

TurkeyPointCEm Resource

From: National Parks Conservation Association [npca@npca.org] on behalf of Jackie Critser [npca@npca.org]
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2015 5:48 AM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337

May 17, 2015

Chief Cindy Bladley

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, OWFN 12
H8
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chief Bladley,

I am writing in reference to Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337 to express my opposition to the proposed expansion of Turkey Point Power Plant, located in Homestead, Florida. The site proposed for expansion is located directly on the shores of Biscayne National Park in an area that is extremely susceptible to sea level rise and the impacts of climate change. The expansion of Turkey Point could have serious environmental impacts on sensitive ecological habitat and the health and sustainability of limited freshwater resources.

I add to this extremely poor nuclear plant location problem, that I am deeply concerned about the storage of nuclear waste. There is already evident leakage in virtually every current storage site, and I happen to live near the worst facility in the nation, near the Hanford site in Washington State. With an already huge stockpile of nuclear waste that will not break down for thousands of years, and a rise in seismic activity, it does not make sense to continue to produce it.

Like so many Americans, I am committed to protecting the National Park System, which preserves our country's incredible array of landscapes, waters, wildlife, and opportunities for exploration. Biscayne National Park is an irreplaceable national treasure that safeguards precious natural resources and recreational opportunities. According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's own regulations, "sites adjacent to lands devoted to public use may be considered unsuitable," and unacceptable impacts are "most apt to arise in areas adjacent to natural-resource-oriented areas." Within six miles of the proposed expansion site, there are two national parks, an aquatic preserve, a national wildlife refuge, and a wetland habitat preserve. In following the NRC's own guidelines, the expansion of Turkey Point could have unacceptable and irreversible impacts on these treasured sites.

Operating two new reactors at Turkey Point could also have huge impacts on the quantity and quality of precious freshwater resources. The withdrawal of massive amounts of water from under Biscayne Bay as back-up cooling water could increase salinity levels within the Bay and hasten saltwater intrusion into our limited freshwater supplies. Furthermore, expanding a nuclear power plant in an area that is ground zero for sea level rise threatens the future of South Florida. I strongly oppose the expansion of Turkey Point as proposed due to the project's potentially widespread negative environmental and public health and safety impacts and the serious threats it poses to Biscayne National Park.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jackie Critser
19747 SW Sweet Gum Ct
Beaverton, OR 97078-2332

Federal Register Notice: 80FR12043
Comment Number: 8184

Mail Envelope Properties (155971805.1431856081592.JavaMail.www)

Subject: I Oppose the Proposed Expansion of Turkey Point, Docket ID: NRC-2009-0337
Sent Date: 5/17/2015 5:48:01 AM
Received Date: 5/17/2015 5:48:29 AM
From: National Parks Conservation Association

Created By: npca@npca.org

Recipients:
"TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource" <TurkeyPointCOLEIS.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: app320

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2886	5/17/2015 5:48:29 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: