Jaffe, David

From:

Grant, Eddie <X2EDGRAN@SOUTHERNCO.COM>

Sent:

Tuesday, February 10, 2015 12:55 PM

To:

Jaffe, David

Cc:

Redd, Jason P.; Sparkman, Wesley A.

Subject:

RE: IOZ SE - Proprietary review for LAR 64 / SNC LAR 13-039 IOZ Coating Thermal

Conductivity SE

Mr. Jaffe

SNC and Westinghouse have completed our review of the draft SE for identification of additional proprietary information.

We did not identify any material shown as public information that should have been identified as proprietary.

We are currently drafting and processing a letter in response to your request letter that states the above.

We did, however, note that there are a couple of places where a reference to a "factor-of-[two]" is identified as proprietary information.

There are other places where the "factor of two" is not identified as proprietary.

Neither WEC nor SNC identified this "factor of two" information as proprietary in our submittals.

As such, the locations where a reference to a "factor-of-[two]" is identified as proprietary information

appear to be unnecessary.

These locations are on page 5 (section 3.2.1) and page 14 (section 3.2.10).

Thanks,
Eddie R. Grant
SNC - AP1000 Licensing

From: Jaffe, David [mailto:David.Jaffe@nrc.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2015 5:50 AM

To: Redd, Jason P. **Cc:** Sparkman, Wesley A.

Subject: IOZ SE **Importance:** High

Jason:

Good news on the IOZ front. The staff has completed its SE in proprietary form. Attached is the SE that we will send to you by letter dated 1/27 or 1/28. Since we know the SE contains proprietary information we will not place it in the PDR (in redacted form) until we hear from you via formal correspondence. While there is no time limit for your review, we hope that two weeks will suffice. You'll note that the information that the staff considers proprietary is contained in "bold" square brackets. What we really want to know is whether we missed identification of proprietary information or if information the we identified as proprietary can be made public. As always, please identify factual errors as needed. If the attached SE is satisfactory, you may wish to provide a simple statement that all proprietary information has been identified in the

"bold" square brackets and we can redact this information for the final, public issuance of the SE with the license amendment. I thank you and your colleagues for your patience in this review. The issue of IOZ thermal conductivity is a complex issue and Greg Makar is a very careful and thoughtful member of our staff; I believe this is reflected in the SE.

Best regards and congratulations to Wes on his permanent appointment.

D. H. Jaffe