EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	Buchanan	CNS	Date	of Written Exam: 4/21/2015
Retake U	with ONLY Start of Op Test	4/21/2015		End of Op Test 4/21/2015
Written Exa	m Developed By: NRC / Facility	Operating Tes	t Develo	pped By: NRC / Facility N/A
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	
2/12/2015	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	2/12/15	TOB	
2/12/2015	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	2/12/15	TOB	
2/19/2015	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	2/19/15	FDB	
2/24/2015	Corporate Notification Letter sent	3/20/15	403	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE
1/20/2015	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	NA	NIA	ES-201 Att-3
3/9/2015	Integrated exam outlines due	39115	TB	
3/13/2015	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	3/24/15	TB	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC
3/13/2015	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	3/24/15	TB	
3/9/2015	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	319115	TB	
3/17/2015	Peer review of written exam complete	3 23 15	TB	Document review on ES-401-9
3/17/2015	Preliminary license applications due	3/12/15	TB	NRC Forms 398/396
3/24/2015	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	3124/15	TB	
3/24/2015	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	3124/15	TB	i
3/24/2015	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	3/24/15	TB	
3/31/2015	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	NIA	MIA	No operating test
4/7/2015	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	4/7/15	TB	ES-201-4 prepared by LA
4/14/2015	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	4/9/15	TB	
4/14/2015	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	4/14/15	TB	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)
4/14/2015	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	4/8/15	TB	
4/14/2015	Exam material to exam team	N/A	NIA	No operating test
4/21/2015	Administer Written Test	4/21/15	TB	
4/28/2015	Facility post-exam documentation due	412815	7B	
4/28/2015	NRC written exam grading completed	5/5/18	TB	ES-403-1 to BC
4/28/2015	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	N/A	NIA	No operating test
5/5/2015	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	5/6/15	TB	Signed ES 303's to BC
5/12/2015	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	516115	TB	
5/19/2015	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	516/15	TB	
5/19/2015	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	51615	TB	
5/19/2015	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	412815		print Report-21
6/2/2015	Examination Report Issued	5/15/15		produced by CE
6/9/2015	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	,		SUNSI checklist to LA
6/16/2015	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	414	414	
	Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, Supp 1	, Forms ES-201-1 a	nd ES-50	1-1

Facility:	Cooper Nuclear Station Date of Examination: 4/21/2015									
			Initial	S						
Item	Task Description	а	b*	c#						
1.	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	60	34	TR						
W R I	 Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled. 	٤٩	ger	78						
T	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	-83	84	7B						
E	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	F3	81	TB						
2. S	Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	WA	MA	NW						
M U L A T	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	W/A	NIA	2/A						
O R	 To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D. 	MA	N/Δ	MA						
a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.										
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations									
	 Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days. 	W/A	MA	MA						
4.	 Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections. 	82	W	B						
G E	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	R3	80	TR						
N E	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	18	4	TB						
R A	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	F91	21	TB						
Ĺ	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	58	4	73						
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	58	100	B						
c. NRC	cr Ed Jackson Signature ity Reviewer (*) Chief Examiner (#) Supervisor Ed Jackson Frinted Name/Signature Virtual City Control Cont		Da 3/5/19 4/9/ 4/8/							
Note:	# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence req * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines	uired.								

