

**Official Transcript of Proceedings**  
**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

Title:                   10 CFR 2.206 Petition Review Board  
                              RE Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant

Docket Number:     05000293

Location:             (teleconference)

Date:                  Wednesday, February 25, 2015

Work Order No.:     NRC-1420

Pages 1-38

**NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.**  
**Court Reporters and Transcribers**  
**1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.**  
**Washington, D.C. 20005**  
**(202) 234-4433**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

10 CFR 2.206 PETITION REVIEW BOARD (PRB)

CONFERENCE CALL

RE:

PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

FEBRUARY 25, 2015

+ + + + +

The conference call was held, Mirela Gavrilas, Chairperson of the Petition Review Board, presiding.

PETITIONERS:

MARY LAMPERT

WILLIAM MAURER

DIANE TURCO

PETITION REVIEW BOARD MEMBERS:

MIRELA GAVRILAS, Deputy Director

Division of Policy and Rulemaking

Nuclear Reactor Regulation

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(Continued)

## PETITION REVIEW BOARD MEMBERS:

NADIYAH MORGAN, Petition Manager for 2.206

MERRILEE BANIC, Petition Coordinator

Nuclear Reactor Regulation

EMILY MONTEITH, Office of General Counsel

DIANE RENDER, Project Manager

Nuclear Reactor Regulation

REBECCA RICHARDSON, Nuclear Incident Security

Response

SCOTT SULLIVAN, Nuclear Incident Security

Response

## NRC HEADQUARTERS STAFF

STEVE SHAFFER, Region I

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**NEAL R. GROSS**  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(9:32 a.m.)

MS. MORGAN: Good morning. So, we will go ahead and get started. Good morning. I would like to thank everybody for attending this meeting.

My name is Nadiyah Morgan and I am the Pilgrim project manager.

We are here today to allow the petitioners to redress the Petition Review Board under the 2.206 petition dated September 16th, 2014.

I am the petition manager for the petition, and the Petition Review Board Chairman is Mirela Gavrilas.

As part of the petition review process, the petitioners have requested this opportunity to address the Petition Review Board. This meeting is scheduled from 9:30 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. Eastern Time.

The meeting is being recorded by the NRC Operations Center and will be transcribed by a court reporter. The transcript will become a supplement to the Petition, and will be made publicly available in ADAMS.

I would like to open this meeting with introductions and, as we go around the room, please be sure to clearly state your name, position and the office

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that you work for within the NRC for the record.

2 I will start off. Again, I am Nadiyah  
3 Morgan of the NRR, Pilgrim Project Manager.

4 MS. GAVRILAS: Mirela Gavrilas, Deputy  
5 Director in the Division of Policy and Rulemaking in the  
6 NRR.

7 MS. RENDER: Diane Render, Project Manager  
8 in NRR.

9 MS. MONTEITH: Emily Monteith, Office of  
10 the General Counsel.

11 MS. BANIC: Lee Banic, NRR Petition  
12 Coordinator.

13 MR. SULLIVAN: Scott Sullivan, Nuclear  
14 Incident Security Response, Nuclear Security Oversight  
15 Branch.

16 MS. RICHARDSON: Rebecca Richardson,  
17 Nuclear Incident Security Response.

18 MS. MORGAN: Okay. We have completed  
19 introductions at the NRC Headquarters. At this time,  
20 are there any NRC participants from Headquarters on the  
21 phone?

22 (No response.)

23 MS. MORGAN: Are there any NRC  
24 participants from the Regional Office on the phone?

25 (No response.)

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. MORGAN: Are there any representatives  
2 for the licensee on the phone?

3 (No response.)

4 MS. MORGAN: For the record, would the  
5 petitioners please introduce yourselves again.

6 MS. LAMPERT: Mary Lampert, Pilgrim Watch,  
7 Director, Duxbury, Massachusetts.

8 MS. TURCO: Diane Turco, with Cape  
9 Downwinders. Harwich, Massachusetts.

10 MR. MAURER: Bill Maurer, Cape  
11 Downwinders. I live in Falmouth, Massachusetts.

12 MS. MORGAN: And again, good morning to you  
13 all. While it is not necessary or required for members  
14 of the public to introduce themselves for this call,  
15 however, if there are any members of the public on the  
16 phone that wish to do so at this time, please state your  
17 name for the record.

18 MS. LEGERE: This is Christine Legere. I  
19 am a reporter for Cape Cod Times.

20 MS. MORGAN: Good morning, Christine.

21 Is there anyone else?

22 (No response.)

23 MS. MORGAN: Okay. With that, I would  
24 like to emphasize that we each need to speak clearly and  
25 loudly to make sure that the court reporter can

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 accurately transcribe the meeting.

2 If you do have something that you would like  
3 to say, please state your name first for the record.

4 For those now into the meeting, please  
5 remember to mute your phone to minimize any background  
6 noise or distraction. If you do not have a mute button,  
7 this can be done by pressing the star and six. To unmute  
8 the phone, you press star and six again.

