

# Attachment 1

## Excerpt from ICRP 103

ICRP Publication 103

appropriate level of protection under the prevailing circumstances. The doses to be compared with the dose constraint or reference levels are usually prospective doses, i.e., doses that may be received in the future, as it is only those doses that can be influenced by decisions on protective actions. They are not intended as a form of retrospective dose limit.

(217) The optimisation of protection is a forward-looking iterative process aimed at preventing or reducing future exposures. It takes into account both technical and socio-economic developments and requires both qualitative and quantitative judgements. The process should be systematic and carefully structured to ensure that all relevant aspects are taken into account. Optimisation is a frame of mind, always questioning whether the best has been done in the prevailing circumstances, and whether all that is reasonable has been done to reduce doses. It also requires commitment at all levels in all concerned organisations as well as adequate procedures and resources.

(218) The best option is always specific to the exposure situation and represents the best level of protection that can be achieved under the prevailing circumstances. Therefore it is not relevant to determine, a priori, a dose level below which the optimisation process should stop. Depending on the exposure situation, the best option could be close to or well below the appropriate source-related constraint or reference level.

(219) Optimisation of protection is not minimisation of dose. Optimised protection is the result of an evaluation, which carefully balances the detriment from the exposure and the resources available for the protection of individuals. Thus the best option is not necessarily the one with the lowest dose.

(220) In addition to the reduction of the magnitude of individual exposures, a reduction of the number of exposed individuals should also be considered. The collective effective dose has been and remains a key parameter for optimisation of protection for workers. The comparison of protection options for the purpose of optimisation must entail a careful consideration of the characteristics of the individual exposure distribution within an exposed population.

(221) When exposures occur over large populations, large geographical areas, or long time periods, the total collective effective dose is not a useful tool for making decisions because it may aggregate information inappropriately and could be misleading for selecting protective actions. To overcome the limitations associated with collective effective dose, each relevant exposure situation must be carefully analysed to identify the individual characteristics and exposure parameters that best describe the exposure distribution among the concerned population for the particular circumstance. Such an analysis – by asking when, where and by whom exposures are received – results in the identification of various population groups with homogeneous characteristics for which collective effective doses can be calculated within the optimisation process, and for which an optimised protection strategy can be defined (see Section 4.4). In practical optimisation assessments, collective doses may often be truncated, because the assessments use the difference between the integrals defining the collective doses assigned to the various alternative protective options under consideration, rather than the full integrals (ICRP, 1983).

(222) In *Publications 77 and 81* (ICRP, 1997d, 1998b), the Commission recognised that both the individual doses and the size of the exposed population become increasingly uncertain as time increases. The Commission is of the opinion that in the decision-making process, owing to the increasing uncertainties, giving less weight to very low doses and to doses received in the distant future could be considered (see also Section 4.4.7). The Commission does not intend to give detailed guidance on such weighting, but rather stresses the importance of demonstrating in a transparent manner how any weighting has been carried out.

(223) All aspects of optimisation cannot be codified; rather, there should be a commitment by all parties to the optimisation process. Where optimisation becomes a matter for the regulatory authority, the focus should not be on specific outcomes for a particular situation, but rather on processes, procedures, and judgements. An open dialogue should be established between the authority and the operating management, and the success of the optimisation process will depend strongly on the quality of this dialogue.

(224) Societal values usually influence the final decision on the level of radiological protection. Therefore, while this report should be seen as providing decision-aiding recommendations mainly based on scientific considerations on radiological protection, the Commission's advice will be expected to serve as an input to a final (usually wider) decision-making process, which may include other societal concerns and ethical aspects, as well as considerations of transparency (ICRP, 2006a). This decision-making process may often include the participation of relevant stakeholders rather than radiological protection specialists alone.

### 5.9. Dose constraints and reference levels

(225) The concepts of *dose constraint* and *reference level* are used in conjunction with the optimisation of protection to restrict individual doses. A level of individual dose, either as a dose constraint or a reference level, always needs to be defined. The initial intention would be to not exceed, or to remain at, these levels, and the ambition is to reduce all doses to levels that are as low as reasonably achievable, economic and societal factors being taken into account.

(226) For the sake of continuity with its earlier Recommendations (ICRP, 1991b), the Commission retains the term 'dose constraint' for this level of dose in planned exposure situations (with the exception of medical exposure of patients). For emergency exposure situations and existing exposure situations, the Commission proposes the term 'reference level' to describe this level of dose. The difference in terminology between planned and other exposure situations (emergency and existing) has been retained by the Commission to express the fact that, in planned situations, the restriction on individual doses can be applied at the planning stage, and the doses can be forecast so as to ensure that the constraint will not be exceeded. With the other situations a wider range of exposures may exist, and the optimisation process may apply to initial levels of individual doses above the reference level.