#### James, Lois

| From:        | Ranek, Nancy L.:(GenCo-Nuc) <nancy.ranek@exeloncorp.com></nancy.ranek@exeloncorp.com> |
|--------------|---|
| Sent:        | Friday, February 13, 2015 9:56 AM   |
| То:          | James, Lois   |
| Cc:          | Gallagher, Michael P:(GenCo-Nuc); Fulvio, Albert A:(GenCo-Nuc); Hufnagel Jr, John     |
|              | G:(GenCo-Nuc)   |
| Subject:     | Minor Updates, Corrections, and Clarifications Regarding Draft NUREG-1437,            |
|              | Supplement 54   |
| Attachments: | RS-15-072 - Byron-Comments on Draft SEIS.pdf; 2015.02.12                              |
|              | _MinorUpdates_Corrections_Clarif_DSEIS_Supp54.pdf                                     |

Hi Lois --

As you know, by letter dated Thursday, February 12, 2015, Exelon submitted comments for the record regarding the Draft NUREG-1437 Supplement 54 for the Byron Station License Renewal. I am attaching that submittal for your information.

With this message, I am also forwarding an informal list of additional minor updates, corrections, and clarifications that NRC may want to consider.

Please call if there are questions.

Thanks. *Nancy* 

Nancy L. Ranek License Renewal Environmental Lead Exelon Generation, LLC 200 Exelon Way, KSA/2-E Kennett Square, PA 19348 Phone: 610-765-5369 Fax: 610-765-5658 Email: <u>nancy.ranek@exeloncorp.com</u>

1/2/2015 80FR 55

6

2

Ūή

P

Ϋ́

ა წ

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential, may contain legal, professional or other privileged information, and are intended solely for the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, do not use the information in this e-mail in any way, delete this e-mail and notify the sender. -EXCIP

> SUNSI Review Complete Template = ADM - 013 E-RIDS= ADM-03 Add=  $\angle$  James (LMJ)

1

Where suggested changes to the SEIS are provided, they are highlighted with **bolded italic** font for inserted text and strikethrough font for deleted text.

|   | Page  | Line     | Section                     | Comment   |
|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|---|
| 1 | xxi   | 11       | Executive<br>Summary        | In the Executive Summary, on page xxi in line 11,<br>add "Revision 1" after the words "(GEIS) for License<br>Renewal of Nuclear Plants."  |
| 2 | xxiii |          | Executive<br>Summary        | For consistency with 10 CFR Part 51, Table B-1,<br>insert the following parenthetical into the Executive<br>Summary on page xxiii after the words "Water use<br>conflicts with aquatic resources":<br>"(plants with cooling ponds or cooling towers<br>using makeup water from a river)" to match,  |
| 3 | xxiii |          | Executive<br>Summary        | For consistency with 10 CFR Part 51, Table B-1, edit<br>the text in the Executive Summary on page xxiii as<br>follows:<br>"Threatened, or endangered, and protected<br>species and essential fish habitat"  |
| 4 | xxvii | 21 to 22 | Abbreviations &<br>Acronyms | The two definitions for "APE" provided on lines 21 to 22 on page xxvii apply only to "APE" as used in the DSEIS Appendix F. In the main body of the DSEIS, "APE" is used as an acronym for "area of potential effect" as applicable to historic and archaeological resources (see pp. 3-65, 4-46, and 4-106). The additional meaning for APE should be added to the list of Abbreviations & Acronyms. |
| 5 | 1-1   | 19 to 20 | 1.1                         | <ul> <li>In lines 19 to 20 on page 1-1:</li> <li>Add a space between "NRP-37" and the word "and".</li> <li>License[s] for an additional 20 years – license should be plural.</li> </ul>   |
| 6 | 1-7   | 20 to 21 | 1.10                        | In lines 20 to 21 on page 1-7, add the word<br>"applicable" as follows:<br>"Exelon is responsible for complying with all<br><i>applicable</i> NRC regulations and other<br>applicable Federal, state and local<br>requirements."  |
| 7 | 2-2   | 16 to 18 | 2.1.2                       | The following sentence is redundant to information<br>earlier in the paragraph. Suggest deleting:<br>"Examples of these activities include, but are not<br>limited to, replacement of boiling-water reactor<br>recirculation piping and pressurized water reactor<br>steam generators."   |
| 8 | 2-4   | 29       | 2.2.2                       | Change "at the end of this section" to "in section 2.3"   |
| 9 | 2-5   | 28       | 2.2.2                       | As written, the sentence in line 28 on page 2-5<br>suggests that only ComEd customers receive<br>electricity from Byron. Consider changing the<br>sentence as follows:<br>"Byron is owned and operated by Exelon and  |

Where suggested changes to the SEIS are provided, they are highlighted with **bolded italic** font for inserted text and strikethrough font for deleted text.

|    | Page                | Line                      | Section | Comment  |
|----|---------------------|---------------------------|---------|--|
|    |                     |                           |         | provides electricity through Commonwealth<br>Edison to the ROI through transmission<br>lines owned by Commonwealth Edison".  |
| 10 | 2-6                 | 11                        | 2.2.2   | In line 11 on page 2-6, hyphenate the words<br>"megawatt hours"as follows:<br>"megawatt-hours"   |
| 11 | 2-6                 | 2                         | 2.2.2   | In line 2 on page 2-6, consider changing the phrase<br>" procured from adjoining states" as follows:<br>" procured from <i>Illinois or</i> adjoining<br>states   |
| 12 | 2-7                 | 31 to 33                  | 2.2.2   | In lines 31 to 33 on page 2-7, "clean coal technology" is mentioned twice. Delete the duplicate.   |
| 13 | 2-11                | 35                        | 2.2.2.1 | A short citation to "NRC 2011" is provided in line 35<br>on page 2-11, but no corresponding full citation is<br>provided on page 4-126 in section 4.18 (References).   |
| 14 | 2-12                | 23 to 24                  | 2.2.2.2 | In lines 23 to 24 on page 2-12, consider inserting text<br>as follows:<br>"The technology is cleaner than conventional<br>pulverized coal plants because <b>some of the</b><br>major pollutants are removed from the gas<br>stream before combustion." |
| 15 | 2-12                | 46                        | 2.2.2.2 | In line 46 on page 2-12, consider inserting text as<br>follows:<br>"The IGCC plant will reduce carbon emissions<br>per MWh by nearly half <i>compared to</i><br><i>conventional coal-fired power plants</i> (Duke<br>Energy 2013)."                    |
| 16 | 2-15                | 23                        | 2.2.2.4 | Delete the words "the environmental impacts of" on line 23   |
| 17 | 2-16<br>and<br>2-17 | 45 to 49<br>and<br>1 to 2 | 2.2.2.4 | In lines 45 to 49 on page 2-16 and lines 1 to 2 on page 2-17, it is not clear how the information is pertinent to the proposed wind alternative, which does not include interconnecting of wind farms as a firming capacity method.                    |
| 18 | 2-18                | 30 to 34                  | 2.2.2.5 | The discussion of impacts in lines 30 to 34 on page 2-18 seems out of place. Consider moving it to Chapter 4.  |
| 19 | 2-20                | 21 to 22                  | 2.3.3   | In lines 21 to 22 on page 2-20, consider changing the sentence as follows:<br>"The NRC staff <i>described</i> evaluated such a possible combination <i>alternative</i> as described in Section 2.2.2.4."   |