9 So, at this time, I will turn it over to the  
10 Petition Review Board Chairman, Mirela Gavrilas.

11 MS. GAVRILAS: Thank you.

12 Good morning. Welcome to this meeting  
13 regarding the 2.206 petition submitted by Cape  
14 Downwinders and Pilgrim Watch.

15 I would like to first share some background  
16 on our process. Section 2.206 of Title 10 of the Code  
17 of Federal Regulations describes the petition process,  
18 the primary mechanism for the public to request  
19 enforcement action by the NRC in a public process.

20 This process permits anyone to petition NRC  
21 to take enforcement-type action related to the NRC  
22 licensees or license activities.

23 Depending on the results of its evaluation,  
24 NRC could modify, suspend or revoke an NRC-issued  
25 license or take any other appropriate enforcement

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 action to resolve the problem.

2 The NRC staff guidance for the disposition  
3 of a 2.206 petition request is in Management Directive  
4 8.11, which is publicly available.

5 The purpose of today's meeting is to give  
6 the petitioner an opportunity to provide any additional  
7 explanation or support for their petition before the  
8 Petition Review Board initial consideration and  
9 recommendation.

10 This meeting is not a hearing, nor is it an  
11 opportunity for the petitioner to question or examine  
12 the PRB on the merits or issues presented in the petition  
13 request.

14 No decision regarding the merits of this  
15 petition will be made at this meeting. Following this  
16 meeting, the Petition Review Board will conduct its  
17 internal deliberation. The outcome of this internal  
18 meeting will be discussed with the petitioner.

19 The Petition Review Board typically  
20 consists of a chairman, usually a manager at the senior  
21 executive service level at the NRC. It has a petition  
22 manager and the petition traffic coordinator.

23 Other Members of the Board are determined  
24 by the NRC staff based on the content of the information  
25 in the petition request. We also obtain advice from our

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Office of General Counsel.

2 As described in our process, the NRC staff  
3 may ask clarifying questions in order to better  
4 understand the petitioner's presentation and to reach  
5 a reasoned decision whether to accept or reject the  
6 petitioner's request. We will review under the 2.206  
7 process.

8 Also, the licensee has been invited to  
9 participate in today's meeting to ensure that they  
10 understand the concerns about their facility or  
11 associated activities.

12 While the licensee may also ask questions  
13 to clarify the issues raised by the petitioners, I want  
14 to stress that the licensee is not part of the Petition  
15 Review Board's decisionmaking process.

16 I would like to summarize the scope of the  
17 petition under consideration and the NRC activities to  
18 date, September 16, 2014, Cape Downwinders and Pilgrim  
19 Watch submitted a petition to -- under 2.206 to the NRC  
20 regarding Pilgrim's land-based security.

21 In this petition request, the petitioners  
22 requested that the NRC take an enforcement-related  
23 action against the Pilgrim renewed operating license to  
24 ensure that Pilgrim's land-based security is upgraded,  
25 specifically, the petitioners would like checkpoints to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 be manned at all times.

2 The petitioners would like surveillance of  
3 the owner-controlled areas to ensure that intruders  
4 will be detected and prevented from entering. And  
5 that, the petitioner stated, that the basis for the  
6 request is due to a reported 15 trespassing events on  
7 Pilgrim's owner-controlled property from July 2002  
8 through September 2014.

9 As a reminder for the phone participants,  
10 please identify yourself if you make any remarks, as  
11 this will help us in preparing the meeting transcript  
12 that will be made publicly-available.

13 Since this is a public meeting, I would like  
14 to remind the Petition Review Board Members, the  
15 licensees and any other meeting participants to refrain  
16 from discussing any NRC sensitive or proprietary  
17 information during today's meeting.

18 I am going to turn it over to the  
19 petitioners to allow them to provide any information  
20 that they believe that the Petition Review Board should  
21 consider as part of this petition.

22 Go ahead, please.

23 MR. MAURER: Hi. Good morning. My name  
24 is Bill Maurer. I live in Falmouth, Massachusetts, and  
25 I will start it out for the petitioners.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           Just to tell you a little bit about the  
2           experience that provoked the 2.206's, Diane Turco and  
3           myself were -- were being interviewed by a local news  
4           station, Channel 7 out of Boston, and so we -- we were  
5           interviewed by Channel 7 out at the intersection of  
6           Rocky Hill Road, which is a public road, and the access  
7           road going to Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant.

8           And the interview lasted for about 20  
9           minutes plus, you know, some set-up time and, you know,  
10          all of that, parking the cars, and no one came out to  
11          even ask who we were or what we were doing during that  
12          period of time.

13          And so then, after the interview was taped,  
14          Diane and I decided that we would -- you know, we would  
15          walk down toward the plant, down the access road, which  
16          is the beginning of the owner-controlled area.

17          And so we walked down the access road for  
18          about a quarter of a mile to the -- to the building where  
19          employees check in with their security card and go  
20          through the turnstile.

