 21

### Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 04/27/2015 To 05/08/2015

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Examiners Assigned	BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R.	GLAYTON, KELLY D. COWDREY, CHRISTAIN B. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	HAYES, MAHDI O. KENNARD, MICHAEL N.	KIRKLAND, JOHN C.	BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R.	CLAYTON, KELLY D.	COWDREY, CHRISTAIN B.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	HAYES, MAHDI O.	KENNARD, MICHAEL N.	KIRKLAND, JOHN C.
Exam	BLOC	G C C C	HAYE KENN	KIRK	BLOC	CLAY		GARC	HAYE	KEN	KIRKI
Exam Author Chlef Examiner	CLAYTON, KELLY D.				CLAYTON, KELLY D.						
Exam Aut	NFF				NFF						
Type	Doc				Admin						
# Candidates											
# Ca		R0 - 4 SROU - 2									
Exam Week Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	Columbia Generating Station / 05000397 / TAC #: X02543			Collumbia Generating Station / 06000307 /	TAC #: X02543						
Exam Week	04/04/2015			04/27/2015							

	Form ES-201-3	<i>Vertice of the date</i> as of the date of been authorized by the eduled to be administered and authorized by the NRC provide direct or indirect e's procedures) and ant action against me or that examination security		inations administered dministration, I did not cept as specifically noted	E (2) DATE NOTE 1. 5/5/15 5/
LOW ILC EXAM	Pre-Examination	I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.	2. Post-Examination	To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of the week(s) of the week of the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.	PRINTED NAME     JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY     SIGNATURE (1)     DATE     SIGNATURE (2)       3. Amber Version     Com Manden     Com Oever Jon Control     Min     Min     Min       3. Amber Version     Com Oever Jon Control     Com Oever Jon Control     Min     Min     Min       3. Amber Version     Com Oever Jon Control     Com Oever Jon Control     Min     Min     Min       3. Amber Version     Control     Control     Control     Control     Min     Min       3. Amber Version     Control     Control     Control     Control     Control     Control     Control       1. And Control     Control <t< th=""></t<>

EXAM
RC
V.
5
2
0
1 LC

ES-201

**Examination Security Agreement** 

Form ES-201-3

## 1. Pre-Examination

these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and may have been compromised.

# 2. <u>Post-Examination</u>

instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted during the week(s) of 4/24 35/6. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered below and authorized by the NRC.

DATE SIGNATURE (2) DATE NOTE	4-1-15 Rethender a Truly-	4-1-15 112 Manufer Le Mar and Hall	4-15 Under Man - 16/15	416 Mathematican putanil 57 13/15												
SIGNATURE (1)	Bigh Lavo	THE STR	CB Mark	1 402-4	~											
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	Ro	SM	Ro	SLO												
<b>PRINTED NAME</b>	1. BRUNN ROBERS	2. MILLE STADICK	3. Joe Amsmur	4. RUCS LONG	5.	Ö.	7.	<b>B</b> .	 ර	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	NOTES:

	1
AM	Agreement
URC EXI	ion Security
ILC A	Examinat
dois	

Form ES-201-3

## I. Pre-Examination

ES-201

these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and may have been compromised.

# 2. Post-Examination

instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted during the week(s) of <u>122-55/40</u>-From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered below and authorized by the NRC.

SIGNATURE (1) DATE SIGNATURE (2) DATE NOTE		The second secon		marcher 4.8.15 million we want 11/1/15	Dan Aux 319.15 dultrand an weathrow time 5/14/15-	Mile and Xoway silinity and silver						
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SM	Cer	CRO 4	Principal Licensing Engineer	UPS ANN - SUPPORT							
PRINTED NAME	-	3. Sherrey	4. Right Steifelun	Matley	8 2000 TREADER		10.	12.	13.	14.	15.	NOTES:

ES-201	Examination Security Agreement	Form EC 201 2
1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>		
I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized k of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not these licensing examinations from this date until (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or con feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the phys understand that violation of the conditions of this the facility licensee. I will immediately report to fi may have been compromised.	I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of $\sqrt[4]{24} \rightarrow \sqrt[3]{6}$ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security measures and requirements are indications or suggestions that examination security have been compromised.	week(s) of $\frac{\sqrt{24}}{\sqrt{24}} \rightarrow \sqrt{6}/\sqrt{5}$ as of the date who have not been authorized by the lifcants scheduled to be administered ted below and authorized by the NRC g content or provide direct or indirect ility licensee's procedures) and n enforcement action against me or uggestions that examination security
2. Post-Examination		
To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to during the week(s) of <u>424 - 7466</u> . From the date instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedbabelow and authorized by the NRC.	To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of $\frac{1}{224} - 7\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-1}$ . From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.	ensing examinations administered damination administration, I did not ninations, except as specifically noted
PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE (2) DATE NOTE
1. Michael Parkan	SRO RO RO 3-ai-is II	mot sints sints statis
۰ ۵ ۵ <del>۴</del>		
7. 8.		
		6
13.		
15.		
NOTES:		