|    | Page | Line      | Section | Comment   |
|----|------|-----------|---------|---|
|    |      |           |         |   |
| 20 | 2-21 | 18 to 19  | 2.3.3.3 | In lines 18 to 19 on page 2-21, consider changing the<br>sentence as follows:<br>"The NRC staff <i>described</i> evaluated such a<br>possible combination <i>alternative</i> as described<br>in Section 2.2.2.4."   |
| 21 | 2-22 | 36        | 2.3.6   | In line 36 on page 2-22, correct the name "Electric<br>Power Resource Institute (EPRI)" as follows:<br>"Electric Power <del>Resource</del> <b>Research</b> Institute<br>(EPRI)".  |
| 22 | 2-27 | Table 2-2 | Note 9  | In Note 9 for Table 2-2 on page 2-27, change the 1st<br>sentence as follows:<br>"The Purchased Power Alternative could <del>be</del><br>disproportionately affect low-income<br>populations <del>by</del> <b>because of</b> increased utility<br>bills <del>because of</del> <i>resulting from</i> the cost of<br>purchased power."   |
| 23 | 2-27 | Table 2-2 | Note 3  | Modify Note 3 for Table 2-2 on page 2-27 by<br>replacing the words "these populations" with the<br>words "minority and low-income populations". The<br>revised text should read: "Continued operation of<br>Byron would not have disproportionately high and<br>adverse human health and environmental effects on<br>theseminority and low-income populations."   |
| 24 | 3-1  | 9         | 3.1     | In line 9 on page 3-1, change "Ogle, Illinois" to "Ogle County, Illinois".  |
| 25 | 3-5  | 1 to 2    | 3.1.1   | To ensure clarity in lines 1 to 2 on page 3-5, consider<br>editing the phrase "(Byron Salvage Site; not<br>contaminated by activities at Byron)" as follows:<br>" (Byron Salvage Site; not contaminated by<br>activities at-related to the construction and<br>operation of Byron Station)"   |
| 26 | 3-8  | 42 to 44  | 3.1.3.3 | In lines 42 to 44 on page 3-8, the text indicates that<br>the essential service water system includes two<br>12-inch pipelines from the river screen house that are<br>dedicated to providing a source of backup makeup<br>water. Consider whether, for completeness, the<br>additional emergency backup water source for<br>makeup to the essential service water from the two<br>on-site deep wells should also be mentioned. |
| 27 | 3-10 | 10 to 11  | 3.1.4.1 | Change the phrase " these wastes are either<br>released under controlled conditions via the cooling<br>water system or" as follows: " these wastes are<br>eitherreused, released under controlled conditions<br>via the cooling water system, or"   |

|    | Page | Line     | Section | Comment   |
|----|------|----------|---------|---|
| 28 | 3-13 | 30       | 3.1.4.3 | In line 30 on page 3-13, change "would" to "will."  |
| 29 | 3-13 | 16 to 18 | 3.1.4.3 | Based on the Byron UFSAR section 11.4.2.4, p.<br>11.4-11, modify the sentence in lines 16 to 18 on<br>page 3-13 as follows:<br>"Byron has a-drumming <i>and storage</i> area <i>s</i> where<br>twowithin which a total of four remotely operated<br>cranes (two per unit) are used to transport and<br>position thestored drums-while in storage, as well as<br>transport them to trucks for offsite disposal."   |
| 30 | 3-14 | 40       | 3.1.5   | In line 40 on page 3-14, replace "1420.104(a)" with<br>"Sections 1420 through 1422 and 1450" because<br>§ 1420.104(a) addresses only the ban on disposal of<br>PIMW in Illinois landfills, while taken together,<br>Sections 1420 through 1422 and 1450 address<br>requirements applicable to transportation and<br>disposal of PIMW.   |
| 31 | 3-15 | 16 to 18 | 3.1.6.2 | Consider revising the sentence on lines 16 to 18 as<br>follows: "Fuel is supplied to each <i>standby</i> _diesel<br><i>generator</i> via the Fuel Oil System, which contains<br>various tanks and fuel transfer pumps that <i>sized to</i><br>provide fuel to each engine for a minimum of 7 days<br><i>efduring post-accident</i> operation without offsite<br>support."   |
| 32 | 3-15 | 37       | 3.1.6.5 | Revise the phrase "are with the scope of the NRC's<br>license renewal review" in line 37 on page 3-15 as<br>follows:<br>" are withwithin the scope of the NRC's<br>license renewal <b>environmental</b> review".  |
| 33 | 3-15 | 43       | 3.1.6.5 | In line 43 on page 3-15, change the word "systems" to "system" and change the word "connect" to "connects".   |
| 34 | 3-15 | 18 to 20 | 3.1.6.2 | Because there are smaller tanks within the Fuel Oil<br>System for equipment other than the standby diesel<br>generators, the sentence in lines 18 to 20 on page<br>3-15 would be more accurate if changed as follows:<br>"Byron's Fuel Oil System <del>consists of</del> <i>includes</i><br>four 25,000-gallon (gal) diesel oil storage<br>tanks <del>dedicated to</del> <i>for the two</i> Unit 1 <i>standby</i><br><i>diesel generators</i> and two 50,000-gal<br>storage tanks <del>dedicated to</del> <i>for the two</i> Unit 2<br><i>standby diesel generators</i> (2013d)." |
| 35 | 3-16 | 1        | 3.1.6.5 | In line 1 on page 3-16, change the phrase "Both switchyards" to "The switchyard"  |
| 36 | 3-17 | 19       | 3.2.1   | In line 19 on page 3-17, change "Bryon" to "Byron.  |

Where suggested changes to the SEIS are provided, they are highlighted with **bolded italic** font for inserted text and strikethrough font for deleted text.

| [  | Page | Line     | Section | Comment   |
|----|------|----------|---------|---|
|    | 3-17 | 20 to 21 | 3.2.1   | The sentence in lines 20 to 21 on page 3-17 could be  |
| 37 | 5-17 | 201021   | J.2. I  | misinterpreted to mean that Weld Memorial Park is<br>on the Rock River. Instead, it is on Black Walnut<br>Creek. Consider deleting the phrase "on the Rock<br>River," as follows:<br>"These parks offer such recreational activities<br>to the public as camping, picnicking, hiking,<br>fishing, and boating-on the Rock River."   |
| 38 | 3-17 | 40       | 3.2.1   | Delete "highways" in line 40 on page 3-17.  |
| 39 | 3-17 | 46 to 48 | 3.2.1   | The sentence in lines 46 to 48 on page 3-17 is very<br>awkward. Consider dividing it into at least two<br>separate sentences as follows:<br>"The Oregon Dam, 4 mi (6.4 km)<br>downstream, creates the pool from which<br>Byron draws its circulating water makeup and<br><i>to which</i> discharges its blowdown <i>is</i><br><i>discharged</i> .to and. The Dam also controls<br>the water level <i>in the pool</i> at the intake."  |
| 40 | 3-18 | 33       | 3.3.1   | Change "ft" to "mi" in line 33 on page 3-18.  |
| 41 | 3-18 | 14       | 3.2.2   | In line 14 on page 3-18, insert the word "above" before the phrase "mean sea level."  |
| 42 | 3-19 | 13       | 3.3.1   | Because, as written, the text does not indicate when<br>annual average temperature measurements were<br>taken, consider specifying the beginning and ending<br>years that define the "62-year period" mentioned in<br>line 13 on page 3-19  |
| 43 | 3-19 | 25       | 3.3.1   | Because, as written, the text does not indicate when<br>annual precipitation measurements were taken,<br>consider specifying the beginning and ending years<br>that define the "30-year period" mentioned in line 25<br>on page 3-19  |
| 44 | 3-20 | 38 to 40 | 3.3.2   | In line 39 on page 3-20, the phrase "and there are no<br>reported violations since October 1, 2011" is unclear<br>because it suggests that a violation of the Byron<br>FESOP permit limitations may have occurred on<br>October 1, 2011. Consider revising the sentence in<br>lines 38 to 40, as follows:<br>"Byron has been in compliance with the<br>requirements set forth in the air permit, and<br>there area review of information for a<br>period beginning October 1, 2011<br>indicates no reported violations-since<br>October 1, 2011." |

.

Where suggested changes to the SEIS are provided, they are highlighted with **bolded italic** font for inserted text and strikethrough font for deleted text.

| <u>г</u> | inserted text and strikethrough font for deleted text. |          |         |   |  |  |
|----------|--|----------|---------|---|--|--|
| 1        | Page   | Line     | Section | Comment   |  |  |
| 45       | 3-20   | 23 to 27 | 3.3.2   | The sentence on lines 23 to 25 on page 3-20 states<br>that McHenry County and Kane County are<br>nonattainment areas for PM2.5. The next sentence<br>on lines 26 and 27 on page 3-20 states that McHenry<br>County and Kane County are "also designated<br>maintenance areas for the PM2.5 standard." As<br>such, the two sentences appear to contradict one<br>another because the counties cannot simultaneously<br>be both "nonattainment" and "maintenance" areas for<br>the same pollutant. Consider better clarifying the<br>attainment status of McHenry and Kane Counties.  |  |  |
| 46       | 3-22   | 31       | 3.3.3   | In line 31 on page 3-22, insert a space between the comma in the term "(corona discharge)," and the words "relief valve" as follows:<br>" (corona discharge), relief valves,"   |  |  |
| 47       | 3-23   | 1        | 3.3.3   | In line 1 on page 3-23, replace the word "chipping" with the word "chirping."   |  |  |
| 48       | 3-23   | 5        | 3.3     | In line 5 on page 3-23, change "(9 km)" to "(1 km)"<br>because 0.6 mi = 0.966 km  |  |  |
| 49       | 3-23   | 26 to 27 | 3.4.1   | The sentence in lines 26 to 27 on page 3-23 is also<br>used (verbatim) in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, and in each<br>case a different source document is cited. Consider<br>citing the same source document in all cases.   |  |  |
| 50       | 3-27   | 7        | 3.5.1   | Citation in line 7 on page 3-27 should read "(USGS 2013d, 2013e)" rather than "(USGS 2013d, 20113e)."   |  |  |
| 51       | 3-28   | 25 to 26 | 3.5.1.2 | <ul> <li>For clarity, consider revising the sentence in lines 25 to 26 on page 3-28 as follows:</li> <li>"This The motivation for this operational limit is prescribed bydocumented in Byron's UFSAR.</li> <li>The change is suggested because the Byron UFSAR Section 2.4.11.5 (PDF page 1721; UFSAR page 2.4-20) states that "The maximum water requirement for the plant is 107 cfs."</li> <li>The Byron UFSAR Section 10.4.5 (PDF page 6502; UFSAR page 10.4-8) further states that if consumptive demand at full load exceeds 10 % of the river flow, then net withdrawal will be maintained at a level acceptable to the Illinois Department of Conservation, and if necessary, plant power level will be reduced until river flow increases. There is no mention in the Byron UFSAR, however, of limiting</li> </ul> |  |  |
| 52       | 3-29   | 27       | 3.5.1.3 | withdrawal to 125 cfs.<br>Delete the second period at the end of the sentence   |  |  |
| UZ       | 0-23   | <u> </u> | 0.0.1.0 | Delete the second period at the end of the sentence   |  |  |

Where suggested changes to the SEIS are provided, they are highlighted with **bolded italic** font for inserted text and strikethrough font for deleted text.

