



10 CFR 50.59(d)(2)
L-2015-137
April 22, 2015

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn.: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Re: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4
Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251
10 CFR 50.59(d)(2) Summary Report

In accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.59(d)(2), a summary report of changes, tests and experiments subject to 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation covering the period from April 17, 2013 to October 22, 2014 is provided in Attachment 1. The report addresses the 10 CFR 50.59 evaluations for design change packages and temporary modifications, engineering evaluations, and fuel reload evaluations for Unit 3 Cycle 27 and Unit 4 Cycle 28.

The report also contains a summary of Technical Specification (TS) Bases changes from April 17, 2013 to current. The updated TS Bases are controlled and contained in Attachments 1 (index) and 2 (bases) to Turkey Point Administrative Procedure No. 0-ADM-536. Attachments 1 and 2 of procedure 0-ADM-536 are contained in Attachment 2 to this letter.

Should you have any questions regarding this submittal please contact Mr. Mitch Guth, Licensing Manager, at 305-246-6698.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Michael Kiley', is written over a horizontal line.

Michael Kiley
Vice President
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant

Attachments: 1) 10 CFR 50.59(d)(2) Summary Report
2) Technical Specification Bases Control Program, Procedure 0-ADM-536,
Attachments 1 and 2

cc: Regional Administrator, Region II, USNRC
Senior Resident Inspector, USNRC, Turkey Point Plant

JE47
NRK

UNIT 4 CYCLE 27

10 CFR 50.59 SUMMARY REPORT

CHANGES, TESTS, AND EXPERIMENTS

ALLOWED BY 10 CFR 50.59

FOR THE PERIOD COVERING

April 17, 2013 THROUGH October 22, 2014

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

TURKEY POINT UNITS 3 & 4

DOCKET NUMBERS 50-250 AND 50-251

INTRODUCTION

This report is divided into four (4) sections. Section 1 summarizes changes made to the facility as described in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) resulting from Design Change Packages (DCPs) and Temporary Modifications (TMDs) that screened in for evaluation under 10 CFR 50.59. Section 2 summarizes changes made to the facility or procedures as described in the UFSAR which were justified by a stand-alone 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation, not performed as part of a DCP or TMD. Section 3 provides a summary of the current Unit 3 and Unit 4 fuel reload evaluations. Section 4 provides a summary of the Technical Specification Bases changes made since the previous update.

Each of these Engineering Change (EC) documents is presented with an overall summary of the associated activity and a summary of the 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
INTRODUCTION	2
TABLE OF CONTENTS	3
<u>SECTION 1</u>	<u>DESIGN CHANGE PACKAGES / TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS</u>
	5
EC 281319	UNIT 3 PRESSURIZER HEATER #11 ELEMENT NOZZLE / SLEEVE REPAIR AND HEATER REPLACEMENT
	6
EC 282171	SUPPLEMENTAL COOLING FOR UNIT 3 SPENT FUEL POOL
	8
<u>SECTION 2</u>	<u>10 CFR 50.59 EVALUATIONS</u>
	11
<u>SECTION 3</u>	<u>RELOAD 10 CFR 50.59 EVALUATIONS</u>
EC 279407	UNIT 3 CYCLE 27 RELOAD DESIGN
	13
EC 281336	UNIT 4 CYCLE 28 RELOAD DESIGN
	14
<u>SECTION 4</u>	<u>TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CHANGES</u>
	16
AR 1899762	PRESSURIZER SAFETY VALVE SETPOINT
	16
AR 1960861	EDITORIAL CHANGE
	16
AR 1982471	CCW HEAT EXCHANGER PERFORMANCE
	16
AR 1947936	MISCELLANEOUS TS BASES CHANGES
	17
AR 2003740	EDITORIAL CHANGE
	17

SECTION 1

DESIGN CHANGE PACKAGES/TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS

SUMMARY FOR SECTION 1: DESIGN CHANGE PACKAGES / TEMPORARY MODIFICATIONS

10 CFR 50.59(d)(2) requires that each licensee submit a periodic report containing a brief description of any changes, tests, and experiments made or conducted at their facility under the criteria of 10 CFR 50.59(c)(2). This report is also required to include a summary of the evaluation of each change, test, or experiment. Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) is committed to submitting this report for the Turkey Point facility on an approximate eighteen month periodicity within six months after each Unit 4 refueling outage.

The report contained herein covers the period between April 17, 2013 and October 22, 2014. During this period, there were 2 permanent or temporary plant modifications evaluated under the criteria of 10 CFR 50.59(c)(2) that were completely implemented and turned over to the station. A description of each is included in this section along with a summary of the applicable 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation.

DESIGN CHANGE PACKAGE EC 281319

Revision 3

UNIT: 3

**UNIT 3 PRESSURIZER HEATER #11 ELEMENT NOZZLE / SLEEVE REPAIR AND
HEATER REPLACEMENT**

SUMMARY:

During the Unit 3 Cycle 27 refueling outage an indication of primary reactor coolant leakage from a pressurizer heater sleeve (number 11) in the pressurizer bottom head was discovered. In response to this operating condition, this Engineering Change (EC) Package relocated the pressure boundary weld to the outside diameter of the pressurizer lower head and partially replaced the pressurizer Type 316 stainless steel heater sleeve (number 11) with a new lower sleeve design. The EC also replaced the heater element (like-for-like replacement) on the Unit 3 pressurizer. This EC utilized a “Half Nozzle” weld technique to replace the heater sleeve.

By letter L-2014-096, dated April 4, 2014, FPL requested NRC approval to use an alternative to the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, 2007 Edition, including Addenda through 2008. By letter dated October 9, 2014, the NRC issued the Safety Evaluation approving this Relief Request.

10 CFR 50.59 Evaluation:

The design function of the existing heater sleeve assemblies is to maintain RCS pressure boundary integrity. This EC replaced the #11 heater sleeve assembly with an equivalent design. The Unit 3 pressurizer heater sleeve was replaced utilizing the “half-nozzle” weld technique. As part of the replacement heater sleeve, a new external weld on the lower head of the pressurizer was installed and served as the new RCS pressure boundary. A one cycle report justified the partial replacement for one operating cycle in accordance with ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code, Section III, Division I, Subsection NB. The report addressed primary stress analysis, weld analysis, weld sizing, interference check, primary plus secondary stress and fatigue evaluation for one cycle operation. The Unit 3 pressurizer modification of penetration number 11 meets all applicable ASME B&PV Code, Section III, Division I, Subsection NB criteria for at least one fuel cycle. Based on the one cycle report, the new external weld, which serves as the new pressure boundary and the heater sleeve replacement will not adversely affect the design function of the pressurizer.

The original construction code for the pressurizer is Section III, 1965 Edition, including addenda through Summer 1965. The reconciliation provides the required justifications, as specified in IWA-4200, of ASME Section XI “Rules for In-Service Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,” 2007 edition, including Addenda through 2008, for modification of the #11 heater sleeve penetration on

the pressurizer lower head. The design, materials, fabrication, examination, mechanical interfaces, fits and tolerances have been reconciled to the original Owner's Requirement and Code. The evaluation demonstrated that the modification to the pressurizer heater sleeve is acceptable and complies with IWA-4200; therefore the Unit 3 pressurizer is reconciled and acceptable for the next operating cycle.

By letter L-2014-096, dated April 4, 2014, FPL requested NRC approval to use an alternative to the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, 2007 Edition, including Addenda through 2008. By letter dated October 9, 2014, the NRC issued the Safety Evaluation for this Relief Request. In the NRC letter, the NRC concludes, as set forth in the enclosed safety evaluation, that complying with the requirements of subparagraph IWB-3142.3 would result in hardship and unusual difficulty without compensating increase in the level of quality and safety and that the licensee adequately addressed all regulatory requirements in 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(ii). Accordingly, the NRC staff authorized Relief Request Number 1 at Turkey Point Unit 3 for the unit's current 18-month operating cycle.

DESIGN CHANGE PACKAGE EC 282171

Revision 0

UNIT: 3

PROVIDE SUPPLEMENTAL COOLING FOR UNIT 3 SPENT FUEL POOL SYSTEM

SUMMARY:

This Engineering Change Package implemented a temporary modification to Turkey Point Unit 3 to provide supplemental cooling to the Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) cooling system. The temporary containment chillers, typically used for cooling the containment building during outages, will provide cooling water to the 3B Heat Exchanger. When cooled, the SFP water should in turn reduce the heat load on the Component Cooling Water (CCW) system via the 3A SFP Heat Exchanger which is normally in service. The supplemental cooling system used heavy-duty commercial grade hoses and hose couplings to connect the 3B SFP Heat Exchanger to the chiller supply and return locations. This supplemental cooling system is located on the roof of the auxiliary building and the hoses were routed across the roof, down to grade level, through an open door in the cask handling facility to the 3B SFP Heat Exchanger connection points. Water chemistry in the supplemental cooling loop is maintained consistent with that of the CCW system. Remote radiation monitoring is also provided to monitor for heat exchanger leakage.

10 CFR 50.59 Evaluation:

The aspects of the activity that required a review in the 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation were as follows:

1. The non-nuclear safety related chilled water loop cooling the supplemental SFP heat exchanger and operation of the second SFP pump.
 - a. The second SFP pump is normally only in service during a refueling outage.
 - b. A commercial grade chiller and hoses will be used to cool the 3B SFP Heat Exchanger in lieu of the CCW system.
2. The use of portable radiation monitors on the supplemental cooling system to monitor for 3B SFP Heat Exchanger tube leakage.
 - a. The portable radiation monitor is battery-powered and less reliable than the process radiation monitors installed in the CCW return piping.

- b. The read out of the radiation monitors will be accessible in the control room via a laptop. The readout will be checked periodically by the operator every four hours to monitor the radiation levels in the chiller hoses and monitor the battery life of the portable monitors. The laptop will also provide annunciation of a high radiation condition.

The design basis evaluations for the SFP as described in the UFSAR are predicated on boron concentration and proper spacing of new and spent fuel assemblies for reactivity concerns, maintaining proper water level in the SFP to mitigate radiological releases during a fuel handling accident, and for providing adequate natural circulation flow through the storage cells under loss of cooling conditions to maintain fuel cladding design limits. The proposed activities authorized in this EC do not adversely impact any of these design features as credited in the UFSAR analyses.

The SFP system will be operated in a manner such that the proposed activity does not change, prevent, or degrade the effectiveness of actions described or assumed in the UFSAR to prevent the SFP from boiling.

The safety evaluation concluded that prior NRC approval was not required to implement the proposed activity.

SECTION 2

10 CFR 50.59 EVALUATIONS

SUMMARY FOR SECTION 2: 10 CFR 50.59 EVALUATIONS

10 CFR 50.59(d)(2) requires that each licensee submit a periodic report containing a brief description of any changes, tests, and experiments made or conducted at their facility under the criteria of 10 CFR 50.59(c)(2). This report is also required to include a summary of the evaluation of each change, test, or experiment. Florida Power & Light Company is committed to submitting this report for the Turkey Point facility six months after each Unit 4 refueling outage.

This report contained herein covers the period between April 17, 2013 and October 22, 2014. During this period, there were no engineering evaluations approved under the criteria of 10 CFR 50.59(c)(2).

SECTION 3

RELOAD 10 CFR 50.59 EVALUATIONS

RELOAD 10 CFR 50.59 EVALUATION EC 279407

Revision 1

TURKEY POINT UNIT 3 CYCLE 27 RELOAD DESIGN

Summary:

This Design Change Package (DCP) replaced a portion of the depleted fuel assemblies in the core at end of cycle 26 with fresh fuel assemblies to enable Unit 3 to operate during Cycle 27. Cycle 27 implemented two design changes in this cycle. The design changes to the new fuel assemblies included the use of a robust P-grid and modified bottom nozzle. The new spacer grids were initially designed as a result of a root cause failure analysis of the previous fuel assembly design to reduce the probability of cracking and dimple/ligament separation. The modified bottom nozzle removes the communication flow holes on the sides of the nozzle to improve the assemblies ability to “trap” debris within the nozzle to prevent potential fuel fretting failures.

This was also the first cycle that reloaded fuel was cleaned using ultrasonic fuel cleaning for all assemblies being reloaded into the core. This effort minimized the crud induced power shift risk and reduced the potential risk for fuel failure.

10 CFR 50.59 Evaluation:

The Unit 3 Cycle 27 reload core design was evaluated by Florida Power & Light Company and by the fuel supplier, Westinghouse Electric Corporation. The Cycle 27 core design met all applicable design criteria, appropriate licensing bases, and the requirements of the plant Technical Specifications. The installation of robust P-grid and the modified bottom nozzles will not adversely affect fuel performance, mechanical design, thermal hydraulics, neutronics, or plant performance. The Technical Specifications were reviewed as part of the reload design modification. The evaluation concluded that the reload neither requires a change to the current Technical Specifications, nor any impact to pending changes being reviewed by the NRC. The review concluded that this reload will be in compliance with all Technical Specifications. It was, therefore concluded that the Cycle 27 core reload was acceptable considering the minimal impact of the changes on plant safety and plant operations. The proposed core design did not require changes to the Technical Specifications. Accordingly, prior NRC approval was not required for implementation of the reload core design.

RELOAD 10 CFR 50.59 EVALUATION EC 281336

Revision 0

TURKEY POINT UNIT 4 CYCLE 28 RELOAD DESIGN

Summary:

This Design Change Package (DCP) replaced a portion of the depleted fuel assemblies in the core at end of cycle 27 with fresh fuel assemblies to enable Unit 4 to operate during Cycle 28. Cycle 28 implemented two design changes in this cycle. The design changes to the new fuel assemblies included the use of a robust P-grid and modified bottom nozzle. The new spacer grids were initially designed as a result of a root cause failure analysis of the previous fuel assembly design to reduce the probability of cracking and dimple/ligament separation. The modified bottom nozzles remove the communication flow holes on the sides of the nozzle to improve the fuel assemblies ability to “trap” debris within the nozzle to prevent potential fuel fretting failures.

This was also the first cycle that reloaded fuel was cleaned using ultrasonic fuel cleaning for all assemblies being reloaded into the core. This effort minimized the crud induced power shift risk and reduced the potential risk for fuel failure.

10 CFR 50.59 Evaluation:

The Unit 4 Cycle 28 reload core design was evaluated by Florida Power & Light Company and by the fuel supplier, Westinghouse Electric Corporation. The Cycle 28 core design met all applicable design criteria, appropriate licensing bases, and the requirements of the plant Technical Specifications. The installation of robust P-grid and the modified bottom nozzles will not adversely affect fuel performance, mechanical design, thermal hydraulics, neutronics, or plant performance. The Technical Specifications were reviewed as part of the reload design modification. The evaluation concluded that the reload neither requires a change to the current Technical Specifications, nor any impact to pending changes being reviewed by the NRC. The review concluded that this reload will be in compliance with all Technical Specifications. The allowable flux difference limits were revised in the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR). As part of a recently approved generic topical report, WCAP-12610-P-A Addendum 2-A, a new corrosion model was required by the NRC’s safety evaluation on a forward fit basis. These methods and design values are not Technical Specification limits or COLR limits. It was, therefore concluded that the Cycle 28 core reload was acceptable considering the minimal impact of the changes on plant safety and plant operations. The proposed core design did not require changes to the Technical Specifications. Accordingly, prior NRC approval was not required for implementation of the reload core design.

SECTION 4

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CHANGES

SUMMARY FOR SECTION 4: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM

Amendments 222 and 217 to the Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 operating licenses, respectively, added Technical Specification 6.8.4.i, Technical Specifications Bases Control Program. Technical Specification (TS) 6.8.4.i.d requires changes to TS Bases that do not require prior NRC approval be submitted to the NRC "... on a frequency consistent with 10 CFR 50.71(e)." The report of changes made pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59 is also submitted consistent with 10 CFR 50.71(e) (the FSAR update). Therefore, changes made to the TS Bases are being submitted with this report and are contained in procedure 0-ADM-536, Technical Specification Bases Control Program. Attachments 1 (index) and 2 (TS Bases) of procedure 0-ADM-536 are provided in Attachment 2 of this letter. A summary of TS Bases changes made since the previous update follows:

0-ADM-536 TS Bases Changes

Action Request (AR) 1899762

Pressurizer Safety Valve (PSV) setpoint changes for Unit 3 and Unit 4 revised the PSV relief capacity from 293,330 to 313,826 lb/hr/valve in Section 3/4.4.2. This was consistent with NRC approved and issued License Amendments 249 and 245 for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4, respectively, on June 15, 2012.

AR 1960861

Editorial change from Temporary System Alterations to Temporary Configuration Change in Section 3/4.1.3 regarding an alternate method of rod position indication.

Typographical error (10 CFR 50.56) corrected to 10 CFR 50.65 in Section 3/4.5.2 & 3/4.5.3.

AR 1982471

Section 3.7.4, Ultimate Heat Sink, was revised consistent with License Amendments 261 and 256, which changed the Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS) temperature limit from 100°F to 104°F, and require monitoring UHS temperature at least once per hour when the UHS temperature is above 100°F.

AR 1947936

The changes included:

- TS 3/4.7.2, Component Cooling Water System and 3/4.7.3, Intake Cooling Water System. Improved guidance concerning Action Statements for ICW and CCW when the systems have two pumps operable, but not from independent power supplies.
- TS 3/4.3.3.3, Accident Monitoring Instrumentation, Attachment 2, include SJAE SPING operability discussion.
- TS 3/4.5.2 and 3/4.5.3, ECCS Subsystems, Opposite Unit impact on integrity of the cold leg injection flowpath.
- TS 3/4.6.4, Containment Isolation Valves, incorporate wording to align penetrations to the penetration flow path consistent with NUREG-1431.
- TS 3/4.1.2, Boration Systems, clarification of charging pump functionality versus operability during Modes 5 and 6.
- TS 3/4.7.2, Component Cooling Water System and 3/4.7.3, Intake Cooling Water System, provide the requirements for functionality versus operability during Modes 5 and 6.
- TS 3/4.8, Electrical Power Systems. Addition of Princeton transmission line to the Turkey Point switchyard.
- TS 3/4.7.3, Intake Cooling Water (ICW) System, enhanced the description of the ICW system with respect to the redundant supply header and non-redundant return header.
- TS 3/4.7.1.7, Feedwater isolation. Clarification of the FIV's function in regard to a Steamline Break in Containment.
- TS 3/4.9.2, Instrumentation, editorial change associated with placement of a section title.

AR 2003740 – Reference to Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.182 was revised to RG 1.160 in the bases for TS 4.0.3.

TURKEY POINT NUCLEAR PLANT

Technical Specification Bases

**Contained in Procedure O-ADM-536,
Technical Specification Bases Control Program,
Attachments 1 and 2**

(This coversheet plus 188 pages starting with 12 of 200.)

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 12 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 1
Index
(Page 1 of 6)

BASES

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
2.1 <u>Safety Limits</u>	
2.1.1 Reactor Core	18
2.1.2 Reactor Coolant System Pressure	21
2.2 <u>Limiting Safety System Settings</u>	
2.2.1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation Setpoints	22
3/4.0 <u>Applicability</u>	33
3/4.1 <u>Reactivity Control Systems</u>	
3/4.1.1 Boration Control	47
3/4.1.1.1	
&	
3/4.1.1.2 Shutdown Margin	47
3/4.1.1.3 Moderator Temperature Coefficient	48
3/4.1.1.4 Minimum Temperature for Criticality	49
3/4.1.2 Boration Systems	49
3/4.1.3 Movable Control Assemblies	54
3/4.2 <u>Power Distribution Limits</u>	
3/4.2.1 Axial Flux Difference	58
3/4.2.2	
&	
3/4.2.3 Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor and Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor	60
3/4.2.4 Quadrant Power Tilt Ratio	66
3/4.2.5 DNB Parameters	67

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 13 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 1
Index
(Page 2 of 6)

BASES

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3/4.3 <u>Instrumentation</u>	
3/4.3.1 & 3/4.3.2 Reactor Trip System and Engineered Safety Features Actuation System Instrumentation.....	68
3/4.3.3 Monitoring Instrumentation.....	77
3/4.3.3.1 Radiation Monitoring for Plant Operations	77
3/4.3.3.2 Movable Incore Detectors	77
3/4.3.3.3 Accident Monitoring Instrumentation	78
3/4.3.3.4 Deleted.....	81
3/4.3.3.5 Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation.....	81
3/4.3.3.6 Radioactive Gaseous Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation.....	82
3/4.4 <u>Reactor Coolant System</u>	
3/4.4.1 Reactor Coolant Loops and Coolant Circulation	82
3/4.4.2 Safety Valves	84
3/4.4.3 Pressurizer	85
3/4.4.4 Relief Valves	85
3/4.4.5 Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity	89
3/4.4.6 Reactor Coolant System Leakage.....	97
3/4.4.6.1 Leakage Detection Systems	97
3/4.4.6.2 Operational Leakage.....	98

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 14 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 1
Index
(Page 3 of 6)

BASES

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3/4.4.7 Chemistry	106
3/4.4.8 Specific Activity	107
3/4.4.9 Pressure/Temperature Limits	109
Table B 3/4.4-1 Reactor Vessel Toughness - Unit 3	113
3/4.4.10 Deleted	122
3/4.4.11 Reactor Coolant System Vents	123
3/4.5 <u>Emergency Core Cooling Systems</u>	
3/4.5.1 Accumulators	123
3/4.5.2 &	
3/4.5.3 ECCS Subsystems	125
3/4.5.4 Refueling Water Storage Tank	128
3/4.6 <u>Containment Systems</u>	
3/4.6.1 Primary Containment.....	129
3/4.6.1.1 Containment Integrity	129
3/4.6.1.2 Containment Leakage	131
3/4.6.1.3 Containment Air Locks	132
3/4.6.1.4 Internal Pressure	133
3/4.6.1.5 Air Temperature	133
3/4.6.1.6 Containment Structural Integrity	134
3/4.6.1.7 Containment Ventilation System	135

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 15 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 1
Index
(Page 4 of 6)

BASES

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3/4.6.2	Depressurization and Cooling Systems..... 135
3/4.6.2.1	Containment Spray System 135
3/4.6.2.2	Emergency Containment Cooling System..... 136
3/4.6.2.3	Recirculation pH Control System 137
3/4.6.3	Deleted 140
3/4.6.4	Containment Isolation Valves 140
3/4.7	<u>Plant Systems</u>
3/4.7.1	Turbine Cycle 142
3/4.7.1.1	Safety Valves 142
3/4.7.1.2	Auxiliary Feedwater System..... 145
3/4.7.1.3	Condensate Storage Tank 147
3/4.7.1.4	Specific Activity 147
3/4.7.1.5	Main Steam Line Isolation Valves 148
3/4.7.1.6	Standby Steam Generator Feedwater System 149
3/4.7.1.7	Feedwater Isolation..... 151
3/4.7.2	Component Cooling Water System 153
3/4.7.3	Intake Cooling Water System..... 154

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 16 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 1

Index

(Page 5 of 6)

BASES

<u>SECTION</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
3/4.7.4	Ultimate Heat Sink.....	155
3/4.7.5	Control Room Emergency Ventilation System	156
3/4.7.6	Snubbers.....	166
3/4.7.7	Sealed Source Contamination.....	168
3/4.7.8	Explosive Gas Mixture.....	168
3/4.7.9	Gas Decay Tanks.....	168
3/4.8	<u>Electrical Power Systems</u>	
3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3	A.C. Sources, D.C. Sources, and Onsite Power Distribution.....	169
3/4.9	<u>Refueling Operations</u>	
3/4.9.1	Boron Concentration	190
3/4.9.2	Instrumentation	190
3/4.9.3	Decay Time	191
3/4.9.4	Containment Building Penetrations	192
3/4.9.5	Communications.....	193
3/4.9.6	Manipulator Crane.....	194
3/4.9.7	Deleted.....	195
3/4.9.8	Residual Heat Removal and Coolant Circulation	195
3/4.9.9	Containment Ventilation Isolation System	196

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 17 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 1
Index
(Page 6 of 6)

BASES

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3/4.9.10 & 3/4.9.11	Water Level- Reactor Vessel and Storage Pool 197
3/4.9.12	Deleted 197
3/4.9.13	Radiation Monitoring 197
3/4.9.14	Spent Fuel Storage 198
3/4.10	<u>Special Test Exceptions</u>
3/4.10.1	Shutdown Margin 199
3/4.10.2	Group Height, Insertion, and Power Distribution Limits 199
3/4.10.3	Physics Tests 199
3/4.10.4	(This specification number is not used) 199
3/4.10.5	Position Indication System – Shutdown 200

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 18 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 1 of 183)

BASES FOR SECTION 2.0
SAFETY LIMITS
AND
LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTINGS

NOTE

The BASES contained in succeeding pages summarize the reasons for the Specifications in Section 2.0, but in accordance with 10 CFR 50.36 are **NOT** part of the Technical Specifications.

2.1 Safety Limits

2.1.1 Reactor Core

The restrictions of this Safety Limit prevent overheating of the fuel and possible cladding perforation which would result in the release of fission products to the reactor coolant. Overheating of the fuel cladding is prevented by restricting fuel operation to within the nucleate boiling regime where the heat transfer coefficient is large and the cladding surface temperature is slightly above the coolant saturation temperature.

Operation above the upper boundary of the nucleate boiling regime could result in excessive cladding temperatures because of the onset of Departure from Nucleate Boiling (DNB) and the resultant sharp reduction in heat transfer coefficient. DNB is **NOT** a directly measurable parameter during operation; therefore, THERMAL POWER and reactor coolant temperature and pressure have been related to DNB. This relationship has been developed to predict the DNB flux and the location of DNB for axially uniform and non-uniform heat flux distributions. The local DNB heat flux ratio (DNBR) is defined as the ratio of the heat flux that would cause DNB at a particular core location to the local heat flux and is indicative of the margin to DNB.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 19 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 2 of 183)

2.1.1 (Continued)

The DNB design basis is as follows: There must be at least a 95 percent probability with 95 percent confidence that the minimum DNBR of the limiting rod during Condition I and II events is greater than or equal to the DNBR limit of the DNB correlation being used. The correlation DNBR limit is established based on the entire applicable experimental data set such that there is a 95 percent probability with 95 percent confidence that DNB will **NOT** occur when the minimum DNBR is at the DNBR limit.

The curves (formerly TS Figure 2.1-1) provided in the COLR show the location of points of THERMAL POWER, Reactor Coolant System pressure and average temperature for which the minimum DNBR is **NO** less than the design DNBR value, or the average enthalpy at the vessel exit is equal to the enthalpy of saturated liquid.

In addition, fuel centerline temperature is required to stay below the melting temperature. Consistent with these design basis requirements, a DNB correlation and peak fuel centerline temperature limits are provided as Safety Limits in this Specification. The DNB correlation and parameter value of WRB 1 and 1.17, respectively, are applicable to the pre-Extended Power Uprate (EPU) and EPU operating cycles which contain residual 15x15 DRFA fuel from previous pre-uprate cycles and which contain 15x15 Upgrade fuel at the EPU conditions. The peak centerline temperature limit of <5080 °F, decreasing by 58 °F per 10,000 MWD/MTU of burnup, is the standard value used for Westinghouse fuel. The automatic enforcement of these Reactor Core Safety Limits is provided by the proper functioning of the reactor protection system and the steam generator safety valves.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 20 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 3 of 183)

2.1.1 (Continued)

The curves provided in the COLR are based on an enthalpy hot channel factor, $F_{\Delta H}^N$, and a reference cosine with a peak of 1.78 for axial power shape. An allowance is included for an increase in $F_{\Delta H}^N$ at reduced power based on the expression:

$$F_{\Delta H}^N \leq F_{\Delta H}^{RTP} [1 + PF_{\Delta H} (1-P)]$$

Where P is the fraction of RATED THERMAL POWER

$F_{\Delta H}^{RTP}$ = $F_{\Delta H}$ limit at RATED THERMAL POWER as specified in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT

$PF_{\Delta H}$ = Power Factor multiplier for $F_{\Delta H}$ as specified in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT

These limiting heat flux conditions are higher than those calculated for the range of all control rods fully withdrawn to the maximum allowable control rod insertion limit assuming the axial power imbalance is within the limits of the $f(\Delta T)$ function of the Overtemperature trip. When the axial power imbalance is **NOT** within the tolerance, the axial power imbalance effect on the Overtemperature ΔT trips will reduce the setpoints to provide protection consistent with core Safety Limits.

Fuel rod bowing reduces the values of DNB ratio (DNBR). The penalties are calculated pursuant to Fuel Rod Bow Evaluation, WCAP-8691-P-A Revision 1 (Proprietary) and WCAP-8692 Revision 1 (Non-Proprietary). The restrictions of the Core Thermal Hydraulic Safety Limits assure that an amount of DNBR margin greater than or equal to the above penalties is retained to offset the rod bow DNBR penalty.

Former TS Figure 2.1-1 titled Reactor Core Safety Limit – Three Loops in Operation has been relocated to the COLR and replaced with the WRB-1 DNB correlation design basis limit and the peak fuel centerline temperature design basis limit per Amendments 247 and 243.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 21 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 4 of 183)

2.1.2 Reactor Coolant System Pressure

The restriction of this Safety Limit protects the integrity of the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) from overpressurization and thereby prevents the release of radionuclides contained in the reactor coolant from reaching the containment atmosphere.

The reactor vessel and pressurizer are designed to Section III of the ASME Code for Nuclear Power Plants which permits a maximum transient pressure of 110% (2735 psig) of design pressure. The RCS piping, valves, and fittings are designed to ANSI B31.1, which permits a maximum transient pressure of 120% of design pressure of 2485 psig. The Safety Limit of 2735 psig is therefore more conservative than the ANSI B31.1 design criteria and consistent with associated ASME Code requirements.

The entire RCS is hydro tested at 125% (3107 psig) of design pressure to demonstrate integrity prior to initial operation.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 22 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 5 of 183)

2.2 Limiting Safety System Settings

2.2.1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation Setpoints

The Trip Setpoints or Nominal Trip Setpoints (NTS) specified in Table 2.2-1 are the nominal values at which the Reactor Trips are set for functional units 2a, 5, 6, 10, 11, and 12. The Trip Setpoints have been selected to ensure that the core and Reactor Coolant System are prevented from exceeding their safety limits during normal operation and design basis anticipated operational occurrences and to assist the Engineered Safety Features Actuation System in mitigating the consequences of accidents. The setpoint for a Reactor Trip System or interlock function is considered to be adjusted consistent with the Nominal Trip Setpoint when the as measured setpoint is within the band allowed for calibration accuracy.

To accommodate instrument drift that may occur between operational tests and the accuracy to which setpoints can be measured and calibrated, allowances are provided for in the Nominal Trip Setpoint and Allowable Values in accordance with the setpoint methodology described in WCAPs 17070-P (for functional units 2a, 5, 6, 10, 11, and 12) and 12745. Surveillance criteria have been determined and are controlled in Plant procedures and in design documents. The surveillance criteria ensure that instruments which are **NOT** operating within the assumptions of the setpoint calculations are identified. An instrument channel is considered OPERABLE when the surveillance is within the Allowable Value and the channel is capable of being calibrated in accordance with Plant procedures to within the As-Left tolerance. If the As-Found setpoint is outside of the Plant procedure As-Found tolerance, the occurrence will be entered into the plant Corrective Action program and the channel will be evaluated to verify that it is functioning as required before returning the channel to service. Sensor and other instrumentation utilized in these channels are expected to be capable of operating within the allowances of these uncertainty magnitudes.

The inability to demonstrate through measurement and/or analytical means, using the methods described in WCAPs 17070-P and 12745, that the Reactor Trip function would have occurred within the values specified in the design documentation provides a threshold value for REPORTABLE EVENTS.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 23 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 6 of 183)

2.2.1 (Continued)

There is a small statistical probability that a properly functioning device will drift beyond determined surveillance criteria. Infrequent drift outside the surveillance criteria are expected. Excessive rack or sensor drift that is more than occasional may be indicative of more serious problems and should warrant further investigations.

The trip setpoints used in the bistables (for Functional Units 2a, 5, 6, 10, 11, and 12) are based on the analytical limits stated in WCAP--17070-P and UFSAR Section 7.2. The selection of these Nominal Trip Setpoints is such that adequate protection is provided when all sensor and processing time delays are taken into account. To allow for calibration tolerances, instrumentation uncertainties, instrument drift, and severe environment errors for those RTS channels that must function in harsh environments as defined by 10 CFR 50.49, the NTS are conservative with respect to the analytical limits. A detailed description of the methodology used to determine the NTS, Allowable Value, As-Left tolerance, and As-Found tolerance including their explicit uncertainties, is provided in WCAP-17070-P and UFSAR Section 7.2 which incorporates all of the known uncertainties applicable to these functional units. The magnitudes of these uncertainties are factored into the determination of each NTS and corresponding Allowable Value.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 24 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 7 of 183)

2.2.1 (Continued)

The NTS for Functional Units 2a, 5, 6, 10, 11, and 12 is the value at which the bistable is set and is the expected value to be achieved during calibration. The NTS value is the LSSS and ensures the safety analysis limits are met for the surveillance interval selected when a channel is adjusted based on stated channel uncertainties. Any bistable is considered to be properly adjusted when the As-Left NTS value is within the As-Left tolerance band for CHANNEL CALIBRATION uncertainty allowance (i.e., \pm rack calibration accuracy). The NTS value is therefore considered a "nominal" value (i.e., expressed as a value without inequalities) for the purposes of ANALOG/DIGITAL CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST (COT) and CHANNEL CALIBRATION. These functional Units have been modified by two notes as identified in Table 4.3-12.2-1. Note (a) requires evaluation of channel performance for the condition where the As-Found setting for the nominal trip setpoint is outside of the As-Found criterion. As stated above, these instances will be entered into the plant corrective action process and the channel will be evaluated to verify that it is functioning as required before returning the channel to service. Note (b) requires that the channel As-Left setting must be within the As-Left tolerance band. As noted above a channel is considered to be properly calibrated when the As-Left NTS value is within the As-Left tolerance band for CHANNEL CALIBRATION uncertainty allowance (i.e., \pm rack calibration accuracy).

The various reactor trip circuits automatically open the Reactor Trip Breakers whenever a condition monitored by the Reactor Trip System reaches a preset or calculated level. In addition to redundant channels and trains, the design approach provides a Reactor Trip System which monitors numerous system variables; therefore, providing Trip System functional diversity. The functional capability at the specified trip setting is required for those anticipatory or diverse reactor trips for which **NO** direct credit was assumed in the safety analysis to enhance the overall reliability of the Reactor Trip System. The Reactor Trip System initiates a Turbine trip signal whenever a reactor trip is initiated. This prevents the reactivity insertion that would otherwise result from excessive Reactor Coolant System cooldown and thus avoids unnecessary actuation of the Engineered Safety Features Actuation System.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 25 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 8 of 183)

2.2.1 (Continued)

Manual Reactor Trip

The Reactor Trip System includes manual reactor trip capability.

Power Range, Neutron Flux

In each of the Power Range Neutron Flux channels there are two independent bistables, each with its own trip setting used for a High and Low Range trip setting. The Low Setpoint trip provides protection during subcritical and low power operations to mitigate the consequences of a power excursion beginning from low power, and the High Setpoint trip provides protection during power operations for all power levels to mitigate the consequences of a reactivity excursion which may be too rapid for the temperature and pressure protective trips.

The Low Setpoint trip may be manually blocked above P-10 (a power level of approximately 10% of RATED THERMAL POWER) and is automatically reinstated below the P-10 Setpoint.

Intermediate and Source Range, Neutron Flux

The Intermediate and Source Range, Neutron Flux Trips provide core protection during reactor startup to mitigate the consequences of an uncontrolled rod cluster control assembly bank withdrawal from a subcritical condition. These trips provide redundant protection to the Low Setpoint trip of the Power Range, Neutron Flux channels. The Source Range channels will initiate a Reactor Trip at about 10^5 counts per second unless manually blocked when P-6 becomes active. The Intermediate Range channels will initiate a Reactor Trip at a current level equivalent to approximately 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER unless manually blocked when P-10 becomes active. **NO** credit is taken for operation of the trips associated with either the Intermediate or Source Range Channels in the accident analyses; however, their functional capability at the specified trip settings is required by this specification to enhance the overall reliability of the Reactor Protection System.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 26 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 9 of 183)

2.2.1 (Continued)

Overtemperature ΔT

The Overtemperature ΔT trip provides core protection to prevent DNB for all combinations of pressure, power, coolant temperature, and axial power distribution, provided that the transient is slow with respect to piping transit delays from the core to the temperature detectors and pressure is within the range between the Pressurizer High and Low Pressure Trips. The setpoint is automatically varied with: (1) Coolant temperature to correct for temperature induced changes in density and heat capacity of water and includes dynamic compensation for piping delays from the core to the loop temperature detectors, (2) Pressurizer pressure, and (3) Axial power distribution. With normal axial power distribution, this Reactor Trip limit is always below the Core Safety Limit as shown in the COLR. If axial peaks are greater than design, as indicated by the difference between top and bottom power range nuclear detectors, the Reactor Trip is automatically reduced according to the notations in Table 2.2-1. Note that selected parameters for OT ΔT including K values, τ time constants, T', P' and the breakpoint and slope values for the f₁(ΔI) function have been moved to the COLR.

Overpower ΔT

The Overpower ΔT trip prevents power density anywhere in the core from exceeding 118% of the design power density. This provides assurance of fuel integrity (e.g., NO fuel pellet melting and less than 1% cladding strain) under all possible overpower conditions, limits the required range for Overtemperature ΔT Trip, and provides a backup to the High Neutron Flux Trip. The setpoint is automatically varied with: (1) Coolant temperature to correct for temperature induced changes in density and heat capacity of water, and (2) Rate of change of temperature for dynamic compensation for piping delays from the core to the loop temperature detectors to ensure that the allowable heat generation rate (kW/ft) is **NOT** exceeded. Note that selected parameters for OP ΔT including K values, τ_7 , T'' and f₂(ΔI) function have been moved to the COLR.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 27 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 10 of 183)

2.2.1 (Continued)

Pressurizer Pressure

In each of the Pressurizer Pressure Channels, there are two independent bistables, each with its own trip setting to provide for a High and Low Pressure Trip thus limiting the pressure range in which reactor operation is permitted. The Low Setpoint Trip protects against low pressure which could lead to DNB by tripping the reactor in the event of a loss of reactor coolant pressure.

On decreasing power the Low Setpoint Trip is automatically blocked by P-7 (a power level of approximately 10% of RATED THERMAL POWER with Turbine Inlet Pressure at approximately 10% of full power equivalent) and on increasing power, automatically reinstated by P-7.

The High Setpoint Trip functions in conjunction with the Pressurizer Safety Valves to protect the Reactor Coolant System against system overpressure.

Pressurizer Water Level

The Pressurizer Water Level-High Trip is provided to prevent water relief through the Pressurizer Safety Valves. On decreasing power the Pressurizer High Water Level Trip is automatically blocked by P7 (a power level of approximately 10% of RATED THERMAL POWER with a Turbine Inlet Pressure at approximately 10% of full power equivalent) and on increasing power, automatically reinstated by P-7.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 28 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 11 of 183)

2.2.1 (Continued)

Reactor Coolant Flow

The Reactor Coolant Flow-Low Trip provides core protection to prevent DNB by mitigating the consequences of a loss of flow resulting from the loss of one or more Reactor Coolant Pumps.

On increasing power above P-7 (a power level of approximately 10% of RATED THERMAL POWER or a Turbine Inlet Pressure at approximately 10% of full power equivalent), an automatic Reactor Trip will occur if the flow in more than one loop drops below 90% of loop design flow. Above P-8 (a power level of approximately 45% of RATED THERMAL POWER) an automatic Reactor Trip will occur if the flow in any single loop drops below 90% of design loop flow. Conversely, on decreasing power between P8 and the P-7 an automatic Reactor Trip will occur on low reactor coolant flow in more than one loop and below P-7 the trip function is automatically blocked.

Steam Generator Water Level

The Steam Generator Water Level Low-Low Trip protects the reactor from loss of heat sink in the event of a sustained steam/feedwater flow mismatch resulting from loss of normal feedwater. The specified setpoint provides allowances for starting delays of the Auxiliary Feedwater System.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 29 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 12 of 183)

2.2.1 (Continued)

Steam/Feedwater Flow Mismatch and Low Steam Generator Water Level

The Steam/Feedwater Flow Mismatch in coincidence with a Steam Generator Water Level Low Trip is **NOT** used in the transient and accident analyses but is included in Table 2.2-1 to ensure the functional capability of the specified trip settings and thereby enhance the overall reliability of the Reactor Trip System. This trip is redundant to the Steam Generator Water Level Low-Low trip. The Steam/Feedwater Flow Mismatch portion of this trip is activated when the steam flow exceeds the feedwater flow by 20% rated steam flow. The Steam Generator Water Level-Low portion of the trip is activated when the water level drops below 16%, as indicated by the narrow range instrument. These trip values include sufficient allowance in excess of normal operating values to preclude spurious trips but will initiate a Reactor trip before the steam generators are dry. Therefore, the required capacity and starting time requirements of the Auxiliary Feedwater Pumps are reduced and the resulting thermal transient on the Reactor Coolant System and Steam Generators is minimized.

Undervoltage - 4.16 kV Bus A and B Trips

The 4.16 kV Bus A and B Undervoltage trips provide core protection against DNB as a result of complete loss of forced coolant flow. The specified setpoint assures a Reactor Trip signal is generated before the Low Flow Trip Setpoint is reached. Time delays are incorporated in the Undervoltage Trips to prevent spurious reactor trips from momentary electrical power transients. The delay is set so that the time required for a signal to reach the Reactor Trip Breakers following the trip of at least one undervoltage relay in both of the associated Units 4.16 kV busses shall **NOT** exceed 1.5 seconds. On decreasing power the Undervoltage Bus Trips are automatically blocked by P-7 (a power level of approximately 10% of RATED THERMAL POWER with a Turbine Inlet Pressure at approximately 10% of full power equivalent) and on increasing power, reinstated automatically by P-7.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 30 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 13 of 183)

2.2.1 (Continued)

Turbine Trip

A Turbine Trip initiates a Reactor Trip. On decreasing power, the Reactor Trip from the Turbine Trip is automatically blocked by P-7 (a power level of approximately 10% of RATED THERMAL POWER with a Turbine Inlet Pressure at approximately 10% of full power equivalent) and on increasing power, reinstated automatically by P-7.

The Reactor Trip from Turbine Trip function anticipates the loss of secondary heat removal capability from a power level above the P-7 setpoint. Below the P-7 setpoint this action will **NOT** actuate a reactor trip. The Turbine Trip Function is **NOT** required to be OPERABLE below P-7 because load rejection can be accommodated by the steam dump system or Steam Generator Atmospheric Dump Valves. Therefore, a turbine trip does **NOT** actuate a reactor trip. In MODE 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6, the turbine is **NOT** operating, therefore, there is **NO** potential for a turbine trip.

Tripping the reactor in anticipation of a loss of secondary heat removal capability acts to minimize the pressure and temperature transients on the reactor. Two separate mechanisms are designed to detect a turbine trip, low EHC oil pressure or Stop Valve closure. Three pressure switches monitor the control oil pressure in the Emergency Trip Header Oil in the EHC System. A low pressure condition sensed by two-out-of-three pressure switches will actuate a reactor trip. These pressure switches do **NOT** provide any input to the control system. The Turbine Trip-Turbine Stop Valve Closure trip function is diverse to the Turbine Emergency Trip Header Pressure trip Function. Each turbine stop valve is equipped with one limit switch that inputs to the RTS. If both limit switches indicate that the stop valves are closed, a reactor trip is initiated. This Function only measures the discrete position (open or closed) of the turbine stop valves. Therefore, the Function has **NO** adjustable trip setpoint with which to associate an LSSS.

The plant is designed to withstand a complete loss of load and **NOT** sustain core damage or challenge the RCS pressure limitations. Core protection is provided by the Pressurizer Pressure-High trip Function and RCS integrity is ensured by the pressurizer safety valves. The reactor trip from Turbine trip is **NOT** credited in the accident analysis for core protection.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 31 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 14 of 183)

2.2.1 (Continued)

The setpoint is considered to be adjusted consistent with the Nominal Trip Setpoint (NTS) when the as-measured setpoint is within the band allowed for calibration accuracy. Notes (a) and (b) are applied to the Turbine Emergency Trip Header Pressure trip function in Table 2.2-1, Reactor Trip Setpoints and in Table 4.3-1, Reactor Trip System Instrumentation Surveillance Requirements. Note (a) requires that if the As-Found trip setpoint is outside predefined limits based on actual expected errors between calibrations then corrective action is required. If the As-Found trip setpoints are outside the Allowable Value, then the instrument channel is inoperable. Note (b) requires that the trip setpoint is considered to be properly adjusted when the As-Left NTS value is within the predefined As-Left tolerance for CHANNEL CALIBRATION. The As-Found and As-Left values shall be determined using a methodology consistent with WCAP-17070

Safety Injection Input from ESF

If a Reactor Trip has **NOT** already been generated by the Reactor Trip System instrumentation, the ESF automatic actuation logic channels will initiate a Reactor Trip upon any signal which initiates a Safety Injection. The ESF instrumentation channels which initiate a Safety Injection signal are shown in Table 3.3-3.

Reactor Coolant Pump Breaker Position Trip

The Reactor Coolant Pump Breaker Position Trips are anticipatory trips which provide reactor core protection against DNB. The open/close position trips assure a Reactor Trip signal is generated before the low flow trip setpoint is reached. Their functional capability at the open/close position settings is required to enhance the overall reliability of the Reactor Protection System. Above P-7 (a power level of approximately 10% of RATED THERMAL POWER or a Turbine Inlet Pressure at approximately 10% of full power equivalent) an automatic Reactor Trip will occur if more than one Reactor Coolant Pump breaker is opened. Above P-8 (a power level of approximately 45% of RATED THERMAL POWER) an automatic Reactor Trip will occur if one Reactor Coolant Pump breaker is opened. On decreasing power between P-8 and P-7, an automatic Reactor Trip will occur if more than one Reactor Coolant Pump breaker is opened and below P-7 the trip function is automatically blocked.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 32 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 15 of 183)

2.2.1 (Continued)

Underfrequency sensors are also installed on the 4.16 kV busses to detect underfrequency and initiate breaker trip on underfrequency. The Underfrequency Trip setpoints preserve the coast down energy of the Reactor Coolant Pumps, in case of a grid frequency decrease so DNB does **NOT** occur.

Reactor Trip System Interlocks

The Reactor Trip System interlocks perform the following functions:

- P-6 On increasing power, P-6 allows the manual block of the Source Range Trip (i.e., prevents premature block of Source Range Trip) and deenergizes the high voltage to the detectors. On decreasing power, Source Range Level Trips are automatically reactivated and high voltage restored.
- P-7 On increasing power, P-7 automatically enables Reactor Trips on low flow in more than one Reactor Coolant Loop, more than one Reactor Coolant Pump breaker open, Reactor Coolant Pump bus undervoltage and underfrequency, Turbine Trip, Pressurizer Low Pressure and Pressurizer High Level. On decreasing power, the above listed trips are automatically blocked.
- P-8 On increasing power, P-8 automatically enables Reactor Trips on low flow in one or more Reactor Coolant Loops, and one or more Reactor Coolant Pump breakers open. On decreasing power, the P-8 interlock automatically blocks the trip on low flow in one coolant loop or one coolant pump breaker open.
- P-10 On increasing power, P-10 allows the manual block of the Intermediate Range Trip and the Low Setpoint Power Range trip; and automatically blocks the Source Range Trip and deenergizes the Source Range high voltage power. On decreasing power, the Intermediate Range Trip and the Low Setpoint Power Range Trip are automatically reactivated. P-10 also provides input to P-7. The trip setpoint on increasing power shall be $\geq 10\%$ and the reset point shall be less than or equal to 10%.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 33 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 16 of 183)

BASES FOR SECTIONS 3.0 AND 4.0
LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION
AND
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

NOTE

The BASES contained in succeeding pages summarize the reasons for the Specifications in Sections 3.0 and 4.0, but in accordance with 10 CFR 50.36 are **NOT** part of the Technical Specifications.

3/4 Limiting Conditions for Operation and Surveillance Requirements

3/4.0 Applicability

Specification 3.0.1 through 3.0.6 establishes the general requirements applicable to Limiting Conditions for Operation. These requirements are based on the requirements for Limiting Conditions for Operation stated in the Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 50.36(c)(2):

Limiting Conditions for Operation are the lowest functional capability or performance levels of equipment required for safe operation of the facility. When a Limiting Condition for Operation of a nuclear reactor is **NOT** met, the licensee shall shut down the reactor or follow any remedial action permitted by the Technical Specification until the condition can be met.

Specification 3.0.1 establishes the Applicability statement within each individual specification as the requirement for when (i.e., in which OPERATIONAL MODES or other specified conditions) conformance to the Limiting Conditions for Operation is required for safe operation of the facility. The ACTION requirements establish those remedial measures that must be taken within specified time limits when the requirements of a Limiting Condition for Operation are **NOT** met.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 34 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 17 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

There are two basic types of ACTION requirements. The first specifies the remedial measures that permit continued operation of the facility which is **NOT** further restricted by the time limits of the ACTION requirements. In this case, conformance to the ACTION requirements provides an acceptable level of safety for unlimited continued operation as long as the ACTION requirements continue to be met. The second type of ACTION requirement specifies a time limit in which conformance to the conditions of the Limiting Condition for Operation must be met. This time limit is the allowable outage time to restore an inoperable system or component to OPERABLE status or for restoring parameters within specified limits. If these actions are **NOT** completed within the allowable outage time limits, a shutdown is required to place the facility in a MODE or condition in which the specification **NO** longer applies. It is **NOT** intended that the shutdown ACTION requirements be used as an operational convenience which permits (routine) voluntary removal of a systems or components from service in lieu of other alternatives that would **NOT** result in redundant systems or components being inoperable.

The specified time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable from the point in time it is identified that a Limiting Condition for Operation is **NOT** met. The time limits of the ACTION requirements are also applicable when a system or component is removed from service for surveillance testing or investigation of operational problems. Individual specifications may include a specified time limit for the completion of a Surveillance Requirement when equipment is removed from service. In this case, the allowable outage time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable when this limit expires if the surveillance has **NOT** been completed. When a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, the plant may have entered a MODE in which a new specification becomes applicable. In this case, the time limits of the ACTION requirements would apply from the point in time that the new specification becomes applicable if the requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation are **NOT** met.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 35 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 18 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

Specification 3.0.2 establishes that noncompliance with a specification exists when the requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation are **NOT** met and the associated ACTION requirements have **NOT** been implemented within the specified time interval. The purpose of this specification is to clarify that (1) Implementation of the ACTION requirements within the specified time interval constitutes compliance with a specification, and (2) Completion of the remedial measures of the ACTION requirements is **NOT** required when compliance with a Limiting Condition of Operation is restored within the time interval specified in the associated ACTION requirements.

Specification 3.0.3 establishes the shutdown ACTION requirements that must be implemented when a Limiting Condition for Operation is **NOT** met and the condition is **NOT** specifically addressed by the associated ACTION requirements. The purpose of this specification is to delineate the time limits for placing the unit in a safe shutdown MODE when plant operation cannot be maintained within the limits for safe operation defined by the Limiting Conditions for Operation and its ACTION requirements. It is **NOT** intended to be used as an operational convenience which permits (routine) voluntary removal of redundant systems or components from service in lieu of other alternatives that would **NOT** result in redundant systems or components being inoperable. One hour is allowed to prepare for an orderly shutdown before initiating a change in plant operation. This time permits the operator to coordinate the reduction in electrical generation with the load dispatcher to ensure the stability and availability of the electrical grid. The time limits specified to reach lower MODES of operation permit the shutdown to proceed in a controlled and orderly manner that is well within the specified maximum cooldown rate and within the cooldown capabilities of the facility assuming only the minimum required equipment is OPERABLE. This reduces thermal stresses on components of the primary coolant system and the potential for a plant upset that could challenge safety systems under conditions for which this specification applies.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 36 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 19 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

If remedial measures permitting limited continued operation of the facility under the provisions of the ACTION requirements are completed, the shutdown may be terminated. The time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable from the point in time there was a failure to meet a Limiting Condition for Operation. Therefore, the shutdown may be terminated if the ACTION requirements have been met or the time limits of the ACTION requirements have **NOT** expired, thus providing an allowance for the completion of the required actions.

The time limits of Specification 3.0.3 allow 37 hours for the plant to be in the COLD SHUTDOWN MODE when a shutdown is required during the POWER MODE of operation. If the plant is in a lower MODE of operation when a shutdown is required, the time limit for reaching the next lower MODE of operation applies. However, if a lower MODE of operation is reached in less time than allowed, the total allowable time to reach COLD SHUTDOWN, or other applicable MODE, is **NOT** reduced. For example, if HOT STANDBY is reached in 2 hours, the time allowed to reach HOT SHUTDOWN is the next 11 hours because the total time to reach HOT SHUTDOWN is **NOT** reduced from the allowable limit of 13 hours. Therefore, if remedial measures are completed that would permit a return to POWER operation, a penalty is **NOT** incurred by having to reach a lower MODE of operation in less than the total time allowed.

The same principle applies with regard to the allowable outage time limits of the ACTION requirements, if compliance with the ACTION requirements for one specification results in entry into a MODE or condition of operation for another specification in which the requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation are **NOT** met. If the new specification becomes applicable in less time than specified, the difference may be added to the allowable outage time limits of the second specification. However, the allowable outage time limits of ACTION requirements for a higher MODE of operation may **NOT** be used to extend the allowable outage time that is applicable when a Limiting Condition for Operation is **NOT** met in a lower MODE of operation.

The shutdown requirements of Specification 3.0.3 do **NOT** apply in MODES 5 and 6, because the ACTION requirements of individual specifications define the remedial measures to be taken.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 37 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 20 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

Specification 3.0.4 establishes limitations on MODE changes when a Limiting Condition for Operation is **NOT** met. It precludes placing the facility in a higher MODE of operation when the requirements for a Limiting Condition for Operation are **NOT** met and continued noncompliance to these conditions would result in a shutdown to comply with the ACTION requirements if a change in MODES were permitted. The purpose of this specification is to ensure that facility operation is **NOT** initiated or that higher MODES of operation are **NOT** entered when corrective action is being taken to obtain compliance with a specification by restoring equipment to OPERABLE status or parameters to specified limits. Compliance with ACTION requirements that permit continued operation of the facility for an unlimited period of time provides an acceptable level of safety for continued operation without regard to the status of the plant before or after a MODE change. Therefore, in this case, entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other specified condition may be made in accordance with the provisions of the ACTION requirements. The provisions of this specification should **NOT**, however, be interpreted as endorsing the failure to exercise good practice in restoring systems or components to OPERABLE status before plant startup.

When a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, the provisions of Specification 3.0.4 do **NOT** apply because they would delay placing the facility in a lower MODE of operation.

Specification 3.0.5 delineates the applicability of each specification to Unit 3 operation.

Specification 3.0.6 establishes the allowance for restoring equipment to service under administrative controls when equipment has been removed from service or declared inoperable to comply with Technical Specification ACTION requirements. The sole purpose of this specification is to provide an exception to TS 3.0.1 and 3.0.2 (i.e., to **NOT** comply with the applicable required actions to allow the performance of required testing to demonstrate either:

- The OPERABILITY of the equipment being returned to service
- The OPERABILITY of other equipment.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 38 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 21 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

Administrative Controls, such as test procedures, ensure the time the equipment is returned to service in conflict with the ACTION requirements is limited to the time absolutely necessary to perform the required testing to demonstrate OPERABILITY. LCO 3.0.6 does **NOT** provide time to perform any other preventive or corrective maintenance.

An example of demonstrating the OPERABILITY of the equipment being returned to service is reopening a containment isolation valve that was closed to comply with TS action requirements. The valve must be reopened to perform the testing required to demonstrate OPERABILITY.

An example of demonstrating the OPERABILITY of other equipment is taking an inoperable channel or trip system out of the tripped condition to prevent the trip function from occurring during the performance of required testing on another channel in the other trip system.

A similar example of demonstrating OPERABILITY of the other equipment is taking an inoperable channel or trip system out of the tripped condition to permit the logic to function and indicate the appropriate response during the performance of required testing on another channel in the same trip system.

Temporarily returning inoperable equipment to service for the purpose of confirming OPERABILITY, places the plant in a condition which has been previously evaluated in the development of the current Technical Specifications and determined to be acceptable for short periods as prescribed by allowed outage times in ACTION requirements. Performance of the surveillance/testing is considered to be a confirmatory check of that capability which demonstrates that the equipment is indeed operable in most cases. For those times when equipment, which may be temporarily returned to service under administrative controls per LCO 3.0.6, is subsequently determined to remain inoperable, the Technical Specification ACTION requirements continue to apply until the equipment is determined OPERABLE.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 39 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 22 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

Specification 4.0.1 through 4.0.5 establishes the general requirements applicable to Surveillance Requirements. These requirements are based on the Surveillance Requirements stated in the Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 50.36(c)(3):

Surveillance requirements are requirements relating to test, calibration, or inspection to ensure that the necessary quality of systems and components is maintained, that facility operation will be within safety limits, and that the limiting conditions of operation will be met.

Specification 4.0.1 establishes the requirement that surveillances must be performed during the OPERATIONAL MODES or other conditions for which the requirements of the Limiting Conditions for Operation apply unless otherwise stated in an individual Surveillance Requirement. The purpose of this specification is to ensure that surveillances are performed to verify the operational status of systems and components and that parameters are within specified limits to ensure safe operation of the facility when the plant is in a MODE or other specified condition for which the associated Limiting Conditions for Operation are applicable. Surveillance Requirements do **NOT** have to be performed when the facility is in an OPERATIONAL MODE for which the requirements of the associated Limiting Condition for operation do **NOT** apply unless otherwise specified. The Surveillance Requirements associated with a Special Test Exception are only applicable when the Special Test Exception is used as an allowable exception to the requirements of a specification.

This requirement also establishes the failure to perform a Surveillance Requirement within the allowed surveillance interval, defined by the provisions of Specification 4.0.2, as a condition that constitutes a failure to meet the OPERABILITY requirements for a Limiting Condition for Operation. Under the provisions of this specification, systems and components are assumed to be OPERABLE when Surveillance Requirements have been satisfactorily performed within the specified time interval. However, nothing in this provision is to be construed as implying that systems or components are OPERABLE when they are found or known to be inoperable although still meeting the Surveillance Requirements.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 40 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 23 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

Surveillance Requirements do **NOT** have to be performed on inoperable equipment because the ACTION requirements define the remedial measures that apply. However, the Surveillance Requirements have to be met to demonstrate that inoperable equipment has been restored to OPERABLE status.

Specification 4.0.2 establishes the conditions under which the specified time interval for Surveillance Requirements may be extended. It permits an allowable extension of the normal surveillance interval to facilitate surveillance scheduling and consideration of plant operating conditions that may **NOT** be suitable for conducting the surveillance; e.g., transient conditions or other ongoing surveillance or maintenance activities. The limits of Specification 4.0.2 are based on engineering judgment and the recognition that the most probable result of any particular surveillance being performed is the verification of conformance with the Surveillance Requirements. These provisions are sufficient to ensure that the reliability ensured through surveillance activities is **NOT** significantly degraded beyond that obtained from the specified surveillance interval.

Specification 4.0.3 establishes the flexibility to defer declaring affected equipment inoperable or an affected variable outside the specified limits when a Surveillance requirement has **NOT** been completed within the specified frequency. A delay period of up to 24 hours or up to the limit of the specified frequency, whichever is greater, applies from the point in time that it is discovered that the Surveillance has **NOT** been performed in accordance with Specification 4.0.2, and **NOT** at the time that the specified frequency was **NOT** met.

This delay period provides adequate time to complete Surveillances that have been missed. This delay period permits the completion of a Surveillance requirement before complying with required ACTIONS or other remedial measures that might preclude completion of the Surveillance.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 41 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 24 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

The basis for this delay period includes consideration of unit conditions, adequate planning, availability of personnel, the time required to perform the Surveillance, the safety significance of the delay in completing the required Surveillance, and the recognition that the most probable result of any particular Surveillance being performed is the verification of conformance with the requirements.

When a Surveillance with a frequency based **NOT** on time intervals, but upon specified unit conditions, operating situations, or requirements of regulations (e.g., prior to entering MODE 1 after each fuel loading, or in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, as modified by approved exemptions, etc.) is discovered to **NOT** have been performed when specified, Specification 4.0.3 allows for the full delay period of up to the specified frequency to perform the Surveillance. However, since there is **NOT** a time interval specified, the missed Surveillance should be performed at the first reasonable opportunity.

Specification 4.0.3 provides a time limit for, and allowances for the performance of, a Surveillance that becomes applicable as a consequence of MODE changes imposed by required ACTIONS.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 42 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 25 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

Failure to comply with the specified frequency for a Surveillance Requirement is expected to be an infrequent occurrence. Use of the delay period established by Specification 4.0.3 is a flexibility which is **NOT** intended to be used as an operational convenience to extend Surveillance intervals. While up to 24 hours or the limit of the specified frequency is provided to perform the missed Surveillance, it is expected that the missed Surveillance will be performed at the first reasonable opportunity. The determination of the first reasonable opportunity should include consideration of the impact on plant risk (from delaying the Surveillance as well as any plant configuration changes required or shutting the plant down to perform the Surveillance) and impact on any analysis assumptions, in addition to unit conditions, planning, availability of personnel, and the time required to perform the Surveillance. This risk impact should be managed through the program in place to implement 10 CFR 50.65 (a)(4) and its implementation guidance, NRC Regulatory Guide 1.160, Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants. This Regulatory Guide addresses consideration of temporary and aggregate risk impacts, determination of risk management action thresholds, and risk management action up to and including plant shutdown. The missed Surveillance should be treated as an emergent condition as discussed in the Regulatory Guide. The risk evaluation may use quantitative, qualitative, or blended methods. The degree of depth and rigor of the evaluation should be commensurate with the importance of the component.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 43 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 26 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

A missed Surveillance for important components should be analyzed quantitatively. If the results of the risk evaluation determine the risk increase is significant, this evaluation should be used to determine the safest course of action. All cases of a missed Surveillance will be placed in the licensee's Corrective Action Program.

If a Surveillance is **NOT** completed within the allowed delay period, then the equipment is considered inoperable or the variable is considered outside the specified limits and the Completion Times of the required ACTIONS for the applicable Limiting Condition of Operation begin immediately upon expiration of the delay period. If a Surveillance is failed within the delay period, then the equipment is inoperable, or the variable is outside the specified limits and the Completion Times of the required ACTIONS for the applicable Limiting Condition of Operation begin immediately upon the failure of the Surveillance.

Completion of the Surveillance within the delay period allowed by this Specification, or within the Completion Time of the ACTIONS, restores compliance with Specification 4.0.1.

Missed surveillance tests are reportable when the surveillance interval plus allowed surveillance interval extension, plus the LCO action statement time is exceeded. This means that a condition prohibited by the TS existed for a period of time longer than allowed by TS. If a TS surveillance is missed including the grace period, the equipment is inoperable. The TS LCO Action Statement is entered. If the time allowed by the action statement is exceeded, then it is reportable as a condition prohibited by the TS. The event is reportable even though the surveillance is subsequently satisfactorily performed. For example, if a TS requires a 31 day surveillance, and the grace period (25 %) is 7 days, and the equipment would be inoperable 38 days after the last surveillance. If the LCO allows 72 hours to restore the inoperable equipment to OPERABLE status (to perform a satisfactory surveillance), the missed surveillance would be reportable at the end of the 31 days + 7 days + 72 hours.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 44 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 27 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

If the allowable outage time limits of the ACTION requirements are less than 24 hours or a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, e.g., Specification 3.0.3, a 24 hour allowance is provided to permit a delay in implementing the ACTION requirements. This provides an adequate time limit to complete Surveillance Requirements that have **NOT** been performed. The purpose of this allowance is to permit the completion of a surveillance before a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements or before other remedial measures would be required that may preclude completion of a surveillance. The basis for this allowance includes consideration for plant conditions, adequate planning, availability of personnel, the time required to perform the surveillance, and the safety significance of the delay in completing the required surveillance. The provision also provides a time limit for the completion of Surveillance Requirements that become applicable as a consequence of MODE changes imposed by ACTION requirements and for completing Surveillance Requirements that are applicable when an exception to the requirements of Specification 4.0.4 is allowed. If a surveillance is **NOT** completed within the 24-hour allowance, the time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable at that time. When a surveillance is performed within the 24-hour allowance and the Surveillance Requirements are **NOT** met, the time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable at the time that the surveillance is terminated.

Surveillance Requirements do **NOT** have to be performed on inoperable equipment because the ACTION requirements define the remedial measures that apply. However, the Surveillance Requirements have to be met to demonstrate that inoperable equipment has been restored to OPERABLE status.

Specification 4.0.4 establishes the requirement that all applicable surveillances must be met before entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other condition of operation specified in the Applicability statement. The purpose of this specification is to ensure that system and component OPERABILITY requirements or parameter limits are met before entry into a MODE or condition for which these systems and components ensure safe operation of the facility. This provision applies to changes in OPERATIONAL MODES or other specified conditions associated with plant shutdown as well as startup.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 45 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 28 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

Under the provisions of this specification, the applicable Surveillance Requirements must be performed within the specified surveillance interval to ensure that the Limiting Conditions for Operation are met during initial plant startup or following a plant outage.

When a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, the provisions of Specification 4.0.4 do **NOT** apply because this would delay placing the facility in a lower MODE of operation.

Specification 4.0.5 establishes the requirement that inservice inspection of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 components shall be performed in accordance with a periodically updated version of Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and Addenda as required by 10 CFR 50.55a. Inservice testing of ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 pumps and valves shall be performed in accordance with the ASME Code of Operation and Maintenance of Nuclear Power Plants (ASME OM Code) and applicable Addenda as required by 10 CFR 50.55a.

This Specification includes a clarification of the frequencies for performing the inservice inspection and testing activities required by Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code or the ASME OM Code and applicable Addenda. This clarification is provided to ensure consistency in surveillance intervals throughout the Technical Specifications and to remove any ambiguities relative to the frequencies for performing the required inservice inspection and testing activities.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 46 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 29 of 183)

3/4.0 (Continued)

Under the terms of this Specification, the more restrictive requirements of the Technical Specifications take precedence over the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda. The requirements of Specification 4.0.4 to perform surveillance activities before entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other specified condition takes precedence over the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code provision which allows pumps and valves to be tested up to one week after return to normal operation. The Technical Specification definition of OPERABLE does **NOT** allow a grace period before a component that is **NOT** capable of performing its specified function, is declared inoperable and takes precedence over the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code provision which allows a valve to be incapable of performing its specified function for up to 24 hours before being declared inoperable.

The Westinghouse Owners Group submitted Topical Report (TR) WCAP-15666, "Extension of Reactor Coolant Pump Motor Flywheel Examination," dated July 2001 for NRC staff review. The NRC approved the TR by a Safety Evaluation dated May 5, 2003 revising the reactor coolant pump flywheel inspection interval to a maximum of 20 years. **NO** extension of this interval is allowed under Specification 4.0.2.

Specification 4.0.6 delineates the applicability of the surveillance activities to Unit 3 operations.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 47 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 30 of 183)

3/4.1 Reactivity Control Systems

3/4.1.1 Boration Control

3/4.1.1.1 &

3/4.1.1.2 Shutdown Margin

A sufficient SHUTDOWN MARGIN ensures that: (1) The reactor can be made subcritical from all operating conditions, (2) The reactivity transients associated with postulated accident conditions are controllable within acceptable limits, and (3) The reactor will be maintained sufficiently subcritical to preclude inadvertent criticality in the shutdown condition.

SHUTDOWN MARGIN requirements vary throughout core life as a function of fuel depletion, RCS boron concentration, and RCS Tavg. With Tavg greater than 200°F, the most restrictive condition occurs at EOL, with Tavg at **NO** load operating temperature, and is associated with a postulated steam line break accident and resulting uncontrolled RCS cooldown. The COLR provides a curve (formerly TS Figure 3.1-1) showing the SHUTDOWN MARGIN equivalent to 1.77% $\Delta k/k$ at the end-of-core-life with respect to an uncontrolled cooldown. Accordingly, the SHUTDOWN MARGIN requirement is based upon this limiting condition and is consistent with UFSAR safety analysis assumptions.

The COLR figure provides a separate curve showing the minimum SHUTDOWN MARGIN equivalent to 1.77% $\Delta k/k$ in MODE 4 without a Reactor Coolant Pump running. The reduced RCS mixing volume in this condition requires a higher minimum SHUTDOWN MARGIN is required to assure adequate operator response time is available to identify and terminate an inadvertent dilution event prior to loss of all SHUTDOWN MARGIN. With Tavg less than 200°F, a SHUTDOWN MARGIN equivalent to 1.77% $\Delta k/k$ is required at all times to assure adequate operator response time is available to identify and terminate an inadvertent dilution event in MODE 5 prior to loss of all SHUTDOWN MARGIN. Former TS Figure 3.1-1 titled Required Shutdown Margin versus Reactor Coolant Boron Concentration has been moved to the COLR per Amendments 247 and 243.

The boron rate requirement of 16 gpm of 3.0 wt% (5245 ppm) boron or equivalent ensures the capability to restore the SHUTDOWN MARGIN with one OPERABLE Charging Pump.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 48 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 31 of 183)

3/4.1.1.3 Moderator Temperature Coefficient

The limitations on Moderator Temperature Coefficient (MTC) are provided to ensure that the value of this coefficient remains within the limiting condition assumed in the UFSAR accident and transient analyses.

The MTC values of this specification are applicable to a specific set of plant conditions; accordingly, verification of MTC values at conditions other than those explicitly stated will require extrapolation to those conditions in order to permit an accurate comparison.

The most negative MTC value equivalent to the most positive Moderator Density Coefficient (MDC), was obtained by incrementally correcting the MDC used in the UFSAR analyses to nominal operating conditions. These corrections involved subtracting the incremental change in the MDC associated with a core condition of all rods inserted (most positive MDC) to an all rods withdrawn condition and, a conversion for the rate of change of moderator density with temperature at RATED THERMAL POWER conditions. This value of the MDC was then transformed into the limiting End Of Cycle Life (EOL) MTC value in the COLR. The 300 ppm surveillance limit MTC value in the COLR represents a conservative value (with corrections for burnup and soluble boron) at a core condition of 300 ppm equilibrium boron concentration and is obtained by making these corrections to the limiting EOL MTC value in the COLR. These limiting MTC values have been moved to the COLR per Amendments 247 and 243.

The Surveillance Requirements for measurement of the MTC at the beginning and near the end of the fuel cycle are adequate to confirm that the MTC remains within its limits since this coefficient changes slowly due principally to the reduction in RCS boron concentration associated with fuel burnup.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 49 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 32 of 183)

3/4.1.1.4 Minimum Temperature for Criticality

This Specification ensures that the reactor will **NOT** be made critical with the Reactor Coolant System average temperature less than 541°F. This limitation is required to ensure: (1) The Moderator Temperature Coefficient is within its analyzed temperature range, (2) The trip instrumentation is within its normal operating range, (3) The Pressurizer is capable of being in an OPERABLE status with a steam bubble, and (4) The reactor vessel is above its minimum RT_{NDT} temperature.

3/4.1.2 Boration Systems

The Boron Injection System ensures that negative reactivity control is available during each MODE of facility operation. The components required to perform this function include: (1) Borated water sources, (2) Charging Pumps, (3) Separate flow paths, and (4) Boric Acid Transfer Pumps.

With the RCS average temperature above 200°F, a minimum of two boron injection flow paths are required to ensure single functional capability in the event an assumed failure renders one of the flow paths inoperable. One flow path from the Charging Pump discharge is acceptable since the flow path components subject to an active failure are upstream of the Charging Pumps.

The boration flow path specification allows the RWST and the Boric Acid Storage Tank to be the boron sources. Due to the lower boron concentration in the RWST, borating the RCS from this source is less effective than borating from the Boric Acid Tank and additional time may be required to achieve the desired SHUTDOWN MARGIN required by ACTION statement restrictions. ACTION times allow for an orderly sequential shutdown of both units when the inoperability of a components affects both units with equal severity. When a single unit is affected, the time to be in HOT STANDBY is 8 hours. When an ACTION statement requires a dual unit shutdown, the time to be in HOT STANDBY is 16 hours.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 50 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 33 of 183)

3/4.1.2 (Continued)

The ACTION Statement restrictions for the boration flow paths allow continued operation in MODE 1 for a limited time period with either boration source flow path or the normal flow path to the RCS (via the Regenerative Heat Exchanger) inoperable. In this case, the plant capability to borate and charge into the RCS is limited and the potential operational impact of this limitation on MODE 1 operation must be addressed. With both the flow path from the Boric Acid Tanks and the Regenerative Heat Exchanger flow path inoperable, immediate initiation of action to go to COLD SHUTDOWN is required but **NO** time is specified for the mode reduction due to the reduced plant capability with these flow paths inoperable.

Two Charging Pumps are required to be OPERABLE to ensure single functional capability in the event an assumed failure renders one of the pumps or power supplies inoperable. Each bus supplying the pumps can be fed from either the Emergency Diesel Generator or the offsite grid through a Startup Transformer.

The boration capability of either flow path is sufficient to provide the required SHUTDOWN MARGIN in accordance with the COLR from expected operating conditions after xenon decay and cooldown to 200°F. The maximum expected boration capability requirement occurs at EOL peak xenon conditions without letdown such that boration occurs only during the makeup provided for coolant contraction. This requirement can be met for a range of boric acid concentrations in the Boric Acid Tank and the Refueling Water Storage Tank. The range of Boric Acid Tanks requirements is defined by Technical Specification 3.1.2.5.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 51 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 34 of 183)

3/4.1.2 (Continued)

The required fluid volume in the Boric Acid Storage Tank is specified as a function of boric acid concentration in weight % as shown in Technical Specification Figure 3.1-2. The minimum volumes listed for one unit operation include uncertainty, 2,900 gallons for shutdown and combined volume of all available boric acid storage tanks assuming an RWST concentration of 2400 ppm or greater. The minimum volumes listed for two unit operation include uncertainty, and combined volume of all available Boric Acid Storage Tanks assuming an RWST concentration of 2400 ppm or greater. Any configuration in the acceptable unit(s) operation region from TS Figure 3.1-2 will provide sufficient boric acid delivery to maintain required SHUTDOWN MARGIN.

With the RCS temperature below 200°F, one Boron Injection Source Flow Path is acceptable without single failure consideration on the basis of the stable reactivity condition of the reactor and the additional restrictions prohibiting CORE ALTERATIONS and positive reactivity changes in the event the single boron injection system source flow path becomes inoperable.

During MODES 5 and 6, the charging pump specified for the boration flow paths in TS LCO 3.1.2.1 is **NOT** required to be OPERABLE (Reference 1). The operability requirements for charging pumps is contained in TS LCO 3.1.2.3, which applies in MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4 only. To meet TS LCO 3.1.2.1, the charging pump is required to be functional or capable of performing its specified function.

The boron capability required below 200°F is sufficient to provide a SHUTDOWN MARGIN of 1.77% $\Delta k/k$ after xenon decay and cooldown from 200°F to 140°F. This condition requires either 2,900 gallons of at least 3.0 wt% (5245 ppm) borated water per unit from the Boric Acid Storage Tanks or 20,000 gallons of between 2400 and 2600 ppm borated water from the RWST.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 52 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 35 of 183)

3/4.1.2 (Continued)

When initiating cooldown from COLD SHUTDOWN to REFUELING conditions, the boration strategy should use either boration without Letdown concurrent with cooldown using makeup from either the BAT or the RWST. Boration via feed-and-bleed using makeup from the RWST may also be implemented. Note that boration without Letdown concurrent with cooldown using makeup from the BAT and boration via feed-and-bleed using makeup from the RWST provide the greatest capability; however, all three alignments are permissible and ensure shutdown margin requirements are met.

