

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
LEAD

As of: 4/1/15 3:02 PM  
Received: April 01, 2015  
Status: Pending\_Post  
Tracking No. 1jz-8i1q-3z4j  
Comments Due: May 22, 2015  
Submission Type: Web

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2015 APR -1 PM 3: 07

**Docket:** NRC-2009-0337  
Notice of Receipt and Availability of Application for a Combined License

RECEIVED

**Comment On:** NRC-2009-0337-0020  
Combined License Application for Turkey Point Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 6 and 7; Draft Environmental Impact Statement

**Document:** NRC-2009-0337-DRAFT-0029  
Comment on FR Doc # 2015-05099

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Barry Goldmeier  
**Address:**  
PO Box 279  
Key Biscayne, FL, 33149  
**Email:** bgoldmeier@gmail.com

3/5/2015  
80 FR 12043

13

## General Comment

I am strongly in favor of the addition of 2 more nuclear reactors to the current Turkey Point facility for the following reasons:

- 1- The area needs a dependable long term source of electric power to accommodate future growth
- 2- To date Turkey Point has been well run and dependable which add up to safety and dependability
- 3- There already is a nuclear reactor there so anyone who is opposed to that source of power should have no argument
- 4- I see the potential of economies of scale boosting FLP's ability to provide safety and efficiency over what it is today.
- 5- By increasing the capacity to generate power from nuclear the dependence on various non-renewable fuels will diminish decreasing the need for transportation of oil and liquefied natural gas over rail tracks which are becoming overcrowded with new passenger and commuter services to the east, trains plus increased freight demand from the port expansion etc.
- 6- As development continues to expand fewer people will be exposed to the dangers of train accidents which are even more threatening to people and the environment if the train carried oil or gas.
- 7- The decrease in train transportation of fuel for the generation of electricity will have a very substantial positive environmental effect and result in a decrease in greenhouse gas emissions from the transportation alone.
- 8- Nuclear generates fewer environmental greenhouse gasses than does gas or oil as a source of electric power
- 9- The relatively high paid construction and ongoing jobs in operation will serve as an economic boost for Homestead

SUNSI Review Complete  
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03  
Add = A. Williams (ARW4)

10- FPL has been collecting the funds for this expansion for years. from Miami-Dade County. It should be reinvested here.

11- In the event of a disruption in the power grid because of terrorism, accidents or weather having a Miami-Dade generated source of electric power would proved to be a tremendous advantage for our County and boost our stability.

12- Modern science has learned a great deal in the past 50 years since the existing nuclear facility at Turkey Point was built. Since there has been no major issues with the Miami-dade or Hutchinson Island facilities FPL has run safely for decades I see no real risk from expanding the existing nuclear capacity of Turkey Point.

13- As for those who are opposed to nuclear power for philosophical reasons, that closed minded fearful thinking has to be weighed against people like myself who for philosophical reasons are opposed to importing foreign oil which supports the despots that use the income from oil sales to oppress people and because they have the revenue from oil sales make the world a more dangerous place to live.

14- Why sould Miami-Dade residents pay of power generated as far away as Canada when we can be employing local people to whom our payments go who in turn support the economy.

15- I would imagine that more nuclear generation capacity from new plants will take the strain off the older facilities allowing them to be upgraded and have their safety mechanisms improved.

16- If the additional reactors generate more water water then more Manatees can benefit from that further increasing their numbers. The addition of the 2 reactors thus can point to positive environmental benefits to a threatened species.

17- From a geopolitical point of view, why would the US assist Iran in building a "safe civilian" nuclear program which could turn into something else while not doing so on US soil. FPL is not Iran by any stretch of the imagination.

18- Solar and wind although options are very costly and not as efficient as nuclear so those options cant be relied on.

19- The lessons from past accidents and knowledge of sea level rise will be incorporated into the design making the planned facility more dependable in the long term than even the facilities FPL has in place.

20- Turnkey Pint along with its infrastructure is already there so it is far better and less intrusive to expand it than locating new generating capacity in Turkey Point than siting any type of power generation facility anywhere else.