|    | Page | Line     | Section    | ethrough font for deleted text. Comment  |
|----|------|----------|------------|--|
|    |      |          |            | in line 27 on page 3-29.   |
| 53 | 3-29 | 27       | 3.5.1.3    | For clarity, consider inserting the words "not<br>associated with Byron Station" after the words<br>"various upstream sources" in line 27 on page 3-29.  |
| 54 | 3-32 | 28       | 3.5.2      | Change the phrase "within and near the Byron" in<br>line 28 on page 3-32 by deleting the word "the"<br>between the word "near" and the word "Byron" as<br>follows:<br>" within and near the Byron."  |
| 55 | 3-36 | 4        | 3.5.2.2    | Change the phrase "to the southwest of the Byron" in<br>line 3 on page 3-36 by deleting the word "the"<br>between the words "of" and "Byron" as follows:<br>" to the southwest of the-Byron."  |
| 56 | 3-36 | 44       | 3.5.2.3    | To clarify the shift from discussing groundwater<br>contamination from the Byron Salvage Yard<br>Superfund Site to discussing groundwater<br>contamination from the Byron Station<br>intake/discharge pipeline, consider replacing the<br>words "the plant" in line 44 on page 3-36 with the<br>words "Byron Station," as follows:<br>" pipeline that runs from the plant Byron<br>Station to the Rock River." |
| 57 | 3-39 | 9        | 3.6.2      | Should be Phleum pratense, not "pretense"  |
| 58 | 3-39 | 25       | 3.6.2      | Should be Q. palustris, not "palustria"  |
| 59 | 3-39 | 27       | 3.6.2      | Should be C. ovata, not "ovate"  |
| 60 | 3-41 |          | Table 3-5  | Should be Equisetum pratense, not "pretense"   |
| 61 | 3-41 |          | Table 3-5  | Should be Luzula acuminata, not "acuminate"  |
| 62 | 3-45 |          | Table 3-8  | Should be Myotis sodalis, not "sodalist"   |
| 63 | 3-47 | 14       | 3.6.4      | Suggest using the word "restoration" rather than<br>"addition" on line 14 on page 3-47, as follows:<br>" and the possible <del>addition <i>restoration</i></del> of<br>prairie plant habitat on the Byron property"  |
| 64 | 3-47 | 17 to 18 | 3.7        | In lines 17 to 18 on page 3-47, consider revising the<br>phrase "from which the facility withdrawals and<br>discharges cooling system make-up and blowdown<br>water" as follows:<br>" from which the facility<br>withdrawalswithdraws and discharges<br>cooling system make-up water and to which<br>it discharges blowdown water."  |
| 65 | 3-54 |          | Table 3-11 | The scientific name for White sucker should be<br>Catastomus commersoni, not "Catostomas"  |

|    | Page | Line     | Section    | Comment  |
|----|------|----------|------------|--|
| 66 | 3-54 |          | Table 3-11 | In row 20 of Table 3-11 on page 3-54, "Notropis<br>spilopterus" is listed as the scientific name for<br>"spottail shiner." This is incorrect because the<br>scientific name for spottail shiner is actually Notropis<br>hudsonius (see DSEIS Table 3-12, p. 3-56). It<br>appears that the DSEIS author relied on the Byron<br>Operating License Environmental Report (ComEd<br>1981) for the entry of "Notropis spilopterus" in Table<br>3-11 as the scientific name for spottail shiner.<br>However, the Byron Operating License<br>Environmental Report was in error. In 1981, Notropis<br>spilopterus was the scientific name for the spotfin<br>shiner (rather than spottail shiner). Furthermore, the<br>spotfin shiner was reclassified and renamed<br><i>Cyprinella spiloptera</i> circa 1990. So, it is now<br>impossible to tell which species was actually<br>collected at that time. Consider either deleting the<br>erroneus row 20 from Table 3-11, or adding an<br>explanatory footnote. |
| 67 | 3-58 |          | Table 3-13 | <ul> <li>Several of the names in the "Common Name" column are actually scientific names. Consider correcting this as follows:</li> <li>Change "Ictiobinae spp." to "carpsuckers and buffaloes."</li> <li>Change "Notropis spp." to "shiners."</li> <li>Change "Lepomids" to either "sunfish" (a large group that also includes black bass and crappies) or "bream."</li> </ul>   |
| 68 | 3-64 | 40 to 42 | 3.8.1      | The text in lines 40 to 42 on page 3-64 states that<br>"As discussed in Section 3.7, the Rock River does<br>not contain marine or anadromous fish species."<br>However, Section 3.7 contains no such discussion,<br>although a reader knowledgeable about the<br>distribution and life histories of all the fish species<br>listed in Tables 3-11, 3-12, and 3-13 might infer that<br>no marine/anadromous species are present. To<br>improve clarity, consider explicitly stating in Section<br>3.7 that the data in Tables 3-11, 3-12, and 3-13<br>demonstrate that no marine/anadromous species are<br>present in the Rock River.   |
| 69 | 3-67 | 14 to 15 | 3.9.1      | In lines 14 to 15 on page 3-67, consider deleting from<br>the PDF file for the DSEIS the electronic hyperlinks<br>to external web sites for "loway" and "Mascouten."   |
| 70 | 3-68 | 1 to 2   | 3.9.2      | In lines 1 to 2 on page 3-68, consider explaining why the cultural resource sites identified in Table 3-15 are   |

Where suggested changes to the SEIS are provided, they are highlighted with **bolded italic** font for inserted text and strikethrough font for deleted text.

|    | Page  | Line       | Section | Comment   |
|----|-------|------------|---------|---|
|    |       |            |         | ineligible for the NRHP. This could be accomplished   |
|    |       |            |         | by changing the sentence in lines 1 to 2 as follows:<br>"All sites are ineligible for the NRHP <i>because</i>   |
| 71 | 3-86  | 23 to 24   | 3.11.1  | In lines 23 to 24 on page 3-86, change the word<br>"environmental" to "environment" as follows:<br>" in the environment <del>al</del> that may".  |
| 72 | 3-87  | 13         | 3.11.2  | In line 13 on page 3-87, change the phrase "site-<br>specific chemical spill" as follows:<br>"site-specific <i>oil and</i> chemical spill".   |
| 73 | 3-87  | 20         | 3.11.3  | On page 3-87, delete the words "Radioactive Waste"<br>from the beginning of line 20, as follows:<br>"Radioactive Waste-Nuclear plants that have<br>"  |
| 74 | 3-90  | 22         | 3.12    | On page 3-90, delete the words "Environmental<br>Justice" from the beginning of line 22, as follows:<br>"Environmental Justice-Under Executive<br>Order (EO) 12898"   |
| 75 | 3-91  | . 31 to 32 | 3.12.1  | In lines 31 to 32 on page 3-91, consider revising the<br>words as follows:<br>" composed 23.7 percent of the total<br>twothree-county population (see Table<br><u>3-19</u> 3-22)."  |
| 76 | 3-102 | 29 to 34   | 3.14    | The version of the Byron Storm Water Pollution<br>Prevention Plan provided to the NRC in response to<br>RAI WR-SW-1b [Exelon letter RS-13-282 to NRC,<br>12/19/2013] is dated January 2013 (rather than June<br>2003). The citation for this document provided in<br>lines 29 to 34 on page 3-102 (i.e., Exelon 2003)<br>should be corrected accordingly. |
| 77 | 4-14  | 15 to 16   | 4.3.5.1 | Revise the sentence in lines 5 to 6 on page 4-14 to<br>indicate that Illinois is included among the states<br>covered by CAIR, as follows:<br>"The CAIR requires 27 states (including<br><i>Illinois,</i> Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Missouri,<br>Kentucky, and Wisconsin) to improve air<br>quality,"  |
| 78 | 4-15  | 41         | 4.3.5.2 | In line 41 on page 4-16, delete the word<br>"construction" as follows:<br>"The NRC Staff concludes that <del>construction</del><br>operation-related noise impacts from the<br>NGCC alternative would be SMALL."  |

Where suggested changes to the SEIS are provided, they are highlighted with **bolded italic** font for inserted text and strikethrough font for deleted text.

|    | Page                | Line                   | Section | Comment   |
|----|---------------------|------------------------|---------|---|
|    | _                   |                        |         |   |
| 79 | 4-15<br>and<br>4-16 | 45<br>and<br>1, 4, & 9 | 4.3.6   | For consistency among the discussions of generating capacity for all alternatives, consider using "MWe" instead of "MW" in line 45 on page 4-15 and lines 1, 4 and 9 on page 4-16, when discussing the generating capacity of the proposed components of the Combination Alternative.   |
| 80 | 4-16                | 4                      | 4.3.6   | In line 4 on page 4-16, revise the phrase "The NGCC<br>alternative" as follows:<br>"The NGCC <i>portion of the combination</i><br>alternative".   |
| 81 | 4-16                | 5                      | 4.3.6   | In line 5 on page 4-16, revise the phrase "that sites<br>would be located at" as follows:<br>" that sites the new unit would be located<br>at"  |
| 82 | 4-16                | 14                     | 4.3.6.1 | In line 14 on page 4-16, delete the word "that" as follows:<br>" approximately 10 percent <del>that</del> of the NGCC alternative"  |
| 83 | 4-17                | 7                      | 4.3.6.1 | In line 7 on page 4-17, revise the phrase "the NGCC<br>alternative" as follows:<br>" the NGCC <i>portion of the combination</i><br>alternative"   |
| 84 | 4-17                | 6                      | 4.3.6.1 | On p. 4-16, line 14, the NGCC component of the combination alternative is characterized as having 10 percent of the electrical output of the NGCC alternative, rather than 13 percent as indicated here (on page 4-17, line 6). Please resolve the inconsistency.   |
| 85 | 4-17                | 9 to 10                | 4.3.6.1 | The possibility that the NGCC component of the<br>Combination Alternative would have multiple units<br>and multiple sites is introduced on page 4-17 in lines<br>9 to 10. In contrast, the text on page 4-16, line 4,<br>section 4.3.6.1, states that the NGCC component of<br>the Combination Alternative would be one 267-MW<br>unit. Please resolve the inconsistency. |
| 86 | 4-18                | 23                     | 4.3.6.2 | In line 23 on page 4-18, consider deleting the redundant sentence, as follows:<br>"Minor offsite noise sources could be pipeline compressor stations."  |
| 87 | 4-18                | 45                     | 4.3.6.2 | In line 45 on page 4-18, solar tracking devices are<br>included in a list of potential noise sources for the<br>solar PV portion of the combination alternative.<br>However, one advantage of PV solar compared to<br>other solar technologies is that direct exposure to<br>sunlight is not necessary for the PV panels to   |

Where suggested changes to the SEIS are provided, they are highlighted with **bolded** *italic* font for inserted text and <del>strikethrough</del> font for deleted text.

|    | Page | Line      | Section     | Comment   |
|----|------|-----------|-------------|---|
|    |      |           |             | function, which eliminates the need for solar tracking.<br>Accordingly, consider deleting solar tracking devices<br>as a potential source of noise in line 45 on page<br>4-18.  |
| 88 | 4-20 | 17        | 4.3.8       | In line 17 on page 4-20, revise the phrase " and<br>expected to be SMALL" as follows:<br>" and <b>are</b> expected to be SMALL".  |
| 89 | 4-20 | 17 to 18  | 4.3.8       | In lines 17 to 18 on page 4-20, revise the phrase<br>" from operation of the IGCC, combination, and<br>purchased power are expected" as follows:<br>" from operation of the IGCC, combination,<br>and purchased power <i>alternatives</i> are<br>expected"  |
| 90 | 4-23 | Table 4-5 | col 1/row 3 | In Table 4-5, column 1 and row 3 on page 4-23,<br>Replace the word "patters" with the word "patterns".  |
| 91 | 4-23 | 2         | 4.5.1.1     | In line 2 on page 4-23, consider revising the<br>subsection title as follows for better consistency with<br>other subsection titles within section 4.5.1.1:<br>"Generic Surface Water Resources <i>Issues</i> "   |
| 92 | 4-25 | 4 to 5    | 4.5.1.2     | On page 4-25, revise the subtitle on lines 4 to 5 to<br>match the words in Table 4-6, col 1, row 4,as follows:<br>"Groundwater Use Conflicts (Plants <del>Using</del><br><i>With Closed-cycle</i> Cooling <del>Towers or</del><br><u>Cooling Ponds and Withdrawing</u> <i>That</i><br><i>Withdraw</i> Makeup Water From a <del>Small</del><br>River)"   |
| 93 | 4-27 | 11        | 4.5.3.1     | In line 11 on page 4-27, revise the wording as<br>follows:<br>"NRC staff expects that that the State<br>would"<br>In addition, since the new nuclear alternative is<br>prohibited in Illinois, consider providing a basis for<br>the expectation that the host state for the new<br>nuclear plant would impose limits on surface water<br>withdrawals similar to those imposed by Illinois on<br>the Byron Station. |
| 94 | 4-27 | 45        | 4.5.4.1     | In line 45 on page 4-27, consider changing "use of the Byron site" to "use of an existing power plant site".  |
| 95 | 4-28 | 35        | 4.5.5.1     | In line 35 on page 4-28, consider changing "use of the Byron site" to "use of an existing power plant site".  |