The charging pumps are demonstrated to be OPERABLE by testing as required by the ASME OM code or by specific surveillance requirements in the specification. These requirements are adequate to determine OPERABILITY because **NO** safety analysis assumption relating to the charging pump performance is more restrictive than these Acceptance Criteria for the pumps.

During MODES 5 and 6, there are **NO** specific Surveillance Requirements that must be met for the charging pumps. During these MODES, the required charging pump must be functional or capable for performing its specified function. (Reference 1)

The RWST boron concentration (2400-2600 ppm) in conjunction with the Recirculation pH Control System (TS 3/4.6.3) ensures that the Containment Sump pH will be greater than 7.0 following a LOCA. The basic solution minimizes the evolution of iodine and the effect of chloride and caustic stress corrosion on mechanical systems and components. The temperature requirements for the RWST are based on the containment integrity and large break LOCA analysis assumptions.

The OPERABILITY of one Boron Injection Flowpath during REFUELING ensures that this system is available for reactivity control while in MODE 6. Components within the flowpath, e.g., Boric Acid Transfer Pumps or Charging Pumps, must be capable of being powered by an OPERABLE emergency power source, even if the equipment is **NOT** required to operate.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 53 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 36 of 183)

3/4.1.2 (Continued)

The OPERABILITY requirement of 62°F and corresponding surveillance intervals associated with the Boric Acid Tank System ensures that the solubility of the boron solution will be maintained. The temperature limit of 62°F includes a 5°F margin over the 57°F solubility limit of 4.0 wt.% boric acid. Portable instrumentation may be used to measure the temperature of the rooms containing boric acid sources and flow paths.

(Reference 1) AR 1755509 Condition Evaluation.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 54 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 37 of 183)

3/4.1.3 Movable Control Assemblies

The Specifications of this section ensure that: (1) Acceptable Power Distribution Limits are maintained, (2) The minimum SHUTDOWN MARGIN is maintained, and (3) The potential effects of rod misalignment on associated accident analyses are limited. OPERABILITY of the Control Rod Position Indicators is required to determine control rod positions and thereby ensure compliance with the control rod alignment and insertion limits. OPERABLE condition for the Analog Rod Position Indicators is defined as being capable of indicating rod position to within the Allowed Rod Misalignment of Specification 3.1.3.1 of the demand counter position. For the Shutdown Banks and Control Banks A and B, the Position Indication requirement is defined as the Group Demand Counter indicated position between 0 and 30 steps withdrawn inclusive, and between 200 steps withdrawn and All Rods Out (ARO) inclusive. This permits the operator to verify that the control rods in these banks are either fully withdrawn or fully inserted, the normal operating modes for these banks. Knowledge of these bank positions in these two areas satisfies all accident analysis assumptions concerning their position. For Control Banks C and D, the Position Indication requirement is defined as the group demand counter indicated position between 0 steps withdrawn and All Rods Out (ARO) inclusive.

The increase in the Allowable Rod Misalignment below 90% or Rated Thermal Power is as a result of the increase in the Peaking Factor Limits as reactor power is reduced.

Comparison of the Group Demand Counters to the Bank Insertion Limits with verification of rod position with the Analog Rod Position Indicators (after thermal soak after rod motion) is sufficient verification that the control rods are above the Insertion Limits.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 55 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 38 of 183)

3/4.1.3 (Continued)

Rod position indication is provided by two methods: a digital count of actuating pulses which shows demand position of the banks and a linear position indicator Linear Variable Differential Transformer which indicates the actual rod position. The relative accuracy of the linear position indicator Linear Variable Differential Transformer is such that, with the most adverse error, an alarm will be actuated if any two rods within a bank deviate by more than 24 steps for rods in motion and 12 steps for rods at rest. Complete rod misalignment (12 feet out of alignment with its bank) does **NOT** result in exceeding core limits in steady state operation at RATED THERMAL POWER. If the condition cannot be readily corrected, the specified reduction in power to 75% will insure that design margins to core limits will be maintained under both steady-state and anticipated transient conditions. The 8-hour permissible limit on rod misalignment is short with respect to the probability of an independent accident.

Amendments 237 and 232 issued 1/28/2008 approved the use of an alternate method, other than the Movable Incore Detectors, to monitor the position of a Control Rod or Shutdown Rod in the event of a problem with the Analog Rod Position Indication System. The use of the alternate method is limited to one inoperable rod position indicator per unit and shall only be allowed until an entry into MODE 3 to implement repairs of the inoperable Rod Position Indicator (RPI). The alternate method monitors the stationary gripper coil for the Control Rod or Shutdown Rod with an inoperable RPI. This alternate method will be implemented by a Temporary Configuration Change. A display will be provided to track the stationary gripper coil current of the Control Rod Drive Mechanism (CRDM) on the non indicating rod measured as an equivalent voltage. The equivalent gripper coil voltage is displayed in the Control Room and is programmed for a high and low voltage alarm to indicate a potential unintended rod movement.

In accordance with TS 3.1.3.2 Action a.2.a), the position of the non-indicating rod is required to be determined indirectly by the Movable Incore Detectors within 8 hours of declaring the RPI inoperable. After initial confirmation of the position of the non indicating rod, TS 3.1.3.2 Action a.2.a) requires confirmation of the position for the non-indicating control rod or shutdown rod at least once every 31 Effective Full Power days using the Movable Incore System.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 56 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 39 of 183)

3/4.1.3 (Continued)

The DCS RPI displays utilize user friendly graphical and digital displays familiar to operators in a format similar to and consistent with other DCS monitored plant parameters such as the Safety Parameter Display.

The addition of the DCS monitoring capabilities of the Rod Position Indication (RPI) System (as displayed on the DCS screens) provides an equivalent method to the panel meters for reading rod position. Therefore the DCS can be used to obtain control rod analog position information for shiftly control rod position channel checks.

Analog rod position indication, using NARPI modules, includes a Tcomp signal input to DCS. If the Tcomp signal is lost, DCS control processors will default to a temperature value output for 100% thermal power. Both the panel meter and the DCS display will be adjusted accordingly. This is a conservative measure and will only apply during calibration activities or a postulated failure of the DCS network backbone. Verification of analog RPI OPERABILITY remains to be defined as within the Allowed Rod Misalignment of Specification 3.1.3.1.

TS 3.1.3.2 Action a.2.b) requires verification that the non-indicating rod has **NOT** moved by verifying the gripper coil voltage has **NOT** changed state at least once every 8 hours. This 8 hour surveillance period is consistent with the current operational requirements of control rod position determination using the Movable Incore Detectors for a non-indicating rod and is more frequent than the normal 12-hour requirement for position determination specified in TS 4.1.3.1.1.

If the gripper coil has changed state indicating a potential unintended rod movement, a determination of the position for the non-indicating control rod or shutdown rod is required to be made within 1 hour by using the Movable Incore Detector System as required by TS 3.1.3.2 Action a.2.a).

If the rod with the inoperable position indicator is moved greater than 12 steps, TS 3.1.3.2 Action a.2.a) will determine the position of the non-indicating rod indirectly by the Movable Incore Detectors within 1 hour. This provision provides assurance that any unintended rod movement is identified in a timely manner.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 57 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 40 of 183)

3/4.1.3 (Continued)

TS 3.1.3.2 Action a.2.c) requires the use of the Movable Incore Detector System to verify rod position prior to increasing thermal power above 50 percent Rated Thermal Power (RTP) and within 8 hours of reaching 100 percent RTP. These provisions are intended to establish and confirm the position of the rod with the inoperable RPI to ensure that power distribution requirements are **NOT** violated.

The ACTION Statements which permit limited variations from the basic requirements are accompanied by additional restrictions which ensure that the original design criteria are met. Misalignment of a rod requires measurement of peaking factors and a restriction in THERMAL POWER. These restrictions provide assurance of fuel rod integrity during continued operation. In addition, those safety analyses affected by a misaligned rod are reevaluated to confirm that the results remain valid during future operation.

The maximum rod drop time restriction is consistent with the assumed rod drop time used in the safety analyses. Measurement with Tavg greater than or equal to 500°F and with all Reactor Coolant Pumps operating ensures that the measured drop times will be representative of insertion times experienced during a Reactor Trip at operating conditions.

Control rod positions and OPERABILITY of the Rod Position Indicators are required to be verified on a nominal basis of once per 12 hours with more frequent verifications required if an automatic monitoring channel is inoperable. These verification frequencies are adequate for assuring that the applicable LCOs are satisfied.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 58 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 41 of 183)

3/4.2 Power Distribution Limits

The specifications of this section provide assurance of fuel integrity during Condition I (Normal Operation) and II (Incidents of Moderate Frequency) events by: (1) Maintaining the minimum DNBR in the core greater than or equal to the applicable design limit during normal operation and in short-term transients, and (2) Limiting the fission gas release, fuel pellet temperature, and cladding mechanical properties to within assumed design criteria. In addition, limiting the peak linear power density during Condition I events provides assurance that the initial conditions assumed for the LOCA analyses are met and the ECCS acceptance criteria limit of 2200°F is **NOT** exceeded.

The definitions of certain hot channel and peaking factors as used in these specifications are as follows:

$F_Q(Z)$ Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, is defined as the maximum local heat flux on the surface of a fuel rod at core elevation Z divided by the average fuel rod heat flux, allowing for manufacturing tolerances on fuel pellets and rods;

$F_{\Delta H}^N$ Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor, is defined as the ratio of the integral of linear power along the rod with the highest integrated power to the average rod power; and

$F_{XY}(Z)$ Radial Peaking Factor, is defined as the ratio of peak power density to average power density in the horizontal plane at core elevation Z.

3/4.2.1 Axial Flux Difference

The limits on AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE (AFD) assure that the $F_Q(Z)$ limit defined in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT times the normalized axial peaking factor is **NOT** exceeded during either normal operation or in the event of xenon redistribution following power changes.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 59 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 42 of 183)

3/4.2.1 (Continued)

Target flux difference is determined at equilibrium xenon conditions. The full-length rods may be positioned within the core in accordance with their respective insertion limits and should be inserted near their normal position for steady-state operation at high power levels. The value of the target flux difference obtained under these conditions divided by the fraction of RATED THERMAL POWER is the target flux difference at RATED THERMAL POWER for the associated core burnup conditions. Target flux differences for other THERMAL POWER levels are obtained by multiplying the RATED THERMAL POWER value by the appropriate fractional THERMAL POWER level. The periodic updating of the target flux difference value is necessary to reflect core burnup considerations.

At power level below PT, the limits on AFD are specified in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR) for RAOC operation. These limits were calculated in a manner such that expected operational transients, e.g., load follow operations, would **NOT** result in the AFD deviating outside of those limits. However, in the event that such a deviation occurs, a 15 minute period of time allowed outside of the AFD limits at reduced power levels will **NOT** result in significant xenon redistribution such that the envelope of peaking factors would change sufficiently to prevent operation in the vicinity of the power level.

With PT greater than 100%, two modes are permissible: 1) RAOC with fixed AFD limits as a function of reactor power level, and 2) Base Load operation which is defined as the maintenance of the AFD within a band about a target value. Both the fixed AFD limits for RAOC operation and the target band for Base Load operation are defined in the COLR and the Peaking Factor Limit Report, respectively. However, it is possible during extended load following maneuvers that the AFD limits may result in restrictions in the maximum allowed power or AFD in order to guarantee operation with FQ(Z) less than its limiting value. Therefore, PT is calculated to be less than 100%. To allow operation at the maximum permissible value above PT Base Load operation restricts the indicated AFD to a relative small target band and power swings. For Base Load operation, it is expected that the plant will operate within the target band.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 60 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 43 of 183)

3/4.2.1 (Continued)

Operation outside of the target band for the short time period allowed (15 minutes) will **NOT** result in significant xenon redistribution such that the envelope of peaking factors will change sufficiently to prohibit continued operation in the power region defined above. To assure that there is **NO** residual xenon redistribution impact from past operation on the Base Load operation, a 24-hour waiting period within a defined range of PT and AFD allowed by RAOC is necessary. During this period, load changes and rod motion are restricted to that allowed by the Base Load requirement. After the waiting period, extended Base Load operation is permissible.

Provisions for monitoring the AFD on an automatic basis are derived from the plant process computer through the AFD Monitoring Alarm. The computer monitors the OPERABLE excore detector outputs and provides an alarm message immediately if the AFD for two or more OPERABLE excore channels are: 1) Outside the acceptable AFD (for RAOC operation), or 2) Outside the acceptable AFD target band (for Base Load operation). These alarms are active when power is greater than: 1) 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER (for RAOC operation), or 2) PT (Base Load operation). Penalty deviation minutes for Base Load operation are **NOT** accumulated based on the short time period during which operation outside of the target band is allowed.

3/4.2.2
&

3/4.2.3 Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor and Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor

The limits on Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor and Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor ensure that: (1) The design limits on peak local power density and minimum DNBR are **NOT** exceeded, and (2) In the event of a LOCA the peak fuel clad temperature will **NOT** exceed the 2200°F ECCS acceptance criteria limit. The LOCA peak fuel clad temperature limit may be sensitive to the number of steam generator tubes plugged.

$F_Q(Z)$, Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, is defined as the maximum local heat flux on the surface of a fuel rod at core elevation Z divided by the average fuel rod heat flux.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 61 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 44 of 183)

3/4.2.2 & 3/4.2.3 (Continued)

$F_{\Delta H}^N$ Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor, is defined as the ratio of the integral of linear power along the rod with the highest integrated power to the average rod power.

Each of these is measurable but will normally only be determined periodically as specified in Specifications 4.2.2 and 4.2.3. This periodic surveillance is sufficient to ensure that the limits are maintained provided:

- a. Control rods in a single group move together with **NO** individual rod insertion differing by more than ± 12 steps, indicated, from the group demand position;
- b. Control rod groups are sequenced with overlapping groups as described in Specification 3.1.3.6;
- c. The control rod insertion limits of Specifications 3.1.3.5 and 3.1.3.6 are maintained; and
- d. The axial power distribution, expressed in terms of AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE, is maintained within the limits.

When an F_Q measurement is taken, both experimental error and manufacturing tolerance must be allowed for. Five percent is the appropriate allowance for a full core map taken with the movable incore detector flux mapping system and three percent is the appropriate allowance for manufacturing tolerance. These uncertainties only apply if the map is taken for purposes other than the determination of P_{BL} and P_{RB} .

$F_{\Delta H}^N$ will be maintained within its limits provided Conditions a. through d. above are maintained.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 62 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 45 of 183)

3/4.2.2 & 3/4.2.3 (Continued)

In the specified limit of $F_{\Delta H}^N$, there is an 8 percent allowance for uncertainties which means that normal operation of the core is expected to result in $F_{\Delta H}^N \leq F_{\Delta H}^{RTP}/1.08$, where $F_{\Delta H}^{RTP}$ is the $F_{\Delta H}^N$ limit at RATED THERMAL POWER (RTP) specified in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT. The logic behind the larger uncertainty in this case is that (a) Normal perturbations in the radial power shape (e.g., rod misalignment) affect $F_{\Delta H}^N$, in most cases without necessarily affecting F_Q , (b) Although the operator has a direct influence on F_Q through movement of rods, and can limit it to the desired value, he has **NO** direct control over $F_{\Delta H}^N$, and (c) An error in the prediction for radial power shape, which may be detected during startup physics tests can be compensated for in F_Q by tighter axial control, but compensation for $F_{\Delta H}^N$ is less readily available. When a measurement of $F_{\Delta H}^N$ is taken, experimental error must be allowed for and 4% is the appropriate allowance for a full core map taken with the movable incore detector flux mapping system.

The following are independent augmented surveillance methods used to ensure peaking factors are acceptable for continued operation above Threshold Power, P_T :

Base Load - This method uses the following equation to determine peaking factors:

$$F_{QBL} = F_Q(Z) \text{ measured} \times 1.09 \times W(Z)_{BL}$$

where: $W(Z)_{BL}$ = accounts for power shapes;

1.09 = accounts for uncertainty;

$F_Q(Z)$ = measured data;

F_{QBL} = Base load peaking factor.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 63 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 46 of 183)

3/4.2.2 & 3/4.2.3 (Continued)

The analytically determined $[F_Q]^P$ is formulated to generate limiting shapes for all load follow maneuvers consistent with control to a $\pm 5\%$ band about the target flux difference. For Base Load operation the severity of the shapes that need to be considered is significantly reduced relative to load follow operation.

The severity of possible shapes is small due to the restrictions imposed by Sections 4.2.2.3. To quantify the effect of the limiting transients which could occur during Base Load operation, the function $W(Z)_{BL}$ is calculated from the following relationship:

$$W(Z)_{BL} = \text{Max} \left[\frac{F_Q(Z) \text{ (Base Load Case(s), 150 MWD/T)}}{F_Q(Z) \text{ (ARO, 150 MWD/T)}}, \frac{F_Q(Z) \text{ (Base Case(s), 85\% EOL BU)}}{F_Q(Z) \text{ (ARO, 85\% BOL BU)}} \right]$$

Radial Burndown - This method uses the following equation to determine peaking factors.

$$F_Q(Z)_{R.B.} = F_{xy}(Z)_{\text{measured}} \times F_z(Z) \times 1.09$$

where: 1.09 = accounts for uncertainty

$F_z(Z)$ = accounts for axial power shapes

$F_{xy}(Z)_{\text{measured}}$ = ratio of peak power density to average power density at elevation(Z)

$F_Q(Z)_{R.B.}$ = Radial Burndown Peaking Factor.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 64 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 47 of 183)

3/4.2.2 & 3/4.2.3 (Continued)

For Radial Burndown operation the full spectrum of possible shapes consistent with control to a $\pm 5\%$ Delta-I band needs to be considered in determining power capability. Accordingly, to quantify the effect of the limiting transients which could occur during Radial Burndown operation, the function $F_z(Z)$ is calculated from the following relationship:

$$F_z(Z) = [F_Q(Z)] \text{ FAC Analysis} / [F_{xy}(Z)] \text{ ARO}$$

The essence of the procedure is to maintain the xenon distribution in the core as close to the equilibrium full power condition as possible. This can be accomplished by using the boron system to position the full length control rods to produce the required indicated flux difference.

Above the power level of P_T , additional flux shape monitoring is required. In order to assure that the total power peaking factor, F_Q , is maintained at or below the limiting value, the movable incore instrumentation will be utilized. Thimbles are selected initially during startup physics tests so that the measurements are representative of the peak core power density. By limiting the core average axial power distribution, the total power peaking factor F_Q can be limited since all other components remain relatively fixed. The remaining part of the total power peaking factor can be derived from incore measurements, i.e., an effective radial peaking factor, can be determined as the ratio of the total peaking factor resulting from a full core flux map and the axial peaking factor in a selected thimble.

The limiting value of $[F_j(Z)]_s$ is derived as follows:

$$[F_j(Z)]_s = \frac{[F_Q]^L \times [K(Z)]}{P_L \bar{R}_j (1 + \sigma_j) (1.03) (1.07)}$$

Where:

- a) $F_j(Z)$ is the normalized axial power distribution from thimble j at elevation Z.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 65 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 48 of 183)

3/4.2.2 & 3/4.2.3 (Continued)

- b) P_L is reactor thermal power expressed as a fraction of 1.
- c) $K(Z)$ is the reduction in the F_Q limit as a function of core elevation (Z) as specified in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT.
- d) $[F_j(Z)]_s$ is the alarm setpoint for MIDS.
- e) R_j , for thimble j , is determined from $n=6$ incore flux maps covering the full configuration of permissible rod patterns at the thermal power limit of PT.

$$\bar{R}_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n R_{ij}}{n}$$

where

$$R_{ij} = \frac{F_{Qi} \text{ meas.}}{[F_{ij}(Z)] \text{ max}}$$

and $F_{ij}(Z)$ is the normalized axial distribution at elevation Z from thimble j in map i which has a measure peaking factor without uncertainties or densification allowance of $F_{Qi} \text{ meas.}$

- f) σ_j is the standard deviation, expressed as a fraction or percentage of \bar{R}_j and is derived from n flux maps and the relationship below, or 0.02 (2%), whichever is greater.

$$\sigma_j = \left[\frac{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (R_{ij} - \bar{R}_j)^2}{\bar{R}_j} \right]^{1/2}$$

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 66 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 49 of 183)

3/4.2.2 & 3/4.2.3 (Continued)

- g) The factor 1.03 reduction in the kw/ft limit is the engineering uncertainty factor.
- h) The factors $(1 + \sigma_j)$ and 1.07 represent the margin between $(F_j(Z))L$ limit and the MIDS alarm setpoint $[F_j(Z)]_s$. Since $(1 + \sigma_j)$ is bounded by a lower limit of 1.02, there is at least a 9% reduction of the alarm setpoint. Operations are permitted in excess of the operational limit $\leq 4\%$ while making power adjustment on a percent for percent basis.

3/4.2.4 Quadrant Power Tilt Ratio

The QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO limit assures that the radial power distribution satisfies the design values used in the power capability analysis. Radial power distribution measurements are made during STARTUP testing and periodically during power operation.

The limit of 1.02, at which corrective action is required, provides DNB and linear heat generation rate protection with x-y plane power tilts. A limit of 1.02 was selected to provide an allowance for the uncertainty associated with the indicated power tilt.

The 2-hour time allowance for operation with a tilt condition greater than 1.02 but less than 1.09 is provided to allow identification and correction of a dropped or misaligned control rod. In the event such action does **NOT** correct the tilt, the margin for uncertainty on $F_Q(Z)$ is reinstated by reducing the maximum allowed power by 3% for each percent of tilt in excess of 1.

For purposes of monitoring QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO when one excore detector is inoperable, the Movable Incore Detectors or incore thermocouple map are used to confirm that the normalized symmetric power distribution is consistent with the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO. The incore detector monitoring is done with a full incore flux map or two sets of four symmetric thimbles. The two sets of four symmetric thimbles is a unique set of eight detector locations. These locations are C-8, E-5, E-11, H 3, H 13, L-5, L-11, N-8.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 67 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 50 of 183)

3/4.2.5 DNB Parameters

The limits on the DNB-related parameters assure that each of the parameters are maintained within the normal steady-state envelope of operation assumed in the transient and accident analyses. The limits are consistent with the initial UFSAR assumptions and have been analytically demonstrated adequate to maintain a minimum DNBR above the applicable design limits throughout each analyzed transient. The limits for Tavg and pressurizer pressure have been moved to the COLR. The measured RCS flow value of 270,000 gpm corresponds to a Thermal Design Flow of 260,700 gpm with an allowance of 3.5% to accommodate calorimetric measurement uncertainty.

The 12-hour periodic surveillance of these parameters through instrument readout is sufficient to ensure that the parameters are restored within their limits following load changes and other expected transient operation. The 18-month periodic measurement of the RCS total flow rate is adequate to ensure that the DNB-related flow assumption is met and to ensure correlation of the flow indication channels with measured flow. The indicated percent flow surveillance on a 12 hour basis will provide sufficient verification that flow degradation has **NOT** occurred. An indicated percent flow which is greater than the thermal design flow plus instrument channel inaccuracies and parallax errors is acceptable for the 12 hour surveillance on RCS flow. To minimize measurement uncertainties it is assumed that the RCS flow channel outputs are averaged.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 68 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 51 of 183)

3/4.3 Instrumentation

3/4.3.1
&

3/4.3.2 Reactor Trip System and Engineered Safety Features Actuation System Instrumentation

The OPERABILITY of the Reactor Trip System and the Engineered Safety Features Actuation System instrumentation and interlocks ensures that: (1) The associated ACTION and/or Reactor trip will be initiated when the parameter monitored by each channel or combination thereof reaches its Setpoint (2) The specified coincidence logic is maintained, (3) Sufficient redundancy is maintained to permit a channel to be out-of-service for testing or maintenance (due to plant specific design, pulling fuses and using jumpers may be used to place channels in trip), and (4) Sufficient system functional capability is available from diverse parameters.

The OPERABILITY of these systems is required to provide the overall reliability, redundancy, and diversity assumed available in the facility design for the protection and mitigation of accident and transient conditions. The integrated operation of each of these systems is consistent with the assumptions used in the safety analyses. The Surveillance Requirements specified for these systems ensure that the overall system functional capability is maintained comparable to the original design standards. The periodic surveillance tests performed at the minimum frequencies are sufficient to demonstrate this capability. Surveillances for the analog RPS/ESFAS Protection and Control rack instrumentation have been extended to quarterly in accordance with WCAP-10271, Evaluation of Surveillance Frequencies and Out of Service Times for the Reactor Protection Instrumentation System, and supplements to that report as generically approved by the NRC and documented in their SERs (Letters to the Westinghouse Owner's Group from the NRC dated February 21, 1985, February 22, 1989, and April 30, 1990).

Under some pressure and temperature conditions, certain surveillances for Safety Injection cannot be performed because of the system design. Allowance to change modes is provided under these conditions as long as the surveillances are completed within specified time requirements.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 69 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 52 of 183)

3/4.3.1 & 3/4.3.2 (Continued)

If the Reactor Trip Breakers (RTB) are closed and the Rod Control System is capable of withdrawing the Control Rods, then Source Range Instrumentation is required to support Technical Specification 3.3.1, Table 3.3-1, Item 4c. This is specified by the single asterisk note and the requirement in the table for the trip function. Otherwise, Item 4b of Table 3.3-1 applies. The double asterisk note of Item 4b allows the use of the Gammametrics only if the RTBs are open. If the RTBs are closed but the Rod Control System is **NOT** capable of withdrawing rods, then Item 4b does **NOT** allow Gammametrics to take the place of source range instruments. Item 4b does **NOT** require the trip function to be OPERABLE.

The Engineered Safety Features Actuation System Instrumentation Trip Setpoints specified in Table 3.3 3 are the nominal values at which the bistables are set for each functional unit. The setpoint is considered to be adjusted consistent with the Nominal Trip Setpoint when the as measured setpoint is within the band allowed for calibration accuracy. Although the degraded voltage channel for Item 7.c consists of definite time (ITE) and inverse time (IAV) relays, the setpoint specified in Table 3.3-3 is only applicable to the definite time delay relays (Reference: CR 00-2301). The original protection scheme consisted of inverse time voltage relays; but based on operational experience, it was found that the settings of these relays drifted in a non-conservative direction. In 1992, to improve repeatability and to reduce potential harmful effects due to setpoint drifts, ITE definite time delay relays were added to the protection scheme to protect the 480 V alternating current (AC) system from adverse effects of a sustained degraded voltage condition. The IAV relays protect the system from adverse effects of a brief large voltage transient. The IAV relay settings are such that they should **NOT** operate before the ITE relays. The degraded voltage protection is ensured by the definite time delay relays with the setpoints specified in the TS Table 3.3-3, Item 7.c (References: L-92-097 dated 4/21/92, and L-92-215 dated 7/29/92). These changes were approved by NRC letter dated August 20, 1992, and implemented by Amendment Nos 152 and 147.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 70 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 53 of 183)

3/4.3.1 & 3/4.3.2 (Continued)

To accommodate instrument drift that may occur between operational tests and the accuracy to which setpoints can be measured and calibrated, allowances are provided for in the Nominal Trip Setpoint and Allowable Values in accordance with the setpoint methodology described in WCAPs 17070 P (for functional units 1f, 4d, 5c and 6b) and 12745. Surveillance criteria have been determined and are controlled in Plant procedures and in design documents. The surveillance criteria ensure that instruments which are **NOT** operating within the assumptions of the setpoint calculations are identified. An instrument channel is considered OPERABLE when the surveillance is within the Allowable Value and the channel is capable of being calibrated in accordance with Plant procedures to within the As-Left tolerance. If the As-Found setpoint is outside of the Plant Procedure as-found tolerance, the occurrence will be entered into the plant Corrective Action Program and the channel will be evaluated to verify that it is functioning as required before returning the channel to service. Sensor and other instrumentation utilized in these channels are expected to be capable of operating within the allowances of these uncertainty magnitudes.

The inability to demonstrate through measurement and/or analytical means, using the methods described in WCAPs 17070-P and 12745, that the Reactor Trip function would have occurred within the values specified in the design documentation provides a threshold value for REPORTABLE EVENTS.

There is a small statistical probability that a properly functioning device will drift beyond determined surveillance criteria. Infrequent drift outside the surveillance criteria are expected. Excessive rack or sensor drift that is more than occasional may be indicative of more serious problems and should warrant further investigations.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 71 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 54 of 183)

3/4.3.1 & 3/4.3.2 (Continued)

The trip setpoints used in the bistables for Functional Units 1f, 4d, 5c, and 6b are based on the analytical limits stated in WCAP-17070-P and UFSAR Section 7.2. The selection of these analytical limits is such that adequate protection is provided when all sensor and processing time delays are taken into account. To allow for calibration tolerances, instrumentation uncertainties, instrument drift, and severe environment errors for those ESFAS channels that must function in harsh environments as defined by 10 CFR 50.49, the NTSs are conservative with respect to the analytical limits. A detailed description of the methodology used to determine the ESFAS NTSs, Allowable Value, As-Left tolerance, and As-Found tolerance including their explicit uncertainties, is provided in WCAP-17070-P and UFSAR Section 7.2 which incorporates all of the known uncertainties applicable to these functional units. The magnitudes of these uncertainties are factored into the determination of each ESFAS NTS and corresponding Allowable Value.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 72 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 55 of 183)

3/4.3.1 & 3/4.3.2 (Continued)

The NTS for Functional Units 1f, 4d, 5c, and 6b is the value at which the bistables are set and is the expected value to be achieved during calibration. The NTS value is the LSSS and ensures the safety analysis limits are met for the surveillance interval selected when a channel is adjusted based on stated channel uncertainties. Any bistable is considered to be properly adjusted when the As-Left NTS value is within the As-Left tolerance for CHANNEL CALIBRATION uncertainty allowance (i.e., \pm rack calibration). The NTS value is therefore considered a "nominal value" (i.e., expressed as a value without inequalities) for the purposes of the ANALOG/DIGITAL CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST (COT) and CHANNEL CALIBRATION. These functional Units have been modified by two notes as identified in Table 4.3-23. Note (a) requires evaluation of channel performance for the condition where the As-Found setting for the nominal trip setpoint is outside of the As-Found criterion. As stated above, these instances will be entered into the plant corrective action process and the channel will be evaluated to verify that it is functioning as required before returning the channel to service. Note (b) requires that the channel As-Left setting must be within the As-Left tolerance band. As noted above a channel is considered to be properly calibrated with the As-Left NTS value is within the As-Left tolerance band for CHANNEL CALIBRATION uncertainty allowance (i.e., \pm rack calibration accuracy).

WCAP-17070-P methodology for determining analytical limits only applies to those ESFAS functions that support the safety analysis. Certain ESFAS functions such as the loss of voltage UV signal to the 480V load centers only provide equipment protection and therefore their analytical limit is **NOT** required to meet the WCAP-17070-P methodology.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 73 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 56 of 183)

3/4.3.1 & 3/4.3.2 (Continued)

The Engineered Safety Features Actuation System senses selected plant parameters and determines whether or **NOT** predetermined limits are being exceeded. If they are, the signals are combined into logic matrices sensitive to combinations indicative of various accidents events, and transients. Once the required logic combination is completed, the system sends actuation signals to those Engineered Safety Features components whose aggregate function best serves the requirements of the condition. As an example, the following actions may be initiated by the Engineered Safety Features Actuation System to mitigate the consequences of a steam line break or loss-of-coolant accident: (1) Safety Injection pumps start and automatic valves position, (2) Reactor trip, (3) Feed water isolation, (4) Startup of the emergency diesel generators, (5) Containment spray pumps start and automatic valves position (6) Containment ventilation isolation, (7) Steam line isolation, (8) Turbine trip, (9) Auxiliary feedwater pumps start and automatic valves position, (10) Containment cooling fans start and automatic valves position, (11) Intake cooling water and component cooling water pumps start and automatic valves position, and (12) Control Room Isolation and Ventilation Systems start. This system also provides a feedwater system isolation to prevent SG overfill. Steam Generator overfill protection is **NOT** part of the Engineered Safety Features Actuation System (ESFAS), and is added to the Technical Specifications only in accordance with NRC Generic Letter 89-19.

The Steam Generator Pressure-Low and Steam Line Pressure- Low functions, items 1.f and 4.d of Table 3.3-3, are anticipatory in nature and have a typical lead/lag ratio of 50/5. The 50/5-second lead/lag function is needed to assure acceptable results for the Hot Full Power and Hot Zero Power steam line break analyses.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 74 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 57 of 183)

3/4.3.1 & 3/4.3.2 (Continued)

Item 5 of Table 3.3-2 requires that two trains of feedwater isolation actuation logic and relays be OPERABLE in MODES 1, 2 and 3. Operability requires:

- Isolation of both the normal feedwater branch and the bypass branch lines through automatic closure of the main feedwater and main feedwater bypass flow control valves (FCV) or automatic closure of the feedwater isolation valves (FIV) during a safety injection actuation signal or high-high steam generator water level signal, and
- Two independent trains of Automatic Actuation Logic and actuation relays.

In the event that maintenance and/or in-service testing is required on a feedwater regulating valve in Mode 1, 2 and 3, the above requirements can be met by closing the isolation valve upstream of the affected feedwater regulating valve, administratively controlling the position of the isolation valve, and controlling feedwater flow with an OPERABLE feedwater regulating valve (main or bypass).

When complying with ACTION 23 for Table 3.3-2 Functional Unit 6.d. the plant does **NOT** enter Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.0.3. ACTION 23, in the wording "comply with Specification 3.0.3", requires actions to be taken that are the same as those described in LCO 3.0.3, without any requirement to enter LCO 3.0.3. ACTION 23 has designated conditions under which the specific prescribed ACTIONS of within 1 hour action shall be initiated to place the unit, as applicable, in:

- a. At least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours,
- b. At least HOT SHUTDOWN within the following 6 hours, and
- c. At least COLD SHUTDOWN within the subsequent 24 hours

These are required when the designated conditions of the number of OPERABLE channels one less than the Minimum Channels OPERABLE, are **NOT** met.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 75 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 58 of 183)

3/4.3.1 & 3/4.3.2 (Continued)

The definition of ACTION in Technical Specifications Section 1.1 is that part of a Technical Specification which prescribes remedial measures required under designated conditions. The TS Bases for 3.0.3 describe the fact that 3.0.3 establishes the shutdown ACTION requirements that must be implemented when a Limiting Condition for Operation is **NOT** met and the condition is **NOT** specifically addressed by the associated ACTION requirements. In the case of ACTION statement 23, shutdown ACTION requirements are specifically described in the ACTION statement as inferred in the wording "comply with Specification 3.0.3." **NO** reporting is necessary under ACTION 23 until a shutdown has begun.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 76 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 59 of 183)

3/4.3.1 & 3/4.3.2 (Continued)

The Engineered Safety Features Actuation System interlocks perform the following functions:

- **HIGH STEAM FLOW SAFETY INJECTION BLOCK** - This permissive is used to block the safety injection (SI) signal generated by High Steam Line Flow coincident with Low Steam Line Pressure or Low Tavg. The permissive is generated when two out of three Low Tavg channels drop below their setpoints and the manual SI Block/Unblock switch is momentarily placed in the block position. This switch is a spring return to the normal position type. The permissive will automatically be defeated if two out of three Low Tavg channels rise above their setpoints. The permissive may be manually defeated when two out of three Low Tavg channels are below their setpoints and the manual SI Block/Unblock switch is momentarily placed in the unblock position.
- **LOW PRESSURIZER PRESSURE SAFETY INJECTION BLOCK** - This permissive is used to block the safety injection signals generated by Low Pressurizer Pressure and High Differential Pressure between the Steam Line Header and any Steam Line. The permissive is generated when two out of three pressurizer pressure permissive channels drop below their setpoints and the manual SI Block/Unblock switch is momentarily placed in the block position. This is the same switch that is used to manually block the High Steam Flow Safety Injection signals mentioned above. This permissive will automatically be defeated if two out of three pressurizer pressure permissive channels rise above their setpoints. The permissive may be manually defeated when two out of three pressurizer pressure permissive channels are below their setpoints and the manual SI Block/Unblock switch momentarily placed in the Unblock position.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 77 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 60 of 183)

3/4.3.3 Monitoring Instrumentation

3/4.3.3.1 Radiation Monitoring for Plant Operations

The OPERABILITY of the radiation monitoring instrumentation for plant operations ensures that conditions indicative of potential uncontrolled radioactive releases are monitored and that appropriate actions will be automatically or manually initiated when the radiation level monitored by each channel reaches its alarm or trip setpoint.

In MODES 1-4, with both the Particulate and Gaseous Radioactivity Monitoring Systems inoperable, the isolation valves in the Containment Purge Supply and Exhaust and Instrument Air Bleed flow paths are required to be maintained closed in order to allow continued operation for up to 7 days. A note permits the instrument air flow path to be opened under administrative control in order to maintain the containment internal pressure within specified limits since it is relatively small in size and easily isolated either automatically by a safety injection signal or manually by the operator monitoring containment conditions while the valves are open.

3/4.3.3.2 Movable Incore Detectors

The OPERABILITY of the Movable Incore Detectors with the specified minimum complement of equipment ensures that the measurements obtained from use of this system accurately represent the spatial neutron flux distribution of the core. The OPERABILITY of this system is demonstrated by irradiating each detector used and determining the acceptability of its voltage curve.