21          Now, on that walk down towards the plant,  
22          I mean, we waved at people. There were two presumably  
23          security guards that went by us in the other direction,  
24          didn't even notice us.

25          When we were inside the employee check-in

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 building, where there is a telephone and you can call  
2 -- we picked up the telephone and tried to reach somebody  
3 on that and no one answered.

4 Employees were coming and going and no one  
5 even asked who we were and what our business was, and  
6 I -- you know, it was just remarkable that the attitude.  
7 It was like a college campus, and people were just coming  
8 and going, and no one seemed concerned. It just -- I  
9 was struck at how little of a security backdrop there  
10 was, you know, whether it be just employees working  
11 there or these two men who seemed to be security guard.

12 You know, there are signs that say "No  
13 Trespassing. Keep Out." And, you know, that is really  
14 -- it is very theater. It will only keep out the most  
15 timid of tirekickers.

16 So, we walked back out down the road and by  
17 the time we got back up to where the original interview  
18 had started, there were -- there were the two guys that  
19 walked past us in the other direction, and there were  
20 two additional security guards with weapons out there.

21 And -- and it is just remarkable at how lax  
22 the attitude was with security.

23 MS. LAMPERT: Now, weren't you walking  
24 behind the guards?

25 MR. MAURER: I know when we were walking

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 back up the road to where --

2 SPEAKER CR: I am sorry. This is the court  
3 reporter.

4 MS. BANIC: -- from the second building.

5 SPEAKER CR: Excuse me. Who --

6 MS. LAMPERT: Mary Lampert.

7 SPEAKER CR: Thank you.

8 MS. LAMPERT: Mary Lampert said that.

9 SPEAKER CR: Thank you.

10 MS. BANIC: Yes. As we walked -- when we  
11 left the -- the employee check-in building where the  
12 turnstile is, the guards that we had passed going the  
13 other way were in front of us, you know, by about, oh,  
14 100 yards.

15 And so we were walking behind them while the  
16 two armed security guards were up at the intersection  
17 of Rocky Hill Road and the access road, questioning the  
18 Channel 7 news team that did the interview.

19 So, it -- it is just remarkable that two  
20 people -- I understand this is the access-controlled  
21 area, and it is up to -- it is up to the operator to  
22 determine how much security is required there. It is  
23 just remarkable that in this age of, you know,  
24 terrorism, that two people can just stroll -- stroll up  
25 to a nuclear power plant and knock on the door without

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 anybody -- without anybody asking them what their  
2 business was there.

3 So, that is my story. I will let somebody  
4 else speak now. Thank you very much.

5 MS. MORGAN: Thank you. Okay.

6 MS. TURCO: This is Diane Turco. Can I --  
7 can I add into Bill's report?

8 MS. MORGAN: Please do.

9 MS. TURCO: Okay. Because, as a long-time  
10 activist, and after 9/11, there is such a heightened  
11 increase of concern regarding terrorist attacks, we  
12 know that -- that, according to Senator Markey and the  
13 9/11 Commission, that nuclear power reactors are on the  
14 terrorists' lists of targets, and particularly in  
15 Plymouth, because it is historically America's home  
16 town, and only 35 miles from Boston, a major  
17 metropolitan area.

18 We also know that the Nuclear Regulatory  
19 Commission considers that the spent fuel storage  
20 facilities aren't a problem in the event of a nuclear  
21 attack.

22 Right on your website you talk about how  
23 there would be no negative effects if there was an attack  
24 on a spent fuel pool storage area, which flies into what  
25 we understand from an Attorney General Office report,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 2006 by Dr. Gordon Thompson, that if there was a spent  
2 fuel pool attack or fire that it would not be able to  
3 be put out, that hundreds of miles downwind would be  
4 contaminated.

5 There would be 24,000 latent cancers and we  
6 would just -- it would just destroy this whole  
7 metropolitan area.

8 This is a serious, serious issue for the  
9 public, and we expect that the Nuclear Regulatory  
10 Commission will also take this as a very serious issue.

11 So, when Channel 7 News was doing a story  
12 on Seabrook and the lack of security with people driving  
13 by the unarmed guard shack there, are called them up and  
14 said, "Hey, you should come to Toga. We can work right  
15 on the property right up to the building."

16 Well, they came down and met us right at the  
17 entrance of Pilgrim, where the first no trespassing sign  
18 is.

19 Now, they saw the black SUV with antennas  
20 sticking out of it, and there were no markings of it  
21 being a news station van. We got out and we were  
22 interviewed for about 20 minutes and, in fact, the  
23 security guard in that automobile from Entergy drove by  
24 us, never stopped to check what was going on.

25 And I thought, "Well, that is pretty

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 unusual. This could be a whole set-up right here, and  
2 they are not even paying attention."

3 So, after our interview, we suggested to  
4 this TV crew that we will show them, we could probably  
5 walk by that guard shack that was unmanned and nobody  
6 would even come out.

