

Whited, Jeffrey

From: Whited, Jeffrey
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 1:30 PM
To: Filchner, Duane L (dfilchner@pplweb.com)
Subject: SSES ACCEPTANCE OF REQUESTED LICENSING ACTION RE: LAR TO ADOPT NEI 99-01 FOR EAL SCHEME (TAC NOS. MF6057 and MF6058)

SUBJECT: SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNITS 1 AND 2 ACCEPTANCE OF REQUESTED LICENSING ACTION RE: LICENSE AMENDMENT REQUEST TO ADOPT EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL SCHEME PURSUANT TO NEI 99-01, REV. 6 (TAC NOS. MF6057 and MF6058)

Dear Mr. Filchner,

By letter dated March 19, 2015, (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Package Accession No. ML15091A657) PPL Susquehanna, LLC, submitted a license amendment request for the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2 (SSES). The proposed amendment involves revising the Emergency Plan for SSES to adopt the Nuclear Energy Institute's (NEI's) revised Emergency Action Level (EAL) scheme described in NEI 99-01, Revision 6, "*Development of Emergency Action Levels for Non-Passive Reactors*," which was endorsed by the NRC via letter dated March 28, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12346A463).

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), an amendment to the license (including the TS) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Jeffrey Whited

Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch II-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
(301)415-4090
jeffrey.whited@nrc.gov