| [        | Daga | Line     | Section  | Comment  |
|----------|------|----------|----------|--|
| <u> </u> | Page |          |          |  |
| 96       | 4-29 | 24       | 4.5.6.1  | In line 24 on page 4-29, consider replacing the words "alternative sites" with the words "another existing power station site".  |
| 97       | 4-30 | 35       | 4.5.7.2  | In line 35 on page 4-30, consider replacing the words<br>"for the other alternatives" with the words "for the<br>proposed action as well as the other alternatives."   |
| 98       | 4-32 | 15 to 26 | 4.6.1.2  | Since no other Chapter 4 author/section (excluding<br>Cumulative Impacts, Section 4.16) discusses steam<br>generator replacement impacts, consider deleting the<br>text in lines 15 to 26 on page 4-32. Doing so would<br>provide an approach to the impact assessment in<br>section 4.6.1.2 that is more consistent with other<br>sections.   |
| 99       | 4-38 | 16 to 18 | 4.7.1.2  | Consider changing the sentence in lines 16 to 18 on<br>page 4-38 as follows:<br>"Thus Byron uses would have used between<br>0.7 and 1.7 percent of the Rock River's flow<br>each year for the past 12 years, under the<br>conservative assumption that Byron was<br>operating a 100 percent power at all times."   |
| 100      | 4-38 | 24 to 26 | 4.7.1.2  | Consider changing the sentence in lines 24 to 26 on<br>page 4-38 to add mussels, as follows:<br>"The fish <b>and mussel</b> species described in<br>Section 3.7do not appear to be affected"   |
| 101      | 4-41 | 18       | 4.8.1    | In line 18 on page 4-41, change the text as follows:<br>"Appendix <del>D.1</del> <i>C.1</i> contains information on the<br>NRC staff's section 7 …"  |
| 102      | 4-50 | 25 to 32 | 4.10     | The introductory paragraph to Section 4.10<br>("Socioeconomics") in lines 25 to 32 on page 4-50 is<br>very general and seems out of place. Consider<br>deleting the entire paragraph and replacing it with the<br>following:<br>"This section describes the potential<br>impacts of the proposed action (license<br>renewal) and alternatives to the proposed<br>action on socioeconomic NEPA issues." |
| 103      | 4-53 | 25       | 4.10.3.1 | Because the reference document (NRC 2008) was<br>not authored by Exelon and does not address an<br>Exelon facility, the sentence in line 25 on page 4-53<br>should be revised as follows:<br>"Exelon <i>It has been</i> estimated <i>that</i> the<br>construction workforce <i>for a new 2-unit</i><br><i>nuclear plant</i> would peak at 3,500 workers<br>(NRC 2008)."                                |

| ·   | inserted text and strikethrough font for deleted text. |          |          |  |  |  |
|-----|--|----------|----------|--|--|--|
|     | Page   | Line     | Section  | Comment  |  |  |
| 104 | 4-53   | 22 to 24 | 4.10.3.2 | Consider including refueling outage workforce<br>increases among the causes of transportation<br>impacts listed in lines 22 to 24 on page 4-53.  |  |  |
| 105 | 4-54   | 22 to 23 | 4.10.4.2 | In lines 22 to 23 on page 4-54, change the text as<br>follows:<br>" the four-unit IGCC power <del>plants</del> plant<br>would consist of"  |  |  |
| 106 | 4-63   | 41       | 4.11.1.2 | Because Section 5.3 does not discuss the results of<br>the Staff's SAMA review, delete the sentence in line<br>41 on page 4-63, as follows:<br>"The results of the review are discussed in<br>Section 5.3."  |  |  |
| 107 | 4-69   | 10       | 4.11.3   | In line 10 on page 4-69, change the phrase "two new<br>nuclear power plants" as follows:<br>" two new nuclear <del>power plants</del> "  |  |  |
| 108 | 4-69   | 11       | 4.11.3   | In line 11 on page 4-69, consider changing the<br>phrase "to those of the existing Byron" as follows:<br>" to those of <b>operating</b> the <b>two</b> existing<br>Byron <b>units.</b> "   |  |  |
| 109 | 4-69   | 14       | 4.11.3   | In line 14 on page 4-69, change the words "the<br>operation of two new nuclear plants would be<br>SMALL" as follows:<br>" the operation of two new nuclear<br>plantsunits would be SMALL."   |  |  |
| 110 | 4-69   | 17       | 4.11.4   | In line 17 on page 4-69, verify that the phrase<br>"combustion-based renewable energy" is correct.<br>Other than possibly biomass combustion, Exelon is<br>unaware of any renewable energy sources that are<br>combustion-based, and section 4.11.4 does not<br>address a biomass alternative.   |  |  |
| 111 | 4-69   | 21       | 4.11.4   | For consistency with the assumption throughout the<br>other sections in Chapter 4 that new construction<br>would be at an existing power plant site that might be<br>either nuclear or coal-fired, consider replacing the<br>words "existing nuclear plant" in line 21 on page 4-69<br>with the words "existing power plant".  |  |  |
| 112 | 4-69   | 28 to 29 | 4.11.4   | Note that air pollution control equipment does not<br>generate additional ash. Accordingly, consider<br>changing the words "equipment for controlling air<br>pollution generates additional ash and scrubber<br>sludge" in lines 28 to 29 on page 4-69 as follows:<br>" equipment for controlling air pollution<br>generates captures additional ash and<br>produces scrubber sludge, which must be<br>managed as coal combustion wastes." |  |  |

Where suggested changes to the SEIS are provided, they are highlighted with **bolded italic** font for inserted text and strikethrough font for deleted text.