7 So, Bill and I -- and so the TV crew would  
8 not go on because it would be going past those no  
9 trespassing signs, and they weren't going to be doing  
10 that. So, they stayed on the main road.

11 Bill and I walked past the no trespassing  
12 signs, we walked past the unmanned gate and unmanned  
13 shack and we kept walking, and we walked up to the top  
14 of the hill, and I couldn't believe that people drove  
15 by us, we waived. Nobody stopped or paid any attention  
16 to us.

17 So, we kept walking towards the building  
18 and then we thought, well, we would take some time and  
19 so let's see how far we can go. So, Bill and I kept  
20 walking towards the entrance building and two men from  
21 -- I assume they were security men from Entergy, walked  
22 in the opposite direction right past us, didn't even  
23 give us a second look.

24 We ended up walking into the building where  
25 employees were scanning or punching in a code to get into

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the building. No one spoke with us. We stood there and  
2 were so surprised that we were -- we were there and  
3 nobody paid attention.

4 Bill, like he said, picked up the phone and  
5 asked if he could get a tour, and no one answered the  
6 phone. So, we stood there. And then we thought, well,  
7 let's get back because the Channel 7 people are waiting  
8 for us out on the street, and they have been there for  
9 a while.

10 So, as we walked back, I noticed the two men  
11 who had passed us previously were in front of us, walking  
12 towards the public road. We walked behind them. It is  
13 a good distance. And they never even knew we were  
14 behind them.

15 And in front I could see the Channel 7 news  
16 folks with two other security people from Plymouth --  
17 I mean, from Pilgrim. One of them had a huge gun over  
18 his shoulder.

19 So, I have to say, this was very, very  
20 serious. We are two people on the property of a nuclear  
21 power reactor and there are four security guards between  
22 the street and us and the reactor is behind, undetected,  
23 for over 20 minutes. That is a very serious violation  
24 of security, I would say.

25 In considering, like I said, the location,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the spent fuel pool, it is just unacceptable, and that  
2 is why we put this petition together because it is  
3 unacceptable that Entergy does not patrol or protect the  
4 owner-controlled area at all.

5 I will be done with that.

6 MS. LAMPERT: Yes. This is Mary Lampert,  
7 Pilgrim Watch. I want to bring up just a couple of  
8 points.

9 First off, NRC is responsible for safety.  
10 We know that Entergy is responsible to their  
11 shareholders. Clearly, they don't want to see the  
12 place blown up, either, but they are looking at money  
13 and particularly here in our market electric economy  
14 where they are not doing well competing with natural  
15 gas.

16 So, we can understand from the financial  
17 point of view why they don't want to pay for guards at  
18 the checkpoints going in. They want to reduce the staff  
19 or keep it as at just required, as far as guards go, as  
20 far as putting a fence around the perimeter. Then, that  
21 would cost money.

22 Putting a grade across the intake and now  
23 as recommended long ago by Homeland Security. That  
24 would cost money, et cetera.

25 So, when you have the licensee looking at

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 their pocketbook, it is especially important for the NRC  
2 to step up to the plate and fulfill your obligations to  
3 protect public safety.

4 Diane mentioned, this is America's  
5 hometown. That makes it a particularly -- Pilgrim  
6 particularly attractive target. Also, you have to look  
7 at the issue of land security in connection with the  
8 other two modes of potential attack.

9 As far as an air attack, Pilgrim is  
10 vulnerable to a small airplane. That has been  
11 demonstrated. There is no security, nothing to prevent  
12 an air attack on -- nothing on site, that is.

13 And these private airports don't have  
14 beefed-up security that you find at your major airports.  
15 Second, as far as security from the water, the nuclear  
16 proliferation prevention project at the University of  
17 Texas, their study specifically says it that Pilgrim is  
18 one of a handful of reactors that was vulnerable,  
19 especially vulnerable to attack from water.

20 So, you have two out of three that do not  
21 have the robust attack security that they should. And  
22 we have seen that the same is actually the case for  
23 laymen.

24 We know that the U.S. Department of  
25 Homeland Security had issued the National

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Infrastructure Protection Plan called NIPP, and other  
2 Federal agencies along with NRC have confirmed their  
3 acceptance of NIP.

4 And NIPP identifies the purposes to protect  
5 critical infrastructure of which nuclear reactors are,  
6 and the first -- first point is deter the threat.

7 And so, what we are talking about here is  
8 the need to increase deterrents or the lack of  
9 deterrents in the owner-controlled area at Pilgrim.  
10 The importance of having visible deterrents such as  
11 guards in the, you know, entry points, and a fence around  
12 the property is really telling prospective, let's say  
13 terrorists that, you know, this isn't necessarily a soft  
14 target, so maybe we ought to take our trouble someplace  
15 else.

16 And it also would tell the less  
17 sophisticated perpetrator that, you know, this isn't a  
18 good idea. If there is this apparent effort to keep  
19 people off the property, it is probably really beefed-up  
20 further down.

21 And that is a key -- key reason to have the  
22 fences and the guards. Granted, the probability of an  
23 attack you could say is fairly small, however, the  
24 consequences are very, very large.