For the purpose of measuring $F_Q(Z)$ or $F_{\Delta H}^N$ a full Incore flux map is used. Quarter-core flux maps, as defined in WCAP-8648, June 1976 or in the Westinghouse Single Point Calibration Technique, may be used in recalibration of the Excore Neutron Flux Detection System, and full incore flux maps or symmetric incore thimbles may be used for monitoring the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO when one Power Range Channel is inoperable.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 78 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 61 of 183)

3/4.3.3.3 Accident Monitoring Instrumentation

The OPERABILITY of the accident monitoring instrumentation ensures that sufficient information is available on selected plant parameters to monitor and assess these variables following an accident. This capability is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.97, Revision 3, Instrumentation for Light-Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants to Assess Plant Conditions During and Following an Accident, May 1983 and NUREG-0737, Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements, November 1980.

Action c states that separate Action entry is allowed for each instrument. This Action has been added for clarification. The Actions of this Specification may be entered independently for each Instrument listed on Table 3.3-5. Allowable outage times of the inoperable channels of an Instrument will be tracked separately for each Instrument starting from the time the Action was entered for that Instrument.

TS Table 3.3-5, Accident Monitoring Instrumentation, instrument item 3, Reactor Coolant Outlet Temperature, T-hot and instrument item 4 Reactor Coolant Inlet Temperature, T cold, utilize the terms detector and channel. The term channel (in the context of the specification) refers to one of the two channels of QSPDS. Each channel has three detectors as inputs, one from each loop. For example, Resistance Temperature Detectors TE-3-413A, TE-3-423A, and TE-3-433A are the three detectors which feed QSPDS Channel A for Unit 3. The TOTAL NUMBER OF CHANNELS is two (with two of the three detectors required). The MINIMUM CHANNELS OPERABLE is one (with two of the three detectors.) To call a channel OPERABLE, it must have at least two of its three detectors OPERABLE. Although the minimum channels OPERABLE is one (of two), having one channel inoperable invokes Action Statement 31 (restore in 30 days or submit a Special Report in the next 14 days).

The QSPDS is configured into two channels, but it is often referred to as having two trains. In general, the term train applies only to Reactor Protection System (RPS) / Engineering Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) actuation signals, i.e., there are two trains of reactor protection; each train will trip one reactor trip breaker. Train is **NOT** appropriate to QSPDS, since QSPDS serves **NO** automatic protection function.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 79 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 62 of 183)

3/4.3.3.3 (Continued)

Technical Specification Table 3.3-5, Item 14, Incore Thermocouples (Core Exit Thermocouples), utilizes the term channel. There are **NO** channels of Incore Thermocouples as stated previously, the term Channel refers to one of the two QSPDS channels. NUREG 0737, Section II.F.2, Attachment 1, Item (3) describes what is required from instrumentation standpoint: A display should be provided with the capability for selective reading of a minimum of 16 OPERABLE thermocouples, four from each core quadrant. This description is the basis for our Technical Specification, and clarifies the requirement for Incore Thermocouples. If we have fewer than four thermocouples per core quadrant, Action 31 applies. If we have fewer than two thermocouples per quadrant, Action 32 applies. There is **NO** regulatory requirement that these two or four thermocouples per core quadrant be assigned to or divided between the two channels of QSPDS. The column heading TOTAL NO. OF CHANNELS, is also misleading for the Incore Thermocouples. There are more than four thermocouples in every core quadrant. It takes four thermocouples per core quadrant to satisfy the Technical Specifications and unrestricted operation with fewer than the TOTAL, but at least the MINIMUM is **NOT** allowed. For example, if there are only three operable thermocouples in a quadrant, in 30 days one must be fixed or a Special Report submitted within the next 14 days.

19c - High Range Noble Gas - Condenser Air Ejector

TS Table 3.3-5, Accident Monitoring Instrumentation, Item 19c, requires one Channel of High Range-Noble Gas Effluent Monitoring for Condenser Air Ejectors to be OPERABLE in MODES 1, 2, and 3. The Steam Jet Air Ejector (SJAE) Special Particulate, Iodine, and Noble Gas (SPING) monitor (RAD-6417) provides this function.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 80 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 63 of 183)

The SJAE SPING utilizes an internal sample pump to continuously sample and monitor the discharge from the air ejector exhaust header. The SJAE SPING includes piping, valves, and connections to permit normal sampling and to allow for connecting portable sampling equipment or obtaining grab samples. The SJAE SPING includes multiple detection channels that provide monitoring of the range of noble gases required by Regulatory Guide 1.97, Revision 3 (1.0E-06 to 1.0E-02 $\mu\text{Ci/cc}$) and the extended range prescribed in NUREG-0737 (to a maximum of 1.0E+05 $\mu\text{Ci/cc}$). The SJAE SPING is capable of providing continuous sampling and periodic monitoring of radioiodines and particulates using filter cartridges. The SJAE SPING provides three separate detection channels of noble gas. The ranges include the upper range Channel 9 (1.0E+00 to 1.0E+05 $\mu\text{Ci/cc}$), the mid range Channel 7 (2.5E-02 to 4.0E+02 $\mu\text{Ci/cc}$), and the low range Channel 5 (1.0E-07 to 6.0E-02 $\mu\text{Ci/cc}$). Inoperability of any one of the three noble gas detection channels requires entry in Action 34 which requires initiation of a preplanned alternate method of monitoring the appropriate parameter(s) within 72 hours.

Due to multiple detector channels and sampling configurations provided by the SJAE SPING, the preplanned alternate methods vary with the condition and extent of the inoperability. The inoperability of any single detection channel does **NOT** render the entire SJAE SPING inoperable as long as sample flow to the remaining detection channels is maintained.

Failure of the SJAE SPING sample pump (or any failure which prevents the required sample air from flowing through the detectors) disables all SJAE SPING monitoring capabilities and requires entry into Action 34 and implementation of alternate preplanned methods for each of the noble gas detection channels. With the sample flow path to the SJAE SPING still available, installation of the alternate sample rig to the SJAE SPING sample inlet line provides the capability to obtain radioiodine and particulate samples, monitor flow, and obtain gas grab samples. Note that installation of the alternate sampling rig provides a preplanned alternate method for obtaining samples, but does **NOT** restore OPERABILITY of the SJAE SPING high range noble gas monitoring function.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 81 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 64 of 183)

Failure of SJAE SPING Channel 5 requires entry into Action 34. An alternate method of monitoring the noble gas is to use Process Radiation Monitoring System (PRMS) R-15 (1.0E-06 to 1.0E-03 $\mu\text{Ci/cc}$) until the channel range is exceeded. With the SJAE SPING Channel 5 inoperable and the range of PRMS R-15 exceeded, obtain grab samples every 12 hours.

Failure of SJAE SPING Channel 7 requires entry into Action 34. An alternate method of monitoring the noble gas is to use SJAE SPING Channel 5 or PRMS R-15 until the range of these channels is exceeded. With the SJAE SPING Channel 5 and PRMS R-15 inoperable, or their ranges exceeded, main steam can be sampled every 12 hours, or obtain grab samples every 12 hours until the level is within the monitoring range provided by detection Channel 9.

Failure of SJAE SPING Channel 9 requires entry into Action 34. An alternate method of monitoring the noble gas is to use SJAE SPING Channel 5 or PRMS R-15 until the range of these channels is exceeded. With the SJAE SPING Channel 5 and PRMS R-15 inoperable or their ranges exceeded, obtain grab samples every 12 hours.

3/4.3.3.4 Deleted

3/4.3.3.5 Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation

The radioactive liquid effluent instrumentation is provided to monitor and control, as applicable, the releases of radioactive materials in liquid effluents during actual or potential releases of liquid effluents. The Alarm/Trip Setpoints for these instruments shall be calculated and adjusted in accordance with the methodology and parameters in the ODCM to ensure that the alarm/trip will occur prior to exceeding the limits of 10 CFR Part 20. The OPERABILITY and use of this instrumentation is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criteria 60, 63, and 64 of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 82 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 65 of 183)

3/4.3.3.6 Radioactive Gaseous Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation

The radioactive gaseous effluent instrumentation is provided to monitor and control, as applicable, the releases of radioactive materials in gaseous effluents during actual or potential releases of gaseous effluents. The Alarm/Trip Setpoints for these instruments shall be calculated and adjusted in accordance with the methodology and parameters in the ODCM to ensure that the alarm/trip will occur prior to exceeding the limits of 10 CFR Part 20. This instrumentation also includes provisions for monitoring (and controlling) the concentrations of potentially explosive gas mixtures in the GAS DECAY TANK SYSTEM. The OPERABILITY and use of this instrumentation is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criteria 60, 63, and 64 of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50. The sensitivity of any noble gas activity monitors used to show compliance with the gaseous effluent release requirements of Specification 3.11.2.2 shall be such that concentrations as low as 1×10^{-6} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ are measurable.

3/4.4 Reactor Coolant System

3/4.4.1 Reactor Coolant Loops and Coolant Circulation

The plant is designed to operate with all reactor coolant loops in operation and maintain DNBR above the applicable design limit during all normal operations and anticipated transients. In MODES 1 and 2 with one Reactor Coolant Loop **NOT** in operation this specification requires that the plant be in at least HOT STANDBY within 6 hours.

In MODE 3, three reactor coolant loops provide sufficient heat removal capability for removing core decay heat in the event of a bank withdrawal accident; however, a single Reactor Coolant Loop provides sufficient heat removal capacity if a bank withdrawal accident can be prevented, i.e., by opening the Reactor Trip System breakers. Single active failure considerations require that at least two loops be OPERABLE at all times.

In MODE 4, and in MODE 5 with reactor coolant loops filled, a single reactor coolant loop or RHR loop provides sufficient heat removal capability for removing decay heat, but all combinations of two loops, except two RHR loops, provide single active failure protection.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 83 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 66 of 183)

3/4.4.1 (Continued)

In MODE 5 with Reactor Coolant Loops **NOT** filled, a single RHR Loop provides sufficient heat removal capability for removing decay heat; but the unavailability of the Steam Generators as a heat removing component, requires that at least two RHR Loops be OPERABLE.

To take credit for reactor coolant loops being filled requires the availability of at least two steam generators as heat removing components. Then if the RHR loop is lost, natural circulation will be established. If the RCS is depressurized, natural circulation cannot be established since there is **NOT** enough thermal driving head that can be established to overcome the Steam Generator U-tube voids. Therefore, loops shall **NOT** be considered filled unless the reactor coolant system has been filled and vented with **NO** intervening evolutions that could introduce air into the steam generators, and is pressurized to at least 100 psig (JPN-PTN-SEMS-95-026). The RCS loops cannot be considered a valid coolant loop if the RCS is depressurized to less than 100 psig, and two RHR loops must be OPERABLE.

The operation of one Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) or one RHR Pump provides adequate flow to ensure mixing, prevent stratification, and produce gradual reactivity changes during boron concentration reductions in the Reactor Coolant System. The reactivity change rate associated with boron reduction will, therefore, be within the capability of operator recognition and control.

The restrictions on starting an RCP with one or more RCS Cold Legs less than or equal to 275°F are provided to prevent RCS pressure transients, caused by energy additions from the Secondary Coolant System, which could exceed the limits of Appendix G to 10 CFR Part 50. The RCS will be protected against overpressure transients and will **NOT** exceed the limits of Appendix G by either: (1) Restricting the water volume in the Pressurizer and thereby providing a volume for the reactor coolant to expand into, or (2) By restricting starting of the RCPs to when the secondary water temperature of each Steam Generator is less than 50°F above each of the RCS Cold Leg temperatures. The 50°F limit includes instrument error.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 84 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 67 of 183)

3/4.4.1 (Continued)

The Technical Specifications for Cold Shutdown allow an inoperable RHR pump to be the operating RHR pump for up to 2 hours for surveillance testing to establish operability. This is required because of the piping arrangement when the RHR system is being used for Decay Heat Removal.

3/4.4.2 Safety Valves

The Pressurizer Code Safety Valves operate to prevent the RCS from being pressurized above its Safety Limit of 2735 psig. Each safety valve is designed to relieve 313,826 lbs per hour of saturated steam at the valve setpoint. The relief capacity of a single safety valve is adequate to relieve any overpressure condition which could occur during shutdown. In the event that **NO** safety valves are OPERABLE, an RCS vent opening of at least 2.20 square inches will provide overpressure relief capability and will prevent RCS overpressurization. In addition, the Overpressure Mitigating System provides a diverse means of protection against RCS overpressurization at low temperatures.

During operation, all Pressurizer Code Safety Valves must be OPERABLE to prevent the RCS from being pressurized above its Safety Limit of 2735 psig. The combined relief capacity of all of these valves is greater than the maximum surge rate resulting from a complete loss-of-load assuming **NO** Reactor trip until the first Reactor Trip System Trip Setpoint is reached (i.e., **NO** credit is taken for a direct Reactor trip on the loss-of-load) and also assuming **NO** operation of the power-operated relief valves or steam dump valves.

The pressurizer safety valves are set to open at an RCS pressure of 2465 psig +2% and -3% to avoid exceeding the maximum design pressure safety limit and to maintain accident assumptions. The pressurizer safety valve lift setting is needed to assure acceptable results for the Loss of Load/ Turbine Trip analysis. The upper and lower pressure tolerance limits are based on the tolerance requirements assumed in the safety analyses.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 85 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 68 of 183)

3/4.4.2 (Continued)

In MODE 5 only one Pressurizer Code Safety is required for overpressure protection. In lieu of an actual OPERABLE Code Safety Valve, an unisolated and unsealed vent pathway (i.e., a direct, unimpaired opening, a vent pathway with valves locked open and/or power removed and locked on an open valve) of equivalent size can be taken credit for as synonymous with an OPERABLE Code Safety.

Demonstration of the safety valves lift settings will occur only during shutdown and will be performed in accordance with the provisions of the ASME OM Code. The Pressurizer Code Safety Valves lift settings allows a +2%, -3% setpoint tolerance for OPERABILITY; however, the valves are reset to within $\pm 1\%$ during the surveillance to allow for drift.

3/4.4.3 Pressurizer

The 12-hour periodic surveillance is sufficient to ensure that the maximum water volume parameter is restored to within its limit following expected transient operation. The maximum water volume (1133 cubic feet) ensures that a steam bubble is formed and thus the RCS is **NOT** a hydraulically solid system. The requirement that both backup pressurizer heater groups be OPERABLE enhances the capability of the plant to control Reactor Coolant System pressure and establish natural circulation.

3/4.4.4 Relief Valves

The opening of the power-operated relief valves (PORVs) fulfills **NO** safety-related function and **NO** credit is taken for their operation in the safety analysis for MODE 1, 2 or 3. Equipment necessary to establish PORV operability in Modes 1 and 2 is limited to Vital DC power and the Instrument Air system. Equipment necessary to establish block valve operability is limited to an AC power source. Each PORV has a remotely operated block valve to provide a positive shutoff capability should a PORV fail in the open position.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 86 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 69 of 183)

3/4.4.4 (Continued)

The OPERABILITY of the PORVs and block valves is determined on the basis of their being capable of performing the following functions:

- a. Manual control of PORVs to Control Reactor Coolant System pressure. This is a function that is used as a back-up for the steam generator tube rupture and to support plant shutdown in the event of an Appendix R fire. These functions are considered to be Important-To-Safety, or Quality Related per the FPL Quality Assurance program.
- b. Maintaining the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary. This is a function that is related to controlling identified leakage and ensuring the ability to detect unidentified reactor coolant pressure boundary leakage.
- c. Manual control of the block valve to: (1) Unblock an isolated PORV to allow it to be used for manual control of reactor coolant system pressure, and (2) Isolate a PORV with excessive leakage.
- d. Manual control of a block valve to isolate a stuck-open PORV.
- e. Ability to open or close the valves, consistent with the required function of the valves.

The PORVs are also used to provide automatic pressure control in order to reduce the challenges to the RCS code safety valves for overpressurization events. (The PORVs are **NOT** credited in the overpressure accident analyses as noted above.)

Surveillance Requirements provide the assurance that the PORVs and block valves can perform their functions. Specification 4.0.5. is applicable to PORVs and block valves. Specification 4.4.4. also addresses block valves. The block valves are exempt from the surveillance requirements to cycle the valves when they have been closed to comply with the ACTION requirements.

This precludes the need to cycle the valves with full system differential pressure, or when maintenance is being performed to restore an inoperable PORV to OPERABLE status.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 87 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 70 of 183)

3/4.4.4 (Continued)

ACTION statement a. includes the requirement to maintain power to closed block valves because removal of power would render block valves inoperable, with respect to their ability to be reopened in a timely manner to support decay heat removal or depressurization through the PORVs, and the requirements of ACTION statement c. would apply. Power is maintained to the block valves so that it is operable and may be opened subsequently to allow use of the PORV for reactor pressure control or decay heat removal by using feed and bleed. Closure of the block valves establishes reactor coolant pressure boundary integrity in the case of a PORV with excess leakage or for bonnet or stem leakage on the PORV or block valve which is isolable. (Reactor coolant pressure boundary integrity takes priority over the capability of the PORV to mitigate an overpressure event.) However, the APPLICABILITY requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) to operate with the block valves closed with power maintained to the block valves are intended only to permit operation of the plant for a limited period of time **NOT** to exceed the next refueling outage (MODE 6) so that maintenance can be performed to eliminate the leakage condition.

Assurance against inadvertent opening of the block valve at a time in which the PORV is inoperable for causes other than excessive seat leakage. (In contrast, ACTION statement a. is intended to permit continued plant operation for a limited period with the block valves closed, i.e., continued operation is **NOT** dependent on maintenance at power to eliminate excessive PORV leakage. Therefore, ACTION statement a. does **NOT** require removal of power from the block valve.)

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 88 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 71 of 183)

3/4.4.4 (Continued)

ACTION statement d. establishes remedial measures consistent with the function of block valves. The most important reason for the capability to close the block valve is to isolate a stuck-open PORV. Therefore, if the block valves cannot be restored to operable status within 1 hour, the remedial action is to place the PORV in manual control to preclude its automatic opening for an overpressure event, and thus avoid the potential for a stuck-open PORV at a time when the block valve is inoperable. The time allowed to restore the block valves to operable status is based upon the remedial action time limits for inoperable PORVs per ACTION statements b. and c. These actions are also consistent with the use of the PORVs to control reactor coolant system pressure if the block valves are inoperable at a time when they have been closed to isolate PORVs with excessive leakage.

ACTION statements b. and c. include removal of power from a closed block valve as additional.

Leakage sufficient to cause the RCS total IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE to exceed 10 GPM is excessive, rendering the affected PORV inoperable. With PORV leakage identified, but small enough that it does **NOT** cause RCS total IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE to exceed 10 GPM, the PORV is **NOT** inoperable because of excessive leakage. The PORV may still be isolated as a matter of prudence but this is an operational decision, **NOT** a regulatory requirement. Closing the block valve does **NOT** render either the block valve or the PORV inoperable. The block valve is already performing its intended function. The PORV is still capable of relieving RCS pressure. This function is used as a backup for the steam Generator Tube Rupture, and to support plant shutdown in the event of an Appendix R fire.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 89 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 72 of 183)

3/4.4.4 (Continued)

Surveillance Requirement 4.4.4 states that the block valve surveillance is **NOT** required if the block valve is closed to provide an isolation function. This exemption only applies when the block valve has been closed to comply with the ACTION requirements. If the PORV is declared inoperable due to excessive leakage, then the block valve must be closed to comply with ACTION a. Block valve surveillance is **NOT** required. If the PORV has **NOT** been declared inoperable, but the block valve has been closed as a matter of prudence, then the block valve has **NOT** been closed to comply with an ACTION requirement, and the surveillance must still be performed.

3/4.4.5 Steam Generator - (SG) Tube Integrity

Background

Steam generator (SG) tubes are small diameter, thin walled tubes that carry primary coolant through the primary to secondary heat exchangers. The SG tubes have a number of important safety functions. SG tubes are an integral part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary (RCPB) and, as such, are relied on to maintain the primary system's pressure and inventory. The SG tubes isolate the radioactive fission products in the primary coolant from the secondary system. In addition, as part of the RCPB, the SG tubes are unique in that they act as the heat transfer surface between the primary and secondary systems to remove heat from the primary system. This Specification addresses only the RCPB integrity function of the SG. The SG heat removal function is addressed by LCO 3.4.1.1, Reactor Coolant Loops and Coolant Circulation - Startup and Power Operation, LCO 3.4.1.2, Hot Standby, LCO 3.4.1.3, Hot Shutdown, LCO 3.4.1.4.1, Cold Shutdown Loops Filled, and LCO 3.4.1.4.2, Cold Shutdown - Loops **NOT** Filled.

SG tube integrity means that the tubes are capable of performing their intended RCPB safety function consistent with the licensing basis, including applicable regulatory requirements.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 90 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 73 of 183)

3/4.4.5 (Continued)

SG tubing is subject to a variety of degradation mechanisms. SG tubes may experience tube degradation related to corrosion phenomena, such as wastage, pitting, intergranular attack, and stress corrosion cracking, along with other mechanically induced phenomena such as denting and wear. These degradation mechanisms can impair tube integrity if they are **NOT** managed effectively. The SG performance criteria are used to manage SG tube degradation.

Specification 6.8.4.j, Steam Generator (SG) Program, requires that a program be established and implemented to ensure that SG tube integrity is maintained. Pursuant to Specification 6.8.4.j, tube integrity is maintained when the SG performance criteria are met. There are three SG performance criteria: structural integrity, accident induced leakage, and operational leakage. The SG performance criteria are described in Specification 6.8.4.j. Meeting the SG performance criteria provides reasonable assurance of maintaining tube integrity at normal and accident conditions.

The processes used to meet the SG performance criteria are defined by the Steam Generator Program Guidelines (Ref. 1).

Applicable Safety Analysis

The Steam Generator Tube Rupture (SGTR) accident is the limiting design basis event for SG tubes and avoiding a SGTR is the basis for this Specification. The analysis of a SGTR event assumes a bounding primary-to-secondary leakage rate equal to 0.20 gpm at room temperature conditions for each of the two intact SGs plus the leakage rate associated with a double-ended rupture of a single tube in the third (ruptured) SG. The accident analysis for a SGTR assumes the contaminated secondary fluid is released to the atmosphere initially via the Condenser Steam Jet Air Ejectors (SJAE) then via the Main Steam Safety Valves and/or the Main Steam Atmospheric Dump Valves.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 91 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 74 of 183)

3/4.4.5 (Continued)

The analysis for design basis accidents and transients other than a SGTR assume the SG tubes retain their structural integrity (i.e., they are assumed **NOT** to rupture). In the dose consequence analysis for these events the activity level in the steam discharged to the atmosphere is based on a Primary-To-Secondary Leakage Rate of 0.60 gpm total through all SGs and 0.20 gpm through any one SG at room temperature conditions, or is assumed to increase to these levels as a result of accident induced conditions. For accidents that do **NOT** involve fuel damage, the primary coolant activity level of DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 is assumed to be equal to the LCO 3.4.8, Reactor Coolant System Specific Activity, limits. For accidents that assume fuel damage, the primary coolant activity is a function of the amount of activity released from the damaged fuel. The dose consequences of these events are within the limits of GDC 19 (Ref. 2), 10 CFR 50.67 (Ref. 7) or the NRC approved licensing basis.

Steam Generator Tube Integrity satisfies Criterion 2 of 10 CFR 50.36(c)(2)(ii).

Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO)

The LCO requires that SG tube integrity be maintained. The LCO also requires that all SG tubes that satisfy the plugging criteria be plugged in accordance with the Steam Generator Program.

During a SG inspection, any inspected tube that satisfies the Steam Generator Program plugging criteria is removed from service by plugging. If a tube was determined to satisfy the plugging criteria but was **NOT** plugged, the tube may still have tube integrity.

In the context of this Specification, a SG tube is defined as the entire length of the tube, including the tube wall from 18.11 inches below the top of the tubesheet on the hot leg side to 18.11 inches below the top of the tubesheet on the cold leg side. The tube-to-tubesheet weld is **NOT** considered part of the tube.

A SG tube has integrity when it satisfies the SG performance criteria. The SG performance criteria are defined in Specification 6.8.4.j and describe acceptable SG tube performance. The Steam Generator Program also provides the evaluation process for determining conformance with the SG performance criteria.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 92 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 75 of 183)

3/4.4.5 (Continued)

There are three SG performance criteria: structural integrity, accident induced leakage, and operational leakage. Failure to meet any one of these criteria is considered failure to meet the LCO.

The structural integrity performance criterion provides a margin of safety against tube burst or collapse under normal and accident conditions, and ensures structural integrity of the SG tubes under all anticipated transients included in the design specification. Tube burst is defined as, the gross structural failure of the tube wall. The condition typically corresponds to an unstable opening displacement (e.g., opening area increased in response to constant pressure) accompanied by ductile (plastic) tearing of the tube material at the ends of the degradation. Tube collapse is defined as, for the load displacement curve for a given structure, collapse occurs at the top of the load versus displacement curve where the slope of the curve becomes zero. The structural integrity performance criterion provides guidance on assessing loads that have a significant effect on burst or collapse. In that context, the term significant is defined as an accident loading condition other than differential pressure is considered significant when the addition of such loads in the assessment of the structural integrity performance criterion could cause a lower structural limit or limiting burst/collapse to be established. For tube integrity evaluations, except for circumferential degradation, axial thermal loads are classified as secondary loads. For circumferential degradation, the classification of axial thermal loads as primary or secondary loads will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. The division between primary and secondary classifications will be based on detailed analysis and/or testing.

Structural integrity requires that the primary membrane stress intensity in a tube **NOT** exceed the yield strength for all ASME Code, Section III, Service Level A (normal operating conditions) and Service Level B (upset or abnormal conditions) transients included in the design specification. This includes safety factors and applicable design basis loads based on ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NB (Ref. 4) and Draft Regulatory Guide 1.121 (Ref. 5).

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 93 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 76 of 183)

3/4.4.5 (Continued)

The accident induced leakage performance criterion ensures that the primary-to-secondary leakage caused by a design basis accident, other than a SGTR, is within the accident analysis assumptions. The accident analyses assume that accident leakage does **NOT** exceed 0.60 gpm total through all SGs and 0.20 gpm through any one of the three SGs at room temperature conditions. The accident induced leakage rate includes any primary to secondary leakage existing prior to the accident in addition to primary to secondary leakage induced during the accident.

The operational leakage performance criterion provides an observable indication of SG tube conditions during plant operation. The limit on operational leakage is contained in LOC 3.4.6.2 and limits primary-to-secondary leakage through any one SG to 150 gpd at room temperature. This limit is based on the assumption that a single crack leaking this amount would **NOT** propagate to a SGTR under the stress conditions of a LOCA or a main steam line break. If this amount of leakage is due to more than one crack, the cracks are very small, and the above assumption is conservative.

Applicability

SG tube integrity is challenged when the pressure differential across the tubes is large. Large differential pressures across SG tubes can only be experienced in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4.

Reactor Coolant System conditions are far less challenging in MODES 5 and 6 than during MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4. In MODES 5 and 6, primary-to-secondary differential pressure is low, resulting in lower stresses and reduced potential for leakage.

Actions

The ACTIONS are modified by a Note clarifying that the ACTIONS may be entered independently for each SG tube. This is acceptable because the ACTIONS provide appropriate compensatory actions for each affected SG tube. Complying with the ACTIONS may allow for continued operation, and any additional affected SG tubes are governed by subsequent ACTION entry and application.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 94 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 77 of 183)

3/4.4.5 (Continued)

Action a.1 & a.2

ACTIONS a.1 and a.2 apply if it is discovered that one or more SG tubes examined in an inservice inspection satisfy the tube plugging criteria but were **NOT** plugged in accordance with the Steam Generator Program as required by Surveillance Requirement (SR) 4.4.5.2. An evaluation of SG tube integrity of the affected tubes must be made. SG tube integrity is based on meeting the SG performance criteria described in the Steam Generator Program. The SG plugging criteria limits on SG tube degradation that allow for flaw growth between inspections while still providing assurance that the SG performance criteria will continue to be met. In order to determine if a SG tube that should have been plugged has tube integrity, an evaluation must be completed that demonstrates that the SG performance criteria will continue to be met until the next refueling outage or SG tube inspection. The tube integrity determination is based on the estimated condition of the tube at the time the situation is discovered and the estimated growth of the degradation prior to the next SG tube inspection. If it is determined that tube integrity is **NOT** being maintained, ACTION b applies.

An allowable outage time of seven days is sufficient to complete the evaluation while minimizing the risk of plant operation with a SG tube that may **NOT** have tube integrity.

If the evaluation determines that the affected tubes have tube integrity, ACTION a.2 allows plant operation to continue until the next refueling outage or SG inspection provided the inspection interval continues to be supported by an operational assessment that reflects the affected tubes. However, the affected tubes must be plugged prior to entering MODE 4 following the next refueling outage or SG inspection. This allowable outage time is acceptable since operation until the next inspection is supported by the operational assessment.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 95 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 78 of 183)

3/4.4.5 (Continued)

Action b.

If the requirements and associated allowable outage time of ACTION a are **NOT** met or if SG tube integrity is **NOT** being maintained, the reactor must be brought to HOT STANDBY within 6 hours and COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours. The allowable outage times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the desired plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.

Surveillance Requirements

SR 4.4.5.1

During shutdown periods the SGs are inspected as required by this SR and the Steam Generator Program. NEI 97-06, Steam Generator Guidelines (Ref. 1), and its referenced EPRI Guidelines, establish the content of the Steam Generator Program. Use of the Steam Generator Program ensures that the inspection is appropriate and consistent with accepted industry practices.

During SG inspections a condition monitoring assessment of the SG tubes is performed. The condition monitoring assessment determines the As-Found condition of the SG tubes. The purpose of the condition monitoring assessment is to ensure that the SG performance criteria have been met for the previous operating period.

The Steam Generator Program determines the scope of the inspection and the methods used to determine whether the tubes contain flaws satisfying the tube plugging criteria. Inspection scope (i.e., which tubes or areas of tubing within the SG are to be inspected) is a function of existing and potential degradation locations. The Steam Generator Program also specifies the inspection methods to be used to find potential degradation. Inspection methods are a function of degradation morphology, non-destructive examination (NDE) technique capabilities, and inspection locations.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 96 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 79 of 183)

3/4.4.5 (Continued)

The Steam Generator Program defines the frequency of SR 4.4.5.1. The frequency is determined by the operational assessment and other limits in the SG examination guidelines (Ref. 6). The Steam Generator Program uses information on existing degradations and growth rates to determine an inspection frequency that provides reasonable assurance that the tubing will meet the SG performance criteria at the next scheduled inspection. In addition, Specification 6.8.4.j contains prescriptive requirements concerning inspection intervals to provide added assurance that the SG performance criteria will be met between scheduled inspections. If crack indications are found in any SG tube, the maximum inspection interval for all affected and potentially affected SGs is restricted by Specification 6.8.4.j until subsequent inspections support extending the inspection interval.

SR 4.4.5.2

During a SG inspection any inspected tube that satisfies the Steam Generator Program plugging criteria is removed from service by plugging. The tube plugging criteria delineated in Specification 6.8.4.j are intended to ensure that tubes accepted for continued service satisfy the SG performance criteria with allowance for error in the flaw size measurement for future flaw growth. In addition, the tube plugging criteria, in conjunction with other elements of the Steam Generator Program, ensure that the SG performance criteria will continue to be met until the next inspection of the subject tubes. Reference 1 provides guidance for performing operational assessments to verify that the tubes remaining in service will continue to meet the SG performance criteria.

The frequency of prior to entering HOT SHUTDOWN following a SG inspection ensures that the Surveillance has been completed and all tubes meeting the plugging criteria are plugged prior to subjecting the SG tubes to significant primary-to-secondary pressure differential.

References

1. NEI 97-06, Steam Generator Program Guidelines
2. 10 CFR 50 Appendix A, GDC 19
3. NOT Used

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 97 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 80 of 183)

3/4.4.5 (Continued)

4. ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Subsection NB
5. Draft Regulatory Guide 1.121, Bases for Plugging Degraded PWR Steam Generator Tubes, August 1976
6. EPRI Pressurized Water Reactor Steam Generator Examination Guidelines
7. 10 CFR 50.67, Accident Source Term

3/4.4.6 Reactor Coolant System Leakage

3/4.4.6.1 Leakage Detection Systems

The RCS Leakage Detection Systems required by this specification are provided to monitor and detect leakage from the reactor coolant pressure boundary to the containment. The containment sump level system is the normal sump level instrumentation. The Post Accident Containment Water Level Monitor - Narrow range instrumentation also functions as a sump level monitoring system. In addition, gross leakage will be detected by changes in makeup water requirements, visual inspection, and audible detection. Leakage to other systems will be detected by activity changes (e.g., within the component cooling system) or water inventory changes (e.g., tank levels).

In Modes 1-4, with both the Particulate and Gaseous Radioactivity Monitoring Systems inoperable, the isolation valves in the Containment Purge Supply and Exhaust and Instrument Air Bleed flow paths are required to be maintained closed in order to allow continued operation for up to 7 days. A note permits the instrument air flow path to be opened under administrative control in order to maintain the containment internal pressure within specified limits since it is relatively small in size and easily isolated either automatically by a safety injection signal or manually by the operator monitoring containment conditions while the valves are open.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 98 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 81 of 183)

3/4.4.6.1 (Continued)

Background

Components that contain or transport the coolant to or from the reactor core make up the Reactor Coolant System (RCS). Component joints are made by welding, bolting, rolling, or pressure loading, and valves isolate connecting systems from the RCS.

During plant life, the joint and valve interfaces can produce varying amounts of reactor coolant leakage, through either normal operational wear or mechanical deterioration. The purpose of the RCS Operational Leakage LCO is to limit system operation in the presence of leakage from these sources to amounts that do **NOT** compromise safety. This LCO specifies the types and amounts of leakage.

3/4.4.6.2 Operational Leakage

10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC (Ref. 1), requires means for detecting and, to the extent practical, identifying the source of reactor coolant leakage. Regulatory Guide 1.45 (Ref. 2) describes acceptable methods for selecting leakage detection systems.

The safety significance of RCS leakage varies widely depending on its source, rate, and duration. Therefore, detecting and monitoring reactor coolant leakage into the containment area is necessary. Quickly separating the IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE from the UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE is necessary to provide quantitative information to the operators, allowing them to take corrective action should a leak occur that is detrimental to the safety of the facility and the public.

A limited amount of leakage inside containment is expected from auxiliary systems that cannot be made 100% leaktight. Leakage from these systems should be detected, located, and isolated from the containment atmosphere, if possible, to **NOT** interfere with RCS leakage detection.

This LCO deals with protection of the RCPB from degradation and the core from inadequate cooling, in addition to preventing the accident analyses radiation release assumptions from being exceeded. The consequences of violating this LCO include the possibility of a loss of coolant accident (LOCA).

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 99 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 82 of 183)

3/4.4.6.2 (Continued)

Applicable Safety Analyses

The primary-to-secondary leakage safety analysis assumption for individual events varies. The assumption varies depending on whether the primary-to-secondary leakage from a single steam generator (SG) can adversely affect the dose consequences for the event. In which case, the affected SG is assumed to have the maximum allowable leakage (0.20 gpm at room temperature). Collectively, however, the safety analyses for events resulting in steam discharge to the atmosphere assume that primary-to-secondary leakage from all Steam Generators (SGs) is 0.60 gpm total and 0.20 gpm at room temperature conditions through any one SG. The LCO requirement to limit primary-to-secondary leakage through any one SG to less than or equal to 150 gpd at room temperature is significantly less than the conditions assumed in the safety analysis.

For Control Room doses, primary-to-secondary leakage is a factor in the dose releases outside containment resulting from a RCCA Ejection accident. To a lesser extent, other accidents or transients involve secondary steam release to the atmosphere, such as a SG tube rupture (SGTR). The leakage contaminates the secondary fluid.

The UFSAR (Ref. 3) analysis for SGTR assumes the contaminated secondary fluid is released to the atmosphere initially via the condenser SJAE and then via Atmospheric Dump Valves and/or Main Steam Safety Valves for a limited period of time. Operator action is taken to isolate the affected SG within the time period. Accidents for which the radiation dose release path is primary-to-secondary leakage, the RCCA Ejection accident is more limiting for site radiation dose releases. The safety analysis for the RCCA Ejection accident assumes that primary-to-secondary leakage from all SGs is 0.60 gpm total. The dose consequences resulting from the RCCA Ejection Accident are well within the limits defined in 10 CFR 50.67.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 100 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 83 of 183)

3/4.4.6.2 (Continued)

The RCS operational leakage satisfies Criterion 2 of 10 CFR 50.36(c)(2)(ii).

Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO)

RCS Operational Leakage shall be limited to:

a. Pressure Boundary Leakage

NO PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE is allowed, being indicative of material deterioration. Leakage of this type is unacceptable as the leak itself could cause further deterioration, resulting in higher leakage. Violation of this LCO could result in continued degradation of the RCPB. Leakage past seals and gaskets is **NOT PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE**.

b. Unidentified Leakage

One gallon per minute (gpm) of UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE is allowed as a reasonable minimum detectable amount that the containment air monitoring and containment sump level monitoring equipment can detect within a reasonable time period. Violation of this LCO could result in continued degradation of the RCPB, if the leakage is from the pressure boundary.

c. Identified Leakage

Up to 10 gpm of IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE is considered allowable because leakage is from known sources that do **NOT** interfere with detection of UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE and is well within the capability of the RCS Makeup System. IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE includes leakage to the containment from specifically known and located sources, but does **NOT** include PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE or controlled reactor coolant pump seal leak-off (a normal function **NOT** considered leakage). Violation of this LCO could result in continued degradation of a component or system.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 101 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 84 of 183)

3/4.4.6.2 (Continued)

d. Primary-to-Secondary Leakage Through Any One SG

The limit of 150 gpd per SG at room temperature is based on the Operational Leakage Performance Criterion in NEI 97-06, Steam Generator Program Guidelines (Ref. 4). The Steam Generator Program Operational Leakage Performance Criterion in NEI 97-06 states, the RCS operational primary to secondary leakage through any one SG shall be limited to 150 gallons per day. The limit is based on operating experience with SG tube degradation mechanisms that result in tube leakage. The operational leakage rate criterion in conjunction with the implementation of the Steam Generator Program is an effective measure for minimizing the frequency of SG tube ruptures.

e. RCS Pressure Isolation Valve Leakage

RCS pressure isolation valve leakage is IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE into closed systems connected to the RCS. Isolation valve leakage is usually on the order of drops per minute. Leakage that increases significantly suggests that something is operationally wrong and corrective action must be taken.