|     | Dogo  | Line     | Serted text and strike | through font for deleted text.<br>Comment   |
|-----|-------|----------|------------------------|---|
|     | Page  |          |                        |   |
| 113 | 4-83  | 19 to 41 | 4.13.4 and 4.13.5      | As a clarification, consider noting in sections 4.13.4<br>and 4.13.5 that the discussions of Waste<br>Management and Pollution Prevention for the IGCC<br>and NGCC Alternatives focus solely on solid waste.<br>Airborne waste is considered separately, under the<br>sections on Air Quality, but is nevertheless a source<br>of pollution.  |
| 114 | 4-86  | 27       | 4.15.1.2               | The acronym "VOC" should be defined in line 27 on page 4-86 as well as in the list of Abbreviations and Acronyms on page xxxvii.  |
| 115 | 4-89  | 14 to 15 | 4.15.3                 | Because the sentence in lines 14 to 15 on page 4-89<br>inaccurately suggests that Byron's GHG emissions<br>are linked in some considerable way to climate<br>change, consider revising the sentence as follows:<br>"The following sections discuss GHG<br>emissions released from operation of Byron<br>Station-and theThey also discuss<br>environmental impacts that could generally<br>occur from changes in climate conditions,<br>although the significant contributory<br>effects would come from other sources<br>independent of Byron Station." |
| 116 | 4-89  | 21       | 4.15.3.1               | The acronym "HFC" should be defined in line 21 on page 4-89 as well as in the list of Abbreviations and Acronyms on page xxxi.  |
| 117 | 4-92  | 10       | Table 4-22             | On page 4-92, in the $2^{nd}$ column (labeled "CO <sub>2</sub> e") of Table 4-22, the entries in the rows titled "Byron Station continued operation" and "New Nuclear," should be changed from "1.363x10 <sup>3</sup> " to "1.363x10 <sup>4</sup> " MT/year.  |
| 118 | 4-104 | 26 to 29 | 4.16.4.6               | To clarify the conclusions in section 4.16.4.6 (lines 26<br>to 29 on page 4-104), consider inserting the words<br>"although the only significant contributory effects in<br>the region would be from projects other than Byron<br>Station" after the words "impacts to terrestrial<br>resources" in line 29, as follows:<br>" impacts to terrestrial resources although<br>the only significant contributory effects in the<br>region would be from projects other than<br>Byron Station."  |
| 119 | 4-111 | 25 to 27 | 4.16.11                | Consider the following clarifying edits in lines 25 to<br>27 on page 4-111:<br>"As described in Section 4.15.3.1, operations<br>at Byron Station emit GHG emissions directly<br>and indirectly. Therefore, it is recognized that  |

|     | Page  | Line     | Section | Comment   |
|-----|-------|----------|---------|---|
|     |       |          |         | GHG emissions from continued Byron Station<br>operation may contribute to climate change,<br>although the incremental contributions<br>from Byron Station are insignificant in<br>comparison to the contributions from<br>other sources."   |
|     |       |          |         | Absent this clarification, the sentence is misleading in<br>terms of the overall impact of Byron Station. For<br>example, the GHG emissions from the NGCC<br>alternative exceed those from the operation of Byron<br>Station by approximately 500 times. As another<br>example, the GHG emissions from Byron employee<br>vehicles are comparable to the remaining Byron<br>Station emissions. If those employees were<br>commuting to a different location, the GHG emissions<br>would be unlikely to change significantly. |
| 120 | 4-112 | 29 to 31 | 4.16.11 | To clarify the conclusions in section 4.16.11, consider<br>inserting the words "although the impacts will be<br>overwhelmingly due to other projects around the<br>world independent of Byron Station" after the word<br>"MODERATE" in line 31 on page 4-112, as follows:<br>" would be MODERATE, although the<br>impacts will be overwhelmingly due to<br>other projects around the world<br>independent of Byron Station."  |

|     | Page | Line     | Section | Comment  |
|-----|------|----------|---------|--|
| 121 | F-1  | 22 to 24 | F.1     | Consider changing the sentence in lines 22 to 24 on<br>page F-1 as follows:<br>"Exelon submitted all 18 potentially cost-<br>beneficial SAMAs to the Byron Plant Health<br>Committee for further implementation<br>consideration <i>in accordance with current</i><br><i>Byron processes and procedures for</i><br><i>evaluating possible plant modifications.</i> "   |
| 122 | F-1  | 43 to 45 | F.1     | To improve clarity, revise the sentence in lines 43 to<br>45 on page F-1 as follows:<br>"However, Exelon determined that the other<br>SAMA would not be cost-beneficial <i>if-given</i><br><i>Exelon's possible implementation of</i><br><i>another</i> SAMA that addresses insights from<br>the Fukushima Daiichi accident and which, if<br>implemented, were implemented since it<br>would mitigate many of the largest<br>contributors to <i>the</i> Byron <i>severe accident</i><br>risk." |
| 123 | F-2  | 16       | F.2.1   | In line 16 on page F-2, insert the words "a factor of"<br>between the word "by" and the number "2.5" as<br>follows:<br>" by multiplying the estimated benefits for<br>internal events by <b>a factor of</b> 2.5."  |
| 124 | F-5  | 9 to 11  | F.2.2   | The sentence in lines 9 to 11 on page F-5 appears<br>to be incomplete. Consider revising it as follows:<br>"The NRC staff review concluded that, while<br>Exelon did not provide a definition of<br>vulnerability, Exelon identified one 'potential<br>vulnerability' and one enhancement were."   |
| 125 | F-8  | 34       | F.2.2.1 | In line 34 on page F-8, define the acronym "AP" as<br>"auxiliary power".   |
| 126 | F-9  | 31 to 33 | F.2.2.1 | To improve clarity, consider revising the sentence in<br>lines 31 to 33 on page F-9 as follows:<br>"This requirement results from <del>SW's</del> <i>service</i><br><i>water</i> being taken from Lake Michigan, the<br>whose water temperature <i>of which</i> varies<br>throughout the year."  |