25 In addition, if people can wander -- as you

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 say, "at-will." I will say "at-will" onto the property  
2 because only last week I was talking to an electrician  
3 who came to do some work in the house. He lived in  
4 Manomet close to the reactor.

5 We were talking about himself. Yes, we  
6 always go down and go fishing. Everybody goes -- goes  
7 down. It is not a deal. That is not good news. It is  
8 not good news when you consider the weapons that are  
9 available today that can penetrate the three -- now we  
10 have three dry casks on site, visible from the parking  
11 lot, that they -- that the two petitioners, Bill and  
12 Diane passed, because there are weapons that can  
13 penetrate, as you know, and the contents of a cask is  
14 equivalent to half of the cesium-137 that was released  
15 in Chernobyl.

16 Knowing that there are those casks there  
17 now, okay, visible, able to be penetrated, it would --  
18 and these casks are going to continue ever two years to  
19 grow on this tag where they are stacked vertically with  
20 nothing but a fence around them.

21 I think, as added reasons to beef up -- I  
22 won't even say "beefed up." Add security to the  
23 owner-controlled area, not to mention a fuel pool that  
24 is tightly packed in a closed-frame design outside  
25 primary containment. There are numerous ways,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 obviously big problems could occur.

2 I would also add this point. The number of  
3 security, or the DBP has in it an assumption of how many  
4 attackers that they would have to defend against.

5 Now, if the owner-controlled area is wide  
6 open, it only stands to reason that the number of  
7 attackers, the number of groups of attackers would have  
8 to be assumed to be far larger than if the  
9 owner-controlled area was, in fact, secured to deter  
10 entry.

11 And so, it seems you can't have it both  
12 ways. You can't assume you only need actually a small  
13 force of guards, you only need to protect against a  
14 relatively small number of attackers, and groups of  
15 attackers when you are wide open from the water and you  
16 are wide open in your protective areas.

17 Now, because of the bad press, Entergy has  
18 put up more signs. That was their response. That was  
19 the most cost-effective measure they could take.

20 But, let's be serious. Signs along the  
21 road and buoys in the water to mark the 500-yard no entry  
22 area are not going to deter anyone who is determined to  
23 cause trouble.

24 What are they -- there is a cartoon here  
25 when they put up the buoys in the water that has some

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 -- a couple of frogmen approaching the buoy saying, "Oh,  
2 my God, abort attack. It says "No trespassing."

3 It is the same thing with the signs along  
4 the road. We understand that Entergy has two goals to  
5 minimize efforts to have visible security. One, of  
6 course, is money that I mentioned before. The other is  
7 public relations.

8 That if you have a sign, then you might  
9 notify the neighbors this could be a potential problem,  
10 then they won't like us. It is the same type of thinking  
11 of why the NRC and industry thought providing potassium  
12 iodine, as was recommended in the Kennedy Commission  
13 because we provide a pill we might notify the natives  
14 this could be a hazard.

15 But this type of thinking is totally  
16 inappropriate post-9/11 and inappropriate after  
17 Timothy McVeigh, Oklahoma, and inappropriate when our  
18 President is saying we should be concerned about  
19 Americans here who may have become sympathetic to ISIL  
20 and could follow not only the requests from ISIL to start  
21 blowing up malls, shopping malls, but who really would  
22 go after a mall when you have a nuclear reactor that  
23 could cause such devastation, such symbolic value, as  
24 we have here.

25 So, what we are saying is, to NRC, is -- has

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 endorsed NIPP, therefore, it is time to follow through  
2 and require deterrents on the owner-controlled areas  
3 because that is the only sensible thing to do in regards  
4 to, again, the weapons that are available today, the  
5 threat that is available today.

6 And I could list -- we did list in -- I think  
7 it was Part B, the appendix, the capability of some  
8 weapons and match that up about -- with the thickness  
9 of the walls around the dry cask and the thickness of  
10 the wall of the reactor and that should have been a  
11 wake-up call.

12 We know nuclear utilities aren't required  
13 to protect against rocket-propelled grenades. It is  
14 sniper rifles with armored-piercing ammunition,  
15 weapons that are possessed by many terrorist  
16 organizations.

17 POGO, Project of Government oversight  
18 noted that rocket-propelled grenades could be purchased  
19 cheaply and quickly in international weapons markets  
20 and shipped with relative ease to the United States,  
21 making them a very plausible weapon for a terrorist  
22 attack on U.S. nuclear facilities, and the one we are  
23 looking at now, I am looking at actually -- I am looking  
24 at it out my window, the Pilgrim's Nuclear Power Plant.

25 So, please, step up to the plate.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. MORGAN: Thank you.

2 MS. LAMPERT: Who is next? Or, do you all  
3 have any questions?

4 MS. GAVRILAS: We have no questions

5 MR. MAURER: You know, I will just add one  
6 thing. One other experience of mine not reported in the  
7 written petition is there is another entrance to the  
8 Entergy owner-controlled area. I call it the back  
9 entrance.

