

## IPRenewal NPEmails

---

**From:** Caputo, Charles [ccapu90@entergy.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 22, 2015 5:51 PM  
**To:** Green, Kimberly  
**Subject:** RE: NRC's accounting of Commitment Completion for IP2

Sorry I see you have that in your counting later on

---

**From:** Caputo, Charles  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 22, 2015 5:38 PM  
**To:** 'Kimberly.Green@nrc.gov'  
**Subject:** FW: NRC's accounting of Commitment Completion for IP2

Please see below in red

---

**From:** Green, Kimberly [<mailto:Kimberly.Green@nrc.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 22, 2015 4:28 PM  
**To:** Caputo, Charles  
**Subject:** NRC's accounting of Commitment Completion for IP2

Charlie,

To answer your question about our accounting of commitments on Slide 7, here's what I put together for our staff.

Kim

March 2012 inspection (April 2012 inspection report):

**Commitments Reviewed and Determined to be Completed:**

28  
29  
34  
36

**Commitments Needing Additional Inspection:**

2  
3

May 2013 inspection (July 2013 inspection report):

**Commitments Reviewed and Determined to be Completed:**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
7  
8  
9  
10  
12  
14

15  
16  
17  
18  
20  
21  
22  
24  
25  
30  
31  
35  
37  
38  
41  
42  
44  
45  
46

**Commitments Needing Additional Inspection:**

6  
13  
19  
23  
26  
27  
33  
40  
43  
47  
48

September 2013 inspection (September 2013 inspection report):

**Commitments Reviewed and Determined to be Completed:**

6  
13  
19  
23  
26  
27  
33  
40  
43  
48

**Commitments Needing Additional Inspection:**

47 (because Entergy changed the completion date) **Your slide # 26 say 47 was deleted, same as the commitment list in the SER?**

Additional Notes:

The Region determined that Commitments 11, 32, and 39 do not apply to IP2.

For Commitments 41 and 42, the Region reviewed Entergy's commitment implementation plan. The implementation dates for them are 9/28/2023, and prior to March 2024, respectively. This is mentioned in the July 2013 inspection report.

Commitment 47 was subsequently deleted because Entergy determined that it did not need to submit a plant-specific evaluation, and the staff agreed. Jeff Poehler mentions this during his presentation.

So, if my math is correct:

There are 50 commitments listed in Appendix A to SSER2, 3 were deleted (11, 39, and 47) = 47; 1 does not apply to IP2 (32) = 46; Commitments 49 and 50 were added after the inspections and have not been inspected by the Region yet = 44

According to the September 2013 Inspection Report, the Region concluded that "Entergy had made sufficient progress to complete our review of 44 commitments."

Please let me know if I missed something.  
Kim

**Hearing Identifier:** IndianPointUnits2and3NonPublic\_EX  
**Email Number:** 5099

**Mail Envelope Properties** (432A906424A7104D858749AC8A2D41C552DFDDC2)

**Subject:** RE: NRC's accounting of Commitment Completion for IP2  
**Sent Date:** 4/22/2015 5:50:54 PM  
**Received Date:** 4/22/2015 5:51:50 PM  
**From:** Caputo, Charles

**Created By:** ccapu90@entergy.com

**Recipients:**  
"Green, Kimberly" <Kimberly.Green@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** jdcxmetsp004.etrsouth.corp.entergy.com

| <b>Files</b> | <b>Size</b> | <b>Date &amp; Time</b> |
|--------------|-------------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE      | 2641        | 4/22/2015 5:51:50 PM   |

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**