The specified leakage limits for the RCS pressure isolation valves are sufficiently low to ensure early detection of possible in-series check valve failure.

Applicability

In MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4, the potential for reactor coolant PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE is greatest when the RCS is pressurized.

In MODES 5 and 6, leakage limits are **NOT** required because the reactor coolant pressure is far lower, resulting in lower stresses and reduced potentials for leakage.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 102 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 85 of 183)

3/4.4.6.2 (Continued)

ACTIONS

Action a.

If any PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE exists, or primary to secondary leakage is **NOT** within limit, the reactor must be brought to lower pressure conditions to reduce the severity of the leakage and its potential consequences. It should be noted that Leakage past seals and gaskets is **NOT** PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE. The reactor must be brought to HOT STANDBY within 6 hours and COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours. This ACTION reduces the leakage and also reduces the factors that tend to degrade the pressure boundary.

Action b.

UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE or IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE in excess of the LCO limits must be reduced to within the limits within 4 hours. This allowable outage time allows time to verify leakage rates and either identify UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE or reduce leakage to within limits before the reactor must be shut down. This ACTION is necessary to prevent further deterioration of the RCPB.

Action c.

The leakage from any RCS Pressure Isolation Valve is sufficiently low to ensure early detection of possible in-series valve failure. It is apparent that when pressure isolation is provided by two in-series valves and when failure of one valve in the pair can go undetected for a substantial length of time, verification of valve integrity is required. With one or more RCS Pressure Isolation Valves with leakage greater than that allowed by Specification 3.4.6.2.e, within 4 hours, at least two valves in each high pressure line having a non-functional valve must be closed and remain closed to isolate the affected lines. In addition, the ACTION statement for the affected system must be followed and the leakage from the remaining pressure isolation valves in each high pressure line having a valve **NOT** meeting the criteria of Table 3.4-1 shall be recorded daily. If these requirements are **NOT** met, the reactor must be brought to at least HOT STANDBY within 6 hours and COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 103 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 86 of 183)

3/4.4.6.2 (Continued)

Action d.

With one or more RCS Pressure Isolation Valves with leakage greater than 5 gpm, the leakage must be reduced to below 5 gpm within 1 hour or the reactor must be brought to at least HOT STANDBY within 6 hours and COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

The allowable outage times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems. In MODE 5, the pressure stresses acting on the RCPB are much lower, and further deterioration is much less likely.

Surveillance Requirements

SR 4.4.6.2.1

Verifying Reactor Coolant System leakage to be within the LCO limits ensures the integrity of the Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary is maintained. PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE would at first appear as UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE and can only be positively identified by inspection. It should be noted that leakage past seals and gaskets is **NOT** PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE. UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE and IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE are determined by performance of a Reactor Coolant System water inventory balance.

a. & b.

These SRs demonstrate that the RCS operational leakage is within the LCO limits by monitoring the containment atmosphere gaseous or particulate radioactivity monitor and the containment sump level at least once per 12 hours.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 104 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 87 of 183)

3/4.4.6.2 (Continued)

c.

The RCS water inventory balance must be performed with the reactor at steady state operating conditions and near operating pressure. The Surveillance is modified by two notes. Note *** states that this SR is **NOT** required to be performed until 12 hours after establishment of steady state operation. The 12 hour allowance provides sufficient time to collect and process all necessary data after stable plant conditions are established.

Steady state operations is required to perform a proper inventory balance since calculations during maneuvering are **NOT** useful. For RCS operational leakage determination by water inventory balance, steady state is defined as stable RCS pressure, temperature, power level, Pressurizer and makeup tank levels, makeup and letdown, and Reactor Coolant Pump seal injection and return flows.

An early warning of PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE or UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE is provided by the automatic systems that monitor containment atmosphere radioactivity, containment normal sump inventory and discharge, and reactor head flange leak-off. It should be noted that leakage past seals and gaskets is **NOT** PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE. These leakage detection systems are specified in LCO 3.4.6.1, Reactor Coolant System Leakage Detection Systems.

Note ** states that this SR is **NOT** applicable to primary-to-secondary leakage because leakage of 150 gallons per day cannot be measured accurately by an RCS water inventory balance.

The 72 hour frequency is a reasonable interval to trend leakage and recognizes the importance of early leakage detection in the prevention of accidents.

d.

This SR demonstrates that the RCS Operational Leakage is within the LCO limits by monitoring the Reactor Head Flange Leak-off System at least once per 24 hours.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 105 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 88 of 183)

3/4.4.6.2 (Continued)

e.

This SR verifies that primary-to-secondary leakage is less than or equal to 150 gpd through any one SG. Satisfying the primary-to-secondary leakage limit ensure that the operational leakage performance criterion in the Steam Generator Program is met. If this SR is **NOT** met, compliance with LCO 3.4.5, Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity, should be evaluated. The 150-gpd limit is measured at room temperature as described in Reference 5. The operational leakage rate limit applies to leakage through any one SG. If it is **NOT** practical to assign the leakage to an individual SG, all the primary to secondary leakage should be conservatively assumed to be from one SG.

The SR is modified by Note ***, which states that the Surveillance is **NOT** required to be performed until 12 hours after establishment of steady state operation. For RCS primary to-secondary leakage determination, steady state is defined as stable RCS pressure, temperature, power level, pressurizer and makeup tank levels, makeup and letdown, and reactor coolant pump seal injection and return flows.

The surveillance frequency of 72 hours is a reasonable interval to trend primary to secondary leakage and recognizes the importance of early leakage detection in the prevention of accidents. The primary-to-secondary leakage is determined using continuous process radiation monitors or radiochemical grab sampling in accordance with the EPRI guidelines (Ref. 5).

SR 4.4.6.2.2

It is apparent that when pressure isolation is provided by two in-series check valves and when failure of one valve in the pair can go undetected for a substantial length of time, verification of valve integrity is required. Since these valves are important in preventing overpressurization and rupture of the ECCS low pressure piping, which could result in a LOCA that bypasses containment, these valves should be tested periodically to ensure low probability of gross failure.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 106 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 89 of 183)

3/4.4.6.2 (Continued)

This SR verifies RCS Pressure Isolation Valve integrity thereby reducing the probability of gross valve failure and consequent intersystem LOCA. Leakage from the RCS pressure isolation valve is IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE and will be considered as a portion of the allowed limit.

References

1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 30
2. Regulatory Guide 1.45, May 1973
3. UFSAR, Section 14.2.4.1
4. NEI 97-06, Steam Generator Program Guidelines
5. EPRI PWR Primary-to-Secondary Leak Guidelines

3/4.4.7 Chemistry

The limitations on Reactor Coolant System chemistry ensure that corrosion of the Reactor Coolant System is minimized and reduces the potential for Reactor Coolant System leakage or failure due to stress corrosion. Maintaining the chemistry within the Steady-State Limits provides adequate corrosion protection to ensure the structural integrity of the Reactor Coolant System over the life of the plant. The associated effects of exceeding the oxygen, chloride, and fluoride limits are time and temperature dependent. Corrosion studies show that operation may be continued with contaminant concentration levels in excess of the Steady-State Limits, up to the Transient Limits, for the specified limited time intervals without having a significant effect on the structural integrity of the Reactor Coolant System. The time interval permitting continued operation within the restrictions of the Transient Limits provides time for taking corrective actions to restore the contaminant concentrations to within the Steady State Limits.

The Surveillance Requirements provide adequate assurance that concentrations in excess of the limits will be detected in sufficient time to take corrective action.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 107 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 90 of 183)

3/4.4.8 Specific Activity

The maximum dose that an individual at the Exclusion Area Boundary can receive for 2 hours following an accident, or at the Low Population Zone Outer Boundary for the radiological release duration, is specified in 10 CFR 50.67. Doses to Control Room operators must be limited per GDC 19. The limits on specific activity ensure that the offsite and Control Room doses are appropriately limited during analyzed transients and accidents.

The RCS specific activity LCO limits the allowable concentration level of radionuclides in the reactor coolant. The LCO limits are established to minimize the dose consequences in the event of a Steam Line Break (SLB), Steam Generator Tube Rupture (SGTR), Rod Cluster Control Assembly (RCCA) Ejection, or Locked Rotor Accident.

The LCO contains specific activity limits for both DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 and DOSE EQUIVALENT XE-133. The allowable levels are intended to ensure that offsite and Control Room doses meet the appropriate SRP acceptance criteria.

The safety analyses assume the specific activity of the reactor coolant is at the LCO limits, and an existing reactor coolant steam generator (SG) tube leakage rate of 0.20 gpm at room temperature exists. The safety analyses assume the specific activity of the secondary coolant is at its limit of 0.1 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 from LCO 3.7.1.4, "Specific Activity."

The SLB and SGTR safety analyses consider two cases of reactor coolant iodine specific activity. One case assumes specific activity at 0.25 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 with a concurrent large iodine spike that increases the rate of release of iodine from the fuel rods containing cladding defects to the primary coolant immediately after a SLB (by a factor of 500), or SGTR (by a factor of 335), respectively. The second case assumes the initial reactor coolant iodine activity at 60.0 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 due to an iodine spike caused by a reactor or an RCS transient prior to the accident. In both cases, the noble gas specific activity is assumed to be 447.7 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ DOSE EQUIVALENT XE-133.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 108 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 91 of 183)

3/4.4.8 (Continued)

The RCS Specific activity satisfies Criterion 2 of 10 CFR 50.36(c)(2)(ii).

The iodine specific activity in the reactor coolant is limited to 0.25 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131, and the noble gas specific activity in the reactor coolant is limited to 447.7 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ DOSE EQUIVALENT XE-133. The limits on specific activity ensure that the offsite and Control Room doses will meet the appropriate SRP acceptance criteria.

The SLB, SGTR, Locked Rotor, and RCCA Ejection Accident analyses show that the calculated doses are within limits. Violation of the LCO may result in reactor coolant activity levels that could, in the event of any one of these accidents, lead to doses that exceed the acceptance criteria.

The ACTIONS permit limited operation when DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 is greater than 0.25 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ and less than 60 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$. The Actions require sampling within 4 hours and every 4 hours following to establish a trend.

One surveillance requires performing a gamma isotropic analysis as a measure of noble gas specific activity of the reactor coolant at least once per 7 days. This measurement is the sum of the degassed gamma activities and the gaseous gamma activities in the sample taken. This surveillance provides an indication of any increase in the noble gas specific activity.

A second surveillance is performed to ensure that iodine specific activity remains within the LCO limit once per 14 days during normal operation and following fast power changes when iodine spiking is more apt to occur. The frequency between 2 and 6 hours after a power change of greater than 15% RATED THERMAL POWER within a 1 hour period, is established because the iodine levels peak during this time following iodine spike initiation.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 109 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 92 of 183)

3/4.4.9 Pressure/Temperature Limits

All components in the RCS are designed to withstand the effects of cyclic loads due to system temperature and pressure changes. These cyclic loads are induced by normal load transients, reactor trips, and startup and shutdown operations. During RCS heatup and cooldown, the temperature and pressure changes must be limited to be consistent with design assumptions and to satisfy stress limits for brittle fracture.

During heatup, the thermal gradients through the reactor vessel wall produce thermal stresses which are compressive at the reactor vessel inside surface and which are tensile at the reactor vessel outside surface. Since reactor vessel internal pressure always produces tensile stresses at both the inside and outside surface locations, the total applied stress is greatest at the outside surface location. However, since neutron irradiation damage is larger at the inside surface location when compared to the outside surface, the inside surface flaw may be more limiting. Consequently for the heatup analysis both the inside and outside surface flaw locations must be analyzed for the specific pressure and thermal loadings to determine which is more limiting.

During cooldown, the thermal gradients through the reactor vessel wall produce thermal stresses which are tensile at the reactor vessel inside surface and which are compressive at the reactor vessel outside surface. Since reactor vessel internal pressure always produces tensile stresses at both the inside and outside surface locations, the total applied stress is greatest at the inside surface location. Since the neutron irradiation damage is also greatest at the inside surface location, the inside surface flaw is the limiting location. Consequently, only the inside surface flaw must be evaluated for the cooldown analysis.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 110 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 93 of 183)

3/4.4.9 (Continued)

The temperature and pressure changes during heatup and cooldown are limited to be consistent with the requirements given in the 1995 Edition through 1996 Addenda of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, Appendix G:

1. The reactor coolant temperature and pressure and system heatup and cooldown rates (with the exception of the pressurizer) shall be limited in accordance with Figures 3.4-2 and 3.4-3 in the Technical Specifications for the service period specified thereon:
 - a. Allowable combinations of pressure and temperature for specific temperature change rates are below and to the right of the limit lines shown. Limit lines for cooldown rates between those presented may be obtained by interpolation; and
 - b. Figures 3.4-2 and 3.4-3 in the Technical Specifications define limits to assure prevention of non-ductile failure only. For normal operation, other inherent plant characteristics, e.g., pump heat addition and pressurizer heater capacity, may limit the heatup and cooldown rates that can be achieved over certain pressure temperature ranges.
2. These limit lines shall be calculated periodically using methods provided below.
3. The secondary side of the Steam Generator must **NOT** be pressurized above 200 psig if the temperature of the Steam Generator is below 70°F.
4. The Pressurizer heatup and cooldown rates shall **NOT** exceed 100°F/h and 200°F/h, respectively. The spray shall **NOT** be used if the temperature difference between the Pressurizer and the spray fluid is greater than 320°F, and
5. System preservice hydrotests and inservice leak and hydrotests shall be performed at pressures in accordance with the requirements of ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 111 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 94 of 183)

3/4.4.9 (Continued)

The fracture toughness properties of the ferritic materials in the reactor vessel are determined in accordance with the NRC Standard Review Plan, the version of the ASTM E185 standard required by 10 CFR 50, Appendix H, and in accordance with additional reactor vessel requirements. Topical Report BAW-2308, Revision 2-A is the source for the initial weld materials properties for Linde 80 welds. The NRC approved the FPL exemption request (L-2009-023) to use Topical Report BAW-2308, Revisions 1 and 2-A in a letter dated March 11, 2010.

The properties are then evaluated in accordance with Appendix G of the 1995 Edition through 1996 Addenda of Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and the additional requirements of 10 CFR 50, Appendix G and the calculation methods described in Westinghouse Report WCAP-14040-NP-A, Revision 2, "Methodology Used to Develop Cold Overpressure Mitigating System Setpoints and RCS Heatup and Cooldown Curves" with consideration of ASME Code Case N-588.

Heatup and cooldown limit curves are calculated using the most limiting value of the nil-ductility reference temperature, RT_{NDT} , at the end of 48 effective full power years (EFPY) of service life. The 48 EFPY service life period is chosen such that the limiting RT_{NDT} , at the 1/4T location in the core region is greater than the RT_{NDT} , of the limiting unirradiated material. The selection of such a limiting RT_{NDT} assures that all components in the Reactor Coolant System will be operated conservatively in accordance with applicable Code requirements.

The heatup and cooldown limit curves, Figures 3.4-2 and 3.4-3 in the Technical Specifications are composite curves prepared by determining the most conservative case with either the inside or outside wall controlling, for heatup rates of 60 and 100 degrees F per hour and cooldown rates of 20, 40, 60, and 100 degrees F per hour. The heatup and cooldown curves were prepared based upon the most limiting value of predicted adjusted reference temperature at the end of the applicable service period (48 EFPY).

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 112 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 95 of 183)

3/4.4.9 (Continued)

The reactor vessel materials have been tested to determine their initial RT_{NDT} ; the results of these tests are shown in Table B 3/4.4-1. Reactor operation and resultant fast neutron (E greater than 1 MeV) irradiation can cause an increase in the RT_{NDT} . Therefore, an adjusted reference temperature, based upon the fluence and chemistry factors of the material has been predicted using Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, dated May 1988, Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials. The heatup and cooldown limit curves of Figures 3.4-2 and 3.4-3 in the Technical Specifications include predicted adjustments for this shift in RT_{NDT} at the end of the applicable service period.

The actual shifts in RT_{NDT} , of the vessel materials will be established periodically during operation by removing and evaluating, in accordance with the version of the ASTM E185 standard required by 10 CFR Appendix H, reactor vessel material irradiation surveillance specimens installed near the inside wall of the reactor vessel in the core area. Since the neutron spectra at the irradiation samples and vessel inside radius are essentially identical, the measured transition shift for a sample can be applied with confidence to the adjacent section of the reactor vessel.

Since the limiting circumferential weld beltline material in Unit 3 is identical to the limiting circumferential weld beltline material in Unit 4 (Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Weld), the RV surveillance program was integrated, and the results from capsule testing is applied to both Units. The surveillance capsule results were considered with the methodology in Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, to determine material properties information for generating the heatup and cooldown curves in Figures 3.4-2 and 3.4-3 in the Technical Specifications. The integrated surveillance program along with similar identical reactor vessel design and operating characteristics allows the same heatup and cooldown limit curves to be applicable at both Unit 3 and Unit 4.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 113 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 96 of 183)

TABLE B 3/4.4-1
REACTOR VESSEL TOUGHNESS (UNIT 3)

Component	Material Type	Cu (%)	Ni (%)	P (%)	NDTT (°F)	50 ft lb/35 mils Lateral Expansion Temp (°F)		RT _{NDT} (°F)	Minimum Upper Shelf (ft lb)	
						Long	Trans		Long	Trans
Cl. Hd. Dome	A302 Gr. B	-	-	0.010	0	-	36 ^(a)	0	>70	>45.5 ^(a)
Cl. Hd. Flange	A508 Cl. 2	-	0.72	0.010	-	-	31 ^(a)	-50	>118	>76.5 ^(a)
Ves. Sh. Flange	A508 Cl. 2	-	0.65	0.010	-23 ^(a)	-	-41 ^(a)	-23 ^(a)	>120	>78 ^(a)
Inlet Nozzle 1	A508 Cl. 2	0.16 ^(b)	0.76	0.019	60 ^(a)	-	NA	60	NA	109 ^(c)
Inlet Nozzle 2	A508 Cl. 2	0.16 ^(b)	0.74	0.019	60 ^(a)	-	NA	60	NA	109 ^(c)
Inlet Nozzle 3	A508 Cl. 2	0.16 ^(b)	0.80	0.019	60 ^(a)	-	NA	60	NA	109 ^(c)
Outlet Nozzle 1	A508 Cl. 2	0.16 ^(b)	0.79	0.010	27 ^(a)	-	9 ^(a)	27	>110	>71.5 ^(a)
Outlet Nozzle 2	A508 Cl. 2	0.16 ^(b)	0.72	0.010	7 ^(a)	-	-22 ^(a)	7	>111	>72 ^(a)
Outlet Nozzle 3	A508 Cl. 2	0.16 ^(b)	0.72	0.010	42 ^(a)	-	23 ^(a)	42	>140	>91 ^(a)
Upper Shell	A508 Cl. 2	0.11	0.68	0.010	50	-	44 ^(a)	50	-	99
Inter. Shell	A508 Cl. 2	0.06	0.70	0.010	40	-	25 ^(a)	40	-	93
Lower Shell	A508 Cl. 2	0.08	0.67	0.010	30	-	2 ^(a)	30	-	100
Trans. Ring	A508 Cl. 2	-	0.69	0.013	60 ^(a)	-	58 ^(a)	60	>110	>70.5 ^(a)
Bot. Hd. Dome	A302 Gr. B	-	-	0.010	-10	-	NA	30	NA	NA

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 114 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 97 of 183)

Component	Material Type	Cu (%)	Ni (%)	P (%)	NDTT (°F)	50 ft lb/35 mils Lateral Expansion Temp (°F)		RT _{NDT} (°F)	Minimum Upper Shelf (ft lb)	
						Long	Trans		Long	Trans
Upper to Inter. Shell Girth Weld	LINDE 80	0.26	0.60	-	-	-	-	-33.2	NA	70 ^(c)
Inter. to Lower Shell Girth Weld	LINDE 80	0.23 ^(d)	0.59 ^(d)	0.011	-	-	63	-53.5 ^(e)	-	65
HAZ	HAZ	-	-	-	0 ^(a)	-	0	0	-	168
Inlet/Outlet Nozzle Weld ^(f)	SAW	0.34	0.68	-	-	-	-	-74.3 ^(e)	-	-
Inlet/Outlet Nozzle Weld ^(f)	SAW	0.16	0.57	-	-	-	-	-48.6 ^(e)	-	-
Inlet/Outlet Nozzle Weld ^(f)	SAW	0.19	0.57	-	-	-	-	-48.6 ^(e)	-	-

- (a) Estimated values based on NUREG-0800, Branch Technical Position - MTEB 52
(b) Conservative values based on Oak Ridge National Laboratory document ORNL/TM-2006/530
(c) Generic values based on BAW-2313, Revision 6, AREVA NP Document No. 77-2313-006, November 2008
(d) BAW-2325, Revision 1
(e) BAW-2308, Revision 2-A
(f) It is unknown which weld was fabricated from which weld wire material.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 115 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 98 of 183)

3/4.4.9 (Continued)

Allowable pressure-temperature relationships for various heatup and cooldown rates are calculated using methods derived from Appendix G in Section XI of the 1995 Edition through 1996 Addenda of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code as required by Appendix G to 10 CFR Part 50 and Westinghouse Report WCAP-14040-NP-A, Revision 2, "Methodology Used to Develop Cold Overpressure Mitigating System Setpoints and RCS Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves" with consideration of ASME Code Case N-588.

The general method for calculating heatup and cooldown limit curves is based upon the principles of the linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) technology. In the calculation procedures a semi-elliptical surface defect with a depth of one-quarter of the wall thickness, T, and a length of 3/2T is assumed to exist at the inside of the vessel wall as well as at the outside of the vessel wall. The dimensions of this postulated crack, referred to in Appendix G of the 1995 Edition through 1996 Addenda of ASME Section XI as the reference flaw, amply exceed the current capabilities of inservice inspection techniques. Therefore, the reactor operation limit curves developed for this reference crack are conservative and provide sufficient safety margins for protection against nonductile failure. To assure that the radiation embrittlement effects are accounted for in the calculation of the limit curves, the most limiting value of the nil-ductility reference temperature, RT_{NDT} , is used and this includes the radiation-induced shift, ΔRT_{NDT} , corresponding to the end of the period for which heatup and cooldown curves are generated.

The ASME approach for calculating the allowable limit curves for various heatup and cooldown rates specifies that the total stress intensity factor, K_I , for the combined thermal and pressure stresses at any time during heatup or cooldown cannot be greater than the reference stress intensity factor, K_{Ia} , for the metal temperature at that time. K_{Ia} is obtained from the reference fracture toughness curve, defined in Appendix G to the ASME Code. The K_{Ia} curve is given by the equation:

$$K_{Ia} = 26.78 + 1.223 \exp [0.0145(T - RT_{NDT} + 160)]$$

(1)

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 116 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 99 of 183)

3/4.4.9 (Continued)

Where: K_{Ia} is the reference stress intensity factor as a function of the metal temperature T and the metal nil-ductility reference temperature RT_{NDT} . Thus, the governing equation for the heatup-cooldown analysis is defined in Appendix G of the ASME Code as follows:

$$C K_{IM} + K_{IT} < K_{Ia} \quad (2)$$

Where: K_{IM} = the stress intensity factor caused by membrane (pressure) stress,

K_{IT} = the stress intensity factor caused by the thermal gradients,

K_{Ia} = constant provided by the Code as a function of temperature relative to the RT_{NDT} of the material,

$C = 2.0$ for level A and B service limits, and

$C = 1.5$ for inservice hydrostatic and leak test operations.

At any time during the heatup or cooldown transient, K_{Ia} is determined by the metal temperature at the tip of the postulated flaw, the appropriate value for RT_{NDT} , and the reference fracture toughness curve. The thermal stresses resulting from temperature gradients through the vessel wall are calculated and then the corresponding thermal stress intensity factor, K_{IT} , for the reference flaw is computed. From Equation (2) the pressure stress intensity factors are obtained and, from these, the allowable pressures are calculated.

Cooldown

For the calculation of the allowable pressure versus coolant temperature during cooldown, the Code Reference Flaw is assumed to exist at the inside of the vessel wall. During cooldown, the controlling location of the flaw is always at the inside of the wall because the thermal gradients produce tensile stresses at the inside, which increase with increasing cooldown rates. Allowable pressure-temperature relations are generated for both steady state and finite cooldown rate situations. From these relations, composite limit curves are constructed for each cooldown rate of interest.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 117 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 100 of 183)

3/4.4.9 (Continued)

The use of the composite curve in the cooldown analysis is necessary because control of the cooldown procedure is based on measurement of reactor coolant temperature, whereas the limiting pressure is actually dependent on the material temperature at the tip of the assumed flaw. During cooldown, the 1/4T vessel location is at a higher temperature than the fluid adjacent to the vessel ID. This condition, of course, is **NOT** true for the steady-state situation. It follows that at any given reactor coolant temperature, the ΔT developed during cooldown results in a higher value of K_{1a} at the 1/4T location for finite cooldown rates than for steady-state operation. Furthermore, if conditions exist such that the increase in K_{1a} exceeds K_{1T} , the calculated allowable pressure during cooldown will be greater than the steady-state value.

The above procedures are needed because there is **NO** direct control on temperature at the 1/4T location; therefore, allowable pressures may unknowingly be violated if the rate of cooling is decreased at various intervals along a cooldown ramp. The use of the composite curve eliminates this problem and assures conservative operation of the system for the entire cooldown period.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 118 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
 (Page 101 of 183)

3/4.4.9 (Continued)

Heatup

Three separate calculations are required to determine the limit curves for finite heatup rates. As is done in the cooldown analysis, allowable pressure-temperature relationships are developed for steady-state conditions as well as finite heatup rate conditions assuming the presence of a 1/4T defect at the inside of the vessel wall. The thermal gradients during heatup produce compressive stresses at the inside of the wall that alleviate the tensile stresses produced by internal pressure. The metal temperature at the crack tip lags the coolant temperature; therefore, the K_{Ia} for the 1/4T crack during heatup is lower than the K_{Ia} for the 1/4T crack during steady-state conditions at the same coolant temperature. During heatup, especially at the end of the transient, conditions may exist such that the effects of compressive thermal stresses and different K_{Ia} for steady-state and finite heatup rates do **NOT** offset each other and the pressure-temperature curve based on steady state conditions **NO** longer represents a lower bound of all similar curves for finite heatup rates when the 1/4T flaw is considered. Therefore, both cases have to be analyzed in order to assure that at any coolant temperature the lower value of the allowable pressure calculated for steady-state and finite heatup rates is obtained.

The second portion of the heatup analysis concerns the calculation of pressure-temperature limitations for the case in which a 1/4T deep outside surface flaw is assumed. Unlike the situation at the vessel inside surface, the thermal gradients established at the outside surface during heatup produce stresses which are tensile in nature and thus tend to reinforce any pressure stresses present. These thermal stresses, of course, are dependent on both the rate of heatup and the time (or coolant temperature) along the heatup ramp. Furthermore, since the thermal stresses at the outside are tensile and increase with increasing heatup rates, a lower bound curve cannot be defined. Rather, each heatup rate of interest must be analyzed on an individual basis.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 119 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 102 of 183)

3/4.4.9 (Continued)

Following the generation of pressure-temperature curves for both the steady-state and finite heatup rate situations, the final limit curves are produced as follows. A composite curve is constructed based on a point-by-point comparison of the steady-state and finite heatup rate data. At any given temperature, the allowable pressure is taken to be the lesser of the three values taken from the curves under consideration.

The use of the composite curve is necessary to set conservative heatup limitations because it is possible for conditions to exist such that over the course of the heatup ramp the controlling condition switches from the inside to the outside and the pressure limit must at all times be based on analysis of the most critical situation.

Finally, the 10 CFR 50 Appendix G rule which addresses the metal temperature of the closure head flange and vessel flange regions is considered. The rule states that the minimum metal temperature for the flange regions should be at least 120°F higher than the limiting RT_{NDT} for these regions when the pressure exceeds 20 percent of the pre-service hydrostatic test pressure (621 psig). Since the limiting RT_{NDT} for the flange regions for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 is -1°F, the minimum temperature required for pressure of 621 psig and greater based on the Appendix G rule is 119°F. The heatup and cooldown curves as shown in Figures 3.4-2 and 3.4-3 in the Technical specifications clearly satisfy the above requirement by ample margins.

Finally, the composite curves for the heatup rate data and the cooldown rate data are adjusted for possible errors in the pressure and temperature sensing instruments by the values indicated on the respective curves.

The limitations imposed on the Pressurizer heatup and cooldown rates and spray water temperature differential are provided to assure that the Pressurizer is operated within the design criteria assumed for the fatigue analysis performed in accordance with the ASME Code requirements.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 120 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 103 of 183)

3/4.4.9 (Continued)

Overpressure Mitigating System

The Technical Specifications provide requirements to isolate High Pressure Safety Injection from the RCS and to prevent the start of an idle RCP if secondary temperature is more than 50°F above the RCS cold leg temperatures. These requirements are designed to ensure that mass and heat input transients more severe than those assumed in the low temperature overpressurization protection analysis cannot occur.

The OPERABILITY of two PORVs or an RCS vent opening of at least 2.20 square inches ensures that the RCS will be protected from pressure transients which could exceed the limits of Appendix G to 10 CFR Part 50 when one or more of the RCS cold legs are less than or equal to 275°F. Either PORV has adequate relieving capability to protect the RCS from overpressurization when the transient is limited to either: (1) The start of an idle RCP with the secondary water temperature of the steam generator less than or equal to 50°F above the RCS cold leg temperatures including margin for instrument error, or (2) The start of a HPSI pump and its injection into a water-solid RCS. When the PORVs or 2.2 square inch area vent is used to mitigate a plant transient, a Special Report is submitted. However, minor increases in pressure resulting from planned plant actions, which are relieved by designated openings in the system, need **NOT** be reported.

Associated requirements for accomplishing specific tests and verifications in SR 4.4.9.3.1.a and 4.4.9.3.1.d allow a 12 hour delay after decreasing RCS cold leg temperature to $\leq 275^\circ\text{F}$. The bases for the 12 hour relief in completing the ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATION TEST (ACOT) and verifying the OPERABILITY of the backup Nitrogen supply are provided in the proposed license amendment correspondence L-2000-146 and in the NRC Safety Evaluation Report provided in the associated Technical Specification Amendments 208/202 effective October 30, 2000.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 121 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 104 of 183)

3/4.4.9 (Continued)

Based on the justifications provided therein and the discussion provided in NUREG-1431, Volume 1, Rev.2 (Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications. Section B3.4.12), the 12 hour delay allowed for completing SR 4.4.9.3.1.a and 4.4.9.3.1.d is considered to start coincident with the enabling of OMS, regardless of RCS cold leg temperature. For example, if OMS is enabled at RCS cold leg temperature of 298°F, the ACOT must be completed within 12 hours of placing OMS in service (**NOT** 12 hours after decreasing RCS cold leg temperature to $\leq 275^\circ\text{F}$). (Reference: PTN-ENG-SENS-03-0046 approved 9/12/03.)

Reactor Material Surveillance Program

Each Type I capsule contains 28 V-notch specimens: ten Charpy specimens each, machined from the intermediate and lower shell forgings and eight Charpy specimens machined from correlated monitor material. In addition, each Type I capsule contains four tensile specimens (two specimens from each of the two shell forgings) and six Wedge Opening Loading (WOL) specimens (three specimens from each of the two shell forgings). Dosimeters of copper, nickel, aluminum-cobalt and cadmium-shielded aluminum-cobalt wire are secured in holes drilled in spacers at the top, middle, and bottom of each Type I capsule.

Each Type II capsule contains 32 Charpy V-notch specimens: eight specimens machined from the lower shell forgings, eight specimens of weld metal (Heat 71249), eight specimens of HAZ metal, and eight specimens of correlation monitor material. In addition, each Type II capsule contains four tensile specimens and four WOL specimens: two tensile specimens and two WOL specimens each from one of the shell forgings and the weld metal. Each Type II capsule contains a dosimeter block at the center of the capsule. Two cadmium-oxide-shielded capsules, containing the two isotopes uranium-238 and neptunium-237, are contained in the dosimeter block. The double containment afforded by the dosimeter assembly prevents loss and contamination by the neptunium-237 and uranium-238 and their activation products.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 122 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 105 of 183)

3/4.4.9 (Continued)

Each dosimeter block contains approximately 20 milligrams of neptunium-237 and 13 milligrams of uranium-238 contained in a 3/8-inch OD sealed brass tube. Each tube is placed in a 1/2-inch diameter hole in the dosimeter block (one neptunium-237 and one uranium-238 tube per block), and the space around the tube is filled with cadmium oxide. After placement of this material, each hole is blocked with two 1/16-inch aluminum spacer discs and an outer 1/8-inch steel cover disc, which is welded in place. Dosimeters of copper, nickel, aluminum-cobalt and cadmium-shielded aluminum-cobalt are also secured in holes drilled in spacers located at the top, middle, and bottom of each Type II capsule.

<u>Capsule Type</u>	<u>Capsule Identification</u>
I	S, U, W, Y, and Z
II	T, V and X

This program combines the Reactor Surveillance Program into a single integrated program which conforms to the requirements of 10 CFR 50 Appendices G and H. The integrated program was approved by the NRC in a letter dated April 22, 1985, "Safety Evaluation by NRR Related to Amendment No. 112 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-31 and Amendment No. 106 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-41", D.G. MacDonald to J.W. Williams.

3/4.4.10 Deleted

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 123 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 106 of 183)

3/4.4.11 Reactor Coolant System Vents

Reactor Coolant System vents are provided to exhaust noncondensable gases and/or steam from the Reactor Coolant System that could inhibit natural circulation core cooling. The OPERABILITY of at least one Reactor Coolant System vent path from the reactor vessel head and the pressurizer steam space ensures that the capability exists to perform this function.

The valve redundancy of the Reactor Coolant System vent paths serves to minimize the probability of inadvertent or irreversible actuation while ensuring that a single failure of a vent valve, power supply, or control system does **NOT** prevent isolation of the vent path.

Due to Appendix R considerations, the fuses for the Reactor Vessel Head Vent System solenoid valves are removed to prevent inadvertent opening of a leak path from the primary system during a fire (Ref: JPN-PTN-SEEJ-89-0076, Rev 1). The Reactor Vessel Head Vent System solenoid valves are considered operable with the fuses pulled since the removal and the administrative control of these fuses is controlled by plant procedures. The performances of the specified surveillances will verify the operability of the system.

The function, capabilities, and testing requirements of the Reactor Coolant System vents are consistent with the requirements of Item II.B.1 of NUREG-0737, Clarification of TMI Action Plan.

3/4.5 Emergency Core Cooling Systems

3/4.5.1 Accumulators

The OPERABILITY of each Reactor Coolant System (RCS) Accumulator ensures that a sufficient volume of borated water will be immediately forced into the reactor core through each of the cold legs in the event the RCS pressure falls below the pressure of the accumulators. This initial surge of water into the core provides the initial cooling mechanism during large RCS pipe ruptures.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 124 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 107 of 183)

3/4.5.1 (Continued)

For an Accumulator to be considered OPERABLE, the isolation valve must be fully open, power removed above 1000 psig, and the limits established in the surveillance requirements for contained volume, boron concentration, and nitrogen cover pressure must be met. Operability of the accumulators does **NOT** depend on the Operability of the water level and pressure channel instruments, therefore, Accumulator volume and nitrogen cover pressure surveillance may be verified by any valid means, **NOT** just by instrumentation.

If the boron concentration of one Accumulator is **NOT** within limits, it must be returned to within the limits within 72 hours. In this condition, ability to maintain subcriticality or minimum boron precipitation time may be reduced. The boron in the Accumulators contributes to the assumption that the combined ECCS water in the partially recovered core during the early reflooding phase of a large break Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) is sufficient to keep that portion of the core subcritical. One Accumulator below the minimum boron concentration limit, however, will have **NO** effect on available ECCS water and an insignificant effect on core subcriticality during reflood. In addition, current Turkey Point analysis demonstrates that the Accumulators discharge only a small amount following a large main steam line break. Their impact is minor since the use of the Accumulator volume compensates for Reactor Coolant System shrinkage and the change in boron concentration is insignificant. Thus, 72 hours is allowed to return the boron concentration to within limits.

If one Accumulator is inoperable for a reason other than boron concentration, the Accumulator must be returned to OPERABLE status within 1 hour. In this condition, the required contents of three Accumulators cannot be assumed to reach the core during a LOCA. Due to the severity of the consequences should a LOCA occur in these conditions, the 1 hour completion time to open the valve, remove power to the valve, or restore the proper water volume or nitrogen cover pressure ensures that prompt action will be taken to return the inoperable accumulator to OPERABLE status. The completion time minimizes the potential for exposure of the plant to a LOCA under these conditions.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 125 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 108 of 183)

3/4.5.2
&
3/4.5.3 ECCS Subsystems

The OPERABILITY of ECCS components and flowpaths required in MODES 1, 2, and 3 ensures that sufficient emergency core cooling capability will be available in the event of a LOCA assuming any single active failure consideration. Two SI Pumps and one RHR Pump operating in conjunction with two Accumulators are capable of supplying sufficient core cooling to limit the peak cladding temperatures within acceptable limits for all pipe break sizes up to and including the maximum hypothetical accident of a circumferential rupture of a reactor coolant loop. The integrity of the cold leg injection flowpath can be impacted by the opposite unit if a discharge path is opened in a low pressure condition. This is **NOT** normally a concern based on the opposite unit operating at 2235 psig maintaining cold leg injection check valves closed. In addition, the RHR subsystem provides long-term core cooling capability in the RECIRCULATION mode during the accident recovery period.