10 It is -- it is opposite -- it is at the  
11 opposite end of the plant and it is -- it goes into a  
12 storage area and where the helicopter landing pad is,  
13 and it is up on higher ground. It overlooks the -- the  
14 vantage point actually overlooks the plant and the dry  
15 cask storage area.

16 I have driven into that area twice in my  
17 vehicle. One time I even parked my car. I got out of  
18 my car and walked up to the first layer of the double  
19 fence where there were warnings painted on the ground  
20 saying, "Don't go any further than this."

21 And I stood there, looking through the  
22 fence down -- down at the plant and at least two  
23 employees came into this -- this upper storage area and  
24 went to storage, chain-linked fence storage places that  
25 they had up on the paving, and no one even came over and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 asked who I was.

2 You know, it is -- it is just incredible at  
3 how casual, you know, the security atmosphere and  
4 attitude is there. It -- you know, it boggles my mind  
5 that -- that I could just get that close to the plant.

6 And I am -- I am not a terrorist, but I am  
7 thinking to myself, you know, -- you know, there are all  
8 kinds of weapons have now. You know, shoulder-mounted  
9 rocket launchers and things like that. And, you know,  
10 I could practically hit the plant with a baseball,  
11 swinging a bat.

12 You know it is -- that is why we are writing  
13 this petition. We really think that it is just -- just  
14 way too casual at Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant.

15 MS. LAMPERT: Yes. And another point.  
16 Mary Lampert.

17 What Bill just said reminded me that some  
18 of the FLEX equipment, you know, that is outside -- they  
19 are outside the protected area, and so, on the one hand,  
20 how can NRC approve the FLEX plan, the fact that the  
21 extra diesel generator, you know, was put in place when  
22 that could be taken out so easily.

23 MS. TURCO: Right. And this is Diane  
24 Turco. And I just want to sum up here that all that is  
25 happening at Pilgrim, with us being able to walk on the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 property the way we did was very frightening.

2 It is just a symptom of the lack of real  
3 oversight by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. When  
4 I read on their website the answer to the question, "What  
5 is the danger from radioactive contamination as a result  
6 of a terrorist attack?" the response by the Nuclear  
7 Regulatory Commission is, quote, "It is very unlikely  
8 that any substantial radiological releases would occur  
9 from an attack on a spent fuel pool or dry cask storage."

10 Now, just that the NRC acknowledges that  
11 they don't even see any risk, very unlikely risk of any  
12 release, radiological release is a very huge concern  
13 because, like I said before, there are studies and  
14 documents that say just the opposite.

15 And in the Crac Report, that is talked about  
16 damages all across Massachusetts. So, you can't deny  
17 that there will be a serious and substantial  
18 radiological release if there is an attack on that spent  
19 fuel pool.

20 So, I think it is really important that the  
21 Nuclear Regulatory Commission recognize the risk. And  
22 there will be no public confidence or trust when the NRC  
23 artificially reduces the threat of a catastrophic even  
24 by not including plans to consider the maximum level of  
25 credible threat or require that Entergy work to deter

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 entry to the owner-controlled property by increasing  
2 security in those areas beyond what they have done is  
3 put up no trespassing signs.

4 Safety is not a suggestion it is something  
5 that the public demands, and it is a mandate of the  
6 Nuclear Regulatory Commission to provide for public  
7 health and safety.

8 And we have put this petition in because it  
9 is not doing its job.

10 MS. LAMPERT: Mary Lampert. Again,  
11 Diane's last statement concerning NRC description of  
12 the probability consequences of a terrorist attack on  
13 spent fuel.

14 We noted -- I noted that in the Peachbottom  
15 study done for the nuclear waste, new nuclear waste  
16 confidence, it talked about what the consequences would  
17 be of a spent fuel fire.

18 Of course, they never bothered looking at  
19 security as a potential cause, however the consequences  
20 were described that would make uninhabitable an area  
21 about the size of Massachusetts.

22 Our own attorney general, the New York  
23 Attorney General, Connecticut, Vermont, all looked into  
24 and have looked into the consequences of a spent fuel  
25 pool fire that could be caused by, among other things,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 a security attack.

2 And so, recognizing there is a problem, if  
3 you -- if you want for your own PR purposes, to say it  
4 is a small probability, the consequences are  
5 undeniable. Therefore, when severe consequences, it  
6 then becomes prudent to step up to the NIPP  
7 responsibilities of deterrents.

8 And I would broaden deterrents, not only to  
9 the land, but also to the protective area that is in the  
10 water. Pilgrim is on Cape Cod Bay and, as I commented,  
11 there are 19 buoys, very thin, as a matter of fact,  
12 because we have a couple of boats, to mark that area.

13 Last summer, my husband and I went out just  
14 to, you know, see whether we could, in fact, see where  
15 the buoys were. And with the lobster pots, their sticks  
16 and then the sticks from Pilgrim, we couldn't figure out  
17 and we didn't want to be caught inside, where -- what  
18 is being marked. Where is it marked?

