| NRC FORM 591M PART 1 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201 | SAFETY INSPECTION | REPORT AN | D COMPLIANCE INS | PECTION | | | | |
| 1. LICENSEE/LOCATION | ON INSPECTED: | | 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE | | | | | |
| St. Mary's of Michigan Medical Center 800 S. Washington Street Saginaw, Michigan 48601 | | | Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352 | | | | | |
| REPORT NUMBER(S) 2015-001 | | | | | | | | |
| 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S | 5) | 4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) | | 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION | N | | | |
| 030-02031 | | 21-03646-03 | | June 8-9 ,2015 | | | | |
| Regulatory Commiss | an examination of the activities conduct sion (NRC) rules and regulations and th esentative records, interviews with pers | e conditions of your | license. The inspection consiste | d of selective examinatio | ns of | | | |
| 1. Based or | n the inspection findings, no violations v | vere identified. | | | | | | |
| 2. Previous | 2. Previous violation(s) closed. | | | | | | | |
| non-repe | 3. The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied. | | | | | | | |
| • • | Non-cited violation(s) were discuss | sed involving the follo | owing requirement(s): | | . [| | | |
| - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | | |
| | | | * | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited in accordance with NRC Enforcement Policy. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11. (Violations and Corrective Actions) Contrary to 10 CFR 35.643 (a) (i) (d) (i), and (d) (6), the licensee failed to perform adequate periodic spot checks for a high dose rate (HDR) remote afterloader facility before the first user the HDR unit each day of use in that the spot checks did not include (i) checking electrical interlocks at both HDR unit room entrances, and (2) verifying timer accuracy. The root cause of the violation was that the licensee was not aware of the requirements. As corrective action, the licensee will need revise the checklist to include the timer accuracy test, retrain the additional physicist on the additional requirements and begin testing both room entrances and the timer before the next patient treatment is performed. | | | | | | | | |
| | Sta | tement of Corre | ctive Actions | | | | | |
| corrective actions is | rithin 30 days, the actions described by made in accordance with the requireme iance will be achieved). I understand the | ents of 10 CFR 2.201 | (corrective steps already taken, | corrective steps which w | ill be taken, | | | |
| TITLE | PRINTED NAME | | SIGNATURE | | DATE | | | |
| LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE | Shaeou Leaman C | lase. | Sharin X | Case | 9 June 2915 | | | |
| NRC INSPECTOR | Geoffrey M. Warren | | y W_ | | 6/9/15 | | | |
| BRANCH CHIEF | Aaron T. McCraw | | 1/1/1/ | | 6/22/15 | | | |
| NRC FORM 591M PART | 1 (07-2012) | | // | | , , – | | | |

| NDO FORM FORM BART 2 | | | II O NIII | OL FAR REQUILATORY COMMISSION | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (07-2012) | | Docket File Info | | CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION | | | |
| 10 CFR 2.201 SAFE | TY INSPECTION | | COMPLIANCE INS | SPECTION | | | |
| 1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECT | ED: | | 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE | | | | |
| St. Mary's of Michigan M | ledical Center | | Region III | | | | |
| 800 S. Washington Street | | | U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission | | | | |
| Saginaw, Michigan 48601 | | | 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 | | | | |
| | | | Lisle, IL 60532-4352 | | | | |
| REPORT NUMBER(S) 2015-0 | 001 | | | | | | |
| 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) | | 4. LICENSE NUMBER(| S) | 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION | | | |
| 030-02031 | | 21-03646-03 | June 8-9, 2015 | | | | |
| 6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES US | ED | 7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS | | | | | |
| 87131, 87132 | | 03.01 - 03.08; 03 | 03.01 - 03.08; 03.01 - 03.08 | | | | |
| | SUPPL | EMENTAL INSPECT | ION INFORMATION | | | | |
| 1. PROGRAM CODE(S) | 2. PRIORITY | 3. LICENSEE CONTAC | т | 4. TELEPHONE NUMBER | | | |
| 02240 | 2 | Jacek G. Wierzb | icki, Ph.D., AMP, RSO | (989) 776-8285 | | | |
| ✓ Main Office Inspec | otion | Next Inspection | Next Inspection Date: June 2017 | | | | |
| ✓ Field Office Inspec | ction 4599 Tow | ne Center, Saginaw, | MI | | | | |
| Temporary Job Sit | te Inspection | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | PROGRAM S | | | | | |
| | | | | ed in Saginaw, Michigan, that | | | |
| | | | | he licensee also operated two | | | |
| | | | - a cardiology clinic and a facility where lymphoscintigraphy procedures | | | | |
| | | | | procedures under 35.400, none | | | |
| nad been performed in se | verai years. Licei | ised activities were c | onducted only at the fact | lities identified on the license. | | | |
| The nuclear medicine dep | partment was staff | ed with three full-tin | ne nuclear medicine tech | nologists at this site, who | | | |
| typically administered 25 | | | | | | | |
| | - | • | | ne imaging, but included a | | | |
| | - | | | ritten directives annually, and | | | |
| had treated five radium-223 patients to date. The radiation therapy department was staffed with three physician | | | | | | | |
| authorized users and two medical physicists, who treated around 7 to 8 patients annually using HDR, limited to | | | | | | | |
| gynecological procedures. The licensee possessed cesium-137 brachytherapy seeds and a calibration source in storage. | | | | | | | |
| Nuclear medicine technologists went to the Towne Center site around twice monthly to receive and prepare | | | | | | | |
| lymphoscintigraphy procedures there; the doses were administered by trained physicians. At this site, PET/CT | | | | | | | |
| procedures were performed Thursdays and Fridays under another license. | | | | | | | |
| D. C. Ol di | m | 1 1 1 | | | | | |
| | _ | _ | | censed materials, including | | | |
| dose preparation and disposal; and daily checks in nuclear medicine, including dose calibrator constancy, survey meter | | | | | | | |
| and wipe counter QC, and package receipt surveys. Licensee staff demonstrated or described HDR daily checks, HDR planning and administration, a variety of diagnostic procedures, iodine-131 and radium-223 therapy procedures, kit | | | | | | | |
| preparation, daily and weekly contamination surveys, and other procedures. The inspector noted no concerns with | | | | | | | |
| these activities except as described below. The inspector reviewed written directives for radiopharmaceutical therapies | | | | | | | |
| and HDR treatments and identified no concerns. Interviews with licensee personnel indicated adequate knowledge of | | | | | | | |
| radiation safety concepts and procedures. Review of dosimetry records indicated no exposures of regulatory concern. | | | | | | | |

(continued on Part 2)

| NRC FORM 591M PART 2 (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSP | PECTION REPORT AND COM | U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION D COMPLIANCE INSPECTION | | |
|--|------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: | 2. NRC/R | 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE | | |
| St. Mary's of Michigan Medical Cer 800 S. Washington Street Saginaw, Michigan 48601 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2015-001 | U. S. 2443 | Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352 | | |
| 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) | 4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) | 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION | | |
| 030-02031 | 21-03646-03 | June 8-9, 2015 | | |

(Continued)

The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated results consistent with licensee survey records and postings. Review of radiation safety committee minutes indicated good attendance and discussion of appropriate topics.

The inspector observed that, during HDR daily checks, the licensee did not check timer accuracy and interlocks on both doors into the HDR suite. They did check one door into the HDR suite, but not the other. Prior to the exit meeting, the physicist had updated the checklist to include checking both entrances and the timer accuracy.