CAUTION

Interim Compensatory Measure

The provision for temporary restoration of power to locked ECCS valves listed in SR 4.5.2.a for up to 24 hours to permit temporary operation for surveillance and maintenance purposes has been determined to be non-conservative with respect to the safety analysis. Therefore, until appropriate changes to SR 4.5.2 via LAR 212 are approved and implemented, restoration of power to the valves listed in SR 4.5.2.a shall be limited to one hour in order to provide alternative valve position indication in the event that the continuous valve position indication (amber light) in the Control Room is unavailable.
Ref AR 1811016.

Motor Operated Valves (MOVs) 862A, 862B, 863A, 863B are required to take suction from the containment sump via the RHR System. PC-600 supplies controlling signals to valves MOVs 862B and 863B, to prevent opening these valves if RHR Pump B discharge pressure is above 210 psig. PC-601 provides similar functions to valves MOVs 862A and 863A. Although all four valves are normally locked in position, with power removed, the capability to power up and stroke the valves must be maintained in order to satisfy the requirements for OPERABLE flow paths (capable of taking suction from the containment sump).

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 126 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 109 of 183)

3/4.5.2 & 3/4.5.3 (Continued)

When PC-600/-601 are calibrated, a test signal is supplied to each circuit to check operation of the relays and annunciators operated by subject controllers. This test signal will prevent MOVs 862A, 862B, 863A, 863B from opening. Therefore, it is appropriate to tag out the MOV breakers, and enter Technical Specification Action Statement 3.5.2.a. and 3.6.2.1 when calibrating PC-600/-601.

With the RCS temperature below 350°F, operation with less than full redundant equipment is acceptable without single failure consideration on the basis of the stable reactivity condition of the reactor and the limited core cooling requirements.

TS 3.5.2, Action g. provides an allowed outage/action completion time (AOT) of up to 7 days to restore an inoperable RHR Pump to OPERABLE status, provided the affected ECCS Subsystem is inoperable only because its associated RHR Pump is inoperable. This 7 day AOT is based on the results of a deterministic and probabilistic safety assessment, and is referred to as a Risk-Informed AOT Extension. Planned entry into this AOT requires that a Risk Assessment be performed in accordance with the Configuration Risk Management Program (CRMP), which is described in the administrative procedure that implements the Maintenance Rule pursuant to 10CFR50.65.

TS Surveillance 4.5.2.a requires that each ECCS component and flow path be demonstrated operable at least once per 12 hours by verifying by Control Room indication that the valves listed in Section 4.5.2.a are in the indicated positions with power to the valve operators removed. Verifying Control Room indication applies to the valve position and **NOT** to the valve operator power removal. The breaker position may be verified by either the off condition of the breaker position indication light in the Control Room, or the verification of the locked open breaker position in the field. Verifying that power is removed to the applicable valve operators can be accomplished by direct field indication of the breaker (locked in the open position), or by observation of the breaker position status lamp in the Control Room (lamp is off when breaker is open). Surveillance Requirements for throttle valve position stops prevent total pump flow from exceeding runout conditions when the system is in its minimum resistance configuration.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 127 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 110 of 183)

3/4.5.2 & 3/4.5.3 (Continued)

ECCS "accessible discharge piping" is defined as discharge piping outside of containment in accordance with NRC Generic Letter 2008-01, Managing Gas Accumulation in Emergency Core Cooling, Decay Heat Removal, and Containment Spray Systems interpretation. High point vents (current or added) outside of containment on the HHSI and RHR Systems discharge piping are considered accessible. These valves must be included in the monthly venting procedure to comply with Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 4.5.2.b.1. This clarification was added as a corrective action to CR# 2009-18558.

In the RHR test, differential head is specified in feet. This criteria will allow for compensation of test data with water density due to varying temperature.

ECCS pump testing for the SI and RHR Pumps accounts for possible underfrequency conditions, i.e., the results of pump testing performed at 60 Hz is then adjusted to reflect possible degraded grid conditions (60±0.6) to the lower limit (59.4 Hz).

CAUTION

Interim Compensatory Measure

TS 3.5.2 Action 'a' has been determined to be non-conservative with respect to the safety analysis as it allows up to 72 hours for restoration of the inoperable flow path despite inoperability of both ECCS trains during this period. Therefore, until appropriate changes to TS 3/4.5.2 via LAR 212 are approved and implemented, TS 3.0.3 shall be entered vice TS 3.5.2 Action 'a' in the event that the suction flow path from the RWST to the ECCS is inoperable. Reference AR 1811016.

Technical Specifications Surveillance Requirement 4.5.2.e.3 requires that each ECCS component and flow path be demonstrated OPERABLE every 18 months by visual inspection which verifies sump components (trash racks, screens, etc.) show **NO** evidence of structural distress or abnormal corrosion. The strainer modules are rigid enough to provide both functions as trash racks and screens without losing their structural integrity and particle efficiency. Therefore, strainer modules are functionally equivalent to trash racks and screens. Accordingly, the categorical description, sump components, is broad enough to require inspection of the strainer modules.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 128 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 111 of 183)

3/4.5.4 Refueling Water Storage Tank

Pump performance requirements are obtained from accident analysis assumptions. Varying flowrates are provided to accommodate testing during modes and alignments.

The OPERABILITY of the Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST) as part of the ECCS ensures that a sufficient supply of borated water is available for injection by the ECCS in the event of a LOCA. The limits on RWST minimum volume and boron concentration ensure that: (1) Sufficient water is available within containment to permit recirculation cooling flow to the core, and (2) The reactor will remain subcritical in the cold condition following mixing of the RWST and the RCS water volumes with all control rods assumed out of the core to maximize boron requirements.

The assumptions made in the LOCA analyses credit control rods for the SBLOCA and cold leg large break LOCA and do **NOT** credit control rods for the hot leg large break LOCA. For the Cold Leg Large Break LOCA, control rods are assumed inserted only at the time of hot leg switchover to provide the additional negative reactivity required to address concerns of potential core recriticality at the time. (Reference: PTN-ENG-SEFJ-02-016 approved 11/14/03, PNSC #03-167.)

The indicated water volume limit includes an allowance for water **NOT** usable because of tank discharge line location or other physical characteristics.

The temperature limits on the RWST solution ensure that:
1) The solubility of the borated water will be maintained, and
2) The temperature of the RWST solution is consistent with the LOCA analysis. Portable instrumentation may be used to monitor the RWST temperature.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 129 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 112 of 183)

3/4.6 Containment Systems

3/4.6.1 Primary Containment

3/4.6.1.1 Containment Integrity

Primary CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY ensures that the release of radioactive materials from the containment atmosphere will be restricted to those leakage paths and associated leak rates assumed in the safety analyses. This restriction, in conjunction with the leakage rate limitation, will limit the SITE BOUNDARY radiation doses to within the dose guideline values of 10 CFR 50.67 during accident conditions.

Note that some penetrations do **NOT** fall under Technical Specification 3.6.1.1. For example Penetration 38 is an electrical penetration only, closed by virtue of its seals, and therefore, nothing needs to happen to close the penetration during accident conditions; it is considered already closed. A passive failure would be required in order to get communication between the containment atmosphere and the outside atmosphere through this penetration (Turkey Point's license does **NOT** require consideration of passive failures). Similarly, closed systems inside Containment already satisfy the requirement for CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY, so Tech Spec 3.6.1.1 does **NOT** apply to them at all (unless the piping itself is breached, which would be a passive failure).

The primary CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY requirement of Technical Specification 3.6.1.1 is modified by a Note allowing containment penetrations to be unisolated by opening the associated valves and airlocks under Administrative Controls when necessary to perform surveillance, testing requirements, and/or corrective maintenance. The Note also enforces compliance with Specification 3.6.4, in conjunction with Specification 3.6.1.1. The Administrative Controls shall consist of a dedicated person that is assigned the responsibility to close the valve(s) in the event of an emergency or when operation is complete.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 130 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 113 of 183)

3/4.6.1.1 (Continued)

The activity which places the Pressurizer steam space vent in service to remove noncondensable gases from the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) is considered a corrective maintenance activity for the purposes of Technical Specification 3.6.1.1 compliance. Nitrogen, the primary noncondensable gas contributor, enters the RCS during refueling outages when it is used as a cover gas in the Volume Control Tank and from exposure of the reactor coolant to atmospheric conditions during the refueling sequence. The Pressurizer heaters drive these gases out of solution during RCS heatup and they collect in the Pressurizer steam space. This causes two problems. First, the Pressurizer does **NOT** respond to spray actuation, creating a "hard bubble." Second, the noncondensable gases migrate to the reference leg condensing pots of the Pressurizer level instruments, preventing reference leg makeup and proper indication of Pressurizer liquid level. The Pressurizer steam space venting activity is necessary to correct these problems and achieve and maintain acceptable nitrogen levels in the RCS. Accordingly, opening the containment penetration isolation valves needed to conduct the Pressurizer steam space venting maintenance activity is typically performed under Administrative Controls in accordance with the provisions of the noted exception to the CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY requirement of Technical Specification 3.6.1.1.

With these distinctions, Surveillance Requirement 4.6.1.1 is explained as follows: (1) As long as a penetration is capable of being closed by an OPERABLE containment automatic isolation valve system and Technical Specification 3.6.4 is met, then 4.6.1.1 is met, and (2) If the penetration is **NOT** required to be closed during accident conditions, 4.6.1.1 is met. For example, penetrations 58 and 59 are for High Head Safety Injection, and therefore, required to be open during accident conditions. Penetrations which do **NOT** meet one of the two criteria listed above (automatic valve, or **NOT** requiring closure), require verification that they are already closed by some other means (valve, blind flange, or deactivated automatic valve). Note that a deactivated automatic valve must be administratively controlled (tagged) in the closed position to take credit for it as a deactivated valve.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 131 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 114 of 183)

3/4.6.1.2 Containment Leakage

The limitations on containment leakage rates ensure that the total containment leakage volume will **NOT** exceed the value assumed in the safety analyses at the peak accident pressure, Pa. The measured As-Found overall integrated leakage rate is limited to less than or equal to 1.0 La during the performance of the periodic test. As an added conservatism, the measured overall As-Left integrated leakage rate is further limited to less than or equal to 0.75 La to account for possible degradation of the containment leakage barriers between leakage tests.

The surveillance testing for measuring leakage rates is in compliance with the requirements of Appendix J of 10 CFR Part 50, Option B [as modified by approved exemptions], and consistent with the guidance of Regulatory Guide 1.163, dated September 1995.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 132 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 115 of 183)

3/4.6.1.3 Containment Air Locks

The limitations on closure and leak rate for the Containment Air Locks are required to meet the restrictions on CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY and Containment Leak Rate. An interlock is provided on the Airlock to assure that both doors cannot be opened simultaneously, with the consequent loss of Containment Integrity with the interlock inoperable, Action Statement (AS) (a.) applies. With an interlock inoperable such that the closure of only one door can be assured, Containment Integrity can be maintained by complying with AS (a.1) without reliance on the status of the second door. Surveillance testing of the air lock seals provides assurance that the overall air lock leakage will **NOT** become excessive due to seal damage during the intervals between air lock leakage tests. Surveillance 4.6.1.3 assures the operability of an air lock by verifying the operability of door seals in Surveillance Requirement (SR) (a.), other potential leak paths in SR (b.), and the interlock in SR (c.). If SR (a.) or (c.) are **NOT** met, then a door is to be considered inoperable. (If both doors are incapable of being closed, the air lock is inoperable). If SR (b.) is **NOT** met, and the source of the leak is **NOT** identified or is confirmed to **NOT** be through a door, then the air lock is to be considered inoperable. In order to meet the ACTION requirement to lock the OPERABLE air lock door closed, the air lock door interlock may provide the required locking. In addition, the outer air lock door is secured under administrative controls. As long as the interlock physically prevents the door from being opened, the interlock is OPERABLE, and therefore, the airlock is OPERABLE. However, should the air lock door begin to un-seal while performing the interlock test (such that the door leakage may be in question), the door would be considered inoperable (and the associated actions for one inoperable door taken). A containment air lock door would be considered open whenever the latch handle is out of the Latched position such that the door is free to open with a slight force, i.e., the door is closed but unlatched. The door should be considered closed whenever the latch mechanism physically prevents the door from being opened. With a containment air lock interlock mechanism inoperable, consider one containment airlock door out of service and maintain the other door closed and locked. During the air lock interlock test (SR (c.)), when an attempt is made to move the door handle in the unlatched direction, some movement in the handle may occur until the mechanical interlock makes hard contact.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 133 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 116 of 183)

3/4.6.1.3 (Continued)

At this point the door is still physically restrained from opening, but the seating pressure against the o-ring seal may have been reduced such that the door seal is in an untested configuration, potentially creating a leakage path. In this configuration, the door is considered closed per the Technical Specifications and would satisfy the interlock test requirements, but the overall air lock leakage requirement may have been invalidated. This configuration would result in an inoperable airlock door since the O-ring seal was **NOT** properly compressed. As there is **NO** functional difference between an unsecured door and a leaking door (as far as maintenance of containment integrity is concerned), the unsecured door must be considered inoperable.

3/4.6.1.4 Internal Pressure

The limitations on Containment Internal Pressure ensure that: (1) The containment structure is prevented from exceeding its design negative pressure differential of 2.5 psig with respect to the outside atmosphere, and (2) The containment peak pressure does **NOT** exceed the design pressure of 55 psig during LOCA conditions.

3/4.6.1.5 Air Temperature

The limitations on containment average air temperature ensure that the design limits for a LOCA are **NOT** exceeded, and that the environmental qualification of equipment is **NOT** impacted. If temperatures exceed 120°F, but remain below 125°F for up to 336 hours during a calendar year, **NO** action is required. If the 336-hour limit is approached, an evaluation may be performed to extend the limit if some of the hours have been spent at less than 125°F. Measurements shall be made at all listed locations, whether by fixed or portable instruments, prior to determining the average air temperature.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 134 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 117 of 183)

3/4.6.1.6 Containment Structural Integrity

This limitation ensures that the structural integrity of the containment will be maintained comparable to the original design standards for the life of the facility. Structural integrity will be required to ensure that the containment will withstand the design pressure of 55 psig in the event of a LOCA. The measurement of containment tendon lift-off force, the tensile tests of the tendon wires or strands, the visual examination of tendons, anchorages and exposed interior and exterior surfaces of the containment, and the Type A leakage test are sufficient to demonstrate this capability.

Some containment tendons are inaccessible at one end due to personnel safety considerations at potential steam exhaust locations. These tendons, if selected for examination, will be exempted from the full examination requirements, and the following alternative examinations shall be performed:

1. The accessible end of each exempt tendon shall be examined in accordance with IWL 2524 and IWL-2525.
2. For each exempt tendon, a substitute tendon shall be selected and examined in accordance with IWL requirements.
3. In addition, an accessible tendon located as close as possible to each exempt tendon shall be examined at both ends in accordance with IWL-2524 and IWL 2525.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 135 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 118 of 183)

3/4.6.1.6 (Continued)

The required Special Reports from any engineering evaluation of containment abnormalities shall include a description of the tendon condition, the condition of the concrete (specially at tendon anchorages), the inspection procedures, the tolerances on cracking, the results of the engineering evaluation, and the corrective actions taken.

The submittal of a Special Report for a failed tendon surveillance is considered an administrative requirement and it does **NOT** impact the plant operability. The administrative requirements for Special Reports are defined in Technical Specifications section 6.9.2.

3/4.6.1.7 Containment Ventilation System

The Containment Purge supply and exhaust isolation valves are required to be closed during a LOCA. When **NOT** purging, power to the purge valve actuators will be removed (sealed closed) to prevent inadvertent opening of these valves. Maintaining these valves sealed closed during plant operation ensures that excessive quantities of radioactive materials will **NOT** be released via the Containment Purge System.

Leakage integrity tests with a maximum allowable leakage rate for Containment Purge supply and exhaust supply valves will provide early indication of resilient material seal degradation and will allow opportunity for repair before gross leakage failures could develop. The 0.60 La leakage limit shall **NOT** be exceeded when the leakage rates determined by the leakage integrity tests of these valves are added to the previously determined total for all valves and penetrations subject to Type B and C tests.

3/4.6.2 Depressurization and Cooling Systems

3/4.6.2.1 Containment Spray System

The OPERABILITY of the Containment Spray System ensures that containment depressurization capability will be available in the event of a LOCA. The pressure reduction and resultant lower containment leakage rate are consistent with the assumptions used in the safety analyses.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 136 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 119 of 183)

3/4.6.2.1 (Continued)

The allowable out-of-service time requirements for the Containment Spray System have been maintained consistent with that assigned other inoperable ESF equipment and do **NOT** reflect the additional redundancy in cooling capability provided by the Emergency Containment Cooling System. Pump performance requirements are obtained from the accidents analysis assumptions.

Motor Operated Valves (MOVs) 862A, 862B, 863A, 863B are required to take suction from the Containment Sump via the RHR system. PC-600 supplies controlling signals to valves MOVs 862B and 863B, to prevent opening these valves if RHR pump B discharge pressure is above 210 psig. PC-601 provides similar functions to valves MOVs 862A and 863A. Although all four valves are normally locked in position, with power removed, the capability to power up and stroke the valves must be maintained in order to satisfy the requirements for OPERABLE flow paths (capable of taking suction from the containment sump).

When PC-600/-601 are calibrated, a test signal is supplied to each circuit to check operation of the relays and annunciators operated by subject controllers. This test signal will prevent MOVs 862A, 862B, 863A, 863B from opening. Therefore, it is appropriate to tag out the MOV breakers, and enter Technical Specification Action Statement 3.5.2.a. and 3.6.2.1 when calibrating PC-600/-601.

3/4.6.2.2 Emergency Containment Cooling System

The OPERABILITY of the Emergency Containment Cooling (ECC) System ensures that the heat removal capacity is maintained with acceptable ranges following postulated design basis accidents. To support both containment integrity safety analyses and component cooling water thermal analysis, a maximum of two ECCs can receive an automatic start signal following generation of a safety injection (SI) signal (one ECC receives an A train SI signal and another ECC receives a B train SI signal). To support post-LOCA long-term containment pressure/temperature analyses, a maximum of two ECCs are required to operate. The third (swing) ECC is required to be OPERABLE to support manual starting following a postulated LOCA event for containment pressure/temperature suppression.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 137 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 120 of 183)

3/4.6.2.2 (Continued)

The allowable out-of-service time requirements for the Containment Cooling System have been maintained consistent with that assigned other inoperable ESF equipment and do **NOT** reflect the additional redundancy in cooling capability provided by the Containment Spray System.

The surveillance requirement for ECC flow is verified by correlating the test configuration value with the design basis assumptions for system configuration and flow. An 18-month surveillance interval is acceptable based on the use of water from the CCW system, which results in a low risk of heat exchanger tube fouling.

3/4.6.2.3 Recirculation pH Control System

The Recirculation pH Control System is a passive safeguard consisting of 10 stainless steel wire mesh baskets (2 large and 8 small) containing sodium tetra borate decahydrate (NaTB) located in the containment basement (14' elevation). The initial containment spray will be boric acid solution from the Refueling Water Storage Tank. The recirculation pH control system adds NaTB to the Containment Sump when the level of boric acid solution from the Containment Spray and the coolant lost from the Reactor Coolant System rises above the bottom of the buffering agent baskets. As the sump level rises, the NaTB will begin to dissolve. The addition of NaTB from the buffering agent baskets ensures the containment sump pH will be greater than 7.0. The resultant alkaline pH of the spray enhances the ability of the recirculated spray to scavenge fission products from the containment atmosphere. The alkaline pH in the recirculation sump water minimizes the evolution of iodine and minimizes the occurrence of chloride and caustic stress corrosion on stainless steel piping systems exposed to the solution.

The OPERABILITY of the recirculation pH control system ensures that there is sufficient NaTB available in the containment to ensure a sump pH greater than 7.0 during the recirculation phase of a postulated LOCA. The baskets will **NOT** interact with surrounding equipment during a seismic event.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 138 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 121 of 183)

3/4.6.2.3 (Continued)

To achieve this, the analysis considered the minimum and maximum quantities of boron and borated water, the time-dependent post-LOCA sump temperatures, and the minimum time to reach switchover conditions. In addition, the formation of acid from radiolysis of air and water, radiolysis of chloride bearing electrical cable insulation and jacketing, and spilled reactor coolant were considered. Since, **NOT** all of the NaTB will be dissolved at the onset of containment spray recirculation, the final long-term pH was also calculated. The table below provides a summary of the quantities of NaTB and resulting pH values at the onset of recirculation and long-term.

Case No.	Purpose Min/Max pH	Small Basket Fill Height (ft)	Large Basket Fill Height (ft)	Initial NaTB Mass (lbm)	Dissolved Mass prior to Recirc (lbm)	pH at Recirc	Long-Term pH
1	Min	1.31	1.464	7500	4097	7.045	7.111 (min)
2	Min	1.9167	2.1867	11061	4637	7.103	7.366 (min)
3	Max	2.5	2.77	15816	15816	8.048	8.048 (max)

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 139 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 122 of 183)

3/4.6.2.3 (Continued)

To satisfy the surveillance requirement, the two large baskets and eight small baskets must contain a combined mass greater than 7500 lbm of NaTB. As shown in the above table, this will ensure the sump pH exceeds 7.0 at the onset of spray recirculation and for the duration of the analyzed 30-day period. The large baskets have a length and width of 54 inches, and a height of 33.25 inches and are elevated 3.5 inches above the containment floor. The smaller baskets have a length and width of 36 inches and a height of 30 inches and are elevated 4.5 inches above the containment floor. Varying basket dimensions or elevation (e.g. basket leg height) impacts the surface to volume ratio and changes the time the NaTB is in contact with containment sump water. For instance, shorter legs would allow the NaTB to contact containment sump water sooner, therefore increasing the pH at the onset of recirculation. Longer legs, however, would reduce the pH at the onset of recirculation. The level of NaTB in the baskets required to provide an equilibrium sump solution pH greater than 7.0 is 14.75 inches from the top of the basket; 18.50 inches for the large baskets and 15.25 inches for the small baskets from the bottom of the basket. The 18 month frequency for Surveillance Requirement 4.6.2.3 is sufficient to ensure that the stainless steel buffering agent baskets are intact and contain the required quantity of NaTB.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 140 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 123 of 183)

3/4.6.3 Deleted

3/4.6.4 Containment Isolation Valves

The OPERABILITY of the Containment Isolation Valves ensures that the containment atmosphere will be isolated from the outside environment in the event of a release of radioactive material to the containment atmosphere or pressurization of the containment. Containment isolation within the time limits specified in the In-Service Testing Program is consistent with the assumed isolation times of those valves with specific isolation times in the LOCA analysis.

Note that Tech Spec 3.6.4 applies only to automatic Containment Isolation Valves. Automatic Containment Isolation Valves are valves, which close automatically on a Containment Isolation Phase A signal, Containment Phase B, or a Containment Ventilation Isolation signal, and check valves.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 141 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 124 of 183)

3/4.6.4 (Cont'd)

The term "penetration" used in the Action statements is synonymous with the term "penetration flow path" which is intended to more accurately address containment penetrations that may have more than one flow path. For example, the CVCS letdown penetration has three parallel power operated automatic inside containment isolation valves and a single series power operated automatic outside containment isolation valve. This penetration has three normal flow paths associated with the three RCS loops. Each inside power operated automatic containment isolation valve is in series with the single outside containment isolation valve and constitutes a separate flow path. The Actions may be applied separately to each flow path in this penetration. In the example of the CVCS letdown penetration, if one of the three inside isolation valves is inoperable, it becomes the "affected" flow path and, in accordance with the Actions, must be isolated. Isolating the "affected" flow path in this example may be accomplished by closing the inoperable inside containment isolation valve. With the inside containment isolation valve closed in this case, the penetration is **NO** longer open. Because the inside and outside containment isolation valves in this case are associated with opposite trains, for both the electric power source and the isolation signal, and the outside valve does **NOT** require closure to isolate the flow path. Therefore, the other two CVCS flow paths associated with this penetration may remain in service since the capability to isolate these remaining flow paths, assuming a single active failure, is unaffected. However, if the single outside containment CVCS letdown isolation valve becomes inoperable, then the capability to isolate the individual flow paths associated with this penetration, assuming a single active failure, would **NO** longer exist. Therefore, all three flow paths associated with this penetration would be affected, and the Action to isolate the affected flow paths would be applicable to all flow paths associated with this penetration. (Reference: AR 547802)

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 142 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 125 of 183)

3/4.7 Plant Systems

3/4.7.1 Turbine Cycle

3/4.7.1.1 Safety Valves

The OPERABILITY of the main steam line Code Safety Valves ensures that the Secondary System pressure will be limited to within 110% (1193.5 psig) of its design pressure of 1085 psig during the most severe anticipated system operational transient. The maximum relieving capacity is associated with a Turbine trip from 100% RATED THERMAL POWER coincident with an assumed loss of condenser heat sink (i.e., **NO** steam bypass to the condenser).

The primary purpose of the Main Steam Safety Valves (MSSVs) is to provide overpressure protection for the Secondary System. The MSSVs also provide protection against over pressurizing the Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary (RCPB) by providing a heat sink for the removal of energy from the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) if the preferred heat sink, provided by the Condenser and Circulating Water System, is **NOT** available.

Four MSSVs are located on each Main Steam Header, outside Containment, upstream of the Main Steam Isolation Valves, as described in the UFSAR, Section 10.2. The MSSVs must have sufficient capacity to limit the secondary system pressure to less than or equal 110% of the steam generator design pressure in order to meet the requirements of ASME Code, Section III. The total relieving capacity for all valves on all of the steam lines is 9.936×10^6 lbs/hr which is 85.27% of the total secondary steam flow of 11.65×10^6 lbs/hr at 100% RATED THERMAL POWER. The MSSV design includes staggered setpoints, according to Table 3.7-2 in the accompanying limiting condition for operation (LCO), so that only the needed valves will actuate. Staggered setpoints reduce the potential for valve chattering that is due to steam pressure insufficient to fully open all valves following a turbine reactor trip.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 143 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 126 of 183)

3/4.7.1.1 (Continued)

STARTUP and/or POWER OPERATION is allowable with Safety Valves inoperable within the limitations of the ACTION requirements on the basis of the reduction in Secondary Coolant System steam flow and THERMAL POWER required by the reduced Reactor Trip settings of the Power Range Neutron Flux channels. The Reactor Trip Setpoint reductions are derived on the following bases:

$$Hi\phi = (100/Q) \frac{(w_s h_{fg} N)}{K}$$

Where:

$Hi\phi$ = Reduced THERMAL POWER for the most limiting Steam Generator expressed as a percent of RTP

Q = Nominal Nuclear Steam Supply System (NSSS) power rating of the plant (including reactor coolant pump heat), Mwt

K = Conversion factor; 947.82 (Btu/sec)/Mwt

w_s = Minimum total steam flow rate capability of the operable MSSVs on any one Steam Generator at the highest MSSV opening pressure (including tolerance and accumulation) (Lbm/sec). For example, if the maximum number of inoperable MSSVs on any one Steam Generator is one, then w_s should be a summation of the capacity of the operable MSSVs at the highest operable MSSV operating pressure, excluding the highest capacity MSSV. If the maximum number of inoperable MSSVs per Steam Generator is three, then w_s should be a summation of the capacity of the operable MSSV at the highest operable MSSV operating pressure, excluding the three highest capacity MSSVs.

h_{fg} = Heat of Vaporization for steam at the highest MSSV opening pressure (including tolerance and accumulation) - (Btu/lbm)

N = Number of loops in plant

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 144 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 127 of 183)

3/4.7.1.1 (Continued)

The values calculated from this algorithm must then be adjusted lower for use in TS 3.7.1.1 to account for instrument and channel uncertainties.

Operation with less than all four MSSVs OPERABLE for each Steam Generator is permissible, if THERMAL POWER is proportionally limited to the relief capacity of the remaining MSSVs. This is accomplished by restricting THERMAL POWER so that the energy transfer to the most limiting Steam Generator is **NOT** greater than the available relief capacity in that Steam Generator. Table 3.7-2 allows a $\pm 3\%$ setpoint tolerance for OPERABILITY; however, the valves are reset to $\pm 1\%$ during the surveillance to allow for drift.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 145 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 128 of 183)

3/4.7.1.2 Auxiliary Feedwater System

The OPERABILITY of the Auxiliary Feedwater System ensures that the Reactor Coolant System can be cooled down to less than 350°F from normal operating conditions in the event of a total Loss-Of-Offsite Power. Steam can be supplied to the pump turbines from either or both units through redundant steam headers. Two D.C. motor operated valves and one A.C. motor operated valve on each unit isolate the three main steam lines from these headers. Both the D.C. and A.C. motor operated valves are powered from safety-related sources. Auxiliary feedwater can be supplied through redundant lines to the safety-related portions of the main feedwater lines to each of the steam generators. Air operated fail closed flow control valves are provided to modulate the flow to each steam generator. Each Steam Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump has sufficient capacity for single and two unit operation to ensure that adequate feedwater flow is available to remove decay heat and reduce the Reactor Coolant System temperature to less than 350°F when the Residual Heat Removal System may be placed into operation.

ACTION statement 2 describes the actions to be taken when both Auxiliary Feedwater Trains are inoperable. The requirement to verify the availability of both Standby Feedwater Pumps is to be accomplished by verifying that both pumps have successfully passed their monthly surveillance tests within the last surveillance interval. The requirement to complete this action before beginning a unit shutdown is to ensure that an alternate feedwater train is available before putting the affected unit through a transient. If **NO** alternate feedwater trains are available, the affected unit is to stay at the same condition until an auxiliary feedwater train is returned to service, and then invoke ACTION statement 1 for the other train. If both Standby Feedwater Pumps are made available before one Auxiliary Feedwater Train is returned to an OPERABLE status, then the affected units shall be placed in at least HOT STANDBY within 6 hours and HOT SHUTDOWN within the following 6 hours.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 146 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 129 of 183)

3/4.7.1.2 (Continued)

ACTION statement 3 describes the actions to be taken when a single Auxiliary Feedwater Pump is inoperable. The requirement to verify that two independent Auxiliary Feedwater Trains are OPERABLE is to be accomplished by verifying that the requirements for Table 3.7-3 have been successfully met for each train within the last surveillance interval. The provisions of Specification 3.0.4 are **NOT** applicable to the third auxiliary feedwater pump provided it has **NOT** been inoperable for longer than 30 days. This means that a units can change OPERATIONAL MODES during a unit's heatup with a single Auxiliary Feedwater Pump inoperable as long as the requirements of ACTION Statement 3 are satisfied.

The specified flow rate acceptance criteria conservatively bounds the limiting AFW flow rate modeled in the single unit Loss of Normal Feedwater analysis. Dual unit events such as a two unit Loss of Offsite Power require a higher pump flow rate, but it is **NOT** practical to test both units simultaneously. The monthly flow surveillance test specified in 4.7.1.2.1.1 is considered to be a general performance test for the AFW system and does **NOT** represent the limiting flow requirement for AFW. Check valves in the AFW system that require full stroke testing under limiting flow conditions are tested under Technical Specification 4.0.5.

The monthly testing of the Auxiliary Feedwater Pumps will verify their OPERABILITY. Proper functioning of the turbine admission valve and the operation of the pumps will demonstrate the integrity of the system. Verification of correct operation will be made both from instrumentation within the Control Room and direct visual observation of the pumps.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 147 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 130 of 183)

3/4.7.1.3 Condensate Storage Tank

There are two (2) seismically designed Condensate Storage Tanks each with a capacity of 250,000 gallons. A minimum indicated volume of 210,000 gallons is maintained for each unit in MODES 1, 2 or 3 which provides margin over the analysis minimum required volume of 207,637 gallons per PTN-BFJM-95-008. The OPERABILITY of the Condensate Storage Tank with the minimum indicated volume ensures that sufficient water is available to maintain the Reactor Coolant System at HOT STANDBY conditions for approximately 18 hours or maintain the Reactor Coolant System at HOT STANDBY conditions for 4 hours and 9 hours to cool down the Reactor Coolant System to below 350°F at which point the Residual Heat Removal System may be placed in operation.

The minimum indicated volume includes an allowance for instrument indication uncertainties and for water deemed unusable because of vortex formation and the configuration of the discharge line.

3/4.7.1.4 Specific Activity

The limit on secondary coolant Specific Activity is based on a postulated release of secondary coolant equivalent to the contents of three Steam Generators to the atmosphere due to a net load rejection. The limiting dose for this case would result from radioactive iodine in the secondary coolant. One tenth of the iodine in the secondary coolant is assumed to reach the site boundary making allowance for plate-out and retention in water droplets. The inhalation thyroid dose at the site boundary is then;

$$\text{Dose (Rem)} = C * V * B * \text{DCF} * X/Q * 0.1$$

Where: C = secondary coolant dose equivalent I-131 specific activity

$$= 0.2 \text{ curies/ m}^3 \text{ (*}\mu\text{Ci/cc)} \text{ or } 0.1 \text{ Ci/m}^3, \text{ each unit}$$

$$V = \text{equivalent secondary coolant volume released} = 214\text{m}^3$$

$$B = \text{breathing rate} = 3.47 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3/\text{sec.}$$

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 148 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 131 of 183)

3/4.7.1.4 (Continued)

$X/Q = \text{atmospheric dispersion parameter} = 1.54 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec/m}^3$

0.1 = equivalent fraction of activity released

DCF = dose conversion factor, Rem/Ci

The resultant thyroid dose is less than 1.5 Rem.

3/4.7.1.5 Main Steam Line Isolation Valves

The OPERABILITY of the Main Steam Line Isolation Valves (MSIV) ensures that **NO** more than one Steam Generator will blow down in the event of a steam line rupture. This restriction is required to:

(1) Minimize the positive reactivity effects of the Reactor Coolant System cooldown associated with the blowdown, and (2) Limit the pressure rise within Containment in the event a Main Steam Line or Feedwater Line rupture occurs within Containment. The OPERABILITY of the Main Steam Isolation Valves within the closure times of the Surveillance Requirements are consistent with the assumptions used in the Safety Analyses. The 24 hour ACTION time provides a reasonable amount of time to troubleshoot and repair the system.

The Main Steam Bypass Valves (MSBV) as motor operated valves are required to provide the capability to warm the main steam lines and to equalize the steam pressure across the associated MSIV. The MSBVs are provided with a motor operator to close on a Main Steam Isolation signal if open. The MSIVs and their associated MSBVs are **NOT** Containment Isolation Valves. The MSBVs are **NOT** covered in any Technical Specifications. No LCO or Action Statements apply to them.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 149 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 132 of 183)

3/4.7.1.6 Standby Steam Generator Feedwater System

The purpose of this specification and the supporting surveillance requirements is to assure operability of the non-safety grade Standby Steam Generator Feedwater System. The Standby Steam Generator Feedwater System consists of commercial grade components designed and constructed to industry and FPL standards of this class of equipment located in the outdoor plant environment typical of FPL facilities system wide. The system is expected to perform with high reliability, i.e., comparable to that typically achieved with this class of equipment. FPL intends to maintain the system in good operating condition with regard to appearance, structures, supports, component maintenance, calibrations, etc.

The function of the Standby Feedwater System for OPERABILITY determinations is that it can be used as a backup to the Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) System in the event the AFW System does **NOT** function properly. The system would be manually started, aligned, and controlled by the operator when needed.

The A pump is electric-driven and is powered from the non-safety related C bus. In the event of a coincident loss of offsite power, the B pump is diesel driven and can be started and operated independent of the availability of on-site or off-site power.

A supply of 77,000 gallons from the Demineralized Water Storage Tank for the Standby Steam Generator Feedwater Pumps is sufficient water to remove decay heat from the reactor for six (6) hours for a single unit or two (2) hours for two units. This was the basis used for requiring 77,000 gallons of water in the non-safety grade Demineralized Water Storage Tank and is judged to provide sufficient time for restoring the AFW System or establishing make-up to the Demineralized Water Storage Tank.

The minimum indicated volume (145,000 gallons) consists of an allowance for level indication instrument uncertainties (approximately 15,000 gallons) for water deemed unusable because of tank discharge line location and vortex formation (approximately 50,200 gallons) and the minimum usable volume (77,000 gallons). The minimum indicated volume corresponds to a water level of 9.2 feet in the Demineralized Water Storage Tank.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 150 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 133 of 183)

3/4.7.1.6 (Continued)

The Standby Steam Generator Feedwater Pumps are **NOT** designed to NRC requirements applicable to Auxiliary Feedwater Systems and **NOT** required to satisfy Design Basis Events requirements. These pumps may be out of service for up to 24 hours before initiating formal notification because of the extremely low probability of a demand for their operation.

The guidelines for NRC notification in case of both pumps being out of service for longer than 24 hours are provided in applicable plant procedures, as a voluntary 4 hour notification.

Adequate demineralized water for the Standby Steam Generator Feedwater system will be verified once per 24 hours. The Demineralized Water Storage Tank provides a source of water to several systems and therefore, requires daily verification.

The Standby Steam Generator Feedwater Pumps will be verified OPERABLE monthly on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS by starting and operating them in the recirculation mode. Also, during each unit's refueling outage, each Standby Steam Generator Feedwater Pump will be started and aligned to provide flow to the nuclear unit's steam generators.

This surveillance regimen will thus demonstrate operability of the entire flow path, backup non-safety grade power supply and pump associated with a unit at least each refueling outage. The pump, motor driver, and normal power supply availability would typically be demonstrated by operation of the pumps in the recirculation mode monthly on a staggered test basis.