19 So, we asked some people who were in their  
20 boats, fishing. They just had, you know, small  
21 whalers, what have you, with anchors over. "Gee, are  
22 those the marks where we are not supposed to go in?"

23 We asked three different boats. "I don't  
24 know. Look, there are their boats in there. It must  
25 not be. It must be some other ones closer. Who knows."

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Sometimes kids use them for slaloms, for Pete's sake.

2 So, my point is that the protected area is  
3 not even protected on the water side when Pilgrim was  
4 cited as being highly-vulnerable.

5 We know, if you go down to New London,  
6 Connecticut, you can see floating barriers to protect  
7 the atomic submarines. That is a possibility. But, of  
8 course, it is not there. Also, the possibility for  
9 grates at the mouth of the intake canal.

10 Entergy and Millstone, they didn't want it  
11 because they might have spent some more money scraping  
12 the mussels off to the grates. So, we know, it is a  
13 piece of cake to be able to either a diver or a remote  
14 controlled, operated using the underwater missiles to  
15 take out the cooling structure.

16 Not a difficult operation. So, when I  
17 stand in line -- I like to travel a lot. When I stand  
18 in line at the airport, and they want me to take off my  
19 shoes and they going into my bag because I might have  
20 a large bottle of shampoo, but the nuclear reactor is  
21 wide open to attack from the air, from the water, and  
22 it would not be difficult from the land, and nobody seems  
23 to want to do anything about it because it would cost  
24 the licensee money.

25 I think you have got to understand that is

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 not right. Oh. I can't think of anything more to say  
2 because it is so obvious.

3 Bill, Diane, do you have anything to say?

4 MR. MAURER: No. No. I don't think there  
5 is anything more to say. You know, it is a no-brainer  
6 for me, is that the plant is quite vulnerable from the  
7 land and from the ocean and from the air. I mean, there  
8 is no restricted air space over the plant, either. So,  
9 I will close for that.

10 MS. LAMPERT: Yes. And I happen to -- Mary  
11 Lampert. I thought of one more thing.

12 During demonstrations some people have  
13 been arrested for putting their toe on the property.  
14 Now, why are they doing that if they don't think it is  
15 -- would be dangerous to have 80-year-old ladies  
16 stepping their toe over the property?

17 But it does say if you are going to arrest  
18 people, then you must recognize there is a problem. If  
19 you recognize there is a problem, then you should be  
20 having real concerns against that, because there is an  
21 80-year-old lady or two. That is one thing.

22 But, that is not saying everyone or anyone  
23 who is going to plan to cause harm is going to be like  
24 those 80-year-old ladies. So, again, you can't have it  
25 both ways.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           If you aren't going to actually protect and  
2 keep people out, then you might as well have your picnic  
3 benches, serve cocktails, gin and tonic's on Friday  
4 nights in the summer. Maybe show a few movies. Have  
5 a whale of a time.

6           At least you will be accomplishing what  
7 your goal is, that let's not be fearful of nuclear  
8 reactors, let's pretend there can be no problems and we  
9 will do this by assuring there will be a problem.

10           Oh, it is bad for my blood pressure, so I  
11 am not going to talk anymore.

12           MS. TURCO: Right. And, this is Diane  
13 Turco again. And I -- I will support Mary, too, because  
14 there are gates there. There are no trespassing signs.  
15 There is an empty guard shack. Empty.

16           And again, too, is that -- is that just  
17 there to make it look like there is security there when  
18 we kind of proved that there wasn't. And again, this  
19 is a very, very serious issue. We are talking about a  
20 nuclear power reactor, 35 miles south of Boston and 35  
21 miles north of where I live.

22           MS. LAMPERT: And 37 miles from  
23 Providence.

24           MS. TURCO: Does the Board have any  
25 questions?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. GAVRILAS: We are waiting for you to  
2 conclude your remarks.

3 MS. LAMPERT: I am finished. I told you,  
4 it is bad for my blood pressure.

5 MR. MAURER: Yes. I am -- this is Bill  
6 Maurer. I have concluded my remarks, as well.

7 MS. TURCO: And this is Diane Turco, and I  
8 would just like to make one more remark in just a general  
9 not so much towards the petition.

10 But, given the Nuclear Regulatory  
11 Commission's recent report from 1/26/15, after a whole  
12 year of oversight because of the degrading cornerstone  
13 that Entergy was given for Pilgrim, it has reiterated  
14 that Pilgrim still remains as one of the worst operating  
15 reactors in the world.

16 So, while we are very concerned about a  
17 terrorist attack that is real because our senators in  
18 the 9/11 Commission said so, we are also feeling that  
19 Entergy and Pilgrim Nuclear is a terrorist site, itself,  
20 for us, because of the dangerous and degrading  
21 cornerstone that Entergy did not complete corrective  
22 actions that they should have, that the last storm --  
23 two storms ago, Juno, knocked it out do the scramble of  
24 complications.