Item Description 1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility. 2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available. 3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2 d of ES-401 4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office). 5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate:		Charles Charles		10446	F	- !	- COXI	220	J)	
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility. 2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available. 3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2 d of ES-401 4. The sampling process was random and systematic (if more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last? NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office). 5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate:	Facility:		Date of Exam:	4/21/15	EX5	ım Leve	I: KONZI	SKU	ŽI	
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility. 2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available. 3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2 d of ES-401 4. The sampling process was random and systematic (if more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office). 5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate:									Initial	1
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all queations. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available. 3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401 4. The sampling process was random and systematic (if more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office). 5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate:			Item Description			1		8	b,	c"
b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available. 3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401 4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office) 5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the Item that applies) and appears appropriate:	1.	Questions and answers are tecl	nnically accurate and app	olicable to the f	acility	4		88.	1	1B
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (if more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office) 5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was scontrolled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate:	2.			available.	and the latest and th			88	23	TB
were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office). 5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: — the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or — the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or — the examinations were developed independently; or — the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or — X, other (explain) Selected another utilities (BWR-4) outline from ADAMS. Revised outline to be CNS Specific and only 1 Tier 3 SRO K/A duplicated but question is different. 6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right. 7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right. 8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors. 9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified. 10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B. 11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agress with the value on the cover sheet. Printed Name / Signature Date Printed Name / Signature Date A. Author D. Facility Reviewer (*) Gress Brower 3/9/15 3/9/15 3/9/15 3/9/15 3/9/15 3/9/15 3/9/15 3/9/15 3/9/15 3/9/15 3/9/15 3/9/15 3/9/15	.3.	SRO questions are appropriate	in accordance with Section	on D.2.d of ES	401			VB	916	тВ
as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate:	4.							£\$	Sign	тв
from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right. 7 Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right. 8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors. 9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified. 10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B. 11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet. Printed Name / Signature Date a. Author Ed Jackson 9/9/15 Grey Brewer 3/9/15 4/15	5.	as indicated below (check the its	em that applies) and apperantically and randomly dested before the license eloped independently; or there is no duplication; or another utilities (BWR-4) or	ears approprial veloped; or tam was started outline from AE	te: d; or DAMS	. Revise	ad outline	58	813	тв
exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right. 8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors. 9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified. 10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B. 11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet. Printed Name / Signature Date a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) Greg Brewer C. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	6.	from the bank, at least 10 perceinew or modified); enter the actus	nt new, and the rest					88	<i>6</i> **	1B
or aid in the elimination of distractors. 9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified. 10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B. 11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet. Printed Name / Signature Date a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) Grey Brewer C. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	7_	exam are written at the compreh the SRO exam may exceed 60 p selected K/As support the higher	nension/ analysis level; percent if the randomly r cognitive levels; enter	48740		-5	7/60	[}.	CH	TB
examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified. 10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B. 11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet. Printed Name / Signature Date a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) Grey Brewer C. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	8,)				88	M	1B
The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet. Printed Name / Signature Date a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) Grey Brewer C. NRC Chief Examiner (#) Printed Name / Signature Date ### Author ### Access Brewer ### Access Brewer ### Access Brewer ### Access Brewer #### Access Brewer #### Access Brewer #### Access Brewer #################################	9.	examination outline and is appro						83	814	TB
the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet. Printed Name / Signature Date a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) Printed Name / Signature 3/9/15 3/9/15	10.	Question psychometric quality ar	nd format meet the guide	lines in ES Ap	pendi	xB.		500	20	TB.
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) Ed Jackson Grey Brewer J/9/15 ACCESA BURANON J/10	11				ms;			88	No.	ாத
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.	b. Facilit c. NRC (d. NRC (y Reviewer (*) Chief Examiner (#) Regional Supervisor	Ed Jackson Greg Brewer TACCESA BOCH VINITAL BALLS	ASAN TVisus	7	July July	4 inations		3/9/	15 15

Facility: CNS Date of Exam: 4	lailis Exam L	evel: F	ROVS	SRO /
	-		Initials	5
Item Description		а	Ь	С
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading		83	N	TB
Answer key changes and question deletions and documented		E & .	80	TB
Applicants' scores checked for addition error (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	s	83	N	ŤB
 Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% ove as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed 	rall and 70 or 80, ed in detail	8	N	B
All other failing examinations checked to ensure are justified	ure that grades	8	n	TB
Performance on missed questions checked for deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate of questions missed by half or more of the appropriate to the second s	validity	83	n	1B
Printed Name/S	ignature		Da	ate
a. Grader Ed Jecks of Ed b. Facility Reviewer(*) Below Varyh for	any Orone		4/21	12015
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) d. NRC Supervisor (*) Vincent Gaday / Municipal Control of the contr	THERES BUHA	પક્ વ ય.	5/6/	15
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable two independent NRC reviews are required.	for examinations gra	aded by	y the N	RC;

08:51:03 Page 1 of 4 05/06/2015 Report 21

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 02/28/2015 To 06/01/2015

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5

Exam Week Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt # 02/01/2014 Cooper / 05000298 / TAC #: Y02647	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author NFF	Exam Author Chief Examiner NFF GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	Examiners Assigned BLOODGOOD, MICHAEL R.
09/13/2014 Cooper / 05000298 / 2015301 TAC.#: X02567		Prep	N F	BUCHANAN, THERESA D.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. HAYES, MAHDI O. BUCHANAN, THERESA D.
Cooper / 05000298 / 2015302 TAC #: X02579		Prep	N L	BUCHANAN, THERESA D.	BUCHANAN, THERESA D.
Cooper / 05000298 / 2015301 TAC #: X02567		Doc	NFF	BUCHANAN, THERESA D.	BUCHANAN, THERESA D.
Cooper / 05000298 / 2015302 TAC #: X02579	RO - 4 SROI - 1 SROU - 1	Admin	NFF	BUCHANAN, THERESA D.	BUCHANAN, THERESA D.
Cooper / 05000298 / 2015302 TAC #: X02579		Doc		BUCHANAN, THERESA D.	BUCHANAN, THERESA D.

Page 2 of 4

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 02/28/2015 To 06/01/2015

> 08:51:03 05/06/2015 Report 21

Phase Code: 5 Region: 4

Summary By Date 04/2015 CNS - Cooper

RO - 4	SROI - 1	SROU - 1	LSRO-0	Total for Cooper: 6
04/2015				-
RO - 4	SROI - 1	SROU - 1	LSRO-0	Total for 04/2015: 6
01/2015 CNS - Cooper				
RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO-0	Total for Cooper: 0
01/2015				-
RO - 0	SROI-0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 01/2015: 0
02/2015 CNS - Cooper				
RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU-0	LSRO - 0	Total for Cooper: 0
02/2015				•
RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU-0	LSRO - 0	Total for 02/2015: 0
09/2014 CNS - Cooper				
RO - 0	SROI-0	SROU - 0	LSRO-0	Total for Cooper: 0
09/2014				
RO - 0	SRO! - 0	SROU-0	LSRO-0	Total for 09/2014: 0
02/2014 CNS - Cooper				
RO-0	SROI - 0	SROU-0	LSRO-0	Total for Cooper: 0

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 02/28/2015 To 06/01/2015

08:51:03 Page 3 of 4 05/06/2015

Report 21

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5

Summary By Site
CNS - Cooper
RO - 4

SROI - 1

LSRO-0 SROU-1

Total for Cooper: 6

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 02/28/2015 To 06/01/2015

08:51:03

05/06/2015 Report 21

Page 4 of 4

Phase Code: 5 Region: 4

Summary By Region Region 4 RO - 4

SROI - 1

SROU - 1

LSRO-0

Total for Region 4: 6

ES-201	Examination Security Agreement	Form ES-201-3
1. Pre-Examination		31102115 4120115

istrowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of a softhe date these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or may have been compromised.

Post-Examination તં

instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC 15 15 during the week(s) of 2 44 15. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not 2. <u>Post-Examination</u> | 12015 | The best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered

						0			, 4	Ell	Ä				
DATE NOTE	7/22/15	4/2/15	1986	41215	4/29/15	-	in 173/15	4-25-15	14-23-18	4-23-15-48	4-27.15	\$1-22-15	423/15	.,,	
SIGNATURE (2)	ENN	and the	the state of the s	July Wen	Galle			200	13 2 W	aga land	11 hack of in	will be by	Mathe I Hand		
DATE	41/12/8	1-1117/2	1000		11/19/14	12/04/14	12/11/4	12/11/14	12-11-14	(2-0-4	11-18-14	11-31-21	12/18/14		
SIGNATURE (1)	Elgh	W.C. Chi Sur		Night Jane	Elm Hhe	J. Museum	Shin I	12000	113 Bank	The Standard	" Know to me)	murpled	W. W. W. M. A.		
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	Exam Writher	SYAM WRITER QUESTEN DEN	EXER WIT	Coxos Sorter	Faeslita Resigna	CORPORATE LEAD LOI	Valida +1/500	Val: dator / RO	Validato//Ro	Valdator / SRO	Validator 15RD	1/41/047R / R.O.	Valide to 160		
PRINTED NAME	1. Ed Jacksun	3. MICHAEL NEMEC	Richard & Mile	6. Lineth Mararas	7. (Syender Brown	8. PICKARD MURBAY	9. JENYMANY SIMIS	10. Josy GAGE	11. Brian DeRosier	12. Bus Shader	13. Isavis Kahland	14. IN SHEMED	15. Wellet plans noton	NOTES:	•

1. See attached Page 1 for Richard Murray Symbole (2).

This page for Richard Murray on ly. 88 4/22/15

Fage 1 of 5 m 3119115

Form ES-201-3 311/1021-2-1-1-4 4/20/15 **Examination Security Agreement** Pre-Examination ES-201

acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and may have been compromised.

Post-Examination 4 12/15

instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC 15 15 To the best of my knowledge. I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of **3.14415**. From the date that l'entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not during the week(s) of **3.14415**.

					ť	کن سر)	بخ س	<i>></i>			à	~				
	DATE NOTE	भीखीर	27.75	-4/22/2VS	SHE	**************************************	412115		4/22/1/			***************************************		4-275	51-12-7	1/23/5	
	SIGNATURE (2)	ななど	ススタスの	21111111	The second second		In Women	·	P. Muse			The second restores and and and and and and are second second second second second second second second second		Of Section	IN Services	Children St. St. St.	
	DATE	11/12/8	8/20/14	>KITIE JE	4/11/	4/14/16	11-11-11	11/19/14	41/18/14	12/11/4	#1/0/2)	12-11-14	(4-11-1	41-81-21	41-3,2:	12/18/14	
	SIGNATURE (1)	2294	With the second	In Rich		5 as 7 5 as	Nich Weren	Est When	Monte	1 2 min	12 C 12 C	16 Start	F. S. 1	" Kock Free)	The property	Will Will St.	
B	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	Exam Writher	EXAM WRITEZ BUSTER ITEL	Docutions Porter Tearner San	exec witte	CRS / Que tion Review	CXOM Winter	Facily Course	1.02 000 16 1EAD LOI	V=1110 +5/5/60	Validator / Ro	Validato//Ro	V-144fr / 520	Validube 15RD	141,0478 / RO	Valistor 180	
	PRINTED NAME	Ed Jackson	2. MICHARL NEMEC	3. Michael Manges Sh	4. Nichand & Miley	5. Den's Frankland	6. Linoth Hasparens	7. Expending Blown	8. PICHARD MURON	9. Yerring Sims	10, LOSA GALGE	11. Brian DeRosier	12. B. a Stade	13. Travis Kahland	14. ひたい 3年のもなり	15. along Among roton	NOTES;

Page 2 of & 3 mil

ES-201	Examination	Examination Security Agreement	Form ES-201-3	S-201-3
1. Pre-Examination			Stibilis - destro 4/20115	3
Lacknowledge that I have acq of my signature. Lagree that I NRC chief examiner. I unders these licensing examinations i (e.g., aching as a simulator box teedback). Furthermore, I am understand that violation of the the facility licensee. I will imm may have been compromised.	acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations retroducible for the weakls) of all in strongly divulge any information about these examinations to any particular or the hard and hard that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be been autioned by the same and authority of the second formation of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authority, acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide all edback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility fuence's procedured that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action are facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC duel examiner any indications or suggestions that examinations between compinonised.	ARC licensing examinations ration about these examinations to provide performance feedbackling administration, except as able if the individual does not select and requirements (as docume if in cancellation of the examina it the NRC chief examiner any in	I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations ratio dueled for the veeds) of the date of my signature. Farrer that I will not knowlingly divulge any information about these examinations is any therefore who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examinations from this date until completion of examination except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., aching as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the initividual does not seed the training content or provide direct or indirect techback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensed's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.	the date by the mistered be NRC before differed by making the beauty of the beauty.
2. Post-Examination 25 4/4/10 To the best of my knowledge, during lie week(s) of 2/22/4 nstruct, evaluate, or provide p action and authorized by the N	4/3C//2 I did not divulge to any unauthorized per = From the date that i entered into this performance feedback to those applicant NRC.	isons any information concernit s securify agreenent until the co s who were administered these	4/3C//3 Left and alvelige to any unautherized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered to any unautherized to have applicantly agreement until the completion of examination administration, I old not performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted NRC.	rred d not ly noted
PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIGNORE (2) DATE NOTE	OTE
1				
1,				temperature of the second design of the second desi
NOTES.) ALSIS Led.	example all 4.2.15	Rodol exam	enflor compressed by	

Form ES-201-3 1. <u>Pre-Examination</u> | deknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/16/2015 as of the date Examination Security Agreement ES-201

these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security

Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2462015. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. $x_0^{\mu} + \sqrt{t} t/1$

SIGNATURE (2) DATE NOTE	4/23/15	
DATE	म्बर्गाता माह्याता	
SIGNATURE (1)		
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	Marking Steen Designation of the Control of the Con	
Trumied NAME	2. Zent L. Maran. 4. 5. 6. 6. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 110. 111. 113. 114. 115. 115. 115. 115. 115. 115. 115	

Jan Lake


Form ES-201-3 Examination Security Agreement S. S.

Pro-Examination

these idensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC MRC chief examinar I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants schoolund to be administered 19.9 scing as a simulator coulth operator or communicator is acceptable of the moindual does not salect the baining content or provide direct or indirect the facility ticensoe. I will immediately roport to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancel attor of the examinations and for an enforcement action against the or feedback) Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as decumented in the facility licensee's procedures) and may have been compromised

Post. Examination

Instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were admir stered these licensing examinations, except as apperficially notice duing the week(s) of 4/2015. From the date that lentered no his security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to eay unsuitherzed persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered balow and sutherized by the NRC.



PAGE 5 of Sallins

Form ES-201-3 **Examination Security Agreement**

1. Pre-Examination 4|10|15 $3n_3|19|15$ $3n_3|19|15$

ES-201

these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and may have been compromised.

1/30/15 Post-Examination

instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted The best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of define the factor and the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not below and authorized by the NRC

		چ ه <i>ن</i>	700	30			
DATE NOTE	4-12.15	3/1/5	2/20/15	423-15	4/22/15	4/2/415	
SIGNATURE (2)	year year	my Mitchey	WELL	10 Cus	S. C.	Va Doodee	
DATE	12-38-14 Pi-88-21	12/30/14	# (Jax 12)	1 16/15	3/23/15	3/64/15	
SIGNATURE (1)	Charles of the Control of the Contro	and and		S. Carrier	Jagar A	Chusbadan	
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	20 0		CRS/was	Training Margar Overight	TRAINING MANAGE COVERS	Okentims Manage / oversight	
PRINTED NAME	. !	4. Kent GRAY	6. Phi Martin	8. James Caery	10. Tim Mueller	12. Dun Gooderan	14

1 See alledul Page 5 for James Caery Signetine (2) 2. Chuck Murphy has left the company. Attempts to contest him have gone unanswered.

29 4/23/15 This Page Par James Caery only.

AGE 5 of Karins

Form ES-201-3 Examination Security Agreement ES-201

Pre-Examination

| acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of < (3) the date 4/20/15 m 3/19/15 these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and may have been compromised.

Post-Examination //3c//__

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Control of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

DATE NOTE	4-23-15 4-23-15 4-23-15	
SIGNATURE (2)	In what	
DATE 12-18-59	12-34-47 12-34-47 12-3-15 12-3-15 12-3-15 12-3-15 13-1	***************************************
SIGNATURE (1)		
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	CAS / WCC 580 C. E.S. C. C.S. / W.C.C. C. E.S. C. C.S. / W.C.C. C. E.S. C. C.S. C. C.S. T. R. M.	
PRINTED NAME	Kent GRES Kent GRAY CHUCE MURPHY OLIN Martin	14. 15. NOTES:

Parse 60t 6

	Evamination Security Agreement	Form E3-201-3
ES-201	E.Kaillitation occurs, 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	
1. Pre-Examination	I E wife at the training	IRINS as of the
l acknowledge that I have acquired spectide of my signature. I agree that I will NRC chief examiner. I understand that these licensing examinations from this these licensing examinations from this ceg., acting as a simulator booth operatedback). Furthermore, I am aware of gedback). Furthermore, I am aware of understand that violation of the condition facility licensee. I will immediately rephave been compromised.	Lacknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 1/4/11/2 as year. I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator of the physical security may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.	orized by the ministered by the NRC or indirect and and stand or the ecurity may
2. Post-Examination		
To the best of my knowledge, the week(s) of $\frac{1}{2} u \le 1$ instruct, evaluate or provide	To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administration of the persons administration, I did not the week(s) of \frac{1}{2}	steled daming I did not ically noted
below and authorized by the rand	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
Steve Reeves (sobe Kimich	Exam Developer (6018) Stein (as 3/3/15 Act / 1/2	1/23/15
7.		
10.		
12.		
14.		
NOTES:		