The diesel engine driver for the B Standby Steam Generator Feedwater Pump will be verified operable once every 31 days on a staggered test basis performed on the B Standby Steam Generator Feedwater Pump. In addition, an inspection will be performed on the diesel at least once every 18 months in accordance with procedures prepared in conjunction with its manufacture's recommendations for the diesel's class of service. This inspection will ensure that the diesel driver is maintained in good operating condition consistent with FPL's overall objectives for system reliability.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 151 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 134 of 183)

3/4.7.1.7 Feedwater Isolation

The Feedwater Control Valves (FCVs) isolate Main Feedwater (MFW) flow to the secondary side of the steam generators following a Steam Line Break (SLB) inside containment. The function of the non-safety-grade Feedwater Isolation Valves (FIVs) is to provide the second isolation of MFW flow to the secondary side of the Steam Generators following a SLB. Closure of the FCVs, FIVs, and the tandem bypass line valves terminate flow to the Steam Generators for Feedwater Line Breaks (FWLBs) occurring upstream of the FCVs or FIVs.

The LCO requires Main Feedwater Isolation be OPERABLE. Main Feedwater Isolation consists of the three FCVs, three FIVs, six bypass line isolation valves, and their associated isolation circuits. This LCO ensures that in the event of an SLB inside containment, a single failure can **NOT** result in continued MFW flow into the containment. Failure to meet the LCO requirements can result in additional mass and energy being released to containment following an SLB inside Containment.

In MODES 1, 2, and 3, the FCVs and FIVs and the bypass lines valves are required to be OPERABLE to limit the amount of available fluid added to containment in a SLB inside containment. In MODES 4, 5, and 6, Steam Generator energy is low and FW isolation is **NOT** required.

The ACTION Statements are modified by a Note indicating that separate Condition entry is allowed for each valve.

With one FCV, FIV or Bypass Valve in one or more flow paths inoperable, action must be taken to restore the affected valves to OPERABLE status, or to close or isolate inoperable affected valves within 72 hours. The 72 hour Completion Time takes into account the redundancy afforded by the remaining OPERABLE FIV and tandem bypass line isolation valves and the low probability of an event occurring during this time period that would require isolation of the MFW flow paths. The 72 hour Completion Time is reasonable, based on operating experience.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 152 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 135 of 183)

3/4.7.1.7 (Continued)

Inoperable FCVs and FIVs that are closed or isolated must be verified on a periodic basis that they are closed or isolated. This is necessary to ensure that the assumptions in the safety analysis remain valid. The 7 day Completion Time is reasonable in view of valve status indications available in the Control Room, and other administrative controls, to ensure that these valves are closed or isolated.

With two valves in the same flow path inoperable, there may be **NO** redundant system to operate automatically and perform the required safety function. Although the Containment can be isolated with the failure of two valves in parallel in the same flow path, the double failure can be an indication of a common mode failure in the valves of this flow path, and as such, is treated the same as a loss of the isolation capability of this flow path. Under these conditions, affected valves in each flow path must be restored to **OPERABLE** status, or the affected flow path isolated within 8 hours. This action returns the system to the condition where at least one valve in each flow path is performing the required safety function. The 8 hour Completion Time is reasonable, based on operating experience, to complete the actions required to close the FCV or FIV, or otherwise isolate the affected flow path.

SR 4.7.1.7.a verifies that each FCV, FIV, and bypass line valve will actuate to its isolation position on an actuation or simulated actuation signal. The 18 month Frequency is based on a refueling cycle interval and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass this Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the frequency was concluded to be acceptable from a reliability standpoint.

SR 4.7.1.7.b verifies that the closure time of each FCV, FIV, and bypass line valve, when tested in accordance with the Inservice Testing Program, is within the limits assumed in the accident and containment analyses. This SR is normally performed upon returning the unit to operation following a refueling outage. These valves should **NOT** be tested at power, since even a part stroke exercise increases the risk of a valve closure with the unit generating power. This is consistent with the ASME Code Section XI (Ref. 3), quarterly stroke requirements during operation in MODES 1 and 2.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 153 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 136 of 183)

3/4.7.2 Component Cooling Water System

During MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4, the OPERABILITY of the Component Cooling Water System ensures that sufficient cooling capacity is available for continued operation of safety-related equipment during normal and accident conditions. The redundant cooling capacity of this system, assuming a single active failure, is consistent with the assumptions used in the safety analyses. One pump and two heat exchangers provide the heat removal capability for accidents that have been analyzed.

The third safety related D switchgear, utilized as a swing bus, and manually aligned to either the A or B 4.16 kV bus of its respective unit is considered an extension of that power supply bus. The third (C) CCW pump of each unit, when powered from its associated unit's D bus, may be utilized to provide the T.S. independent power supply operability requirement when a pump is out of service. The most limiting single active failure considered was the loss of one diesel, which results in only one required CCW pump starting automatically to mitigate the consequences of the MHA. However, the C pump is interlocked, and for a start signal to initiate on a loss of offsite power or safety injection signal, the supply breaker for the A or B CCW pump (associated with the A or B 4kV Bus to which it is aligned) must be OPEN and RACKED OUT. Technical Specification ACTION statements may be invoked for **NOT** ensuring that the second operable pump is powered from an independent safety related bus.

During MODES 5 and 6, the Component Cooling Water System has no OPERABILITY requirements (Reference AR 01744253 CE). During these MODES, the Component Cooling Water System is required to be functional, or capable of performing its specified function.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 154 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 137 of 183)

3/4.7.3 Intake Cooling Water System

During MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4 the OPERABILITY of the Intake Cooling Water System ensures that sufficient cooling capacity is available for continued operation of safety-related equipment during normal and accident conditions. The design and operation of this system, assuming a single active failure, ensures cooling capacity consistent with the assumptions used in the safety analyses. The supply headers are redundant, but the return merges to a non-redundant discharge header that returns water to the discharge canal. The redundant ICW supply headers addresses the design for passive failure.

The third safety related D switchgear, utilized as a swing bus, and manually aligned to either the A or B 4.16 kV bus of its respective unit is considered an extension of that power supply bus. The third (C) ICW pump of each unit, when powered from its associated unit's D bus, may be utilized to provide the T.S. independent power supply operability requirement when a pump is out of service. The most limiting single active failure considered was the loss of one diesel, which results in only one required ICW pump starting automatically to mitigate the consequences of the MHA. However, the C pump is interlocked, and for a start signal to initiate on a loss of offsite power or safety injection signal, the supply breaker for the A or B ICW Pump (associated with the A or B 4kV Bus to which it is aligned) must be OPEN and RACKED OUT. Technical Specification ACTION statements may be invoked for **NOT** ensuring that the second operable pump is powered from an independent safety related bus.

During MODES 5 and 6, the Intake Cooling Water System has **NO** OPERABILITY requirements (Reference AR 01744253 CE). During these MODES, the Intake Cooling Water System is required to be functional or capable of performing its specified function.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 155 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 138 of 183)

3/4.7.4 Ultimate Heat Sink

The limit on Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS) temperature in conjunction with the surveillance requirements of Technical Specification 3/4.7.2 will ensure that sufficient cooling capacity is available either: (1) To provide normal cooldown of the facility, or (2) To mitigate the effects of accident conditions within acceptable limits.

FPL has the option of monitoring UHS temperature by monitoring the temperature in the ICW System piping going to the inlet of the CCW Heat Exchangers. Monitoring UHS temperature after the ICW Pumps, but prior to CCW Heat Exchangers is considered to be equivalent to temperature monitoring before the ICW Pumps. The supply water leaving the ICW Pumps will be mixed, and therefore, it will be representative of the bulk UHS temperature to the CCW Heat Exchanger inlet. The effects of pump heating on the supply water are negligible due to low ICW head and high water volume. Accordingly, monitoring UHS temperature after the ICW Pumps, but prior to the CCW Heat Exchangers provides an equivalent location for monitoring UHS temperature.

With the implementation of the CCW Heat Exchanger Performance Monitoring Program, the limiting UHS temperature can be treated as a variable with an absolute upper limit of 104°F without compromising any margin of safety. Demonstration of actual heat exchanger performance capability supports system operation with postulated canal temperatures greater than 104°F. Therefore, an upper Technical Specification limit of 104°F is conservative.

Verifying UHS water temperature at least once per 24 hours is adequate to ensure the limit of 104°F is **NOT** exceeded when the water temperature is less than 100°F as there is ample (greater than or equal to 4°F) margin to the limit. Due to daily variations in temperature, when UHS water temperature exceeds 100°F the water temperature shall be verified at least once per hour to ensure that Cooling Canal System temperature variations are appropriately captured, thus ensuring the Technical Specification limit is **NOT** exceeded.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 156 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 139 of 183)

3/4.7.4 (Continued)

For the verification of UHS average supply water temperature, an appropriate instrument uncertainty will be subtracted from the Acceptance Criteria to ensure the Technical Specification limit is **NOT** exceeded.

3/4.7.5 Control Room Emergency Ventilation System

The OPERABILITY of the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS) ensures that: (1) The ambient air temperature does **NOT** exceed the allowable temperature for continuous duty rating for the equipment and instrumentation cooled by this system, and (2) The Control Room envelope (CRE) will remain habitable for occupants during and following an uncontrolled release of radioactivity, hazardous chemicals, or smoke. The OPERABILITY of this system in conjunction with Control Room design provisions is based on limiting the radiation exposure to personnel occupying the CRE to 5 rem Total Effective Dose Equivalent (TEDE) for the duration of the accident. The radiological limits are consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50.67. CRE occupants are protected from chemical hazards in accordance with the limits of Regulatory Guide 1.78.

The Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS) is considered to be OPERABLE (Ref: JPN_PTN_SENP-92-017) when:

- 1) Three Air Handling Units (AHUs) (three out of three) are OPERABLE,
- 2) Two Condensing (air conditioning (A/C)) Units (two out of three) are OPERABLE,
- 3) Two Recirculation Fans are OPERABLE,
- 4) Two Recirculation Dampers are OPERABLE,
- 5) One Recirculation Filter unit is OPERABLE,
- 6) Two Normal Outside Air Intake Dampers are OPERABLE,
- 7) Two Emergency Outside Air Intake Dampers are OPERABLE,
- 8) Two isolation dampers (one motor-operated damper and one gravity backdraft damper) in the kitchen area exhaust duct are OPERABLE, and
- 9) Two isolation dampers (one motor-operated damper and one gravity backdraft damper) in the toilet area exhaust duct are OPERABLE.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 157 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 140 of 183)

3/4.7.5 (Continued)

The reason three AHUs are required is that in the event of a single failure, only two AHUs would be available to supply air to the suction of the recirculation filter and fan. This is the configuration tested to support Technical Specification operability for flow through the Emergency Charcoal Filter Unit. Taking one AHU out of service renders the system incapable of operating in accordance with the tested configuration assuming an accident and a single failure, i.e., only one air handling unit available instead of the two assumed in the analysis. Any one of the three condensing (A/C) units is capable of maintaining Control Room equipment within environmental limits for temperature and humidity. Thus, one condensing unit can be taken out of service without impacting the ability of CREVS to accomplish its intended function under single failure conditions.

The LCO actions allow inoperability of the redundant active CREVS components (one AHU, two Condensing Units, one Recirculation Fan, one Recirculation Damper, one Normal Outside Air Intake Damper, and/or one Emergency Outside Air Intake Damper) for a period of up to 7 days consistent with the approach provided in the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications and based on the low probability of occurrence of a Design Basis Accident (DBA) challenging the Control Room Habitability during this time period and the continued capability of the remaining operable system components to perform the required CREVS safety function. In effect, this temporarily suspends the single failure criterion for the affected components while assuring the continued functionality of the system. When the motor-operated isolation damper in a kitchen or toilet area exhaust duct becomes inoperable, the damper is required to be restored to OPERABILITY within 7 days or a damper in the flow path be CLOSED (either the motor-operated damper or its associated manual isolation damper) until it can be restored to operability. This 7 day AOT is predicated on the continued operability of its associated gravity backdraft damper.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 158 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 141 of 183)

3/4.7.5 (Continued)

When one damper in the Normal Outside Air Intake is inoperable, it can either be restored within 7 days or one of the two in-series dampers CLOSED and CREVS run in RECIRCULATION Mode. When one Recirculation Damper is inoperable, it can either be restored or one of the two paralleled dampers OPENED and the CREVS run in RECIRCULATION Mode. With one or both Emergency Outside Air Intake Dampers inoperable, they can either be restored or opened without adversely impacting the NORMAL or EMERGENCY Mode of operation. (See TSA 03-03-025-024 for evaluation). The placement of the dampers in their "fail-safe" position in lieu of restoration is allowed as the dampers fail "as-is" in the event of loss of offsite power (except for the emergency outside air intake dampers which go to their emergency OPEN position) and are in their EMERGENCY Mode position in the event of receipt of an emergency actuation signal.

As indicated in LCO footnote, if an action is taken such that indefinite operation is permitted (a.4, a.6, a.7, a.8, a.9) and the system is placed in RECIRCULATION Mode, then movement of irradiated fuel is allowed. Although still technically in the ACTION due to component inoperability, system configuration, as modified, satisfies the design requirement to support system emergency operation with ability to withstand a single active failure.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 159 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 142 of 183)

3/4.7.5 (Continued)

When the filter train is inoperable for reasons other than an inoperable CRE boundary, e.g., the filter is inoperable, and/or two recirculation fans are inoperable, and/or two recirculation dampers are inoperable, all movement of fuel in the Spent Fuel Pool is required to be immediately suspended and mitigating actions, i.e., use of the Compensatory Filtration Unit is required to be immediately initiated, and, within 24 hours, the mitigating actions are required to be verified to be in place to ensure the Control Room occupant radiological exposures will **NOT** exceed limits, and, within 7 days, the inoperable filter train is required to be restored to OPERABLE status. Consistent with 0-ADM-211 and NUREG-1431, "immediately" indicates that the required action should be pursued without delay and in a controlled manner, i.e., placing the compensatory filtration unit into service should be completed within approximately one hour. The 24 hour allowance is reasonable based on the low probability of a DBA occurring during this time period, and the use of mitigating actions, e.g., compensatory filtration unit. The mitigating actions to be verified should include the proper operation of the compensatory filtration unit, the integrity of the CRE and its capacity to perform its design function, and the associated mitigating actions specified in the Emergency Plan, e.g., SCBA, KI, etc., as applicable. The 7 day AOT is reasonable based on the determination that the mitigating actions, i.e., the compensatory filtration unit, will continue to provide for the capability of the system to perform the required CREVS safety function and ensure protection of Control Room occupants within analyzed limits. As with the active components, this has the effect of temporarily suspending the single failure criterion for the affected components while assuring the continued functionality of the system. The 7 day AOT is also a reasonable time to diagnose, plan, repair, and test most problems with the inoperable filter train.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 160 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 143 of 183)

3/4.7.5 (Continued)

The compensatory filtration unit is designed as a manual, safety-related, Seismic Class I backup to the installed system with the same functional and operational capabilities as the installed filter train. In addition, the unit is surveillance tested in accordance with the same requirements as those imposed on the installed filter train per TS 4.7.5.b, c, and d except that the requirements of TS 4.0.1 – 4.0.4 do **NOT** apply to the compensatory unit as it is **NOT** included in CREVS LCO.

Regarding exposure of the filters to effluents that may have an adverse effect on the functional capability of the system, painting, fire, or chemical releases are considered "**NOT** communicating" with the HEPA filter or adsorber if the system is **NOT** in operation, the isolation dampers for the system are closed, and there is **NO** pressure differential across the filter housing. This provides reasonable assurance that air is **NOT** passing through the filters and adsorbers.

In addition, the CREVS includes the Emergency Outside Air Intakes, located beyond the southeast and northeast corners of the Auxiliary Building. The CREVS Emergency Outside Air Intakes are considered OPERABLE when: 1) both flow paths are available, 2) have balanced intake flow rates and 3) a flow path capable of drawing outside makeup air from only the analyzed intake locations. The Alternative Source Term radiological analyses assume both emergency outside air intake flow paths are available with parallel dampers ensuring outside makeup air can be drawn through both intake locations during a design basis accident and a single active failure. These analyses rely on a provision in Regulatory Guide 1.194 Section 3.3.2 that allows a reduction in the atmospheric dispersion factors (X/Qs) for dual intake arrangements with balanced flow rates to one half of the more limiting X/Q value provided the two intakes are **NOT** within the same wind direction window for each release / receptor location. Accordingly, any maintenance on the emergency outside intake dampers or associated duct work that would prevent the CREVS from accomplishing these functions would require entering action statement a.7. The provisions of LCO 3.0.6 apply to the surveillance testing required to demonstrate operability of the emergency intake flow paths.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 161 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 144 of 183)

3/4.7.5 (Continued)

System components are **NOT** subject to rapid deterioration, having lifetimes of many years, even under continuous flow conditions. Visual inspection and operating tests provide assurance of system reliability and will ensure early detection of conditions which could cause the system to fail or operate improperly. The filters performance tests prove that filters have been properly installed, that **NO** deterioration or damage has occurred, and that all components and subsystems operate properly. The in-situ tests are performed in accordance with the methodology and intent of ANSI N510 (1975) and provide assurance that filter performance has **NOT** deteriorated below returned specification values due to aging, contamination, or other effects. Charcoal samples are tested using ASTM D3803-1989 in accordance with Generic Letter 99-02. The test conditions (30°C and 95% relative humidity) are as specified in the Generic Letter. Table 1 of the ASTM standard provides the tolerances that must be met during the test for each test parameter. The specified methyl iodide penetration value is based on the assumptions used in the LOCA Analysis.

Units 3 and 4 share a common CRE. The CRE is the area within the confines of the CRE boundary that contains the spaces that Control Room occupants inhabit to control the units during normal and accident conditions. This area encompasses the Control Room, including the Control Room offices, rack area, kitchen, and lavatory, and mechanical equipment room located below the Control Room which contains the CREVS equipment. The CRE is protected during normal operation, natural events, and accident conditions. The CRE Boundary is the combination of walls, floor, roof, ducting, doors, penetrations, and equipment that physically form the CRE. The operability of the CRE boundary must be maintained to ensure that the inleakage of unfiltered air into the CRE will **NOT** exceed the inleakage assumed in the radiological dose consequence analyses and that CRE occupants are protected from hazardous chemicals and smoke. The CRE and its boundary are defined in Control Room Envelope Habitability Program.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 162 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 145 of 183)

3/4.7.5 (Continued)

The location of CREVS components and ducting within the CRE ensures an adequate supply of filtered air to all areas requiring access. CREVS provides airborne radiological protection for the CRE occupants, as demonstrated by radiological dose consequence analyses for the most limiting design basis accident presented in UFSAR Chapter 14. CREVS also provides protection from chemical hazards and smoke hazards. CREVS pressurizes the CRE relative to external areas adjacent to the CRE boundary. The analysis of hazardous chemical releases for NUREG-0737 Item III.D.3.4, "Control Room Habitability Requirement," and the subsequent reanalysis included in PC/M 06-004, "Addition of Unit 5 to the Turkey Point Site," for new chemical release hazards demonstrate that the toxicity limits of Regulatory Guide 1.78 are **NOT** exceeded in the CRE following a hazardous chemical release. Thus, neither automatic nor manual actuation of CREVS is required for an analyzed hazardous chemical release. Analysis of a smoke challenge demonstrates that it will **NOT** result in the inability of the CRE occupants to control the reactors either from the Control Room or alternate shutdown panels.

In order for the CREVS to be considered OPERABLE, the CRE Boundary must be maintained such that the CRE occupant radiological dose does **NOT** exceed that calculated in the DBA Radiological Dose Consequence Analyses and CRE occupants are protected from hazardous chemicals and smoke. Since the CREVS and CRE are common to both units, the ACTION requirements are applicable to both units simultaneously, and must be applied according to each unit's operational MODE.

The LCO is modified by a Note that allows the CRE boundary to be opened intermittently under administrative controls. This Note applies only to openings in the CRE boundary that can be rapidly restored to the design condition, such as doors, hatches, floor plugs, and access panels. For entry and exit through doors, the administrative control of the opening is performed by the person(s) entering or exiting the area. For other openings, controls should be proceduralized and consist of stationing a dedicated individual at the opening who is in continuous communication with the Control Room operators. The dedicated individual should have a method to rapidly close the opening and restore the integrity of the CRE boundary when a need for CRE isolation is indicated.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 163 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 146 of 183)

3/4.7.5 (Continued)

If the unfiltered inleakage of potentially contaminated air past the CRE Boundary and into the CRE can result in CRE occupant radiological dose greater than that calculated in the dose analyses or in inadequate protection of CRE occupants from hazardous chemicals or smoke, the CRE boundary is inoperable. Actions must be taken to restore an OPERABLE CRE Boundary within 90 days when in MODES 1, 2, 3, or 4. During the period that the CRE boundary is considered inoperable in MODES 1, 2, 3, or 4, the operators are required to immediately initiate action to implement mitigating actions to lessen the effect on CRE occupants from the potential hazards of a radiological or chemical event or a challenge from smoke. Actions must be taken within 24 hours to verify that in the event of a DBA, the mitigating actions will ensure that CRE occupant radiological exposures will **NOT** exceed the calculated dose in the radiological dose consequence analyses, and that CRE occupants are protected from hazardous chemicals and smoke. Previous surveys of offsite and onsite chemicals identified that **NO** hazardous chemicals present a hazard to Control Room habitability. Thus, the mitigating action for chemical hazards may verify that the chemical hazards analyses are current and require **NO** toxic gas protection for the CRE occupants. These mitigating actions (i.e., actions that are taken to offset the consequences of the inoperable CRE boundary) should be preplanned for implementation upon entry into the condition, regardless of whether entry is intentional or unintentional. The 24 hour allowable outage time (AOT) is reasonable based on the low probability of a DBA occurring during this time period and the use of mitigating actions. The 90 day AOT is reasonable based on the determination that the mitigating actions will ensure protection of CRE occupants within analyzed limits while limiting the probability that CRE occupants will have to implement protective measures that may adversely affect their ability to control the reactors and maintain them in a safe shutdown condition in the event of a DBA. The 90 day AOT is a reasonable time to diagnose, plan and possibly repair, and test most problems with the CRE boundary.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 164 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 147 of 183)

3/4.7.5 (Continued)

In MODES 1, 2, 3, or 4, if the inoperable CREVS or the CRE Boundary cannot be restored to OPERABLE status within the associated required AOT, the unit must be placed in a MODE that minimizes the accident risk. To achieve this status, the unit must be placed in at least MODE 3 (HOT STANDBY) within 6 hours, and in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN) within 36 hours. If the inoperability applies to both units simultaneously, be in MODE 3 within 12 hours, and in MODE 5 within 42 hours. The AOTs are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging unit systems.

In MODE 5 or 6, with the CREVS inoperable for an inoperable CRE Boundary or for other reasons, action must be taken immediately to suspend all operations that could result in a release of radioactivity that might require isolation of the CRE, such as movement of irradiated fuel. This places the unit in a condition that minimizes the accident risk. This does **NOT** preclude the movement of fuel to a safe position. These ACTION requirements apply to both units simultaneously.

Operations that, in the absence of a compensation adjustment, add positive reactivity are acceptable when, combined with other concurrent actions that add negative reactivity, the overall net reactivity addition is zero or negative. For example, a positive reactivity addition caused by temperature increases or decreases is acceptable if it is concurrent with a negative reactivity addition (i.e., boration and/or rod movement, if authorized) such that the overall, net reactivity addition is zero or negative.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 165 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 148 of 183)

3/4.7.5 (Continued)

Surveillance Requirement (SR) 4.7.5.g verifies the OPERABILITY of the CRE Boundary by testing for unfiltered air inleakage past the CRE boundary and into the CRE. It verifies that the unfiltered air inleakage into the CRE is **NO** greater than the flow rate assumed in the dose analyses. When unfiltered air inleakage is greater than the assumed flow rate, ACTION b must be entered when a unit is in MODES 1-4 and ACTION c must also be entered when a unit is in MODE 5 or 6). ACTION b allows time to restore the CRE boundary to OPERABLE status provided mitigating actions are taken while in MODES 1-4, that ensures that the CRE remains within the licensing basis habitability limits for the occupants following an accident. The details of the testing are specified in the Control Room Envelope Habitability Program.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 166 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 149 of 183)

3/4.7.6 Snubbers

All snubbers are required OPERABLE to ensure that the structural integrity of the Reactor Coolant System and all other safety-related systems is maintained during and following a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads.

The visual inspection frequency is based upon maintaining a constant level of snubber protection to each safety-related system during an earthquake or severe transient. Therefore, the required inspection interval varies inversely with the observed snubber failures and is determined by the number of inoperable snubbers found during an inspection. Inspections performed before that interval has elapsed may be used as a new reference point to determine the next inspection. However, the results of such early inspections performed before the original required time interval has elapsed (nominal time less 25%) may **NOT** be used to lengthen the required inspection interval. Any inspection whose results require a shorter inspection interval will override the previous schedule.

When the cause of the rejection of a snubber is visual inspection is clearly established and remedied for the snubber and for any other snubbers that may be generically susceptible, and verified operable by inservice functional testing, that snubber may be exempted from being counted as inoperable for the purposes of establishing the next visual inspection interval. Generically susceptible snubbers are those which are of a specific make or model and have the same design features directly related to rejection of the snubber by visual inspection, or are similarly located or exposed to the same environmental conditions such as temperature, radiation, and vibration.

When a snubber is found inoperable, an evaluation is performed, in addition to the determination of the snubber mode of failure, in order to determine if any Safety Related System or component has been adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubber. The evaluation shall determine whether or **NOT** the snubber mode of failure has imparted a significant effect or degradation on the supported component or system.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 167 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 150 of 183)

3/4.7.6 (Continued)

To provide assurance of snubber functional reliability, a representative sample of the installed snubbers will be functionally tested during plant refueling SHUTDOWNS. Observed failure of these sample snubbers shall require functional testing of additional units. In cases where the cause of the functional failure has been identified additional testing shall be based on manufacturer's or engineering recommendations. As applicable, this additional testing increases the probability of locating possible inoperable snubbers without testing 100% of the safety-related snubbers.

The service life of a snubber is established via manufacturer input and information through consideration of the snubber service conditions and associated installation and maintenance records (newly installed snubbers, seal replaced, spring replaced, in high radiation area, in high temperature area, etc.). The requirement to monitor the snubber service life is included to ensure that the snubbers periodically undergo a performance evaluation in view of their age and operating conditions. These records will provide statistical bases for future consideration of snubber service life. The requirements for the maintenance of records and the snubber service life review are **NOT** intended to affect plant operation.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 168 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 151 of 183)

3/4.7.7 Sealed Source Contamination

The limitations on removable contamination for sources requiring leak testing, including alpha emitters, is based on 10 CFR 70.39(a)(3) limits for plutonium. This limitation will ensure that leakage from Byproduct, Source, and Special Nuclear Material sources will **NOT** exceed allowable intake values.

Sealed Sources are classified into three groups according to their use, with Surveillance Requirements commensurate with the probability of damage to a source in that group. Those sources which are frequently handled are required to be tested more often than those which are **NOT**. Sealed sources which are continuously enclosed within a shielded mechanism (i.e., sealed sources within radiation monitoring or boron measuring devices) are considered to be stored and need **NOT** be tested unless they are removed from the shielded mechanism.

3/4.7.8 Explosive Gas Mixture

This specification is provided to ensure that the concentration of potentially explosive gas mixtures contained in the Gas Decay Tank System (as measured in the Inservice Gas Decay Tank) is maintained below the flammability limits of hydrogen and oxygen. Maintaining the concentration of hydrogen and oxygen below their flammability limits provides assurance that the releases of radioactive materials will be controlled in conformance with the requirements of General Design Criterion 60 of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50.

3/4.7.9 Gas Decay Tanks

The tanks included in this specification are those tanks for which the quantity of radioactivity contained is **NOT** limited directly or indirectly by another Technical Specification. Restricting the quantity of radioactivity contained in each Gas Decay Tank provides assurance that in the event of an uncontrolled release of the tank's contents, the resulting whole body exposure to a MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC at the nearest SITE BOUNDARY will **NOT** exceed 0.1 rem.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 169 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 152 of 183)

3/4.8 Electrical Power Systems

3/4.8.1

3/4.8.2

&

3/4.8.3 A.C. Sources, D.C. Sources, and Onsite Power Distribution

The OPERABILITY of the A.C. and D.C power sources and associated distribution systems during operation ensures that sufficient power will be available to supply the safety-related equipment required for (1) The safe shutdown of the facility, and (2) The mitigation and control of accident conditions within the facility.

The loss of an associated diesel generator for systems, subsystems, trains, components or devices does **NOT** result in the systems, subsystems, trains, components or devices being considered inoperable for the purpose of satisfying the requirements of its applicable Limiting Condition for Operation for the affected unit provided (1) Its corresponding normal power source is OPERABLE; and (2) Its redundant systems, subsystems, trains, components, and devices that depend on the remaining OPERABLE diesel generators as a source emergency power to meet all applicable LCOs are OPERABLE. This allows operation to be governed by the time limits of the ACTION statement associated with the inoperable diesel generator, **NOT** the individual ACTION statements for each system, subsystem, train, component, or device. However, due to the existence of shared systems, there are certain conditions that require special provisions. These provisions are stipulated in the appropriate LCOs as needed.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 170 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 153 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

More specifically, LCOs 3.5.2 and 3.8.2.1 require that associated EDGs be OPERABLE in addition to requiring that Safety Injection Pumps, battery chargers, and battery banks, respectively also be OPERABLE. This EDG requirement was placed in these particular LCOs due to the shared nature of these systems to ensure adequate EDG availability for the required components. A situation could arise where a unit in MODES 1, 2, 3, or 4 could be in full compliance with LCO 3.8.1.1, yet be using shared equipment that could be impacted by taking an EDG out-of-service on the opposite unit. In this situation, Diesel Generator ACTION 3.8.1.1.d which verifies redundant train OPERABILITY, may **NOT** be applicable to one of the units. Thus, specific requirements for EDG OPERABILITY have been added to the appropriate LCOs of the shared systems (3.5.2 and 3.8.2.1). It is important to note that in these particular LCOs, the inoperability of a required EDG does **NOT** constitute inoperability of the other components required to be OPERABLE in the LCO. Specific ACTION Statements are included in 3.5.2 and 3.8.2.1 for those situations where the required components are OPERABLE (by the definition of OPERABILITY) but **NOT** capable of being powered by an OPERABLE EDG.

The ACTION requirements specified for the levels of degradation of the power sources provide restrictions upon continued facility operation commensurate with the level of degradation. The OPERABILITY of the power sources is consistent with the initial condition assumptions of the safety analysis and is based upon maintaining adequate onsite A.C. and D.C. power sources and associated distribution systems OPERABLE during accident conditions coincident with an assumed loss-of-offsite power and single failure of one onsite A.C. source. Two physically independent A.C. circuits exist between the offsite transmission network and the onsite Class 1E Distribution System by utilizing the following:

- (1) A total of nine transmission lines which lead to six separate transmission substations tie the Turkey Point Switchyard to the offsite power grid;
- (2) Two dual-winding startup transformers each provide 100% of the A and B train 4160 volt power from the switchyard to its associated unit.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 171 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 154 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

In addition, each Startup Transformer has the capability to supply backup power of approximately 2500 kw to the opposite unit's A-train 4160 volt bus. Two Emergency Diesel Generators (EDG) provide onsite emergency A.C. power for each unit. EDGs 3A and 3B provide Unit 3 A-train, and B-train emergency power, respectively. EDGs 4A and 4B provide Unit 4 A train and B-train emergency power, respectively.

Due to the shared nature of numerous electrical components between Turkey Point Units 3 & 4, the inoperability of a component on an associated unit will often affect the operation of the opposite unit. These shared electrical components consist primarily of both Startup Transformers, three out of four 4160 volt busses, and associated 480 volt Motor Control Centers, all four 125 volt D.C. busses, all eight 120 volt Vital A.C. panels and eight out of twelve Vital A.C. Inverters, four out of eight battery chargers, and all four battery banks. Depending on the components which is (are) determined inoperable, the resulting ACTION can range from the eventual shutdown of the opposite unit long after the associated unit has been shutdown (30 days) to an immediate shutdown of both units. Therefore, ACTION times allow for an orderly sequential shutdown of both units when the inoperability of a components affects both units with equal severity. When a single unit is affected, the time to be in HOT STANDBY is 6 hours. When an ACTION statement requires a dual unit shutdown, the time to be in HOT STANDBY is 12 hours. This is to allow the orderly shutdown of one unit at a time and **NOT** jeopardize the stability of the electrical grid by imposing a dual unit shutdown.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 172 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 155 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

As each Startup Transformer only provides the limited equivalent power of approximately one EDG to the opposite Units A-train 4160 volt bus, the allowable out-of-service time of 30 days has been applied before the opposite unit is required to be shutdown. Within 24 hours, a unit with an inoperable Startup Transformer must reduce THERMAL POWER to less than or equal to 30% RATED THERMAL POWER. The 30% RATED THERMAL POWER limit was chosen because at this power level the decay heat and fission product production has been reduced and the operators are still able to maintain automatic control of the Feedwater Trains and other unit equipment. At lower power levels the operators must use manual control with the Feedwater Bypass lines. By **NOT** requiring a complete unit shutdown, the plant avoids a condition requiring natural circulation and avoids intentionally relying on engineered safety features for non-accident conditions.

With one startup transformer and one of the three required EDGs inoperable, the unit with the inoperable transformer must reduce THERMAL POWER to less than or equal to 30% RATED THERMAL POWER within 24 hours, based on the loss of its associated Startup Transformer, whereas operation of the unit with the OPERABLE transformer is controlled by the limits for inoperability of the EDG. The notification of a loss of Startup Transformers to the NRC (ACTION STATEMENT 3.8.1.1.c) is **NOT** a 10 CFR 50.72/50.73 requirement and as such will be made for information purposes only to the NRC Operations Center via commercial lines.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 173 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 156 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

With an EDG out of service, ACTION statement 3.8.1.1.b and Surveillance Requirement (SR) 4.8.1.1.1.a are provided to demonstrate operability of the required Startup Transformers and their associated circuits within 1 hour and at least once per 8 hours thereafter. For a planned EDG inoperability, SR 4.8.1.1.1.a may be performed up to 1 hour prior to rendering the EDG inoperable. The frequency of SR 4.8.1.1.1.a after it has been performed once, is at least once per 8 hours until the EDG is made operable again. When one diesel generator is inoperable, there is also an additional ACTION requirement to verify that required systems, subsystems, trains, components, and devices that depend on the remaining required OPERABLE diesel generators as a source of emergency power to meet all applicable LCOs, are OPERABLE. This requirement is intended to provide assurance that a loss-of-offsite power event will **NOT** result in a complete loss of safety function of critical systems during the period one of the diesel generators is inoperable. This requirement allows continued operation to be governed by the time limits of the ACTION statement associated with the inoperable diesel generator. The loss of a diesel generator does **NOT** result in the associated systems, subsystems, trains, components, or devices being considered inoperable provided: (1) Its corresponding normal power source is OPERABLE, and (2) Its redundant systems, subsystems, trains, components, and devices that depend on the remaining required OPERABLE diesel generators as a source of emergency power to meet all applicable LCOs, are OPERABLE.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 174 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 157 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

All diesel generator inoperabilities must be investigated for Common Cause Failures regardless of how long the diesel generator inoperability persists. When one diesel generator is inoperable, TS 3.8.1.1 ACTION statements b and c provide an allowance to avoid unnecessary testing of other required diesel generators. If it can be determined that the cause of the inoperable diesel generator does **NOT** exist on the remaining required diesel generators, then SR 4.8.1.1.2a.4 does **NOT** have to be performed. Twenty-four (24) hours (or eight (8) hours if both a Startup Transformer and diesel generator are inoperable) is reasonable to confirm that the remaining required diesel generators are **NOT** affected by the same problem as the inoperable diesel generator. When an EDG itself is inoperable (**NOT** including a support system or independently testable component), the other EDGs should be tested once unless the absence of any potential common-mode failure can be demonstrated. If it cannot otherwise be determined that the cause of the initial inoperable diesel generator does **NOT** exist on the remaining required diesel generators, then satisfactory performance of SR 4.8.1.1.2a.4 suffices to provide assurance of continued OPERABILITY of the remaining required diesel generators. If the cause of the initial inoperability exists on one or more of the remaining required diesel generators, those diesel generators affected would also be declared inoperable upon discovery, and TS 3.8.1.1 ACTION statement f or TS 3.0.3, as appropriate, would apply.

When in Modes 1, 2, 3 or 4, a unit depends on one EDG and its associated train of busses from the opposite unit in order to satisfy the single active failure criterion for safety injection (SI) pumps and other shared equipment required during a loss-of-coolant accident with a loss-of-offsite power. Therefore, one EDG from the opposite unit is required to be OPERABLE along with the two EDGs associated with the applicable unit.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 175 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 158 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

For single unit operation (one unit in MODES 1-4 and one unit in Modes 5-6 or defueled) TS 3.8.1.1 ACTION d. refers to one of the three required Emergency Diesel Generators. For dual unit operation (both units in MODES 1-4), TS 3.8.1.1 ACTION d. refers to one of the four required Emergency Diesel Generators. This conclusion is based on the portion of ACTION d. that states "in addition to ACTION b. or c" Since ACTIONS b. and c. both refer to one of the required diesel generators, this implies that ACTION d. also refers to one of the required diesel generators. ACTION d. says "in addition to ACTION b. or c. above, ..." therefore, ACTION d. is merely providing additional requirements applicable to the conditions that required satisfaction of ACTIONS b. or c.

With both Startup Transformers inoperable, the units are required to be shutdown consecutively, after 24 hours. A consecutive shutdown is used because a unit without its associated transformer must perform a natural circulation cooldown. By placing one unit in COLD SHUTDOWN before starting shutdown of the second unit, a dual unit natural circulation cooldown is avoided.

The term verify means to administratively check by examining logs or other information to determine if required components are out-of-service for maintenance or other reasons. It does **NOT** mean to perform the surveillance requirements needed to demonstrate the OPERABILITY of the component.

In accordance with Technical Specification Amendments 215/209 during MODES 1, 2, and 3, if an EDG is to be removed from service for maintenance for a period scheduled to exceed 72 hours, the following restrictions apply:

If an EDG is unavailable, the Startup Transformer will be removed from service only for corrective maintenance, i.e., maintenance required to ensure or restore operability.