25 And then, just after Valentine's day,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Entergy shut it down on their own with an incoming storm  
2 but, again, there were more complications when they  
3 tried to restart it.

4 So, yes, we know there is a terrorist threat  
5 out there, but we also are threatened by the continued  
6 operation of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Reactor in  
7 Plymouth, and we ask the Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
8 to seriously consider to revoking the operating license  
9 there for the public health and safety.

10 MS. LAMPERT: That isn't part of the  
11 petition. Mary Lampert.

12 MS. TURCO: I know. I know. I know.

13 MS. LAMPERT: That is not part of the  
14 petition, so I feel it is inappropriate.

15 MS. TURCO: Okay.

16 MS. GAVRILAS: Any more comments from the  
17 petitioners?

18 MR. MAURER: I have concluded. This is  
19 Bill Maurer.

20 MS. LAMPERT: Concluded. Mary Lampert.

21 MS. TURCO: I am concluded. Diane Turco.

22 MS. GAVRILAS: Okay. Thank you again.

23 At this time does the staff hear at  
24 Headquarters have any questions for the petitioners?

25 (No response.)

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. GAVRILAS: I am seeing none.

2 What about the Region?

3 MR. SHAFFER: No questions from Region I.

4 MS. GAVRILAS: Thank you.

5 Does the licensee have any questions?

6 (No response.)

7 MS. GAVRILAS: Again, I am hearing none.

8 Do any members of the public have questions  
9 about the 2.206 petition process?

10 MS. LEGERE: Yes. This is Chris Legere.  
11 How long does it take to wrap this up? When can one  
12 expect a decision?

13 MS. MORGAN: Well, honestly, you know,  
14 that is really based on schedule, but we do, you know,  
15 proceed immediately.

16 After this meeting here, the PRB will meet  
17 internally to come up with an initial recommendation as  
18 to whether or not to reject or accept the petition and  
19 then, upon approval from management, then I will notify  
20 the petitioners of that decision and then offer them a  
21 separate -- or a second opportunity to address the  
22 Board.

23 After that, then we will meet again to  
24 discuss our final recommendations and then, upon  
25 approval of management also, we will determine, you

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 know, if the petition will actually be accepted or  
2 rejected.

3 And so, depending on which happens, the  
4 process is different. If it is going to be rejected,  
5 there will be a closure letter. Obviously, if it is  
6 going to be accepted, it will be a proposed director's  
7 decision as the final document, and that process is a  
8 little longer because then we are investigating the  
9 petition.

10 So, it is really hard to say. But it is an  
11 ongoing process. We do try to, you know, get it done  
12 as soon as possible.

13 MS. LAMPERT: Mary Lampert. Nadiyah, I  
14 have another point. I have brought this up many times.

15 MS. MORGAN: All right.

16 MS. LAMPERT: In a proceeding I was in on  
17 one of the NRC orders, Judge Rosenthal was sitting on  
18 the Atomic Safety Licensing Board, and he accurately  
19 said that was one possible exception, the NRC has not  
20 granted a 2.206 petitioner substantive relief for at  
21 least 37 years.

22 He concluded where, "Truly substantive  
23 relief is being sought by some affirmative  
24 administrative action taken with respect to the  
25 licensee or license. There should be no room for a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 belief on the requester's part to pursue such a course  
2 is either being encouraged by Commission officialdom or  
3 has been fair chance of success."

4 That is from his memorandum and order deny  
5 petitions for hearing LBP 1214, July 10, 2012.  
6 Additional comments of Judge Rosenthal.

7 And I will add there is no ability to appeal  
8 a final PRB decision which also slams the door shut for  
9 relief. There is a potential we are learning, to go to  
10 the OIG.

11 But, my request would be to save a Judge  
12 Rosenthal in the future could the Petition Review Board  
13 keep score as the petitions come in and indicate, as  
14 Judge Rosenthal has discussed, too, what it was about  
15 and whether it was accepted, what relief was or was not  
16 granted.

17 That way, I think it makes this whole  
18 process more transparent and it would be helpful for the  
19 public, but I think for the NRC also.

20 MS. MORGAN: Thank you for that, Ms.  
21 Lampert.

22 MS. LAMPERT: This is a request on process.

23 MS. MORGAN: Thank you, Ms. Lampert.

24 MS. LAMPERT: Oh. Thank you, Nadiyah.

25 You really do a very nice job in keeping everybody up

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to date on the status and procedure.

2 MS. GAVRILAS: Thank you for that  
3 feedback, and thank you for everything.

4 Unless there are any -- any further  
5 comments, I would like to say thank you to the  
6 petitioners for taking time to provide the NRC staff  
7 with clarifying information on the petition you  
8 submitted.

9 Before we close, does the court reporter  
10 need any additional information for the meeting  
11 transcript?

12 MS. RENDER: No. This is Diane. I just  
13 want to thank you for your time.

14 (Whereupon, the conference call was  
15 concluded at 10:23 a.m.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1  
2  
3  
4  
5