|     | Page | Line     | Section | Comment   |
|-----|------|----------|---------|---|
| 127 | F-10 | 4 to 5   | F.2.2.2 | Consider revising the sentence in lines 4 to 5 on<br>page F-10 as follows:<br>"The Byron IPEEE was submitted in<br>December 1996 (ComEd 1996), in response<br>to Supplement 4 of GL 88-20 (NRC 1991),<br>which requested that each power reactor<br>licensee identify and report to the NRC<br>plant-specific vulnerabilities to severe<br>accidents caused by external events."  |
| 128 | F-10 | 34 to 35 | F.2.2.2 | Consider revising sentences in lines 34 to 35 on<br>page F-10 as follows:<br>"The majority of the outliers involve <i>d</i> seismic<br>interaction concerns that were resolved<br>through <del>some</del> <i>appropriate licensee</i><br>corrective actions. Others were resolved<br>either by Conservative Deterministic Failure<br>Margin capacity analysis <i>that</i> to showed <i>that</i><br>the <i>seismic</i> capacity <i>substantially</i><br><i>exceeded the</i> well beyond review-level<br>earthquake demand, or by maintenance or<br>modifications."   |
| 129 | F-16 | 13 to 16 | F.2.2.3 | Consider revising the sentence in lines 13 to 16 on<br>page F-16, as follows:<br>"In response to an NRC staff RAI, Exelon<br>stated that the input for the MAAP cases<br>specified the fission product masses (as<br>opposed to radionuclide activity values)<br>as recommended by the MAAP Users Group<br>Bulletin, "MAAP-FLASH #68" (Exelon 2014)."   |
| 130 | F-20 | 23 to 27 | F.2.2.4 | Consider revising the sentences in lines 23 to 27 on<br>page F-20 as follows:<br>"Standardized Ggeneric economic data<br>inputs that isare applied to the region as a<br>whole were obtained from NUREG-1150 (as<br>reflected in the MACCS2 Sample Problem<br>A). NUREG-1150 is a seminal, peer-<br>reviewed work in PRA performed by the<br>NRC and the national laboratories that<br>includes a Level 3 PRA for five different<br>reactor sites. The NUREG-1150-based<br>inputs were revised from the MACCS2<br>sample problem input in orderadjusted to<br>account for cost escalation since 1986, the<br>year that the inputs waswere first specified." |

|          | Page | Line     | Section | Comment  |
|----------|------|----------|---------|--|
| <u> </u> |      |          |         | Consider revising the sentence in lines 13 to 15 on  |
| 131      | F-22 | 13 to 15 | F.3.2   | page F-22 as follows:<br>"Exelon also provided in the ER tabular<br>listings of the Level 2 PRA basic events for<br>the combined LERF categories and the<br>combined Late Release categories, which in<br>total <del>contribute</del> <i>account for</i> approximately<br>95 percent <i>of the estimated</i> population dose<br>risk and OECR.   |
| 132      | F-22 | 1 to 2   | F.3.2   | Consider inserting the following new sentence in line<br>2 on page F-22 after the first sentence on the page:<br>"The RRW is the factor by which the risk<br>would decrease if the component, train,<br>system, function, initiating event, or HEP<br>is assumed to be perfectly reliable (i.e., if<br>its probability of failure were zero)."   |
| 133      | F-22 | 42 to 45 | F.3.2   | Consider revising the sentence in lines 42 to 45 on<br>page F-22 as follows:<br>"Since Exelon already includes providing for<br>portable ventilation in plant procedures and,<br><i>as discussed further below,</i> is committed<br>to installing the "no-leak" <i>RCP</i> seals, the<br>NRC staff concludes that this possible<br>alternative SAMA, to provide portable<br>ventilation during maintenance activities, has<br>been adequately explored and is unlikely to<br>be cost-beneficial."  |
| 134      | F-24 | 42       | F.3.2   | In line 42 on page F-24, change "Bryon" to "Byron."  |
| 135      | F-27 | 18 to 22 | F.3.2   | The text in lines 18 to 22 on page F-27 is redundant<br>to the text on lines 4 to 9 on page F-27. Accordingly,<br>consider deleting it, as follows:<br>"Exelon's SAMA ID process included<br>reviewing insights from the plant-specific risk<br>studies, and reviewing plant improvements<br>considered in previous SAMA analyses.<br>While explicit treatment of external events in<br>the SAMA identification process was limited,<br>the NRC staff determined that the prior<br>implementation of plant modifications and the<br>absence of external event vulnerabilities<br>reasonably justify examining primarily the<br>internal events risk results for this purpose." |

|     | Page | Line                                | Section   | Comment   |
|-----|------|-------------------------------------|-----------|---|
| 136 | F-38 | 24 to 25                            | F.6       | Consider revising the sentence in lines 24 to 25 on<br>page F-38 as follows:<br>"Exelon divided this cost element into two<br>parts—the <i>averted</i> onsite cleanup and<br>decontamination cost ( <i>ACC</i> )-also commonly<br>referred to as ACC, and the <i>averted</i><br><i>replacement power cost</i> (RPC)."   |
| 137 | F-42 | 38 to 40                            | F.7       | Consider revising the sentence in lines 38 to 40 on<br>page F-42 as follows:<br>"Exelon has indicated that all 18 potentially<br>cost-beneficial SAMAs will be submitted to<br>the Byron Plant Health Committee for further<br>implementation consideration <i>in accordance</i><br><i>with current Byron processes and</i><br><i>procedures for evaluating possible plant</i><br><i>modifications.</i> " |
| 138 | F-31 | SAMA 16,<br>SAMA Title              | Table F-5 | Consider changing the title of SAMA 16 in Table F-5<br>on page F-31 as follows: "16 – Install high flow<br>sensors on the <del>non-SX<i>non-essential service water</i></del><br>system <b>(WS)</b> "   |
| 139 | F-31 | SAMA 16,<br>modeling<br>assumptions | Table F-5 | Consider changing the text in Table F-5 describing<br>the modeling assumptions for SAMA 16 as follows:<br>"Completely eliminates all risk associated<br>with SWWS flood event scenarios"  |

# Gallagher, Carol

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Attachments: James, Lois Wednesday, February 25, 2015 9:35 AM Bladey, Cindy Gallagher, Carol Submitting Comments to NRC-2013-018 017 - Exelon cover memo for informal comments.pdf

Cindy,

Attached are comments that Exelon submitted on the Byron DSEIS, NRC-2013-018. Can you add this to the Regulations.gov docket for NRC-2013-0178?

Thank you

Lois M. James, Senior Environmental Project Manager Division of License Renewal Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations <u>lois.james@nrc.gov</u> (preferred method of communication) 301-415-3306