If the Startup Transformer is unavailable, an EDG will be removed from service only for corrective maintenance, i.e., maintenance required to ensure or restore operability.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 176 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 159 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

If an EDG is unavailable, an EDG on the opposite unit will be removed from service only for corrective maintenance, i.e., maintenance required to ensure or restore operability.

If the Blackout Crosstie is unavailable, an EDG will be removed from service only for corrective maintenance, i.e., maintenance required to ensure or restore operability.

If an EDG is unavailable, the Blackout Crosstie will be removed from service only for corrective maintenance, i.e., maintenance required to ensure or restore operability.

If a condition is entered in which both an EDG and the Blackout Crosstie are unavailable at the same time, restore the EDG or Blackout Crosstie to service as soon as possible.

If a hurricane warning has been issued in an area which may impact the FPL grid, i.e., within the FPL service area, an EDG or the Blackout Crosstie should be removed from service only for corrective maintenance, i.e., maintenance required to ensure or restore operability.

If an EDG or the Blackout Crosstie is unavailable when a hurricane warning in an area that may impact the FPL grid is issued, the unavailable components will be restored to service as soon as possible.

If a tornado watch has been issued for an area which includes the Turkey Point Plant site, and/or the substations and transmission lines serving Turkey Point Plant switchyard, restore the unavailable components to service as soon as possible.

To address the potential fire risk implications during MODES 1, 2, and 3, if an EDG is to be removed from service for maintenance for a period scheduled to exceed 72 hours, the following actions will be completed:

A plant fire protection walkdown of the areas that could impact EDG availability, offsite power availability or the ability to use the Station Blackout Crosstie prior to entering the extended allowed outage time (AOT).

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 177 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 160 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

A thermographic examination of high-risk potential ignition sources in the Cable Spreading Room and the Control Room,

Restriction of planned hot work in the Cable Spreading Room and Control Room during the extended AOT, and

Establishment of a continuous fire watch in the Cable Spreading Room when in the extended AOT.

In addition to the predetermined restrictions, assessments performed in accordance with the provisions of the Maintenance Rule (a)(4) will ensure that any other risk significant configurations are identified before removing an EDG from service for pre-planned maintenance.

A configuration risk management program has been established at Turkey Point 3 and 4 via the implementation of the Maintenance Rule and the On line Risk Monitor to ensure the risk impact of out of service equipment is appropriately evaluated prior to performing any maintenance activity.

The Surveillance Requirements for demonstrating the OPERABILITY of the diesel generators are in accordance with the recommendations of Regulatory Guides 1.9, Selection of Diesel Generator Set Capacity for Standby Power Supplies, March 10, 1971; 1.108, Periodic Testing of Diesel Generator Units Used as Onsite Electric Power Systems at Nuclear Power Plants, Revision 1, August 1977; and 1.137, Fuel-oil Systems for Standby Diesel Generators, Revision 1, October 1979.

The EDG Surveillance testing requires that each EDG be started from normal conditions only once per 184 days with **NO** additional warmup procedures.

Normal conditions in this instance are defined as the pre-start temperature and lube oil conditions each EDG normally experiences with the continuous use of prelube systems and immersion heaters.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 178 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 161 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

Surveillance Requirement 4.8.1.1.2.b demonstrates that each required Fuel Oil Transfer Pump operates and is capable of transferring fuel oil from its associated storage tank to its associated day tank. This is required to support continuous operation of standby power sources. This surveillance provides assurance that the Fuel Oil Transfer Pump and its control systems are capable of performing their associated support functions, and that the fuel oil piping system is intact and **NOT** obstructed. Instrument Air shall be available when performing this surveillance test. If the instrument Air System is **NOT** available, OPERABILITY of the EDG can be demonstrated by using a portable air or nitrogen source to locally open the EDG Day Tank Fill Valve. Normal Instrument Air Supply to the fill valve must be restored when the Instrument Air System is returned to service to maintain automatic operation of the system in accordance with the Diesel Fuel Oil Transfer System Design Basis.

Surveillance Requirement 4.8.1.1.2.g.7) demonstrates that the diesel engine can restart from a hot condition, such as subsequent to shutdown from normal surveillances, and achieve the required voltage and frequency within 15 seconds. The 15 second time is derived from the requirements of the accident analysis to respond to a design large break Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA). By performing this SR after 24 hours (or after two hours, in accordance with the proposed revised footnote), the test is performed with the diesel sufficiently hot. The load band is provided to avoid routine overloading of the EDG. Routine overloads may result in more frequent teardown inspections in accordance with vendor recommendations in order to maintain EDG OPERABILITY. The requirement that the diesel has operated for at least two hours at full load is based on NRC staff guidance for achieving hot conditions. Momentary transients due to changing bus loads do **NOT** invalidate this test.

Surveillance Requirement 4.8.1.1.2.g.7, verifying that the diesel generator operates for at least 24 hours, may be performed during POWER OPERATION (Mode 1) per Licensing Amendment # 221/215.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 179 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 162 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

In accordance with Technical Specification Amendments 215/209, the EDGs will be inspected in accordance with a licensee controlled maintenance program referenced in the UFSAR. The maintenance program will require inspections in accordance with procedures prepared in conjunction with the manufacturer's recommendations for this class of standby service. Changes to the maintenance program will be controlled under 10 CFR 50.59.

Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program

The fuel supply specified for the Unit 3 EDGs is based on the original criteria and design bases used to license the plant. The specified fuel supply (Diesel Oil Storage Tank or temporary storage system) will ensure sufficient fuel for either EDG associated with Unit 3 for at least a week. The fuel supply specified for the Unit 4 EDGs is based on the criteria provided in ANSI N195-1976 as endorsed by Regulatory Guide 1.137. The specified fuel supply will ensure sufficient fuel for each EDG associated with Unit 4 for at least a week.

In accordance with TS 6.8.4, a Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program to implement required testing of both new fuel oil and stored fuel oil shall be established. For the intent of this specification, new fuel oil shall represent diesel fuel oil that has **NOT** been added to the Diesel Fuel Oil Storage Tanks. Once the fuel oil is added to the Diesel Fuel Oil Storage Tanks, the diesel fuel oil is considered stored fuel oil, and shall meet the Technical Specification requirements for Stored Diesel Fuel Oil.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 180 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 163 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

The tests listed below are a means of determining whether new fuel oil is of the appropriate grade and has **NOT** been contaminated with substances that would have an immediate detrimental impact on diesel engine combustion. If results from these tests are within acceptable limits, the new fuel oil may be added to the storage tanks without concern for contaminating the entire volume of fuel oil in the storage tanks. These tests are to be conducted prior to adding the new fuel to the storage tanks, but in **NO** case is the time between receipt of the new fuel oil and conducting the tests of Surveillance Requirement 4.8.1.1.2e. to exceed 30 days. The tests, limits, and applicable ASTM standards being used to evaluate the condition of new fuel oil are:

1. By obtaining a composite sample of new fuel oil in accordance with ASTM D4057 prior to addition of new fuel oil to the Diesel Fuel Oil Storage Tanks and:
2. By verifying in accordance with the tests specified in ASTM-D975-81 prior to addition to the Diesel Fuel Oil Storage Tanks that the sample has:
 - a) An API Gravity of within 0.3 degrees at 60°F, or a Specific Gravity of within 0.0016 at 60/60°F, when compared to the supplier's certificate, or an Absolute Specific Gravity at 60/60°F of greater than or equal to 0.83 but less than or equal to 0.89, or an API Gravity of greater than or equal to 27 degrees but less than or equal to 39 degrees, when tested in accordance with ASTM D1298-80;
 - b) A kinematic viscosity at 40°C of greater than or equal to 1.9 centistokes, but less than or equal to 4.1 centistokes (alternatively, Saybolt viscosity, SUS at 100°F of greater than or equal to 32.6, but less than or equal to 40.1), if gravity was **NOT** determined by comparison with supplier's certification;
 - c) A flash point equal to or greater than 125°F; and
 - d) A clear and bright appearance with proper color when tested in accordance with ASTM-D4176-82, and ASTM-D1500-82.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 181 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 164 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

Failure to meet any of the above limits is cause for rejecting the new fuel oil, but does **NOT** represent a failure to meet the Limiting Condition for Operation of TS 3.8.1.1, since the new fuel oil has **NOT** been added to the Diesel Fuel Oil Storage Tanks.

Within 30 days following the initial new fuel oil sample, the fuel oil is analyzed to establish that the other properties specified in Table 1 of ASTM-D975-81 are met when tested in accordance with ASTM-D975-81 except that the analysis for sulfur may be performed in accordance with ASTM-D1552-79 or ASTM-D2622-82. The 30 day period is acceptable because the fuel oil properties of interest, even if they are **NOT** within limits, would **NOT** have an immediate effect on EDG operation. The Diesel Fuel Oil Surveillance in accordance with the Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program will ensure the availability of high quality diesel fuel oil for the EDGs.

Lubricity Specification for Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel Fuel Oil

To ensure that Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel fuel (15 pm sulfur, S15) is acceptable for use in the Emergency Diesel Generators, a test is added in the Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program that validates, satisfactory lubricity (Reference: Engineering Evaluation PTN-ENG-SEMS-06-0035).

The test for lubricity is based on ASTM D975-06, testing per ASTM D6079, using the High Frequency Reciprocating Rig (HFRR) test at 60 degrees C and the acceptance criterion requires a wear scar **NO** larger than 520 microns.

At least once every 31 days, a sample of fuel oil is obtained from the storage tanks in accordance with ASTM-D2276-78. The particulate contamination is verified to be less than 10 mg/liter when checked in accordance with ASTM-D2276-78, Method A. It is acceptable to obtain a field sample for subsequent laboratory testing in lieu of field testing.

Fuel oil degradation during long term storage shows up as an increase in particulate, due mostly to oxidation. The presence of particulate does **NOT** mean the fuel oil will **NOT** burn properly in a diesel engine. The particulate can cause fouling of filters and fuel oil injection equipment, however, which can cause engine failure.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 182 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 165 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

The frequency for performing surveillance on stored fuel oil is based on stored fuel oil degradation trends which indicate that particulate concentration is unlikely to change significantly between surveillances.

The OPERABILITY of the minimum specified A.C. and D.C. Power Sources and associated distribution systems during shutdown and refueling ensures that (1) The facility can be maintained in the shutdown or refueling condition for extended time periods, and (2) Sufficient instrumentation and control capability is available for monitoring and maintaining the unit status.

During a unit shutdown, the one required circuit between the offsite transmission network and the onsite Class 1E Distribution System can consist of at least the associated unit startup transformer feeding one 4160 volt Bus A or B, or the opposite unit's startup transformer feeding the associated unit's 4160 volt Bus A, or the associated unit's 4160 volt Bus A or B backfed through its auxiliary transformers with the main generator isolated.

As inoperability of numerous electrical components often affects the operation of the opposite unit, the applicability for the shutdown LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION (LCO) for A.C. Sources, D.C. Sources and Onsite Power Distribution all contain statements to ensure the LCOs of the opposite unit are considered.

The allowable out-of-service time for the D.C. busses is 24 hours with one unit shutdown in order to allow for required battery maintenance without requiring both units to be shutdown. Provisions to substitute the spare battery for any one of the four station batteries have been included to allow for battery maintenance without requiring both units to be shutdown. The requirement to have only one OPERABLE battery charger associated with a required battery bank permits maintenance to be conducted on the redundant battery charger.

A battery charger may be considered acceptable when supplying less than 10 amperes provided:

- 1) The battery charger's ability to independently accept and supply the D.C. bus has been verified within the previous 7 days and,
- 2) D.C. output voltage is \geq 129 volts.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 183 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 166 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

The minimum number of battery chargers required to be OPERABLE is based on the following criteria:

- 1) A minimum of one battery charger per bus with each powered from a separate 480 volt MCC is required to satisfy the single failure criteria when assuming the failure of a MCC. This restriction prohibits the use of two chargers powered from the same bus for meeting the minimum requirements.
- 2) To satisfy the single failure criteria, when assuming a Loss-Of-Offsite Power with the loss of an EDG, an additional restriction is stipulated which requires each battery charger to have its associated diesel generators OPERABLE. This requires both EDGs associated with a swing bus battery charger to be OPERABLE.

Provisions for requiring the OPERABILITY of the EDG associated with the battery charger is explicitly specified in the LCO. This is because conditions exist where the affected unit would **NOT** enter the applicable ACTION statement in the LCO without this provision. For example, with Unit 3 in MODE 1 and Unit 4 in MODE 5, the operability of both EDG 4A and 4B is **NOT** required. One could postulate conditions where battery chargers 4A1, 3A2, 3B2, or 4B1 could be used to satisfy the LCO without having an associated OPERABLE EDG, unless specific provisions were made to preclude these conditions.

An out-of-service limit of 72 hours is applied when the required EDG is **NOT** OPERABLE. With less than the required battery chargers OPERABLE, an allowable out-of-service time of 2 hours is applied, which can be extended to 24 hours if the opposite unit is in MODES 5 or 6 and each of the remaining required battery chargers is capable of being powered from its associated diesel generators.

Verifying average electrolyte temperature above the minimum for which the battery was sized, total battery terminal voltage on float charge, connection resistance values, and the performance of battery service and discharge tests ensure the effectiveness of the charging system, the ability to handle high discharge rates, and verifies the battery capability to supply its required load.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 184 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 167 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

Table 4.8-2 specifies the normal limits for each designated pilot cell and each connected cell for electrolyte level, float voltage, and specific gravity. The limits for the designated pilot cell's float voltage and specific gravity, greater than 2.13 volts and **NOT** more than 0.015 below the manufacturer's full charge specific gravity or a battery charger current that had stabilized at a low value, is characteristic of a charged cell with adequate capacity. The normal limits for each connected cell for float voltage and specific gravity, greater than 2.13 volts and **NOT** more than 0.020 below the manufacturer's full charge specific gravity with an average specific gravity of all connected cells **NOT** more than 0.010 below the manufacturer's full charge specific gravity, ensures the OPERABILITY and capability of the battery.

Operation with a battery cell's parameter outside the normal limit but within the allowable value specified in Table 4.8-2 is permitted for up to 7 days. During this period: (1) The allowable values for electrolyte level ensures **NO** physical damage to the plates with an adequate electron transfer capability; (2) The allowable value for the average specific gravity of all the cells, **NOT** more than 0.020 below the manufacturer's recommended full charge specific gravity, ensures that the decrease in rating will be less than the safety margin provided in sizing; (3) The allowable value for an individual cell's specific gravity ensures that an individual cell's specific gravity will **NOT** be more than 0.040 below the manufacturer's full charge specific gravity and that the overall capability of the battery will be maintained within an acceptable limit; and (4) The allowable value for an individual cell's float voltage, greater than or equal to 2.07 volts, ensures the battery's capability to perform its design function.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 185 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 168 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

The ACTION requirements specified for the inoperability of certain Motor Control Centers (MCCs), Load Centers (LCs) and the 4160-Volt Busses provide restrictions upon continued facility operation commensurate with the level of degradation on each unit and the amount of time one could reasonably diagnose and correct a minor problem. The level of degradation is based upon the types of equipment powered and the out-of-service limit imposed on that equipment by the associated ACTION statement. If this degradation affects the associated unit only, then **NO** restriction is placed on the opposite unit and an out-of-service limit of 8 hours (except for MCCs 3A, 3K, 4J and 4K) is applied to the associated unit. Since MCCs 3A, 3K, 4J and 4K are used to power EDG auxiliaries, an out-of-service limit of 72 hours is applied as required by 3.8.1.1. If the degradation impacts both units (i.e., required shared systems or cross-unit loads), then an out-of-service limit of 8 hours is applied to the associated unit and an out-of-service limit based on the most restrictive ACTION requirement for the applicable shared or cross-unit load is applied to the opposite unit.

For example, if being used to satisfy 3.8.2.1, the Battery Chargers 3A2, 3B2, 4A2, and 4B2 are cross-unit loads and have out-of-service limits of 2 hours. This is the most restrictive limit of the applicable equipment powered from MCC 3D and 4D. Therefore, an out of service limit of 2 hours is applied if the battery charger is required to be OPERABLE.

The ACTION requirements specified when an A.C. vital panel is **NOT** energized from an inverter connected to its associated D.C. bus provides for two phases of restoration. Expedient restoration of an A.C. panel is required due to the degradation of the Reactor Protection System and vital instrumentation. The first phase requires re-energization of the A.C. vital panel within two hours. During this phase the panel may be powered by a Class 1E constant voltage transformer (CVT) fed from a vital MCC. However, the condition is permissible for only 24 hours as the second phase of the ACTION requires re-energization of the A.C. vital panel from an inverter connected to its associated D.C. bus within 24 hours. Failure to satisfy these ACTIONS results in a dual unit shutdown.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 186 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 169 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

Chapter 8 of the UFSAR provides the description of the A.C. electrical distribution system. The 480 Volt Load Center busses are arranged in an identical manner for Units 3 and 4. For each unit there are five safety related 480v load center busses, four of which are energized from different 4.16 kV busses (Load Centers A and C are fed from Train A and Load Centers B and D are fed from Train B). This arrangement ensures the availability of equipment associated with a particular function in the event of loss of one 4.16 kV bus.

The fifth safety related 480V load center in each unit is a swing load center, which can swing between Load Center C and D of its associated unit. These load centers are labeled as 3H for Unit 3 and 4H for Unit 4. When the 480V swing load center is connected to either 480V supply bus, it is considered to be an extension of that 480V supply bus.

Technical Specification 3/4.8.3.1 states that, the electrical busses shall be energized in the specified manner.

Footnote 3.8.3.1*** states in part, Electrical bus can be energized from either train of its unit....

These statements establish that the load center is an extension of the train it is supplied from, and the associated bus is energized in the specified manner when it is supplying the load center.

The second half of the footnote pertains to the swing capability of the LC, and reads, ...and swing function to opposite train must be OPERABLE for the Units in MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

Although the swing load center swing function may be inoperable, the associated bus and swing loads are clearly OPERABLE, because the associated train was established by the first half of the footnote. The swing bus is capable of being powered from the opposite train, and the swing function is only applicable to the opposite train. If the swing LC cannot be powered from, or swing to, the opposite train, then the opposite train is incapable of being fully energized and is INOPERABLE.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 187 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 170 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

Therefore, the correct interpretation of the footnote for the swing LCs and MCCs is as follows:

Electrical bus can be energized from either train of its unit (establishes the associated bus) and swing function to opposite train must be OPERABLE for the Units in MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4 (or the opposite train is INOPERABLE).

The swing load centers are used to supply shared system and cross-unit loads, and other Technical Specification ACTION statements may be invoked for loss of swing capability. As discussed above, the Unit 3 DC battery chargers 3A2 and 3B2 are powered from Unit 4 via swing MCC 4D, and the Unit 4 DC battery chargers 4A2 and 4B2 are powered from Unit 3 via swing MCC 3D. Inoperability of the swing capability could impact both units if any of the swing battery chargers is credited for satisfying Technical Specification 3.8.2.1. Both EDGs are required to be OPERABLE for a swing battery charger. An inoperable swing function prevents one EDG from supporting that battery charger, and a dual-unit 72 hour ACTION statement applies in accordance with TS 3.8.2.1 ACTION statement a.

With a unit shutdown one 4160-volt bus on the associated unit can be deenergized for periodic refueling outage maintenance. The associated 480-volt Load Centers can then be cross-tied upon issuance of an engineering evaluation.

For the shutdown unit, the swing load center does **NOT** have to be powered from a diesel backed source, since:

- a) Technical Specification 3.8.3.2 only requires that the swing load center be energized. **NO** operability requirements are specified for the swing function (as opposed to the requirements for an operating unit) and
- b) The only accident postulated to occur in Modes 5 and 6 is a fuel handling accident. Loss of offsite power is **NOT** assumed to occur concurrently with these events. Additionally, there is **NO** causal relationship between a fuel handling event and a loss of offsite power. Thus, from a design basis standpoint, all of the Control Room HVAC safety functions can be accomplished with the swing load center energized from an offsite source.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 188 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 171 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

Operating units on the other hand are subject to accidents that can both affect the grid, and release radioactivity to the outside environment, e.g., LOCA, MSLB. Thus, to satisfy the design basis requirements for the Control Room HVAC system when a unit is in MODES 1 - 4, the swing load center must be powered from a diesel-backed source.

For an operating unit, the swing load center also has to be powered from a diesel-backed source to be considered OPERABLE. The swing load center is considered to be powered from a diesel-backed source if:

- a) It is connected to an electrical power train that has an OPERABLE diesel generator, or
- b) It can automatically transfer to a bus that has an OPERABLE diesel generator.

If Load Center H is energized from a load center (either C or D) that does **NOT** have an OPERABLE Emergency Diesel Generator aligned to it and the swing function is also inoperable, then a 2-hour or a 72 hour LCO would have to be entered, depending on the battery charger requirements (Technical specification Tables 3.8-1 and 3.8-2).

The swing load center will momentarily de-energize any time it transfers between supply busses (manual, automatic, or test conditions). Since this is the specified manner of operation, the momentary load center de-energization does **NOT** require entry into the Technical Specification 3/4.8.3.2 action statement.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 189 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 172 of 183)

3/4.8.1, 3/4.8.2 & 3/4.8.3 (Continued)

Although Load Center H is de-energized for a short period of time (~1.5 seconds), it is considered to be energized in the specified manner. The design of the transfer scheme inherently relies on break before make contacts to swing between the two redundancy supply busses. The design allows for a total of 2.5 seconds to accomplish the automatic transfer – 1.5 seconds to trip the supply breaker of the aligned train and an additional 1.0 second delay (i.e., dead time) to close the opposite train supply breaker. This prevents the A and B trains from being interconnected during the transfer function. The basic concept of the transfer is that the transfer only occurs on a dead bus. This is accomplished by tripping and verifying that the bus is dead prior to closing the supply breaker to the alternate power supply.

Vital sections of the MCCs shown in the following table must be energized to satisfy Technical Specification Action 3.8.3.2.a:

Train in Service	3A	3B	4A	4B	Reason
MCCs	3A	3B	4A	4B	Major Safety MCCs
	3C		4C		Major Safety MCCs
	3D	3D	4D	4D	CR HVAC
		3K	4J	4K	EDG Auxiliaries

MCCs 3K, 4J, and 4K were added during the EPS Upgrade Project. Auxiliaries for the 3A EDG were left on the 3A MCC. As a result, only Unit 4 Train A needs four MCC vital sections energized, as shown on the Table above.

The **NO** Significant Hazards Determination for the EPS Upgrade Technical Specifications stated, The description of the 480 volt emergency bus requirements has been modified to reflect additional LCs and MCCs added by the EPS Enhancement Project. Due to the addition of new LCs 3H/4H, MCCs 3K/4K, MCC 4D and MCC 4J, the LCO now requires the availability of three 480 volt LCs and three MCC bus vital sections (four MCC bus vital sections for Unit 4).

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 190 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 173 of 183)

3/4.9 Refueling Operations

3/4.9.1 Boron Concentration

The limitations on reactivity conditions during REFUELING ensure that: (1) The reactor will remain subcritical during CORE ALTERATIONS, and (2) A uniform boron concentration is maintained for reactivity control in the water volume having direct access to the reactor vessel. These limitations are consistent with the initial conditions assumed for the boron dilution incident in the safety analyses. With the required valves CLOSED during refueling operations, the possibility of uncontrolled boron dilution of the filled portion of the RCS is precluded. This action prevents flow to the RCS of unborated water by closing flow paths from sources of unborated water. The boration rate requirement of 16 gpm of 3.0 wt% (5245 ppm) boron or equivalent ensures the capability to restore the SHUTDOWN MARGIN with one OPERABLE charging pump.

3/4.9.2 Instrumentation

The OPERABILITY of the Source Range Neutron Flux Monitors ensures that redundant monitoring capability is available to detect changes in the reactivity condition of the core. There are four source range neutron flux channels, two primary, and two backup. All four channels have visual and alarm indication in the Control Room and interface with the Containment Evacuation Alarm System. The primary source range neutron flux channels can also generate reactor trip signals and provide audible indication of the count rate in the Control Room and containment. At least one primary source range neutron flux channel to provide the required audible indication, in addition to its other functions, and one of the three remaining source range channels shall be OPERABLE to satisfy the LCO.

T.S. surveillance requirement 4.9.2.b and c states:

Each required Source Range Neutron Flux Monitor shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of:

- b. An ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST within 8 hours prior to the initial start of CORE ALTERATIONS, and
- c. An ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST at least once per 7 days.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 191 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 174 of 183)

3/4.9.2 (Continued)

A normal refueling consists of 2 CORE ALTERATION sequences: unloading the core, and reloading the core, typically with a suspension of CORE ALTERATIONS in between. The core unload sequence begins with control rod unlatching, followed by removal of upper internals, followed by unloading fuel assemblies to the SFP. The core reload sequence consists of reloading fuel assemblies from the SFP, followed by upper internals installation, followed by latching control rods. Therefore, if T.S. 4.9.2.c is complied with following the ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST performed within 8 hours prior to start of control rod unlatching, then the ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST need **NOT** be performed within 8 hours prior to the start of core reload. Otherwise, comply with T.S.4.9.2.b within 8 hours prior to the start of core reload.

3/4.9.3 Decay Time

The minimum requirement for reactor subcriticality prior to movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the reactor vessel ensures that sufficient time has elapsed to allow the radioactive decay of short-lived fission products. This decay time is consistent with the assumptions used in the safety analyses, and ensures that the release of fission product radioactivity, subsequent to a fuel handling accident, results in doses that are well within the values specified in 10 CFR 50.67 and RG 1.183.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 192 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 175 of 183)

3/4.9.3 (Continued)

This TS is applicable during movement of recently irradiated fuel assemblies within containment. Recently irradiated fuel is defined as fuel that has occupied part of a critical reactor core within the previous 72 hours. However, the administrative controls as well as the inherent delay associated with completing the required preparatory steps for moving fuel in the reactor vessel will ensure that the proposed 72-hour decay time will be met prior to removing irradiated fuel from the reactor vessel for a refueling outage. The FHA is a postulated event that involves damage to irradiated fuel. The in-containment FHA involves dropping a single irradiated fuel assembly, resulting in damage to a single fuel assembly. The 72-hour required decay time before moving fuel in containment ensures that sufficient time has elapsed to allow the radioactive decay of short-lived fission products. This decay time is consistent with the assumptions used in the Safety Analyses, and ensures that the release of fission product radioactivity, subsequent to a fuel handling accident, results in doses that are well within the values specified in 10 CFR 50.67 and RG 1.183.

3/4.9.4 Containment Building Penetrations

FPL revised the design basis for the Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 FHA analysis using the Alternate Source Term (AST) methodology. This is a selective implementation of the AST methodology, and the calculations were done in accordance with Reg. Guide (RG) 1.183, Alternative Radiological Source Terms for Evaluating Design Basis Accidents at Nuclear Power Reactors.

The containment airlocks, which are part of the containment pressure boundary, provide a means for personnel access during MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4 operation. During periods of shutdown when containment closure is **NOT** required, the door interlock mechanism may be disabled, allowing both doors of an air lock to remain open for extended periods when frequent containment entry is necessary. During CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment, both doors of the containment personnel airlock may be open provided (a) At least one personnel airlock door is capable of being closed, (b) The plant is in MODE 6 with at least 23 feet of water above the fuel, and (c) A designated individual is available outside the personnel airlock to close the door.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 193 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 176 of 183)

3/4.9.4 (Continued)

The Containment Equipment Door, which is part of the Containment Pressure Boundary, provides a means for moving large equipment and components into and out of Containment. During CORE ALTERATIONS the Containment Equipment Door can be open. FPL has committed to implement the guidelines of NUMARC 93-01, Rev. 3, Section 11.3.6.5, which require (1) Assessment of the availability of Containment Ventilation and Containment Radiation Monitoring [satisfied by compliance with TS 3.9.9 and 3.9.13, respectively], and (2) Development of a prompt method of closure of Containment Penetrations. Administrative controls have been developed to satisfy this commitment (ref: L-2001-201).

Containment closure ensures that a release of fission product radioactivity within Containment will be restricted from escaping to the environment. The closure restrictions are sufficient to restrict fission product radioactivity release from Containment due to a Fuel Handling Accident during refueling. The presence of a designated individual available outside of the personnel airlock to close the door, and a designated crew available to close the equipment door will minimize the release of radioactive materials.

Administrative requirements are established for the responsibilities and appropriate actions of the designated individuals in the event of a FHA inside containment. These requirements include the responsibility to be able to communicate with the Control Room, to ensure that the equipment door is capable of being closed, and to close the equipment door in the event of a fuel handling accident. These administrative controls ensure containment closure will be established in the event of a fuel handling accident inside containment. In accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.183, these administrative controls assure that the personnel airlock and equipment door will be closed within 30 minutes.

3/4.9.5 Communications

The requirement for communications capability ensures that refueling station personnel can be promptly informed of significant changes in the facility status or core reactivity conditions during CORE ALTERATIONS.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 194 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 177 of 183)

3/4.9.6 Manipulator Crane

The OPERABILITY requirements for the manipulator cranes ensure that: (1) Manipulator cranes will be used for movement of drive rods and fuel assemblies, (2) Each crane has sufficient load capacity to lift a drive rod or fuel assembly, and (3) The core internals and reactor vessel are protected from excessive lifting force in the event they are inadvertently engaged during lifting operations.

The requirement that the auxiliary hoist load indicator be used to prevent lifting excessive loads will require a manual action. The auxiliary hoist load indicator does **NOT** include any automatic mechanical or electrical interlocks that prevent lifting loads in excess of 600 pounds.

T.S. surveillance requirements 4.9.6.1 & 4.9.6.2 are as follows:

- 4.9.6.1 At least once each refueling, each manipulator crane used for movement of fuel assemblies within the reactor vessel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE within 100 hours prior to the start of such operations by performing a load test of at least 2750 pounds and demonstrating an automatic load cutoff when the crane load exceeds 2700 pounds.
- 4.9.6.2 At least once each refueling, each auxiliary hoist and associated load indicator used for movement of drive rods within the reactor vessel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE within 100 hours prior to the start of such operations by performing a load test of at least 610 pounds.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 195 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 178 of 183)

3/4.9.6 (Continued)

A normal refueling consists of 2 CORE ALTERATION sequences: unloading the core, and reloading the core, typically with a suspension of CORE ALTERATIONS in between. The core unload sequence begins with control rod unlatching, followed by removal of upper internals, followed by unloading fuel assemblies to the SFP. The core reload sequence consists of reloading fuel assemblies from the SFP, followed by upper internals installation, followed by latching control rods. The surveillance requirements call for the specified testing to be performed at least once each refueling, and do **NOT** specify additional testing at any particular frequency. Therefore, the manipulator crane testing need only be performed within 100 hours prior to the start of unloading fuel assemblies to the SFP, and likewise, the auxiliary hoist testing need only be performed within 100 hours prior to the start of control rod unlatching.

3/4.9.7 Deleted

3/4.9.8 Residual Heat Removal and Coolant Circulation

The requirement that at least one residual heat removal (RHR) loop be in operation ensures that: (1) Sufficient cooling capacity is available to remove decay heat and maintain the water in the reactor vessel below 140°F as required during the REFUELING MODE, and (2) Sufficient coolant circulation is maintained through the core to minimize the effect of a boron dilution incident and prevent boron stratification.

The requirement to have two RHR loops OPERABLE when there is less than 23 feet of water above the reactor vessel flange ensures that a single failure of the operating RHR loop will **NOT** result in a complete loss of residual heat removal capability. With the reactor vessel head removed and at least 23 feet of water above the reactor pressure vessel flange, a large heat sink is available for core cooling. Thus, in the event of a failure of the operating RHR loop, adequate time is provided to initiate emergency procedures to cool the core.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 196 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 179 of 183)

3/4.9.9 Containment Ventilation Isolation System

The OPERABILITY of this system ensures that the containment ventilation penetrations will be automatically isolated upon detection of high radiation levels within the containment. The OPERABILITY of this system is required to restrict the release of radioactive material from the containment atmosphere to the environment.

T.S. surveillance requirement 4.9.9 states:

4.9.9 The Containment Ventilation Isolation System shall be demonstrated OPERABLE within 100 hours prior to the start of and at least once per 7 days during CORE ALTERATIONS by verifying that Containment Ventilation Isolation occurs on a High Radiation test signal from each of the containment radiation monitoring instrumentation channels.

A normal refueling consists of 2 CORE ALTERATION sequences: unloading the core, and reloading the core, typically with a suspension of CORE ALTERATIONS in between. The core unload sequence begins with control rod unlatching, followed by removal of upper internals, followed by unloading fuel assemblies to the SFP. The core reload sequence consists of reloading fuel assemblies from the SFP, followed by upper internals installation, followed by latching control rods. Therefore, if the Containment Ventilation Isolation System is demonstrated OPERABLE at least once per 7 days following the specified testing within 100 hours prior to the start of control rod unlatching, then Containment Ventilation Isolation System operability need **NOT** be demonstrated within 100 hours prior to the start of core reload. Otherwise, the specified testing is required to be performed within 100 hours prior to the start of core reload.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 197 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 180 of 183)

3/4.9.10
&

3/4.9.11 Water Level – Reactor Vessel and Storage Pool

The restrictions on minimum water level ensure that sufficient shielding will be available during fuel movement and for removal of iodine in the event of a fuel handling accident. The minimum water depth is consistent with the assumptions of the safety analysis.

3/4.9.12 Deleted

3/4.9.13 Radiation Monitoring

The OPERABILITY of the containment radiation monitors ensures continuous monitoring of radiation levels to provide immediate indication of an unsafe condition.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 198 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 181 of 183)

3/4.9.14 Spent Fuel Storage

The Spent Fuel Storage racks provide safe subcritical storage of fuel assemblies by providing sufficient center-to-center spacing or a combination of spacing and poison to assure: a) $K_{eff} \leq 0.95$ with a minimum soluble boron concentration of 500 ppm present, and b) $K_{eff} < 1.0$ when flooded with unborated water for normal operations and postulated accidents. The 500 ppm value is needed to assure $k_{eff} < 0.95$ for normal operating conditions. The criticality analysis needs 1700 ppm to assure $k_{eff} < 0.95$ under the worst case accident condition. There is significant margin between the calculated ppm requirement and the spent fuel boron concentration requirement of 2300 ppm. The higher boron concentration value is chosen because, during refueling, the water volume in the spent fuel pool, the transfer canal, the refueling canal, the refueling cavity, and the reactor vessel form a single mass.

The spent fuel racks are divided into two regions, Region I and Region II. The Region I permanent racks have a 10.6 inch center-to-center spacing. The Region II racks have a 9.0 inch center-to-center spacing. The cask area storage rack has a nominal 10.1 inch center to center spacing in the east-west direction and a nominal 10.7 inch center-to-center spacing in the north-south direction.

Any fuel for use at Turkey Point, and enriched to less than or equal to 5.0 wt % U-235, may be stored in the Cask Area Storage Rack. Fresh or irradiated fuel assemblies **NOT** stored in the Cask Area Storage Rack shall be stored in accordance with Specification 5.5.1.3.

Fresh unirradiated fuel may be placed in the permanent Region I racks in accordance with the restrictions of Figure 5.5-1. Prior to placement of irradiated fuel in Region I or II spent fuel storage rack cell locations, strict controls are employed to evaluate burnup of the fuel assembly. Upon determination that the fuel assembly meets the nominal burnup and associated post-irradiation cooling time requirements of Table 5.5-1 or Table 5.5-2, it may be placed in a Region I or II cell in accordance with the restrictions of Figures 5.5-1 or 5.5-2, respectively.

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM	PAGE: 199 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536	TURKEY POINT PLANT	

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 182 of 183)

3/4.9.14 (Continued)

For all assemblies with blanketed fuel, the initial enrichment is based on the central zone enrichment (i.e., between the axial blankets) consistent with the assumptions of the analysis. These positive controls assure that the fuel enrichment limits, burnup, and post-irradiation cooling time requirements assumed in the safety analyses will **NOT** be violated.

3/4.10 Special Test Exceptions

3/4.10.1 Shutdown Margin

This special test exception provides that a minimum amount of control rod worth is immediately available for reactivity control when tests are performed for control rod worth measurement. This special test exception is required to permit the periodic verification of the actual versus predicted core reactivity condition occurring as a result of fuel burnup or fuel cycling operations.

3/4.10.2 Group Height, Insertion, and Power Distribution Limits

This special test exception permits individual control rods to be positioned outside of their normal group heights and insertion limits during the performance of such PHYSICS TESTS as those required to measure control rod worth.

3/4.10.3 Physics Tests

This special test exception permits PHYSICS TESTS to be performed at less than or equal to 5% of RATED THERMAL POWER with the RCS Tavg slightly lower than normally allowed so that the fundamental nuclear characteristics of the core and related instrumentation can be verified. In order for various characteristics to be accurately measured, it is at times necessary to operate outside the normal restrictions of these Technical Specifications. For instance, to measure the Moderator Temperature Coefficient at BOL, it is necessary to position the various control rods at heights which may **NOT** normally be allowed by Specification 3.1.3.6 which in turn may cause the RCS Tavg to fall slightly below the minimum temperature of Specification 3.1.1.4.

3/4.10.4 (This specification number is **NOT** used.)

REVISION NO.: 12A	PROCEDURE TITLE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CONTROL PROGRAM TURKEY POINT PLANT	PAGE: 200 of 200
PROCEDURE NO.: 0-ADM-536		

ATTACHMENT 2
Technical Specification Bases
(Page 183 of 183)

3/4.10.5 Position Indication System - Shutdown

This special test exception permits the Position Indication Systems to be inoperable during rod drop time measurements. The exception is required since the data necessary to determine the rod drop time are derived from the induced voltage in the position indicator coils as the rod is dropped. This induced voltage is small compared to the normal voltage and, therefore, cannot be observed if the Position Indication Systems remain OPERABLE.