ES-201	Examination Se	Examination Security Agreement	Form ES-201-3
1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>			
I acknowledge that I have acq of my signature. I agree that I NRC chief examiner. I unders these licensing examinations f (e.g., acting as a simulator boc feedback). Furthermore, I am understand that violation of the the facility licensee. I will imm the facility licensee. I will imm	quired specialized knowledge about the NRC li I will not knowingly divulge any information aborstand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or profrom this date until completion of examination from this date until completion of examination ooth operator or communicator is acceptable if a aware of the physical security measures and the conditions of this agreement may result in conditions of this agreement may result in conditions of the physical security management or the N	I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{Nc}}$ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.	<del>له راح</del> as of the date en authorized by the ed to be administered uthorized by the NRC vide direct or indirect rocedures) and ction against me or examination security
2. <u>Post-Examination</u>			
To the best of my knowledge, I dic during the week(s) of <u>イハヘィ ち</u> instruct, evaluate, or provide perfo below and authorized by the NRC	I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons E From the date that I entered into this secu performance feedback to those applicants who NRC.	To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of <u>イハッッ</u> ち From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.	ions administered nistration, I did not t as specifically noted
PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1) DATE SIGNATURE (2)	2) DATE NOTE
1. CARECT HANJON 3. E Kendonn 3. E Sulsbury 4.	It TECH Shirt Nonage DS STA IA	Alan Style Allenden	324
9. 10.			
12.			
14.	~		
NOTES:			
		v	

Form ES-201-3	////2015 as of the date ithorized by the be administered ized by the NRC direct or indirect dures) and against me or ination security	administered ation, I did not specifically noted	DATE NOTE
	1. <u>Pre-Examination</u> I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}}$ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC chief examinations from this date until completion of examination, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security measures and repertent and indications or suggestions that examination security measures and requirements or suggestions that examination security measures and requirements and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.	2. Post-Examination To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of <u>view or stan</u> . From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.	SIGNATURE (2)
ient	tions scheduled for th ations to any persons e feedback to those a xcept as specifically n as not select the traini s documented in the fa examinations and/or ner any indications or	concerning the NRC li ntil the completion of ed these licensing ex	DATE
Examination Security Agreement	RC licensing examina n about these examin r provide performance ation administration, e and requirements (as and requirements (as in cancellation of the the NRC chief exami	sons any information ( security agreement u who were administer	SIGNATURE (1)
Examinatio	wledge about the NF vulge any information instruct, evaluate, or mpletion of examina unicator is acceptab unicator is acceptab il security measures freement may result ility management or t	ıγ unauthorized pers at I entered into this < to those applicants	
	<ol> <li>Pre-Examination         I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge aboof my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any it NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evidese licensing examinations from this date until completion of (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security munderstand that violation of the conditions of this agreement m the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility manage may have been compromised.</li> </ol>	2. <u>Post-Examination</u> To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthor during the week(s) of <u>ular and located</u> . From the date that I entered instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those ap below and authorized by the NRC.	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY SM area E GR CAS Crew E
	<ol> <li>Pre-Examination         A schooledge that I have acquoted my signature. I agree that I NRC chief examiner. I unders these licensing examinations fiteedback). Furthermore, I amunderstand that violation of the the facility licensee. I will immunation that have been compromised.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li><u>Post-Examination</u></li> <li>To the best of my knowledge, I did during the week(s) of <u>ultr - os/le/ls</u> instruct, evaluate, or provide perfo below and authorized by the NRC.</li> </ol>	PRINTED NAME Jose Jewest Periet Davorena Derrin elestricus John R Kaina
ES-201	1. <u>Pr</u> I acknowle of my sign NRC chief NRC chief these licer (e.g., actin feedback) understan the facility may have	2. Pc To the bes during the instruct, ev below and	

ES-201	Examinat Examinat	いていたい AC ビスタン Examination Security Agreement	4M		Form ES-201-3	
<ol> <li>Pre-Examination         I acknowledge that I have ac             of my signature. I agree tha             of my signature. I agree tha             NRC chief examiner. I unde             these licensing examination             (e.g., acting as a simulator b             feedback). Furthermore, I a             understand that violation of             the facility licensee. I will im      </li> </ol>	1. Pre-Examination I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of	the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of $\frac{\sqrt{k_{y}} \rightarrow \sqrt{k_{y}}}{\sqrt{k_{y}}}$ as of the d mation about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by th ate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administere amination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NF esptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirec sures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or provide featminer any indications or suppositions or suppositions that examination security.	cheduled for the v co any persons wh ack to those appli s specifically note select the training nented in the facil nations and/or an	veek(s) of $\frac{\sqrt{2y} \Rightarrow 5}{\sqrt{2y}}$ or have not been at cants scheduled to ad below and autho content or provide ity licensee's proce enforcement action that evant	where a solution of the date authorized by the be administered orized by the NRC direct or indirect edures) and n against me or	
may have been compromised. 2. Post-Examination	ed.	·		ł		
To the best of my knowledge, I did during the week(s) of <u>1/24-&gt;&gt;7/6/15</u> instruct, evaluate, or provide perfo below and authorized by the NRC.	To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of <u>Marvershow</u> From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.	ersons any information concern ils security agreement until the nts who were administered thes	iing the NRC licer completion of exe ie licensing exam	rsing examinations amination administr inations, except as	s administered ration, I did not s specifically noted	
PRINTED NAME       1. <u>HEAUILIN</u> 2. <u>HEAUILIN</u> 3. <u>PEONE</u> 3. <u>PEONE</u> 6. <u>PEONE</u> 6. <u>PEONE</u> 7. <u>PEONE</u> 6. <u>PEONE</u> 7. <u>PEONE</u> 9. <u>PEONE</u> 10. <u>PEONE</u> 11. <u>PEONE</u> 13. <u>PEONE</u> 15. <u>NOTES:</u>	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE 12/18/14 ////	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE	