

TurkeyPointCEm Resource

From: lambscapes@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, April 25, 2015 7:43 PM
To: TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource
Subject: Re: NUREG-2176 Cindy Bladey, Office of Administration Mail Stop: OWFN 12 H8 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-000

Subject: Turkey Point Nuclear Plant Expansion

Email:TurkeyPoint.COLEIS@nrc.gov

Re: NUREG-2176 Cindy Bladey, Office of Administration Mail Stop: OWFN 12 H8 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-000

Dear Ms. Bladey,

I would like to put my two cents in on the future expansion of Turkey Point's two new nuke plants. Myself and my husband are native Miamians and have had our roots in this area for three generations now. We were here before FPL built their first two nuclear reactors in the 70s and remember all that went into building them. It took some time before FPL could flip the switch on those two. It will take time to build these as well and I am just hoping that the two existing reactors can handle the load until then, since our "esteemed" local politicians don't seem to know how to say "no" to any development, regardless of the crowding or stresses it puts on our infrastructure and resources.

We are **STRONGLY IN FAVOR** of FPL building the two new nuclear plants. We are **NOT** in favor of any gas plants or the fracking that goes with them. I know that, at this time, gas would be less expensive, but I see it harming our environment at a much greater cost.

I also know that I would much rather prefer new, state of the art, reactors rather than continually relying on the original two that are already past their original useful life and have needed improvements to increase their outputs. This pushing the original operational envelope is much more concerning to me than providing newer, safer units.

I understand the concern that people have with nuclear safety, especially since the accident in Japan, but wonder how many realize that FPL reactors are not configured and will not operate the same way.

And last, I would suggest that you ask FPL to allow homeowners to sell their solar generated power back to the grid so that, over time, we can use our sunshine to our advantage. This is a long term goal that many people are arguing now as the solution to nuclear power. But I do not want to spend my retirement years sitting in darkness waiting while the solar and wind industries mature to the point that they can provide the electricity necessary to power 3 million people's needs.

Thank you for your time,

Deborah S. Lamb
13441 SW 100 Ct.
Miami, FL 33176

Federal Register Notice: 80FR12043
Comment Number: 83

Mail Envelope Properties (14cf2f63133-530-1c1ec)

Subject: Re: NUREG-2176 Cindy Bladey, Office of Administration Mail Stop: OWFN 12
H8 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-000
Sent Date: 4/25/2015 7:42:43 PM
Received Date: 4/25/2015 7:43:12 PM
From: lambscapes@aol.com

Created By: lambscapes@aol.com

Recipients:
"TurkeyPointCOLEIS Resource" <TurkeyPointCOLEIS.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: webprd-m50.mail.aol.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2339	4/25/2015 7:43:12 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: